This document is meant to help physicians and others better serve injured workers. Understanding how to write requests for treatment that meet evidence-based medicine (EBM) guidelines will more often result in approved authorization for medical care through Utilization Review (UR) and Independent Medical Review (IMR). This approach requires a little extra work at the front end, but it saves having to deal with and respond to denials of care which clog up the physician’s office, take valuable time, leaving the injured worker upset and without treater recommended medical care.

I take full responsibility for this document and any errors or omissions are mine. Your input to improve this document would be greatly appreciated. Please email me at stevenfeinberg@hotmail.com.

How to Use this Document

This document, if viewed on your computer, has embedded Internet Links (blue underlined titles) which you can click on to go to the actual document on the DWC or other web sites.

This document is not meant to replace the detailed information found on the DWC Workers’ Compensation Web Site, the Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) or the Guide to the MTUS Regulations.

Other Educational Materials

The Division of Workers’ Compensation (DWC) provides a free online education course for physicians treating patients in the California workers’ compensation system. It covers: 1) What the MTUS is and how to use it; 2) How to navigate the MTUS treatment guidelines and apply recommendations via case scenarios; 3) When to consider recommendations outside of the MTUS guidelines for the care of your patient; and 4) The role of utilization review (UR) and independent medical review (IMR) physicians.

The DWC also offers a newly edited and rewritten Physician’s Guide to Medical Practice in the California Workers’ Compensation System (Physician’s Guide). It assists physicians in understanding the many complexities in the California workers’ compensation system and is the basis for the QME exam.
Avoid UR & IME Denials by using the MTUS

As a treating physician, you can avoid UR & IMR denials by prescribing medical care that is supported by and consistent with, the MTUS. The Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) is presumptively correct on the issue of extent and scope of medical treatment (Section 9792.21(c)).

The DWC medical treatment utilization schedule (MTUS) outlines the most effective treatment of injured and ill workers, based upon the “best” EBM. The Strength (or Hierarchy) of Evidence Search Sequence uses EBM-based principles to guide appropriate clinical decision making when new evidence is produced or when the MTUS does not address a clinical condition or a diagnostic test.

Strength (or Hierarchy) of Evidence Guidelines

The DWC MTUS sequence to be followed can be found at Medical Evidence Search Sequence.

Treating physicians and medical reviewers shall conduct the following medical evidence search sequence for the evaluation and treatment of injured workers.

1. Search the recommended guidelines set forth in the current MTUS to find a recommendation applicable to the injured worker’s medical condition or injury. (NB: If a treater agrees with and follows the treatment guidelines as documented in the current CA MTUS, there is no need to proceed further).

2. In the limited situation where a medical condition or injury is not addressed by the MTUS or if the MTUS’ presumption of correctness is being challenged, then:

   A. Search the most current version of ACOEM or ODG to find a recommendation applicable to the injured worker’s medical condition or injury. If no applicable recommendation is found, or if the treating physician or reviewing physician believes there is another recommendation supported by a higher quality and strength of evidence, then

   B. Search the most current version of other evidence-based medical treatment guidelines that are recognized by the national medical community and are scientifically based to find a recommendation applicable to the injured worker’s medical condition or injury. If no applicable recommendation is found, or if the treating physician or reviewing physician believes there is another recommendation supported by a higher quality and strength of evidence, then

   C. Search for current studies that are scientifically-based, peer-reviewed, and published in journals that are nationally recognized by the medical community to find a recommendation applicable to the injured worker’s medical condition or injury.

On the following page, with permission from the California Applicants’ Attorneys Association (CAAA), an explanatory graphic of the Medical Evidence Search Sequence is provided. CAAA has graciously also provided a Link to a CAAA Bulletin, MTUS Practice Tips, at #2 MTUS Practice Tip – Strength of Evidence Guidelines which I highly recommend to you.
Medical Evidence Search Sequence 9792.21.1

Search the MTUS. Is there a recommendation on point?
- Yes: Use this recommendation
- No: Or there is a recommendation on point, but the MTUS' presumption of correctness is being challenged

Search ACOEM or ODG. Is there a recommendation on point?
- No
- Yes: Choose the recommendation that is supported with the best available evidence according to the MTUS Methodology for Evaluating Medical Evidence 9792.25.1

Search other evidence-based medical treatment guidelines. Is there a recommendation on point?
- No
- Yes

Search for current studies. Is there a recommendation on point?
- Yes
The DWC is in the process of updating many of the Guidelines and recommendations and has issued Draft MTUS Guidelines Updates which are accessible now on the DWC website (see Links below). While not the official “current” Guidelines, as treaters, we are instructed to use the most current evidence-based medicine (EBM). A draft of the MTUS Guidelines are available at no charge at the internet link below.

