



ADDRESS REPLY TO:  
California Apprenticeship Council  
P. O. Box 420603  
San Francisco, CA 94142-0603

## LEGISLATION COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, October 29, 2014 2:00 P.M.

### I. Call To Order/ Roll Call

Commissioner Yvonne de la Peña called the meeting to order at 2:04 P.M.

Members present: Yvonne de la Peña, Paul Von Berg, Carl Goff, Christopher Christophersen, Jack Buckhorn, and Chief Diane Ravnik

A quorum was met.

Members absent: Aram Hodess, Hector Velez, Richard Harris,

### II. Review/Approve Minutes – March 21, 2014 and July 30, 2014

1. Commissioner Jack Buckhorn made motion to approve the March 21, 2014 and the July 30, 2014 minutes. Commissioner Carl Goff and Chief Diane Ravnik simultaneously seconded the motion. All were in favor. The motion carried.

### III. Review Common Administrative Practices and Treatment of Costs Document

Commissioner de la Peña stated that the document was put together by John Dunn, CCCCCO through input of multiple agencies. The document will not be operative until it is approved by the full CAC. Ms. de la Peña asked the committee and attendees if they had any changes to make. She commented that Mr. Dunn added a career technical education definition. It was suggested at the last meeting to adding the LEAs and CCCs should meet at least once per year. This was changed.

Ms. de la Peña suggested talking about the section on *Treatment of Costs and Services*. She expressed that the last two paragraphs appear to be narrative or a guideline of how RSI funding should be used and questioned if this should it be included in the document. This format is inconsistent with the rest of the document.

She also questioned the third bullet from the end of section “The CCCCCO and DAS Should, in Partnership: on page 3: “*Create recommendations by which increases in future RSI funding are allocated to new programs, based on LMI data, employer contributions, expected completion rates and other criteria.*” Her concern is that it may open the door for the potential to transfer funds between Budget Act line items. The concern is about jumping between LEA's. She asked if there is an easy way for program sponsors to move from community college and an LEA or vice versa which would shift funding source. The concern is that dollars could get lost. Commissioner de la Peña suggests not taking any action at this time. The time line in the legislation says that the document was to be produced by March 14, 2014. Implementing the

document requires approval by the CAC. However, it does not say when or if it has to become operative or when the CAC needs to act on it. The document will be on the website for anyone to provide written comments and discussion will continue at the next meeting in January.

IV. Review of New and Pending Bills

Commissioner de la Peña stated that SB 173 was chaptered which amends AB 86. She stated one of the five program areas that is not being discussed is apprenticeship. John Dunn CCCCCO has reached out to the LEAs and stated that programs would be contacted by the members of their regional consortia. Representatives from the Firefighters were contacted by one of their LEAs and found the conversation to be difficult on how apprenticeship fits into the AB 86 process. In talking about the five program areas, Ms. de la Peña asked the attendees to share thoughts on how to address integration of apprenticeship into the adult education program area.

It was commented that under the short term CTE, one of the five adult education program areas, that it could be combined with Apprenticeship. It was stated that it was not the best direction for the apprenticeship community as it will diminish the face and the structure of apprenticeship.

John Dunn CCCCCO stated that he has spoken in the past on AB 86. Having apprenticeship as one of the five program areas does not necessarily mean there is a requirement to begin an apprenticeship program. Most of those involved in the AB 86 process do not have any idea what apprenticeship is. His conversations have and continue to be in education and asked them to look around their geographic area and find out who does apprenticeship training in their area – talk to them and find out how they can support the program using the four other areas that are required through AB 86. Many of them do not understand that RSI exists much less understand what apprenticeship is and how the two relate to each other.

Comments from the public:

It was asked about a bill relating to a tax credit for employers. DAS Chief Diane Ravnik stated that AB 1569 would have provided some specialized tax credits for apprenticeship. The provisions are narrow with a youth emphasis and have been amended a few times and are now in a suspense file.

V. Adjournment

A motion and a second were made to adjourn the meeting. All were in favor. The motion carried. The meeting adjourned at 2:35 p.m.