

Eye Disorders

Effective Date: April 1, 2017

CONTRIBUTORS

Editor-in-Chief:

Kurt T. Hegmann, MD, MPH, FACOEM, FACP

Evidence-based Practice Eye Panel Chair:

Bernard R. Blais, MD, FAAO, FACOEM, FACS

Evidence-based Practice Eye Panel Members:

Panel members represent expertise in ophthalmology, optometry, occupational medicine, medical toxicology (preventive medicine), and law. Identities are blinded for external peer-review.

Methodology Committee Consultant:

Kurt T. Hegmann, MD, MPH, FACOEM, FACP

Research Conducted By:

Kurt T. Hegmann, MD, MPH, FACOEM, FACP Jeremy J. Biggs, MD MSPH Kristine Hegmann, MSPH, CIC Matthew A. Hughes, MD, MPH Matthew S. Thiese, PhD, MSPH Ulrike Ott, PhD, MSPH Atim C. Effiong, MPH

Atim C. Effiong, MPH Brenden Ronna

Leslie Cepeda Echeverria

Dillon Fix

Austen James Knudson Jeremiah Lafayette Dortch Zachary Cooper Arnold Alzina Koric Ninoska De Jesus

Katherine Anne Schwei

Louise Juliet

Specialty Society and Society Representative Listing:

ACOEM acknowledges the following organizations and their representatives who served as reviewers of the "Eye Disorders Guideline." Their contributions are greatly appreciated. By listing the following individuals or organizations, it does not infer that these individuals or organizations support or endorse the eye treatment guidelines developed by ACOEM. An additional organization wished to remain anonymous.

American Association of Occupational Health Nurses

Kim Olszewski, DNP, CRNP, COHN-S/CM, FAAOHN

American College of Emergency Physicians

Charles J. Gerardo, MD, MHS Richard D. Shih, MD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	5
Foreign Bodies, Rust Rings, and Corneal Abrasions	179
Traumatic Injuries	253
Viral, Bacterial, and Fungal Infections and Corneal Ulcers	269
Blepharoconjunctivitis	339
Allergic Disorders	342
Atopic and Vernal Keratoconjunctivitis	454
Chemical Burns	462
Thermal Burns	499
Pterygium	552
Appendix 1: Low-Quality Randomized Controlled Trials and Non-Randomized Studies	626
References	650

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

	Adenovirus Screening, Routine Use for Infectious Conjunctivitis	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Adenovirus Screening	Adenovirus Screening, Select Patients for Infectious Conjunctivitis	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Anesthetics, Topical	Topical Anesthetics for Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)
	Antibiotics for Bacterial Conjunctivitis and Bacterial Infections Complicating Corneal Ulcers	Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)
	Antibiotics for Blepharoconjunctivitis	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Antibiotics	Antibiotics for Viral Conjunctivitis	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antibiotics for Organic Matter Injuries	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antibiotics for Simple Corneal Abrasion, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	No Recommendation, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Antifungal Medications for Fungal Conjunctivitis and Fungal Infections Complicating Corneal Ulcers	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Antifungals	Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antifungals for Routine Prophylaxis of Simple Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

Antinon	High Molecular Weight Specific Antigens	Strongly Recommended, Evidence (A)
Antigens	Low Molecular Weight Specific Antigens	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Antihistamines	Antihistamine and/or Mast Cell Stabilization Medications for Allergic Diseases	Strongly Recommended, Evidence (A)
	Artificial Tears or Lubrication for Chemical Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Artificial Tears	Artificial Tears or Lubrication for Extensive Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Artificial Tears or Lubrication for Thermal Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Computed Tomography	CT for Evaluation of Ocular Foreign Body and Possible Orbital Fracture	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Contact Lenses	Therapeutic Contact Lens for Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Not Recommended, Evidence (C)
	Depth Perception Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)
Depth Perception	Depth Perception Screening for Preplacement Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)
Screening	Depth Perception Screening for Select Post-injury Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)
	Depth Perception Screening for Select Postoperative Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)
	Education for Allergic Conditions	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Education	Education for Potential Eye Injuries	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Epidermal Growth Factor	Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) for Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Not Recommended, Evidence (C)
Exposure Reduction	Management of Allergic Eye Symptoms without Asthma (Reduction of Exposure)	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Eye Patching for Chemical Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Eye Patching for Corneal Abrasion	Moderately Not Recommended, Evidence (B)
Eye Patching	Eye Patching for Thermal Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Eye Patching for Welder's Flash	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Foreign Body Removal	Foreign Body Removal of Superficial Foreign Body(les) with Cotton Swab, Needle or Magnet	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Glucocorticosteroids	Adjuvant Glucocorticosteroids for Bacterial Conjunctivitis and Bacterial Infections Complicating Corneal Ulcers	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Glucocorticosteroid Drops for Chemical Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

	Glucocorticosteroid Drops for Inflamed Pterygia or Pingueculae	Recommended, Evidence (C)
	Glucocorticosteroid Eye Drops for Allergic Diseases	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Glucocorticosteroids for Symptoms of Viral Conjunctivitis	No Recommendation, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Gram Stain, Potassium Iodide (KOH) Preparation, Culture and Sensitivity of Eye Infections (Routine)	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Gram Stain, KOH	Gram Stain, Potassium Iodide (KOH) preparation, Culture and Sensitivity of Eye Infections (Select Patients)	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Immunological Testing	IgG Specific Immunological Testing for High Molecular Weight Specific Antigens	Not Recommended, Evidence (C)
	Copious Irrigation for Chemical Eye Exposures	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Copious Irrigation for Removal of Superficial Foreign Body(ies)	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Irrigation	Copious Irrigation for Thermal Eye Exposures	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Irrigating Systems (e.g., Morgan Lens) for Chemical Eye Exposures	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Irrigating Systems (e.g., Morgan Lens) for Thermal Eye Exposures	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Lid Hygiene	Daily Lid Hygiene for Blepharoconjunctivitis	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Magnetic Resonance Imaging	MRI for Diagnosis of Foreign Body and Corneal Abrasion	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	Bevacizumab for Prevention of Pterygia Recurrence	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Medications, Other	Topical Aminocaproic Acid for Traumatic Hyphema	Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)
	Tranexamic Acid for Traumatic Hyphema	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Mydriatic Medications	Mydriatic Medications for Simple Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Moderately Not Recommended, Evidence (B)
	Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs for Symptoms of Viral Conjunctivitis	Not Recommended, Evidence (C)
	NSAID Drops after Removal of Rust Ring or Foreign Body Removal	Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)
NSAIDS	NSAID Drops for Chemical Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	NSAID Drops for Inflamed Pterygia or Pingueculae	Recommended, Evidence (C)
	NSAID Drops for Thermal Ocular Burns	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
	NSAID Drops for Welder's Flash	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