The DWC has proposed Draft MTUS Guidelines Updates from American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) guidelines (published by Reed Group, Ltd.) are accessible as listed below:

- Ankle and Foot Disorders
- Cervical and Thoracic Spine Disorders
- Elbow Disorders
- Eye Disorders
- Hand, Wrist, and Forearm Disorders
- Hip and Groin Disorders
- Knee Disorders
- Low Back Disorders
- Shoulder Disorders

The MTUS also contains chapters known as Special Topics and these are accessible through the links below:

- Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines
- Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines
- Opioids Treatment Guidelines – Executive Summary, Introduction, and Recommendations (Part 1)
- Opioids Treatment Guidelines – Supplementary Materials (Part 2)
- Postsurgical treatment guidelines
Current Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS)

While the following MTUS Guidelines are “current” and still in effect, they are “outdated” and use of the above noted “Draft” and Special Topics is recommended. You can Click on the word “algorithm” to be taken to that page.

- **Neck and upper back complaints** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 8)
  - b. In the course of treatment for neck and upper back complaints where acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation is being considered, use the [Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines](#).
  - c. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of [algorithm 8-3](#), use the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#).
  - d. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for neck and upper back complaints, the [Postsurgical treatment guidelines](#) for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#) shall apply.

- **Shoulder complaints** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 9)
  - b. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of [algorithm 9-3](#), use the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#).
  - c. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for shoulder complaints, the [Postsurgical treatment guidelines](#) for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#) shall apply.

- **Elbow disorders** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (Revised 2007), Chapter 10)
  - b. In the course of treatment for neck and upper back complaints where acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation is being considered, use the [Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines](#).
  - c. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of [algorithm 10-3](#), use the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#).
  - d. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for elbow complaints, the [Postsurgical treatment guidelines](#) for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#) shall apply.

- **Forearm, wrist, and hand complaints** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 11)
  - b. In the course of treatment for forearm, wrist and hand complaints where acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation is being considered, use the [Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines](#).
  - c. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of [algorithm 11-3](#), use the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#).
  - d. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for forearm, wrist and hand complaints, the [Postsurgical treatment guidelines](#) for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the [Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines](#) shall apply.
• **Low back complaints** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 12)
  
  b. In the course of treatment for low back complaints where acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation is being considered, use the Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines.
  
  c. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of algorithm 12-5, use the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines.
  
  d. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for low back complaints, the Postsurgical treatment guidelines for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines shall apply.

• **Knee complaints** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 13)
  
  b. In the course of treatment for knee complaints where acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation is being considered, use the Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines.
  
  c. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of algorithm 13-5, use the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines.
  
  d. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for knee complaints, the Postsurgical treatment guidelines for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines shall apply.

• **Ankle and foot complaints** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 14)
  
  b. In the course of treatment for ankle and foot complaints where acupuncture or acupuncture with electrical stimulation is being considered, use the Acupuncture medical treatment guidelines.
  
  c. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of algorithm 14-5, use the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines.
  
  d. If surgery is performed in the course of treatment for ankle and foot complaints, the Postsurgical treatment guidelines for postsurgical physical medicine shall apply together with any other applicable treatment guidelines found in the MTUS. In the absence of any cure for the patient who continues to have pain that persists beyond the anticipated time of healing, the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines shall apply.

• **Stress related conditions** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 16)

• **Eye** (ACOEM Practice Guidelines, 2nd Edition (2004), Chapter 16)
  
  b. If recovery has not taken place with respect to pain by the end of algorithm 16-6, use the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines.
American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM)

The ACOEM Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines are published by Reed Group and are available electronically through MDGuidelines and includes a searchable interface with chapters that are updated with ACOEM's evidence-based methodology. This online tool also provides users with first access to chapters as they are updated. For physicians handling work comp cases in the state of California, a special discounted rate of $100 per year is available for a period of 3 years. This offer will be good through 12/31/2017.

Work Loss Data Institute Official Disability Guidelines (ODG)

The current Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) rate is $599 annually. ODG has a generic coupon code, ODGSTATE, which will take 25% off. The California Orthopaedic Association (COA) and The California Society of Industrial Medicine & Surgery (CSIMS) have negotiated coupon codes available in the membership areas of those sites.
REPORT WRITING

You can avoid UR & IMR denials by excellence in report writing. Here are some bullet point recommendations.

