CALIFORNIA APPRENTICESHIP COUNCIL 1515 Clay St. Suite 301 Oakland, CA 94612

www.dir.ca.gov



ADDRESS REPLY TO: California Apprenticeship Council P. O. Box 420603 San Francisco, CA 94142-0603

STANDARDS, RULES, REGULATIONS & OPERATING PROCEDURES COMMITTEE MEETING

Chairperson Aram Hodess

Visalia Marriott at the Convention Center 300 South Court Visalia, California 93291 Wednesday, August 1, 2018 4:00 P.M. – 5:00 P.M.

I. Call to Order/Roll Call

Chairperson Jack Buckhorn called the meeting to order at 4:04 p.m.

The following Commissioners were present: Jack Buckhorn, Susan Anderson, Yvonne De La Pena, Carl Goff, Paul Von Berg and DAS Acting Chief Eric Rood

Members absent: Jim Hussey, Aram Hodess, Christopher Christophersen Chip Martin, Frank Quintero, Hector Velez and Pat McGinn

- II. Review/Approve previous minutes. <u>A motion and a second were made to approve the January 2018 minutes. All</u> were in favor. The motion carried.
- III. Old Business

Revisions to DAS7 and DAS140 forms

• Discussion and action on DAS 140 and DAS 142 forms: The DAS 140 would require rule making if they were to make changes. The DAS 142 does not require rule making.

Suggestions:

- 1st Request to add the public works contractor registration number onto the form so they can tie it back to the labor commissioner's directory of the contractor themselves.
- 2nd Request was to tie it back to the project notification (PWC100) to tie the contractor to the specific project. Request was brought to Julie Su from the Labor Commissioners Office and was forwarded to DAS. Useful for labor compliance to have the information.
- 1st Request: <u>Motion was made to add project number to</u> both forms and seconded <u>No more discussion</u>. All in favor
- Discussion: Stated just put it on both for more information since it'll take a while to put it on the 140 due to rule-making. DIR project number to both 140 and 142. *Glen to make a red line version to bring to next CAC*.
- 2nd Request: <u>Motion was made and seconded. Allows</u> <u>awarding body and general contractor or any oversight to</u> <u>tie the forms to the specific contractor. Discussion: None.</u> <u>All was in favor.</u>
- Suggestion: Add actual contract amount that was awarded and make it specifically for the contractor's amount. Comparison of \$50 vs \$50,000 to review. Beneficial for the whole contract and for the subcontractor.
- It can go into 142 immediately but 140 has to go through rule making. Do not want to make form so cumbersome either. Eric Rood - Information is available on the project notification for the general contractor but is not very specific on the dollar amounts for the specific contractors.
- Suggestion: List one occupation/craft per form. Contractor award form must be sent to apprenticeship committees that you are approved to train. Third action: adding a line that says list only one craft per form underneath the do not send to DAS line as well as changing it to gender-neutral and journeyman to journey worker.

Motion was made to add contract amount, list one occupation/craft per form changing it to gender-neutral and seconded. No more discussion. All in favor.

- DAS Deputy Chief Glen Forman advised that the attorneys have reminded him that the DAS140 is technically not a required form and if the contractor sends the information with their letterhead then that would fit the requirements.
- IV. New Business
 - None
- V. Adjournment

With there being no further business the meeting adjourned at 4:45pm