	NSAID Eye Drops for Allergic Diseases	Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)	
Opioids, Topical	Topical Opioids for Analgesia of Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Not Recommended, Evidence (C)	
	Protective Eyewear for Prevention of Eye Injuries	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
	Safety Glasses in Most Employment Settings	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
Protective Eyewear	Safety Goggles, Face Shields and/or Splash Guards in High-Risk Jobs for Penetrating Eye Trauma or Chemical Splashes	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)	
Rust Ring Removal	Removal of Rust Ring	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
Slit Lamp	Use of Slit Lamp and Fluorescein Stain for Evaluation and Diagnosis of Foreign Body and Corneal Abrasion	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)	
Surgery	Pterygium Excision for Pterygia	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
	Amniotic Membrane Transplantation for Chemical Ocular Burns	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
	Amniotic Membrane Transplantation with Medical Therapy for Thermal Ocular Burns	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
Transplantation	Corneal Transplantation for Blindness or Other Corneal Scarring/Defects after Chemical Eye Exposures	Strongly Recommended, Evidence (A)	
	Standalone Amniotic Membrane Transplantation for Acute Ocular Burns	No Recommendation, Insufficient Evidence (I)	
	Color Vision Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
	Color Vision Screening for Preplacement Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (C)	
	Color Vision Screening for Select Post-injury Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)	
	Color Vision Screening for Select Postoperative Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)	
Vision Screening	Peripheral Vision Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)	
	Peripheral Vision Screening for Preplacement Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)	
	Peripheral Vision Screening for Select Post-injury Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)	
	Peripheral Vision Screening for Select Postoperative Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)	
	Vision Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (C)	

	Vision Screening for Post-injury Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (I)
	Vision Screening for Postoperative Examinations Recommended, Ev	
	Vision Screening for Preplacement Examinations	Recommended, Evidence (C)
Visual Acuity Screening	Visual Acuity Screening When Evaluating Eye Conditions	Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
W	X-Ray for Evaluation for Simple Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies	Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
X-ray	X-ray for Evaluation of Ocular Foreign Bodies and Concerns about Orbital Fracture Recommended, I	

OVERVIEW

The Eye Disorders treatment guideline is designed to provide health care providers with evidence-based guidance on the treatment of working-age adults with potentially work-related eye disorders, whether acute, subacute, chronic, or postoperative. While the primary patient population target is working-age adults, the principles may apply more broadly.

This treatment guideline discusses the initial assessment and diagnosis of patients with eye injuries and disorders that are potentially work-related, identification of red flags that may suggest the presence of a serious underlying medical condition, initial management, diagnostic considerations and special studies to identify clinical pathology, work-relatedness, modified duty and activity, and return to work, as well as further management considerations including delayed recovery. Algorithms for patient management are also included and schematize how to generally manage eye disorders. This guideline does not address certain eye disorder categories such as congenital disorders or malignancies. It also does not address specific intraoperative procedures. For those patients with allergies who also have work-related asthma, the Occupational/Work-Related Asthma Guideline may be of assistance. This includes recommendations on exposure management of sensitizer-induced asthma, irritant-induced asthma, and criteria for removal from exposure.

The objectives of this guideline include baseline evaluations, diagnostic tests and imaging, return to work, medications, patching, injections, and operative procedures. Comparative effectiveness is addressed where available. To be more inclusive, this guideline includes some disorders that may not be considered work-related by certain jurisdictions. It excludes disorders that are considered to be entirely nonoccupational. It is recognized that there are differences in workers' compensation systems [1] and regional differences in treatment approaches.[2-4]

The Evidence-based Practice Eye Panel and the Research Team have complete editorial independence from the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine and Reed Group, neither of which influenced the guidelines. The literature is routinely monitored and searched at least annually for evidence that would overturn this guidance. The guideline is planned to be comprehensively updated at least every five years, or more frequently should evidence require it.

A detailed methodology document used for guideline development including evidence selection, scoring, incorporation of cost considerations,[5, 6] and formulation of recommendations is available online as a full-length document[7] and has also been summarized elsewhere.[8, 9]

The health questions for acute, subacute, chronic, and postoperative eye disorders addressed by this guideline include:

- 1. What diagnostic studies have been used for pre/placement examinations? Screening examinations?
- 2. What evidence supports the initial assessment and diagnostic approach?
- 3. What red flags signify serious underlying condition(s)?
- 4. What diagnostic approaches and special studies identify clinical pathology?
- 5. What initial treatment approaches have evidence of efficacy?
- 6. What is the evidence of work-relatedness for various diagnoses?
- 7. When is patching appropriate?
- 8. What modified duty limitations are effective and recommended?
- 9. When is return to work status recommended?
- 10. When initial treatment options fail, what evidence supports other interventions?
- 11. When and for what conditions are injections and other invasive procedures recommended?
- 12. When and for what conditions is surgery recommended?
- 13. Which surgeries are recommended for which conditions?

All evidence in the prior eye disorders guideline garnered from four databases (Cochrane, PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus) was included in this guideline. Additionally, new comprehensive searches for evidence were performed in those databases up through 2016 to help assure complete capture. There was no limit on year of publication. Search terms are listed with each table of evidence. Guidance was developed with sufficient detail to facilitate the assessment of compliance[5] and auditing/monitoring.[6] Alternative options to manage conditions are provided.

Because few studies solely evaluate patients with work-related eye disorders, studies that include different populations were used to develop the recommendations. In addition, most studies that focus on pharmaceuticals, appliances, and specific devices are industry sponsored. In certain areas, this may have made little difference as the comparisons were between the medication and placebo and the results may be stark. However, in other studies, the comparison groups may have been suboptimally treated and produced a bias in favor of the medication or device. In addition, industry-sponsored studies have been shown to frequently have better results and lower complication rates than studies conducted by independent investigators.

This guideline has undergone extensive external peer review. This guideline includes all criteria for the AGREE[6], IOM criteria[5] AMSTAR [10], [11] [12] and GRADE II [13] criteria. In accordance with the IOM's Trustworthy Guidelines, detailed records are kept, including responses to external peer reviewers.[5]

Definitions

The classifications of *acute* (<1 month), *subacute* (1 to 3 months), and *chronic* (>3 months) are used in this guideline where appropriate and are based on commonly accepted durations.

Rationales for recommendations may refer to costs, which are defined as *low* (<\$100), *moderate* (\$100-\$500), and *high* (>\$500).

Impact

Based on population-based data, it is estimated that 3.5-7.7% of the general US population does not have binocular visual correction of at least 20/50, with considerable differences based on race/ethnicity (Lee 00). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimated that 3% of adults over 40 years are either blind, have visual fields less than 20 degrees, and/or have visual impairment (20/40 or less) [14]. Approximately 16% of adults over age 40 have cataract(s), 3% are blind (20/200 or less), and 2% have glaucoma [14]. Adequacy of visual acuity is a major criterion for many jobs, and visual impairments have been associated with increased risks of injuries [15]. Color deficiencies are common but highly variable, affecting approximately 8% of the male population with European ancestry [16]. Color perception is a requisite criterion for numerous occupations; specific requirements vary widely depending on job requirements.