- Physician needs to provide a clear, legible and concise history and physical examination followed by diagnoses and then recommendations for evidence-based medicine (EBM) care consistent with the MTUS.

- Timely submitted reports will help expedite proposed treatment and avoid unnecessary delays unrelated to the UR process.

- Avoid boilerplate paragraphs especially with an electronic medical record (EMR).

- State how the medical treatment is supported by the MTUS first. If the treating physician wishes to appeal a denial based upon inconsistency with the CA MTUS, the treating physician can use ACOEM or ODG second, and then with other guidelines or EBM following the MTUS Medical Evidence Search Sequence.

- In your written report, “walk” the claims examiner, attorney, UR or IMR Reviewer through the treatment course and document how the treatment request meets the MTUS EBM standards.

- The medical reporting should document that the injured worker is educated about, and understands the diagnoses. Additionally, the treater should outline the specific goals to be achieved. For example:
  - Less discomfort (pain)
  - Reduced medication usage
  - Improved activities of daily living function
    - Improved sleep
    - Increased ADLs such as personal hygiene, dressing, walking, cleaning, mowing the lawn, etc.
  - Staying at or returning to work modified or full duty.
Report Writing Template

Many physicians now use electronic medical record templates but they often include extraneous, repeated information, and worse, erroneous information. The record must be accurate.

The following is a report writing template which includes information which can help avoid denials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief/Concise History:</th>
<th>Provide a brief history and keep it short and concise.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current (relevant) Symptoms:</td>
<td>□ Stable □ Improving □ Worsening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don’t just repeat the symptoms from the last visit unless still relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Findings (pertinent):</td>
<td>Don’t just repeat the same findings every visit. List only pertinent and relevant positive or changing findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Medications:</td>
<td>List the actual medications, dose and frequency – be specific as to how may pills taken a day, week or month. Clarify any changes, reason for changes, etc. Ask yourself each visit whether the medication prescribed is truly needed and efficacious.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of Daily Living (ADLs):</td>
<td>Note +/- or no changes related to treatment. What has changed in a positive way to support the current treatment regimen? Were the goals set at the last visit met?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL Goals (for next visit):</td>
<td>Use this section to note what goals are set in terms of ADLs, medication reduction and other activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnoses (include ICD):</td>
<td>Be careful and be specific. While the diagnoses may not change from visit to visit, make sure each visit that they are accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Status:</td>
<td>□ MMI/P&amp;S or □ TD (Temporary Disability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Abilities/Restrictions:</td>
<td>□ Sedentary □ Light □ Medium □ Heavy □ Very Heavy (☑ one and elaborate as appropriate – what are the specific restrictions that would allow the IW to return to modified work?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Status Capability:</td>
<td>□ Stay at Work (SAW) □ Return to Work (RTW) □ Full duty □ Modified duty (with above restrictions) □ Cannot work in any capacity (Total Temporary Disability - TTD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Plan:</td>
<td>Use some common sense. Explain your rationale in simple terms. Make it understandable to the patient, NCM, claims examiner, attorney, UR &amp; IMR reviewers, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription/Request (RFA):</td>
<td>Start simple and conservative before requesting complex and invasive treatments - justify those requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request Justification/Support per MTUS EBM:</td>
<td>How will the request for treatment make a positive difference? Is it diagnostic? Will the requested procedure/treatment results in less pain, less medication usage and increased function while avoiding complications? Is the risk-benefit ratio acceptable? How does the request for treatment meet EBM guidelines? Reference the specific MTUS or other guideline (see Medical Evidence search sequence) here by page number or even copy or attach the specific supporting guideline or scientific evidence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Explanation of the Request for Initial Authorization

- The report should contain an explanation that the request/prescription for treatment is to achieve and will result in a positive outcome (and therefore be efficacious) by way of less pain, reduced medication usage and improved activities of daily living - ADLs (including staying at work or returning to work - SAW/RTW) which are measured and documented at the next visit.
- The report should clearly state that the prescription/request is supported by the MTUS or whatever scientific article or guideline you are using and is supported by evidence-based medicine or is otherwise justified. If the offered alternative evidence is not of high quality, it may be ignored or rejected.
- A “bullet-proof” report would be one that clearly notes that the injured worker has failed prior treatments and shows why the current recommended treatment is appropriate for the injured and, when possible, clearly indicates the negative ramifications of not receiving the recommended treatment.
- Even if the prescription/recommendation doesn’t quite fit the MTUS guidelines; make sure further details are provided with regards to your request. For example: While the patient has attempted PT in the past without lasting benefit and the prescription is in excess of what the MTUS recommends for this diagnosis, previous PT notes show care consisted primarily of passive modalities. The newly recommended PT will consist of (list active therapies) that will medically probably result and functional gains and thus should be considered for this specific patient. The more patient specific the treatment plan can be along with justification that treatment will cure or relieve from the effects of the industrial injury and resultant improved ADLs, less medication usage and overall functional improvement, the better chance to obtain authorization.

