

Case Number:	CM15-0241028		
Date Assigned:	12/18/2015	Date of Injury:	07/20/2010
Decision Date:	01/25/2016	UR Denial Date:	11/13/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/10/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 7-20-2010. The injured worker is undergoing treatment for depressive disorder not otherwise specified with anxiety and psychological factors affecting medical condition. Medical records dated 10-2-2015 indicate the injured worker complains of lack of motivation, difficulty sleeping, excessive worry, panic attacks, decreased energy, difficulty thinking, weight change, shaking, nausea, short of breath, flashbacks and tension headaches. She reports better concentration, less pain, less depressed and less fatigued. Physical exam dated 10-2-2015 notes depressed facial expression, anxiety and being soft spoken. Treatment to date has included medication, activity alteration and psychological treatment. The original utilization review dated 11-13-2015 indicates the request for retrospective (10-2-2015) Seroquel 50mg #60 is certified and retrospective (10-2-2015) Temazepam 30mg #30 and retrospective (10-2-2015) Alprazolam 0.5mg #90 is modified.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Retro: Temazepam 30mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on benzodiazepines states: Benzodiazepines not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005). The chronic long-term use of this class of medication is recommended in very few conditions per the California MTUS. There is no evidence however of all failure of first line agent for the treatment of anxiety or Insomnia in the provided documentation. For this reason the request is not medically necessary.

Retro: Alprazolam 0.5mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on benzodiazepines states: Benzodiazepines not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005). The chronic long-term use of this class of medication is recommended in very few conditions per the California MTUS. There is no evidence however of all failure of first line agent for the treatment of anxiety or Insomnia in the provided documentation. For this reason the request is not medically necessary.