The workplace is a common source of ocular injury [17-20] and emergency department surveillance data indicate males in their third decade of life have the highest incidence rates (64.8% *cf* females) [21]. Eye injury claims at the largest US workers compensation insurer constituted 5% of all workers compensation claims [22]. Some permanent eye disability cases are also occupationally related. For example, disabling ocular injuries (8.5%) are reportedly the second most common injury in construction workers after low back pain (14.8%) [23].

The average cost of an occupationally-related eye injury has been estimated at \$1,463 (OSHA), although this is likely an underestimate due to inadequate inclusion of indirect costs to employers for rehiring and retraining replacement workers, the loss of productivity, reduced quality work, administrative costs, and losses to the patient and patient's family (including productivity at home).

Risk and Causation

The etiology of most ocular injuries is noncontroversial. The eye is well innervated with nociceptors (pain sensation). The mechanism of injury and onset of symptoms is thus acute, noticeable, and readily discernible. Ocular diseases are naturally more challenging, with many factors producing ocular diseases such as pterygia and cataracts (see Work-Relatedness Guideline).

Acute Trauma

Determining the work-relatedness of ocular injuries (e.g., foreign bodies, rust rings, corneal lacerations, abrasions, contusions, hyphemas, burns) is not difficult because the mechanism of injury and acuity of symptom onset generally begets a straightforward determination of work-relatedness [22, 24-46]. Chemical injuries are common [47-60].

The construction industry has many reported risks for ocular injuries [47, 61-66]. Manufacturing is also a common industry with reportedly elevated risks [47, 50, 67, 68].

Welding-related tasks constituted an estimated 8.2% of all workers' compensation claims at the largest US workers' compensation insurer, with actual welding as the most common cause of occupational eye injury (38.5%), followed by grinding (17.5%), multiple tasks (3.8%), standing/walking/observing (3.4%), cleaning/brushing (3.3%), manual material handling (2.6%), and numerous other activities [22].

Employment in that study was most commonly in manufacturing (60.7%), construction (13.7%), services (12.1%), and wholesale/retail trade (5.9%).

Eyewear is believed to be strongly protective for eye injuries, although quality studies are sparse (likely largely due to the ease of implementation of eyewear programs) [26, 68-77]. Barriers to eyewear usage and/or injury reportedly include younger age [78], lack of comfort/fit [79], fogging [79], scratching of the eyewear [79], being rushed [80], fatigue [80], faulty equipment [80], foreign workforces [56, 81-84], and lack of safety training [78, 79, 85]. A case-crossover trial found unfamiliar work to be a considerable risk for ocular injury [80]. An ecological study found an inverse relationship between unemployment conditions and risks of report of ocular injury [86].

Enucleation is a sequellae of severe work-related eye injuries [24, 87]. A university-based case series reported occupational causes in 13.5% of cases and motor vehicle crashes in 13.5% of cases [24]. Open globe injuries are similarly reported to commonly arise from occupational injuries [27, 32, 40, 56, 63, 75, 88-95].

Welder's Flash (Photokeratitis)

Acute, unprotected ultraviolet radiation exposures (UV-A, UV-B) are known to burn the cornea and conjunctiva [96-101]. Welding is the most commonly reported exposure. Other reported examples include ultraviolet lamps for poultry abattoir disinfection [102], germicidal medical lamps [103], and damaged protective covers on mercury vapor lamps [104].

Pterygia

The worldwide prevalence of pterygia is estimated at 10%. Men have an approximately 7% higher risk for pterygia compared with women [105, 106]. For individuals in their 40s to 60s, the risk for pterygia approximately doubles [105]. Cigarette smoking is estimated to *reduce* risk of pterygia by 18% [107]. Conjunctival tumors are more common among farmers compared with controls [108]. Outdoor activity has been associated with 76% higher risk of pterygia [105, 109-116]. There is a 3.6-fold higher risk of pterygia among those living at latitudes of 0°-10° compared with those at 40°-50° [105]. Other reported risks include alcohol [117], low educational status [117-119], high systolic blood pressure [120], dry eyes [117, 119], not using sunglasses [117, 119], not using a hat [117, 119], light complexion [110], and dark complexion [112]. Use of sunglasses has been estimated to reduce risk up to 5.6-fold [110].

Retinal Laser-Induced Damage

Lasers are highly variable in their intensity and ability to damage tissue [121-123]. Reports include associated retinal and other ocular damage [124-133] among military [134-136] and commercial pilots [137, 138].

Cataracts

A cataract is a lens opacity that obscures vision. Cataracts are typically subdivided according to their anatomic location (i.e., nuclear, cortical, posterior subcapsular) and severity (size and intensity) of visual impairments by various classification systems [139-148]. The different anatomic locations may occur simultaneously in one patient. Elderly individuals are most susceptible to nuclear cataracts, whereas younger patients are more susceptible to posterior subcapsular cataracts.

Age is a robust risk factor for cataracts [149-162], with National Health Interview Survey data suggesting that individuals older than 75 years have a 10-fold greater risk compared with young adults [163]. Low educational status is a risk for cataracts [163]. Genetic factors are reported risks [164-167].

Age-related and cortical cataracts have been associated with increased carbohydrate intake and glycemic index [168]. Microvascular retinal changes associated with hypertension reportedly predict the risk of nuclear cataracts [169], as does hypertensive status [170]. Diabetes mellitus increases cataract risk by approximately 67-80% [149, 163, 170-173]. Oral hypoglycemic agents and insulin have been associated with 2-fold and 3.4-fold increased risks, respectively, which appear to be markers for diabetes rather than additional independent risks [166]. Use of glucocorticosteroids also increases risk [166, 174].

Smoking and alcohol have both reportedly increased risk of cataracts [177]. Obesity has been found to increase the risk of age-related cataracts, particularly posterior subcapsular cataracts [166, 175]. Lipids have been associated with increased risk [171]. Statins have been found to reduce the risk of nuclear cataracts by 29% [171] and cataract extractions by 34% [176]. Kidney disease is a reported risk for cataracts [160].

Aspirin and thiazide diuretic use have been associated with reduced risk of cataracts [166]. Dietary lutein and zeaxanthin have been found to reduce the risk of cataracts [178]. Dietary but not supplemental vitamin E has been associated with a reduced risk of age-related cataracts [179, 180], although reductions of 9-60% in cataract risk associated with multivitamin use have been reported [181, 182]. Glutathione S-transferases polymorphisms have been associated with cataracts [183]. Cataracts have been associated with subsequent age-related maculopathy [150], as well as elevated mortality [170, 184, 185].