Explanation of the Request for Additional/Continued Treatment Authorization

- To justify additional or continued treatment you will have to clearly document how the initial similar treatment resulted in a positive outcome (less pain, less medication usage, increased ADLs, etc.) and why additional similar care will result in a further benefit.

Post-UR & IMR Denial

- If there has already been a UR denial, a polite reply/appeal should be submitted further explaining your rationale for the request. If you made a mistake and left something out originally, correct and explain the deficiency.
- What documentation or evidence or report did the Utilization Reviewer miss or not consider.
- Learn from your UR mistakes - If the UR physician has pointed out legitimate errors in your reporting, correct the deficiency prior to IMR, and in all future similar requests. The most common errors are failure to document the appropriate findings and failure to outline the specific reason a particular treatment is appropriate in this individual case.

Documentation is #1

- It doesn’t really matter where you are in the process – UR, IMR, or expedited hearing – every treatment request must be properly documented, fully substantiating the need for the treatment. A treatment request absent adequate documentation = UR or IMR Denial. Getting it right in the first place is the only viable, repeatable strategy.
Documentation Specifics

- Note progression of treatment: Simple/conservative to complex/invasive.
- Document timeline (how many weeks have passed?).
- Note failure/lack of improvement with lower level of treatment to date.
- Distinguish 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th, line treatment options.
- Document history, mechanism of injury (MOI), physical findings, tests and imaging studies that support diagnosis and treatment requested.
- List red flags that demand treatment and risks associated with denial of care.
- Document improved ADLs and functional improvement.
- Document medication reduction.
- Use the MTUS first and then ODG or ACOEM as a Checklist: If the prescription/requested is supported in the guideline, describe how the injured worker meets the requirements for that treatment.

IMR Denials and Approvals

- Denial if too early in treatment course for the specific request without documentation in support of variance from the guidelines, simple diagnosis (sprain, etc.) does not warrant treatment request, no conservative treatment, no red flags, negative physical exam, test will not alter treatment course.
- Approval if delayed recovery, neurological deficit, chronic condition, conservative treatment didn’t help, positive physical findings.

IMR Denial: Remains in effect for 12 months unless:

- Has there been a substantial change in the patient’s condition - a change in the facts and/or clinical status?
- Was the IMR determination the result of a plainly erroneous expressed or implied finding of fact?
- If an IMR denial is in place, are there other alternative treatment options?
Algorithm 8-5. Further Management of Occupational Neck and Upper Back Complaints

Workers with neck-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 8-3 and 8-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

Return to work activity. Yes

Recovery? No

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing work activity and exercise? Yes

Recommend comfort options (see Table 8-5), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

No

Is patient overcoming activity intolerance? Yes

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

No

Further questions about diagnosis? Yes

Return to Algorithm 8-3 or seek consultation.

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity? Yes

Help patient consider options.

No

Point out that neck symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved. Yes

Address specific issues or arrange for psychosocial and/or job evaluation, and/or formal neck and/or upper back rehabilitation program.

No

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Recovery? Yes

Return to work activities.
Algorithm 9-5. Further Management of Occupational Shoulder Complaints

Workers with shoulder-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 9-3 and 9-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

Return to work activity.

Yes

Recovery?

No

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing work activity and exercise?

Yes

Recommend comfort options (see Table 9-3), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

No

Is patient overcoming activity intolerance?

Yes

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

No

Further questions about diagnosis?

Yes

Return to Algorithm 9-3 or seek consultation

No

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity?

Yes

Help patient consider options.

No

Point out that shoulder symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information and/or formal shoulder rehabilitation program.

Address specific issues or arrange for job and/or psychosocial evaluation, and/or formal shoulder rehabilitation program.

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Recovery?

Yes

Return to work activities.

No
Algorithm 10-5. Further Management of Occupational Elbow Complaints

Workers with elbow-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 10-3 and 10-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

Return to work activity.

Yes

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing work activity and exercise?

No

Yes

Recommend comfort options (see Table 10-3), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

Is patient overcoming activity intolerance?

