1 DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT Department of Industrial Relations State of California BY: MILES E. LOCKER, No. 103510 3 45 Fremont Street, Suite 3220 San Francisco, CA 94105 4 Telephone: (415) 975-2060 5 Attorney for the Labor Commissioner 6 7 BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER 8 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 MARI SMITH PRESENTS, INC., 11

ED SMITH dba GOLD PLATING COMPANY,

No. TAC 5-96

Petitioner,

DISMISSAL OF PETITION TO DETERMINE CONTROVERSY FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

Respondent.

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14

12 vs.

The above-captioned petition was filed on March 26, 1996 by Mari Smith Presents, Inc. Model & Talent Agency (hereinafter "MSP"), and alleges that on or about May 4, 1995, MSP and Ed Smith dba Gold Plating Company (hereinafter "respondent") entered into a written contract under which respondent agreed to pay MSP a total of \$444 for the modeling services of Diane Wagner, a model represented by MSP, during the period of May 6 and 7, 1995; that Wagner performed the contracted for services; and that respondent failed to pay any part of the amount owed to MSP pursuant to the parties' agreement. By this petition, MSP requests that the Labor Commissioner issue a determination ordering respondent to pay the amount specified in the contract, plus interest.

Although MSP seems to have stated a prima facie breach of

1

5-96.Dis

contract case against the respondent, there is no jurisdictional basis upon which the Labor Commissioner may hear or determine this case. The Talent Agencies Act (Labor Code sections 1700, et seq.) governs the relationship between talent agents and the artists they represent. Under Labor Code §1700.44, the Labor Commissioner has jurisdiction to hear and determine disputes arising under the Talent Agencies Act - - that is, disputes between artists and their agents. Indeed, the Labor Commissioner is vested with initial and exclusive jurisdiction to hear such disputes, in other words, an artist and talent agent must take their dispute to the Labor Commissioner for resolution. But here, the petition concerns a dispute between a talent agency and a business that hired the services of an artist represented by the agency. This is not a dispute that arises under the Talent Agencies Act, and there is nothing in the Talent Agencies Act that would permit the Labor Commissioner to exercise jurisdiction over the purchaser of an artist's services.

Because this dispute cannot be heard by the Labor

Commissioner, this petition must be dismissed. MSP may seek

relief against respondent by filing a court action for breach of

contract damages. This matter properly belongs before a court and

not the Labor Commissioner.

DATED: 8/16/96

MILES E. LOCKER

Attorney for the Labor Commissioner

26

23

24

25

27

28

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS - DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL (C.C.P. §1013a)

## (MARI SMITH PRESENTS, INC. v. ED SMITH dba GOLD PLATING COMPANY) (TAC 5-96)

I, MARY ANN E. GALAPON, do hereby certify that I am employed

in the county of San Francisco, over 18 years of age, not a party
to the within action, and that I am employed at and my business
address is 45 Fremont St., Suite 3220, San Francisco, CA 94105.
On <u>August 16, 1996</u> , I served the following document:
DISMISSAL OF PETITION TO DETERMINE CONTROVERSY
FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

by placing a true copy thereof in envelope addressed as follows:

MARI SMITH
MARI SMITH PRESENTS, INC.
101 State Place, Suite D
Escondido, CA 92029

ED SMITH dba GOLD PLATING COMPANY 704 63rd St. N. St. Petersburg, FL 33710

and then sealing the envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, depositing it in the United States mail in the city and county of San Francisco by ordinary first class mail.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on  $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$  August 16, 1996 , at San Francisco, California.

Mary ann E. GALAPÓN