

LCP-AR2

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary).

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
SAN BRUNO GRADE SEPARATION	3/11/2010	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	\$77,699,000.00
JERROLD AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	4/21/2010	DISNEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.	\$6,313,333.00
SAN MATEO BRIDGES PHASE 1 – FOUNDATION IMPROVEMENTS	7/09/2010	WEST BAY BUILDERS, INC	\$2,674,000.00
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO PARKING LOT REHABILITATION	8/25/2010	INTERSTATE GRADING AND PAVING, INC.	\$446,000.00
CEMOF INDUSTRIAL WASTE PIPELINE	8/23/2010	TECHNOLOGY ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION, INC.	\$94,292.00
STATION HARDENING PROJECT	8/26/2010	VALENTINE CORPORATION	\$1,518,369.00
CCTV – SVS AT CEMOF	9/16/2010	GTSI CORPORATION	\$633,512.00
Total			\$89,378,506.00

B. List any project subject to the limited exemption clause of LC § 1771.5(a), if applicable.

Project Name	Description of Project	Contract Amount
Total		

LCP-AR2

C. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Provision of Fencing Along Various Locations of ROW in San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties	Crusader Fence Co., Inc.	\$7,833.88	\$7,833.88	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Wages paid to employees under a wrong classification.
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Total		\$7,833.88	\$7,833.88		

D. For any amount identified in item C for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Provision of Fencing Along Various Locations of ROW in San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties	\$7,833.88	\$7,833.88	Contractor agreed to pay restitution of underpaid wages.
Total	\$7,833.88	\$7,833.88	

E. For any amount identified in item C for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed				Amount Recovered					
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Total										

F. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status

G. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: Yes No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____

H. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: Yes No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____
