

Case Number:	CM15-0239507		
Date Assigned:	12/16/2015	Date of Injury:	11/12/2007
Decision Date:	01/21/2016	UR Denial Date:	12/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/08/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Indiana, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 41 year old female with a date of injury on 11-12-2007. A review of the medical records indicates that the injured worker is undergoing treatment for aggravation versus exacerbation of prior lumbar myoligamentous sprain-strain. According to the Doctor's First Report of Occupational Injury or Illness dated 11-23-2015, the injured worker complained of constant sharp pain in a band like distribution across the low back radiating to the right buttock and down the right lower extremity. She reported a flare-up while at work on 11-16-2015 when pulling pallets out of totes. The physical exam (11-23-2015) revealed tenderness to the lumbar spine. Treatment has included physical therapy and medication. A Toradol injection was given on 11-23-2015. The original Utilization Review (UR) (12-2-2015) denied requests for Celebrex and Omeprazole.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Celebrex 200mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Anti-inflammatory medications. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk, NSAIDs, hypertension and renal function, NSAIDs, specific drug list & adverse effects. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: Anti-inflammatory medications are the traditional first line treatment for pain, but COX-2 inhibitors (Celebrex) should be considered if the patient has risk of GI complications, according to MTUS. The medical documentation provided does not indicate a reason for the patient to be considered high risk for GI complications. Risk factors for GI bleeding according to ODG include: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose or multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). Additionally, the medical records do not indicate that he is undergoing treatment for any of the FDA approved uses such as osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile rheumatoid arthritis in patients 2 years and older, ankylosing spondylitis, acute pain, and primary dysmenorrhea. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Omeprazole 20mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic), NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: MTUS states "Determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)." And "Patients at intermediate risk for gastrointestinal events and no cardiovascular disease : (1) A non-selective NSAID with either a PPI (Proton Pump Inhibitor, for example, 20 mg omeprazole daily) or misoprostol (200 ug four times daily) or (2) a Cox-2 selective agent. Long-term PPI use (> 1 year) has been shown to increase the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio 1.44)." ODG states if a PPI is used, omeprazole OTC tablets or lansoprazole 24HR OTC are recommended for an equivalent clinical efficacy and significant cost savings. Products in this drug class have demonstrated equivalent clinical efficacy and safety at comparable doses, including esomeprazole (Nexium), lansoprazole (Prevacid), omeprazole (Prilosec), pantoprazole (Protonix), dexlansoprazole (Dexilant), and rabeprazole (Aciphex). (Shi, 2008) A trial of omeprazole or lansoprazole is recommended before Nexium therapy. The other PPIs, Protonix, Dexilant, and Aciphex, should also be second-line. According to the latest AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Research, all of the commercially available PPIs appeared to be similarly effective. (AHRQ, 2011) The medical documents provided do not establish the patient has having documented GI bleeding/perforation/peptic ulcer or other GI risk factors as

outlined in MTUS. Additionally, there is no evidence provided to indicate the patient suffers from dyspepsia because of the present medication regimen. As such, the request is not medically necessary.