Ultraviolet (UV) radiation, especially UVB, has been associated with cataracts [186-189]. This risk may be limited to cortical cataracts [190-192]. Steelworkers and other open hearth workers exposed to heat on the job may have an increased risk of cataracts [193-195]. Airline pilots and astronauts are reportedly at increased risk [196, 197]. A large cohort study suggested that all three types of cataracts were interestingly less common in rural residents than urban or suburban residents [198].

Cataracts may be associated with acute exposures to radiation of 2 Grays [199, 200]. Chronic cumulative exposures above 1 Gray are associated with cortical but not nuclear cataracts [201]. Healthcare workers exposed to ionizing radiation are also reportedly at increased risk of cataracts [202-205]. Work with trinitrotoluene has been associated with cataracts [206].

Post-traumatic cataracts occur, although there is no classification system for these more heterogeneous cataracts. The outcomes are more varied, largely because of the diversity and severity of causes [207-209]. Prospective cohort data suggest that a recalled history of ocular injury was associated with increased risk of posterior subcapsular and cortical cataracts [210].

General Approach and Basic Principles

The principal recommendations for assessing and treating patients with eye symptoms are as follows:

• The initial assessment focuses on detecting indicators of potentially serious injury or disease, termed red flags, which require urgent assessment and treatment as indicated.

- The foci for the treatment of patients with eye symptoms include optimal medical care, monitoring for complications, facilitating the healing process, assisting stay at work or early return to work in a modified or full-duty capacity, and surgical intervention(s) when indicated.
- Patients recovering from eye problems may usually stay at work or consider early return to modified work as their condition permits.
- Occupational factors should be addressed when the disorder is believed to be caused by work.
- Prevention measures should be addressed when the injury or disorder has a means of ready prevention.
- Nonphysical factors (e.g., psychosocial, workplace, or socioeconomic problems) should be addressed
 in an effort to resolve delayed recovery (see Cornerstones of Disability Prevention and Management).

This guideline addresses the following eye injuries and disorders that may be encountered by health care providers.

Blunt Trauma: Ocular contusions are caused by blunt trauma to the eye or periorbital structures that may cause contusion of the globe and/or periorbita. Although there may be no symptoms, most patients have local pain, visual loss, diplopia, or a red eye. The clinician may observe any of the following: eyelid ecchymosis, corneal edema, subconjunctival hemorrhage, hyphema, reduced visual acuity, abnormal visual fields, lens dislocation, lens subluxation, retinal tears, retinal edema, retinal detachment, and/or restricted ocular motion (e.g., if extraocular muscles are trapped in a blowout fracture).

Retrobulbar Hemorrhage: A retrobulbar hemorrhage may increase the pressure on the globe such that the intraocular pressure may become greater than the perfusion pressure of the eye, leading to total ischemia of the retina. A relaxing incision at the lateral canthus must be completed within 10 minutes of the rise in IOP or the eye may be irreversibly damaged secondary to the high IOP.

Orbital Floor Fractures: Orbital floor fractures are susceptible to causing diplopia, which may or may not resolve without surgery [183, 211-215]. The initial treatment foci are on understanding the mechanisms of diplopia and enophthalmos in orbital floor fractures, the best way to evaluate a patient, and the best way to restore maximal function and appearance [215].

Diplopia caused by orbital floor blowout fractures is one of the major complications of orbital injuries. However, diplopia may also resolve without surgery. When ongoing vertical movement of the eye is impaired, surgery is indicated and is performed after complete resolution of orbital hemorrhage and edema. The maximal time before the first surgical procedure is often considered to be 2 weeks [216], and waiting is particularly indicated when there has been some improvement in diplopia over the first week. Better prognoses for non-surgical management include lack of diplopia, lack of entrapment of muscle, lack of enophthalmos, and lack of marked hypo-ophthalmos. Nonresolving oculocardiac reflex, the "white-eyed" blowout fracture, and early enophthalmos or hypoglobus are indications for immediate surgical repair. Surgery within 2 weeks is recommended in cases of symptomatic diplopia with positive forced ductions and evidence of orbital soft tissue entrapment on computed tomographic (CT) scan or large orbital floor fractures that may cause latent enophthalmos or hypo-ophthalmos [183, 211-215].

Hyphema: Traumatic hyphema involves an acute, most often blunt, injury sufficient to produce blood behind the cornea in the aqueous humor. Complications of traumatic hyphema include increased intraocular pressure, peripheral anterior synechiae, optic atrophy, corneal blood staining, secondary

hemorrhage, and accommodative impairment. The reported incidence of secondary anterior chamber hemorrhage, i.e., rebleeding, in the setting of traumatic hyphema ranges from 0 to 38%. The risk of secondary hemorrhage may be higher among Black/African Americans than among whites. Secondary hemorrhage is generally thought to convey a worse visual prognosis, although the outcome may depend more directly on the size of the hyphema and the severity of associated ocular injuries. Some issues involved in managing a patient with hyphema are using various medications (e.g., cycloplegics, systemic or topical steroids, antifibrinolytic agents, analgesics, and antiglaucoma medications), the patient's activity level, use of a patch and shield, outpatient versus inpatient management, and medical versus surgical management. Special considerations are widely accepted in managing children, patients with hemoglobinopathies (e.g., hemoglobin S), and patients with hemophilia). It is important to identify and treat ocular injuries that often accompany traumatic hyphema. Consider the following general recommendations:

- 1. Advise routine use of topical cycloplegics and corticosteroids, consider systemic antifibrinolytic agents or corticosteroids, and use a rigid shield.
- Recommend activity restriction (quiet ambulation). If compliance (with medication use or activity restrictions), follow-up, or increased risk for complications (e.g., history of sickle cell disease or hemophilia) is a concern, inpatient management may be needed.
- Indications for surgical intervention include the presence of corneal blood staining or dangerously increased IOP despite maximum tolerated medical therapy, among others.

Thermal Burns of the Eye: Thermal burns of the eye are caused by exposure to hot gases, liquids, or solids. Unless there is local contact only with the eye, the periocular structures are typically also involved. Damage may range from superficial burns of the lids and surrounding structures to superficial destruction of the cornea, conjunctiva, or sclera, to greater destruction including exposure of the globe. If damage exceeds superficial burns of the lids and surrounding structures, prompt intervention by a specialist is imperative.

Electromagnetic Radiation Injury to the Eye: Patients with electromagnetic radiation injuries to the eye may have no initial symptoms. Severe cases may show a marked decrease in central visual acuity, but there may be severe delayed consequences. Depending on the exact electromagnetic spectrum, the symptoms or signs may be localized to the anterior segment, lens, retina, and choroid. These types of injuries may cause scarring of the cornea or retina or cataracts. Visual field disorders also may result from damage to the retina or choroid. Burns from the blue end of the visible spectrum and ultraviolet A are discussed under nonionizing radiation exposure.