Yes

No

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

Further questions about diagnosis?

Yes

No

Return to Algorithm 10-3 or seek consultation

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity?

Yes

No

Help patient consider options.

Point out that elbow symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved.

Address specific issues or arrange for job or psychosocial evaluation and/or formal elbow rehabilitation program.

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Is patient seeking information about options?

Yes

No

Recovery?

Yes

Return to work activities.
Algorithm 11-5. Further Management of Occupational Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints

Workers with forearm-, wrist-, or hand-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 11-3 and 11-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

Progressive return to normal work activity.

Recovery?

Yes

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing work activity and exercise?

Yes

Recommend comfort options (see Table 11-3), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

No

Is patient overcoming activity intolerance?

Yes

No

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

Further questions about diagnosis?

Yes

No

Return to Algorithm 11-3 or seek consultation

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity?

Yes

No

Help patient consider options

Point out that forearm/hand/wrist symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved.

Address specific issues or arrange for job or psychosocial evaluation.

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Recovery?

Yes

Return to normal work activities.
**Algorithm 12-5. Further Management of Occupational Low Back Complaints**

Workers with low-back-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 12-3, 12-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

---

**Progressive return to normal work activity.**

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing work activity and exercise? **Yes**

Recommend comfort options (see Table 12-3), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

---

**Is patient overcoming activity intolerance?**

---

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

---

**Further questions about diagnosis?**

Yes → Return to Algorithm 12-3 or seek consultation

---

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity? **Yes**

---

Help patient consider options.

---

Point out that low back symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved.

---

Address specific issues or arrange for job or psychosocial evaluation.

---

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work-activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

---

Recovery? **Yes**

---

Return to normal work activities.
Algorithm 13-5. Further Management of Occupational Knee Complaints

Workers with knee-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 13-3 and 13-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

Return to work activity.  Yes  Recovery?

No

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing activity and exercise?  Yes  Recommend comfort options (see Table 13-3), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

No

Is patient overcoming activity intolerance?  Yes

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

No

Further questions about diagnosis?  Yes  Return to Algorithm 13-3 or seek consultation

No

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity?  Yes

Help patient consider options.

No

Point out that knee symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved.

Is patient seeking information about options?  Yes

Address specific issues or arrange for job or psychosocial evaluation.

No

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work-activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Recovery?  Yes

Return to normal work activities.
Algorithm 14-5. Further Management of Occupational Ankle and Foot Complaints

Workers with ankle or foot-related activity limitations > 4-6 weeks, but < 3 months duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 14-3 and 14-4).

Assure patient. Establish safe exercise plan to build tolerance for intended activity.

Return to work activity. Yes

Recovery?

No

Does patient require help with comfort to tolerate increasing activity and exercise?

Yes

Recommend comfort options (see Table 14-3), considering risk/benefits related to exercise.

No

Is patient overcoming activity intolerance?

Yes

Review history, physical findings, and results of special testing.

No

Further questions about diagnosis?

Yes

Return to Algorithm 14-3 or seek consultation

No

Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity?

Yes

Help patient consider options.

No

Point out that ankle and foot symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved.

Is patient seeking information about options?

Yes

Address specific issues or arrange for job or psychosocial evaluation.

No

Continue to encourage daily exercise to maximize work-activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Recovery?

Yes

Return to work activities.

No
Algorithm 16-6. Further Management of Occupational Eye Complaints

Workers with eye-related visual impairments > one week duration following special studies or surgery (see Algorithms 16-4 and 16-5).

Visual acuity corrected for normal working distance?  
Yes  
Correct visual acuity.

No  
Assure patient. Adjust visual ergonomics and worksite illumination.

Recovery?  
Yes  
Return to normal work activity.

No  
Is patient overcoming work intolerance and fulfilling limited duty?  
Yes  
Review history, physical findings, and results of testing.

No  
Further questions about diagnosis?  
Yes  
Return to Algorithm 16-4 or seek consultation.

No  
Is patient convinced he/she will be able to tolerate intended work activity?  
Yes  
Help patient consider options.

No  
Point out that eye symptoms rarely prevent individuals from seeking information. Ask if other factors could be involved.

Address specific issues or arrange for psychosocial, job, and/or ergonomic evaluation.

Continue to encourage patient to maximize work-activity tolerance and reduce recurrence.

Recovery?  
Yes  
See Algorithm 16-7 for fitness-for-duty evaluation.

No  
Return to normal work activities.