

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

Division of Workers' Compensation

1515 Clay Street, 17th Floor

Oakland, CA 94612

Telephone: 510-286-7100

Email: Formulary@dir.ca.govWebsite: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/MTUS-Formulary.html>

MAILING ADDRESS:

P. O. Box 420603

San Francisco, CA 94142



Pharmacy and Therapeutics Advisory Committee

MINUTES OF MEETING

Wednesday, April 24, 2019

Elihu Harris State Building

1515 Clay Street, Conference Room 11, Second Floor

Oakland, California 94612

In Attendance:

DWC:

George Parisotto

DWC Administrative Director

Jackie Schauer

DWC Legal Counsel

Kevin Gorospe, Pharm.D.

DWC Consultant

Committee Members:

Raymond Meister, M.D., DWC Executive Medical Director

Rajiv P. Das, M.D.

Basil R. Besh, M.D.

Todd Shinohara, Pharm.D., MA.

Raymond Tan, Pharm.D.

Lori Reisner, Pharm.D.

Absent:

Steven Feinberg, M.D.

I. Welcome and Introductions

George Parisotto, Administrative Director, DWC

- Registration for MDGuidelines: <https://www.mdguidelines.com/MTUS>
- Conflict of Interest Statements of P&T Committee members to review terms and update form annually
- State and federal Antitrust Law advisement

II. Approval of Minutes from the January 23, 2019 Meeting

Dr. Raymond Meister, Executive Medical Director, DWC

Motion: Approval of the minutes from the January 23, 2019 meeting

Vote: The committee members in attendance voted unanimously for approval of the minutes from the January 23, 2019 meeting.

Related briefing: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/Meetings/January-2019/Meeting-January.pdf>

III. Discussion: Drug Review Process, Drug Review, and Category Review

a. Drug Review Process

- 4 factors in reviewing drugs: efficacy, safety, misuse potential, and cost

- When should cost matter? Only when efficacy, safety, and misuse are relatively equal
 - Identify exempt status and make recommendations to the department who will apply the unique pharmaceutical identifier to the recommended products that the department has accepted.
- b. **Single Drug Review.** Naloxone used as an example because it has limited dosage forms available. Currently, naloxone hydrochloride (generic name) is exempt. Two brands names – both antidotes self-administered patient drugs. Both equal safety, efficacy and ease of use.
- Evzio is injectable in one strength
 - Narcan available in 3 different strengths as a nasal spray. (Teva has FDA approved equivalent generic product to Narcan)
 - Price differential is very large (Evzio over \$4,000, Narcan under \$200).
 - Committee has a responsibility for ensuring proper resource use.
 - Do we want to make Evzio non-exempt?
 - What are the prescribing patterns we are seeing in workers' compensation? DWC to look at data for pattern of usage.
 - If patient has nasal pathology, the efficacy of Narcan may be impaired. For a patient with nasal pathology, the physician would submit an RFA to obtain authorization in advance if Evzio is prescribed and if Evzio is changed to non-exempt status.
 - Look at how many people have nasal pathologies and who need the injectable (those that can't use the nasal spray). Would a 5-day delay to obtain authorization through the RFA process be harmful?
 - Still need an RFA for Narcan, but it is exempt from prospective review.
 - Before the formulary, everything required prospective review.
 - The formulary is evidence-based. To date, cost has not been a consideration. Question whether to go in the direction of including cost consideration in the exempt/non-exempt designation. Evzio is a life-saving medication; therefore concern expressed about recommending that it be non-exempt.
 - How do we penetrate to the end prescriber? We need a mechanism (such as a campaign) to get this information out in terms of cost and comparative efficacy.
 - Drug prices & circumstances can also change and may warrant future discussions.

Motion: Recommend that the Administrative Director keep Narcan (nasal) exempt and change designation of Evzio (auto-injector) from exempt to non-exempt.