Chemical Burns: Toxic substances often begin to cause damage immediately upon contact with ultrasensitive eye tissues. Damage is related to the substance's properties, concentration, duration of exposure and speed of irrigation. Aside from general tissue damage, acids and alkalis can change the pH in the eye itself. From this detrimental change, severe eye damage, including blindness, may result. A history of significant chemical exposure is an emergency, and examination should be delayed until after the eye is flushed to dilute the chemical (see Chemical Burns below). It is imperative that emergency flushing begin immediately. To ensure the best chances for a minimal amount of eye damage, correct emergency equipment, proper placement, and knowledge of its use are necessary. The requirements governing medical services and first aid are covered in OSHA 1910.151(a)(b), whereas ANSI Z-358.1, Emergency Eyewash and Shower Equipment, provides guidance. At the site, water is the initial dilution

agent to flush the eye or body. Subsequently, an isotonic saline or balanced Ringer's solution is preferred and should be used, if available (otherwise, use sterile intravenous fluids), until a tear pH of about 7 is obtained after ceasing irrigation for approximately 10 minutes. Proper flushing usually takes at least 15 minutes, but can take as long as 24 hours.

Irrigation technique. ANSI Z-358.1, Emergency Eyewash and Shower Equipment, identifies guidance for having the facilities to dilute a chemical within 10 seconds of undergoing an industrial eye chemical hazard. Once at the site of an industrial injury, emergency medical personnel or first responders may resolve pain and blepharospasm by applying a topical ophthalmic anesthetic (proparacaine hydrochloride). If needed, the interpalpebral fissure may be widened by means of a lid retractor (e.g., Demarres). The eye should be irrigated directly with isotonic saline, Ringer's lactate or other ocular solutions. A contact lens should be removed to facilitate irrigation of the eyeball. The irrigation is not completed until the upper lid is double everted so that all cul-de-sacs (recesses) of the conjunctiva are thoroughly irrigated and visualized. Irrigation should continue until the conjunctival secretions show a consistent pH of approximately 7 after ceasing irrigation for 10 minutes.

Contact lenses. In the event of a contact lens, remove contact lenses as soon as practical. Do not delay irrigation while waiting for contact lens removal because the lens may come out with the irrigation or can be removed when irrigation is complete. Contact lenses adhere to the cornea and sometimes the paralimbal conjunctiva, depending on the type, and they have been shown to protect the cornea and/or conjunctiva beneath the lens. However, they do not fulfill the requirements of PPE. If a contact lens has not been washed out during the irrigation, it(they) may be removed following completion of irrigation.

Alkali burns. Alkali burns of the eye typically cause pain initially and may have disastrous consequences if not treated immediately. Alkali exposure can cause corneal ulceration or conjunctival, scleral, and/or anterior segment degeneration that is manifested as a blanched or "marbleized" appearance. The cornea may become opacified. The diagnosis is usually based on a history of exposure to alkaline chemicals, but occasionally testing the pH of tears or residual liquid is required. Immediate and copious irrigation should be performed. Irrigation in most cases should be continued until the patient is seen by the ophthalmologist on an emergency referral basis. The primary exception is a very minor amount of mildly alkaline material that may be addressable without ophthalmological evaluation. A casual examination of the eye may reveal that the globe is white because there is severe ischemia of the conjunctiva or episcleral vessels, a finding that would be noted during a slit-lamp examination.

Acid burns. Acid burns of the eye, caused by acid splashes or vapors, may have immediate effects of corneal erosion, corneal necrosis, and decreased visual acuity unless irrigation is accomplished immediately. In patients with acid burns, the eye appears inflamed immediately, unlike alkali burns, where the eye typically appears white due to necrosis of the superficial ocular vessels. Delayed effects are unusual in patients with acid burns, although hydrofluoric (HF) acid burns are the exception.

Hydrofluoric acid burns. Hydrofluoric acid causes delayed tissue destruction out of proportion to the apparent exposure. With an HF acid concentration of less than 20%, the onset of symptoms may be delayed up to 24 hours. With high concentrations, symptoms may begin relatively quickly. The patient's main complaint is severe eye pain out of proportion to the apparent exposure. HF acid penetrates tissue remarkably well and causes deep as well as superficial necrosis. HF acid exposure must be treated immediately with copious irrigation with water or isotonic saline solution for 5 minutes and then by calcium gluconate 1% solution or Ringer's lactate solution providing Ca2+ and Mg+ atoms to the cell

replacing the Ca⁺⁺ and Mg⁺⁺ atoms that were incorporated into insoluble calcium and magnesium fluoride molecules. Immediate referral to an ophthalmologist after emergency care is recommended while calcium gluconate is irrigated into the eye.

Corneal Ulceration: Corneal ulcers are considered an ophthalmologic emergency. They may result in permanent visual impairment. They may be bacterial, viral, fungal, or parasitic in origin and may occur following corneal lacerations, abrasions, and intrusion of foreign bodies. They may result from poorly fitted or inadequately cleaned contact lenses. Patients with corneal ulcers present with complaints of changes in visual acuity, photophobia and/or eye pain, tearing, and a sensation that a foreign body is in the eye. The presence of corneal ulcers can be determined by direct visualization, but magnified viewing with fluorescein staining is needed to completely rule out their presence.

Open Globe Eye Injury: Direct trauma to the eye from high-velocity objects can cause laceration or perforation of the globe. The trauma can be perforating or penetrating. Patients with damage to the integrity of the globe can present with decreased visual acuity, local pain, and bleeding. The cardinal sign is distortion of the globe with loss of tension or IOP; the pupil is not round, but rather is distorted and/or nonreactive. In addition, ecchymosis or other signs of damage to periorbital structures are usually evident. The clinician may observe subconjunctival hemorrhage, distortion of the iris or pupil, or herniation of the iris through the cornea. There also may be retinal damage. The injured eye should be protected with a metallic or plastic shield. Transfer by stretcher is recommended.

Initial Care

The principal recommendations for initial assessment and approach to the treatment of patients with eye injuries and disorders are as follows:

- Initial assessment should focus on detecting indications of potentially serious ocular pathology, termed red flags, and determining an accurate diagnosis. For these purposes, red flags are defined as a sign or symptom of a potentially serious condition indicating that further definitive care, support, consultation and/or specialized treatment may be necessary.
- In the absence of red flags, eye disorders may be safely and effectively treated in experienced primary care settings. Conservative treatment should generally proceed for 48 to 72 hours for superficial foreign bodies, corneal abrasions, conjunctivitis, and ultraviolet radiation burns. Normally, eye tissues heal rapidly. If eye damage is not well on the way to resolution within 48 to 72 hours, additional care and/or referral is indicated particularly if the provider is inexperienced with more complex care. Nonspecific eye disorders are often monitored for considerably longer periods of time while evaluations, ergonomic and other adjustments are made. The foci are on providing the most effective treatment(s), monitoring for complications, facilitating the healing process, and determining fitness for return to work in a modified- or full-duty capacity.
- Corneal discomfort can be relieved with a topically applied ophthalmic nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) or an oral analgesic. Intramuscular or intravenous opioids are rarely
 needed, typically for some severe ocular/face injuries. Topical anesthetics are generally avoided
 other than diagnosis or brief treatment because they may obscure worsening pathology and
 thus inadvertently cause further injury.
- Visual acuity should be assessed and documented carefully at each examination prior to other
 examinations or treatment, except for cases of chemical burns where immediate copious
 irrigation should be administered without delay.

