

Case Number:	CM15-0233524		
Date Assigned:	12/09/2015	Date of Injury:	12/08/2007
Decision Date:	01/21/2016	UR Denial Date:	11/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 12-8-07. The injured worker has complaints of back and right shoulder pain. The documentation on 9-10-15 that the injured workers right shoulder demonstrates abduction to 140 degrees, forward flexion 120 degrees, external rotation 80 degrees; internal rotation 70 degrees and impingement signs are negative. The diagnoses have included failed back surgery syndrome and status post right shoulder arthroscopic subacromial decompression. Treatment to date has included extracorporeal shockwave therapy to right shoulder; home exercise program; hydrocodone; tramadol; colace; status post right arthroscopic subacromial decompression and lumbar decompression L3-4 on 6-16-05. The original utilization review (11-17-15) non-certified the request for pantoprazole 20 mg #60 and urine diagnostic study once per month #1.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Pantoprazole 20 mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Proton Pump Inhibitors.

Decision rationale: In the treatment of dyspepsia secondary to NSAID therapy, the MTUS recommends stopping the NSAID, switching to a different NSAID, or considering the use of an H2-receptor antagonist or a PPI. The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommend the use of proton pump inhibitors in conjunction with NSAIDs in situations in which the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events including: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). CPMTG guidelines further specify: "Recommendations: Patients with no risk factor and no cardiovascular disease: Non-selective NSAIDs OK (e.g, ibuprofen, naproxen, etc.) Patients at intermediate risk for gastrointestinal events and no cardiovascular disease: (1) A non-selective NSAID with either a PPI (Proton Pump Inhibitor, for example, 20 mg omeprazole daily) or misoprostol (200 mg four times daily); or (2) a Cox-2 selective agent. Long-term PPI use (> 1 year) has been shown to increase the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio 1.44). Patients at high risk for gastrointestinal events with no cardiovascular disease: A Cox-2 selective agent plus a PPI if absolutely necessary. Patients at high risk of gastrointestinal events with cardiovascular disease: If GI risk is high the suggestion is for a low-dose Cox-2 plus low dose Aspirin (for cardioprotection) and a PPI. If cardiovascular risk is greater than GI risk the suggestion is naproxyn plus low-dose aspirin plus a PPI. (Laine, 2006) (Scholmerich, 2006) (Nielsen, 2006) (Chan, 2004) (Gold, 2007) (Laine, 2007)" Per ODG TWC, "many prescribers believe that this class of drugs is innocuous, but much information is available to demonstrate otherwise. A trial of omeprazole or lansoprazole is recommended before Nexium therapy. The other PPIs, Protonix, Dexilant, and Aciphex, should also be second-line." As there is no documentation of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation, or cardiovascular disease in the records available for my review, the injured worker's risk for gastrointestinal events is low, as such, medical necessity cannot be affirmed. Furthermore, as noted per the guidelines, Protonix is a second-line medication. The medical records do not establish whether the patient has failed attempts at first line PPIs, such as omeprazole or lansoprazole, which should be considered prior to prescribing a second line PPI such as Protonix. The request is not medically necessary.

Urine Diagnostic Study once per month #1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, steps to avoid misuse/addiction.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain guidelines recommend random drug screening for patients to avoid the misuse of opioids, particularly for those at high risk of abuse. Upon review of the submitted medical records, the injured worker is not a high risk for abuse. Per MTUS CPMTG p87, "Indicators and predictors of possible misuse of controlled substances and/or addiction: 1) Adverse consequences: (a) Decreased functioning, (b) Observed intoxication, (c)

Negative affective state; 2) Impaired control over medication use: (a) Failure to bring in unused medications, (b) Dose escalation without approval of the prescribing doctor, (c) Requests for early prescription refills, (d) Reports of lost or stolen prescriptions, (e) Unscheduled clinic appointments in "distress", (f) Frequent visits to the ED, (g) Family reports of overuse of intoxication; 3) Craving and preoccupation: (a) Non-compliance with other treatment modalities, (b) Failure to keep appointments, (c) No interest in rehabilitation, only in symptom control, (d) No relief of pain or improved function with opioid therapy, (e) Overwhelming focus on opiate issues. 4) Adverse behavior: (a) Selling prescription drugs, (b) Forging prescriptions, (c) Stealing drugs, (d) Using prescription drugs in ways other than prescribed (such as injecting oral formulations), (e) Concurrent use of alcohol or other illicit drugs (as detected on urine screens), (f) Obtaining prescription drugs from non-medical sources." Per the medical records submitted for review, prior UDS were noted to have been performed 1/3/15, 2/26/15, 3/14/15, 5/7/15, and 6/4/15. In low risk worker's, testing is only recommended annually. As the injured worker does not demonstrate any indicators, nor is there any documentation of aberrant behavior, the request is not medically necessary.