Vote:

In Favor: Basil Besh, Rajiv Das, Lori Reisner and Raymond Tan

Oppose: None

Abstain: Raymond Meister, Todd Shinohara

c. **Category Review NSAIDS:**

- Widely used – among the top 50 drugs by workers compensation.
- Several types: including non-selective COX inhibitors, partially selective COX-2 inhibitors and selective COX-2 inhibitors.

- NSAID class broken down into sub-classifications.
- Committee encouraged to look at MDGuidelines. Efficacy being equal among drugs, but differentiates with safety.

Diclofenac

- Denmark study on NSAIDs - 6.3 million people followed relative to NSAID use
 - Diclofenac – found to have increased cardiac issues vs. others (Naproxen).
 - NSAIDs known for cardiac issues for a while, but diclofenac slightly worse than the other NSAIDs.
- Only five oral NSAIDs on the formulary that are “non-exempt.”
- Intent of the committee to discuss adding diclofenac and naproxen sodium to MTUS formulary (currently these forms are not listed).
- Cost was not factored into determining exempt and non-exempt status on the current MTUS Drug List.
- Historical cost data not as useful due to changing rate of reimbursement within the Medi-Cal program, which is the basis for the workers’ compensation pharmaceutical fee schedule.
- Based on the Danish study, was there a difference in the various forms of diclofenac, or are all diclofenac slightly more cardio toxic than the rest of the NSAIDs? Can ACOEM address the issue?
- Suggestion to have all non-topical diclofenac non-exempt based on possible cardio toxicity issues. Diclofenac previously changed from OTC to Rx in Denmark.

Motion: Make preliminary recommendation to Administrative Director to designate all systemic diclofenac non-exempt. Obtain clarity from the Danish study and feedback from the ACOEM/ReedGroup to have a preliminary determination of systemic diclofenac as non-exempt.

Vote:

In Favor: Basil Besh, Rajiv Das, Lori Reisner, Todd Shinohara

Opposed: Raymond Meister

Abstain: Raymond Tan

Indomethacin

- All indomethacin is current exempt on the formulary. Currently, strengths not included.
- Exorbitant prices on certain strengths may provide financial incentives for prescribing, but not provide clinical benefit over less expensive strengths.
- There is a stewardship issue to consider. Consider whether anything is gained by the “me too” drugs.
- Level of the pharmaceutical identifier: drug ingredient level vs. drug ingredient with the various strengths.
- RxCUI helps to easily clarify the drug ingredient + various strengths in the pharmaceutical identifier column.

Motion: Recommend to the Administrative Director that 20mg and 40 mg indomethacin be changed from exempt to non-exempt.

Vote:

In Favor: Basil Besh, Rajiv Das, Lori Reisner, Todd Shinohara, Raymond Tan

Opposed: None

Abstain: Raymond Meister

Meloxicam

Motion: Recommend to Administrative Director that capsule form of meloxicam (5mg and 10mg strengths) be changed from exempt to non-exempt.

Vote:

In Favor: Basil Besh, Rajiv Das, Lori Reisner, Todd Shinohara, Raymond Tan

Opposed: None

Abstain: Raymond Meister

Further Committee Discussion

- Exempt vs. non-exempt vs. unlisted - Can request anything and put on an RFA (unlisted items included).
- If a drug is similar in efficacy and safety, it does not make sense for one to be exempt and non-exempt and not factor in cost
- Committee request for consistent manner of information presented, for example daily cost of the medication. Committee requested ophthalmic NSAIDs presentation in cost per day at next meeting.
- Issues for future discussion: Listing of forms of: diclofenac; naproxen sodium, naproxen extended release; ophthalmic products

IV. Public Comment:

- Suggestion for presentation data to display column for exempt status.
- Request MDGuidelines access for injured workers.
- Access to MDGuidelines will be available in the 20+ regional office locations in California.
- FDA announcement of tapering of certain opioid medications. Request for more information and communication on avoiding harm to the injured worker while tapering from opioid medications.