

IACA Education Subcommittee Charter Refinement Survey

Thank you for your continued work on the Education Subcommittee charter. Members have already reviewed and discussed the developing charter, including the name, background, and purpose sections.

This brief follow-up survey is intended to gather targeted feedback on the remaining draft sections before the next meeting. Please refer to the linked draft slide deck as you respond. Each section below identifies the relevant slide number.

For each section, please indicate how much refinement is needed and identify any specific changes that would strengthen the draft language.

* Indicates required question

1. What is your name? *

Section: Scope

[Please see slide 4 from our working slide deck](#)

2. How well does the **Scope** section capture the Subcommittee's intended work? *

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Works well as drafted
- ☐ Would benefit from minor refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from moderate refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from substantial refinement
- ☐ Unsure

3. What specific changes, if any, would strengthen this section?

Section: Core Responsibilities

[Please see slide 6 from our working slide deck](#)

4. How well does the **Core Responsibilities** section capture the Subcommittee's intended work? ↗

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Works well as drafted
- ☐ Would benefit from minor refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from moderate refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from substantial refinement
- ☐ Unsure

5. What specific changes, if any, would strengthen this section?

Section: Membership and Leadership

[Please see slide 7 from our working slide deck](#)

6. How well does the **Membership and Leadership** section capture the Subcommittee's intended work?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Works well as drafted
- ☐ Would benefit from minor refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from moderate refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from substantial refinement
- ☐ Unsure

7. What specific changes, if any, would strengthen this section?

Section: Meetings and Operations

[Please see slide 8 from our working slide deck](#)

8. How well does the **Meetings and Operations** section capture the Subcommittee's intended work?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Works well as drafted
- ☐ Would benefit from minor refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from moderate refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from substantial refinement
- ☐ Unsure

9. What specific changes, if any, would strengthen this section?

Section: Deliverables and Reporting

[Please see slide 9 from our working slide deck](#)

10. How well does the **Deliverables and Reporting** section capture the Subcommittee's intended work?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Works well as drafted
- ☐ Would benefit from minor refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from moderate refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from substantial refinement
- ☐ Unsure

11. What specific changes, if any, would strengthen this section?

Section: Charter Adoption and Amendments

[Please see slide 10 from our working slide deck](#)

12. How well does the **Charter Adoption and Amendments** section capture the Subcommittee's intended work?

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ Works well as drafted
- ☐ Would benefit from minor refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from moderate refinement
- ☐ Would benefit from substantial refinement
- ☐ Unsure

13. What specific changes, if any, would strengthen this section?

Section: Open Response

14. Looking across the draft sections, is there anything else that should be revised, added, clarified, narrowed, or removed before the next meeting?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