 Patients recovering from acute eye injury or infection should be encouraged to return to modified work as their condition permits.

Nonphysical factors, such as psychosocial, workplace, or socioeconomic problems, should be addressed in an effort to resolve delayed recovery.

INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Presenting Symptoms

The patient will typically present with either: (i) an acute injury or event or (ii) an ocular disease. Acute injury or events generally have fairly simple mechanisms of injury that often beget a straightforward treatment approach (e.g., immediate irrigation for a chemical splash). If immediate treatment is not required, then a careful history and physical examination will commence to identify the most likely diagnosis of the patient's symptoms and signs.

History

Information obtained from a careful history and examination directs the approach to management. This section is separated into history elements for acute, ocular injury and for ocular diseases. However, it is recognized that there are many cases where both sets of questions are needed.

Elements of the History of Ocular Injury

While a detailed, accurate history is essential in all injuries, it is especially important to obtain a detailed history of an ocular injury because incorrect or misleading information may lead to blindness. Such information may be obtained from a variety of sources, including the patient, the first responder(s), and others involved in or associated with the accident. Information for acute trauma should include the four Ws:

- 1. Where: Location of the accident
- 2. When: Time and date
- 3. Who: Other individuals involved
- 4. What: A detailed description of the accident circumstances, including force and load. If chemical exposure was involved, seek available Safety Data Sheet (SDS) information. Critical data include:
 - i. What chemical (SDS information‡)
 - ii. Type of chemical (alkali, acid, solvent)
 - iii. Type of exposure (liquids, solids, fumes)
 - iv. Dose of exposure
 - v. pH of the material
 - vi. Concentration of the material
 - vii. Solubility of the material
 - viii. Contact time
- 5. Emergency medical care provided by first responder(s), with information from:
 - i. Product manufacturer
 - ii. Availability of chemical data
 - iii. Safety Data Sheets
 - iv. Regional poison control center
 - v. Internet

Elements of the History of Ocular Diseases

Asking open-ended questions generally allows the clinician to assess the primary focus for the visit, diagnose the condition more accurately, and identify a preferred treatment approach.

- 1. What are your symptoms?
 - a. Are you experiencing pain? Sensitivity to light? Blurry vision? Loss of vision? Headache?
 - b. Is your problem located primarily in the eye or near the eye? Do you have pain or other symptoms elsewhere? Nose? Sinus? Throat? Ear? Head?
 - c. Are your symptoms constant? Intermittent?
 - d. What makes the problem worse or better?
- 2. How do these symptoms limit you?
 - a. How long can you look at something?
 - b. Can you see clearly?
- 3. When did your current limitations begin?
 - a. How long has your vision been limited? More than a day or two?
 - b. Have your symptoms changed? How?
- 4. Have you had similar episodes previously?
- 5. Have you had any previous testing or treatment? With whom?
- 6. What do you think caused the problem?
- 7. What are your specific job duties? How long do you spend performing each duty?
- 8. Do you have other medical problems? Diabetes? High blood pressure? Glaucoma?
- 9. What do you hope to accomplish during this visit?

The onset of a red eye, duration of the redness, and clinical course should be noted to help to distinguish the causative agents (see Table 1). The patient's chief complaint often identifies or suggests the cause of the red eye. For example, itching may signify allergies. A scratchy or burning sensation suggests lid, conjunctival, or corneal disorders, including foreign bodies, in-turning eyelashes, and dry eyes. Localized lid pain or tenderness is a common presenting complaint of a stye or an acute chalazion of the lid.

Deep, non-localizing, intense, aching pain may reflect disorders such as iritis, or acute glaucoma, as well as sinusitis, cluster headache, or ocular migraine. Photophobia suggests problems arising from the anterior segment of the eye, such as corneal abrasions, iritis, and acute glaucoma. A halo effect around lights is a sign of corneal edema commonly seen in acute glaucoma. Individuals who have corneal edema associated with contact lens wear may also experience halo vision.

Table 1. Symptoms of Red Eye

Symptom	Acute Glaucoma	Acute Iridocyclitis	Keratitis	Bacterial Conjunctivitis	Viral Conjunctivitis	Allergic Conjunctivitis
Blurred vision	3	1-2	3	0	0	0
Pain	2-3	2	2	0	0	0
Photophobia	1	3	3	0	0	0
Colored halos	2	0	0	0	0	0

Exudation	0	0	0-3	3	2	1
Itching	0	0	0	0	0	2-3

Note: The range of severity of the symptom is indicated by 0 (absent) to 3 (severe).

Modified from Bradford CA, ed. Basic Ophthalmology. 7th ed. San Francisco, Calif: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 1999.

Red Flags

For potentially occupationally-related eye injuries, the mechanism of injury usually provides the most important information regarding the potential for a "red flag" (see Table 2). Potentially serious eye conditions are listed below. Depending on the provider's training and experience in dealing with the particular disorder, early consultation with an eye specialist may be needed.

In general, sudden onset of loss of vision, loss of visual acuity, photophobia, flashing lights, painful eye, and trauma are all red flags. Other red flags include systemic symptoms such as loss of function of the face, a hand, or a leg; speech alterations; accompanying new headache; and scalp tenderness.

Table 2. Red Flags for Potentially Serious Eye Conditions Requiring Immediate Ophthalmologic Examination

Disorder	Medical History	Physical Examination
Ocular injury, open globe	 Trauma due to high-velocity foreign-body injury Visual loss Bleeding Local pain 	 Visible foreign body in globe; deformity of globe Loss of globe pressure Distorted pupil and/or iris Subconjunctival hemorrhage
Ocular injury, closed globe	Direct blowVisual lossDiplopia	 Eyelid ecchymosis Subconjunctival hemorrhage Vitreous hemorrhage Lens dislocation Retinal edema and/or tear Decreased visual acuity Hyphema Retrobulbar hemorrhage Extraocular motion deviation
Thermal burns	 Exposure of eyes to hot material/extreme heat Superficial eye pain Photophobia 	 Burns of lids and/or surrounding structures Damage to cornea, conjunctiva, and/or sclera Decreased visual acuity
Radiation injury	 Exposure of eyes to ultraviolet, laser, or bright light Delayed severe superficial eye pain (4-6 hours) Tearing Photophobia 	 Blepharospasm Tearing Corneal punctate staining and/or sloughing of epithelium Retinal damage
Chemical burns	Alkali, acid, solvent splashPainless visual loss	 Corneal erosion Conjunctival chemosis Necrosis of anterior segment of tissues and vessels Decreased visual acuity

		 Circumcorneal vascular ischemia Necrosis of cornea and/or conjunctiva Glaucoma
Hydrofluoric (HF) acid burns	HF acid splashDelayed damage	Necrosis of cornea and/or conjunctivaDecreased visual acuity
Corneal ulcer	 Abrasion or infection Superficial pain Foreign-body sensation Photophobia Visual loss 	 Corneal infiltrates and ulcers Decreased visual acuity Ulceration on slit-lamp exam and fluorescein staining

Examination

The eye examination differs somewhat based on whether the presenting problem is an acute, discrete injury or an occupational disease (including red eye not due to trauma).

A comprehensive examination is preferred in patients with ocular diseases. A more abbreviated and focused examination is typically initially performed for obvious, acute injuries. At a minimum, a visual acuity assessment is performed prior to any treatment. The main exception is with chemical injuries, where immediate irrigation is mandated.

Ocular Examination for Eye Injury

For chemical exposures, this examination occurs after decontamination or while it is in progress, if that is feasible. Otherwise, initial ocular (visual) screening is extremely useful as the initial test of choice.

The examination of the injured eye should include the following:

- 1. Visual acuity (each eye separately) with best correction or pinhole
- 2. Inspection of the ocular structure (If an open globe is suspected, no pressure should be exerted on the globe.)
- 3. Position of the eyes and eye movements (six cardinal positions) if the globe is intact
- 4. Examination of the pupils for size and reaction to light
- 5. Gross visual fields by confrontation
- 6. Ophthalmoscopy
- 7. Intraocular pressure (IOP) determination if the globe is intact
- 8. Injury to lid(s) or other adnexal structures

It is important for make immediate referrals to the closest specialist when eye injuries exceed the treating provider's capability. Make the patient comfortable (with intravenous analgesics, if necessary) and protect the eye from further injury by applying a rigid Fox shield or equivalent. Depending on the type of injury, transport the patient on a stretcher.

How to Examine for Ocular Disease, including Red Eye

Visual complaints from diseases, including red eye, are initially evaluated with a visual acuity chart, a penlight (slit lamp preferred), a tonometer, a sterile fluorescein dye strip, topical anesthetic drops, and an ophthalmoscope. Many clinics use a vision screening device screener, a noncontact "puff" tonometer, and a slit lamp or biomicroscope. A systematic approach to the examination is recommended, beginning by examining the face, orbital area, and lids and ending with a close view of the eyeball. The preferred method for examining the eyeball is with a slit-lamp biomicroscope and the

ophthalmoscope.

The American Academy of Ophthalmology specifies nine diagnostic steps to use when evaluating a patient with a red eye (Bradford):

- 1. Determine whether visual acuity is normal or decreased using a Snellen chart or (preferred) ETDRS chart at 20 feet or 6 meters, or the 1 meter ETDRS chart if required.
- 2. Inspect the pattern of redness present and determine whether it is due to subconjunctival hemorrhage, conjunctival hyperemia, ciliary flush, or a combination of these.
- 3. Ascertain the presence of conjunctival discharge and categorize it as to amount (profuse or scant) and character (purulent, mucopurulent, serous, or hemorrhagic).
- 4. Identify opacities of the cornea, including large keratitic precipitates, or irregularities of the corneal surface, such as corneal edema, corneal leukoma (a white opacity caused by scar tissue), and irregular corneal reflection. Conduct the examination using a slit lamp biomicroscope, or at least penlight and transilluminator. Biomicroscopy is the practice standard.
- 5. Search for disruption of the full thickness of the corneal epithelium by staining the cornea with fluorescein. Search for a lack of corneal epithelium vitality by staining with rose bengal.
- 6. Use a slit lamp (biomicroscope) to estimate the depth of the anterior chamber as normal or shallow and to detect any microscopic blood or white blood cells, which would indicate either hyphema or hypopyon, respectively. (A hypopyon is indicated by the presence of protein and white blood cells in the anterior chamber [e.g., when a corneal ulcer is present] and a hyphema is indicated by protein and red blood cells in the anterior chamber. These typically "layer" out in the inferior cornea.)
- 7. Detect irregularity of the pupils and determine whether one pupil is larger than the other.

 Observe the reactivity of the pupils to light to determine whether one pupil is more sluggish than the other or is nonreactive.
- 8. Determine whether the intraocular pressure is high, normal, or low by performing tonometry. This is especially important if acute angle closure glaucoma is suspected. (Tonometry is contraindicated when external infection or lack of globe integrity is obvious.)
- 9. Detect the presence of proptosis, lid malfunction, or any limitations of eye movement.

Methods of Testing

Visual Acuity: Quantitative Bilateral Tests. Acuity is measured at infinity (as a minimum) and near and intermediate distances (based on job description) and is performed with and without corrective devices (e.g., glasses or contact lenses) and without removing other corrective devices (e.g., intraocular lenses).

Slit-Lamp Biomicroscopy. Slit-lamp examination is the standard method of examining the eye. The slit lamp uses intense illumination and magnification. The general findings noted in a slit-lamp examination (biomicroscope) and their clinicopathologic correlations appear at the end of this Guideline under "Additional Resources."

How to Interpret the Findings of Red Eye. The associated signs and symptoms (see Tables 1 and 3) of various disorders overlap to some extent. Although many conditions may cause a red eye, several signs and symptoms signal greater concerns. The presence of one or more of these signals (i.e., a red flag) alerts the physician that the patient may have a disorder requiring definitive care that often includes referral if the examiner has insufficient experience with that particular condition. See Table 4 for differential diagnosis.

Table 3. Signs of Red Eye

Symptom	Referral Advisable if Present	Acute Glaucoma	Acute Iridocyclitis	Keratitis	Bacterial Conjunctivitis	Viral Conjunctivitis	Allergic Conjunctivitis
Ciliary Flush	Yes	1	2	3	0	0	0
Conjunctival Hyperemia	No	2	2	2	3	2	1
Corneal Opacification	Yes	3	0	1-3	0	0-1	0
Corneal Epithelial Disruption	Yes	0	0	1-3	0	0-1	0
Pupillary Abnormalities	Yes	Mid-dilated, nonreactive	Small; may be irregular	Normal or small	0	0	0
Shallow Anterior Chamber Depth	Yes	3	0	0	0	0	0
Elevated Intra- Ocular Pressure	Yes	3	-2 to +1	0	0	0	0
Proptosis	Yes	0	0	0	0		0
Discharge	No	0	0	Sometime s	2-3	2	1
Preauricular Lymph Node Enlargement	No	0	0	0	0	1	0

Note: The range of severity of the symptom is indicated by 0 (absent) to 3 (severe).

Modified from Bradford CA, ed. Basic Ophthalmology. 7th ed. San Francisco, Calif: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 1999.

Table 4. Differential Diagnosis – Red Eye

Acute angle-closure glaucoma	A form of glaucoma due to sudden and complete occlusion of the anterior chamber angle by iris tissue.	Uncommon, serious (The more common chronic open-angle glaucoma causes no redness of the eye.)
Iritis or iridocyclitis	An inflammation of the iris alone or of the iris and ciliary body; often manifested by ciliary flush.	Serious
Herpes simplex keratitis	An inflammation of the cornea caused by the herpes simplex virus.	Common, potentially serious; can lead to corneal ulceration
Conjunctivitis	Hyperemia of the conjunctival blood vessels; may be bacterial, viral, allergic, or irritative.	Common, often not serious
Episcleritis	An inflammation (often sectorial) of the episclera (the vascular layer between the conjunctiva and the sclera), without discharge; possibly allergic, occasionally painful	Uncommon, not serious

Modified from Berson FG. Basic Ophthalmology for Medical Students and Primary Care Residents. 6th ed. San Francisco, Calif: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 1993.

¥ Fluorescein, applied primarily as a 2% alkaline solution and with impregnated paper strips, is used to examine the integrity of the conjunctival and corneal epithelia. Defects in the corneal epithelium will appear green in ordinary light and bright yellow when a cobalt blue filter is used in the light path. Similar lesions of the conjunctiva appear bright orange or yellow in ordinary illumination. Fluorescein also has been used in the fitting of rigid contact lenses, although it cannot be used for soft lenses, which absorb the dye. Prepared sterile ophthalmic strips are used diagnostically for staining the anterior segment of the eye when: 1) delineating a corneal injury, herpetic ulcer, or foreign body; 2) determining the site of an intraocular injury; 3) fitting contact lenses; 4) making the fluorescein test to ascertain postoperative closure of a sclerocorneal (also referred to as corneoscleral) wound in delayed anterior chamber re-formation; and 5) making the lacrimal drainage test. Avoid using fluorescein while the patient is wearing soft contact lenses because the lenses may become stained. Whenever fluorescein is used, flush the eyes with sterile normal saline solution and wait at least 1 hour before replacing the lenses. Rose Bengal Ophthalmic Strips are particularly useful for demonstrating abnormal conjunctival or corneal epithelium; devitalized cells stain bright red, whereas normal cells show no change; the abnormal epithelial cells present in dry eye disorders are effectively revealed by this stain).

± A slit lamp features an oblique (condensed) illumination and a magnifying system. With refinements, this system is used in current slit lamps. All detail is seen by the viewer by reflected light. Substances that do not reflect light are not visible; they are termed optically empty, such as normal tears and the aqueous humor. Structures that transmit light, but can be seen in the beam, are termed reluctant, such as the cornea, lens, and vitreous. Structures that do not transmit light are opaque. The examiner must use special techniques for illumination and focusing that enhance the examination. The methods include: 1) diffuse illumination; 2) direct or focal illumination (the most useful and important type of slit-lamp illumination, whereby tissues such as the cornea are seen as an optical section or a block of tissue known as a parallelepiped); 3) retro-illumination, where the area is being illuminated by reflected rays (e.g., a corneal foreign body or corneal ulcer); and 4) indirect illumination.

DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH

If the patient does not have red flags for serious conditions, the clinician may then determine which other eye disorder is present. The criteria presented in Figure 1 follow the clinical thought process from the mechanism of illness or injury to unique symptoms and signs of a particular disorder and finally to test results, if any tests were needed to guide treatment at this stage.

Several symptoms and signs are common to a number of eye injuries or disorders (see Tables 1 and 3). Therefore, accurate diagnosis depends on linking the mechanism of injury or pathogenesis, symptoms, signs, and findings of the eye examination with findings on magnification and, if necessary, with fluorescein staining of the eye. In the following lists, an asterisk (*) after a symptom or sign indicates a red flag.

Special Studies and Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations

Special studies are not generally indicated during the first 2 to 3 days of treatment, except for in red flag conditions. Most patients with eye problems improve quickly once any red flag issues are ruled out. The clinical history and physical findings generally are adequate to diagnose the problem and provide treatment. If the patient's limitations due to eye symptoms, other than nonspecific symptoms, do not improve in 3 to 5 days, reassessment is recommended. After again reviewing the patient's limitations, history, and physical findings, the clinician may consider referral for further diagnostic studies and discuss these options with the patient. For patients with limitations after 3 to 5 days and unexplained physical findings, such as localized pain or visual disturbance, referral may be indicated to clarify the diagnosis and assist recovery.

Selection of Special Studies

Radiography of the globe may be indicated if the patient's history indicates the possibility of injury by a penetrating high-speed radiopaque foreign body. Ultrasonography can be used to locate non- and radiopaque foreign bodies. Computed tomographic (CT) scan of the orbit may be indicated in cases of significant blunt trauma and associated fractures at the time of initial evaluation and treatment. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is never indicated when there may be a possibility of a metallic foreign body. Table 5 compares (generally) the abilities of different techniques to identify physiologic insult and define anatomic injury.

Table 5. Ability of Various Techniques to Identify and Define Ocular Pathology

Technique	Identify Physiologic Insult	Identify Anatomic Defect
History	+++	+
Physical examination, including visual acuity testing and fundoscopy	++++	++++
Fluorescein staining	0	++++
Slit-lamp examination	0	++++
Tonometry	+++	0

Imaging studies		
Plain-film radiography	0	+a
Ultrasonography	0	+ + + +b
CT scan	0	++++a
MRI	0	+ + + +C

Note: Specificity and repetitiveness from 0 (absent) to (maximum).

If the patient does not have red flags for serious conditions, the clinician may then determine which other eye disorder is present. The criteria presented in Table 5 follow the clinical thought process from the mechanism of illness or injury to unique symptoms and signs of a particular disorder and finally to test results, if any tests were needed to guide treatment at this stage.

The clinician must be aware that several symptoms and signs are common to a number of eye injuries or disorders (see Tables 1 and 3). Therefore, accurate diagnosis depends on linking the mechanism of injury or pathogenesis, symptoms, signs, and findings of the eye examination with findings on magnification and, if necessary, with fluorescein staining of the eye.

Diagnostic Criteria

In the following lists, an asterisk (*) after a symptom or sign indicates a red flag.

Symptoms of Red Eye (see Table 1)

- **Blurred Vision.** Blurred vision often indicates serious ocular disease. Blurred vision that improves with blinking suggests a discharge or mucus on the ocular surface.
- **Severe pain.*** Pain may indicate keratitis, ulcer, iridocyclitis, or acute glaucoma. Patients with conjunctivitis may complain of a scratchiness or mild irritation, but do not have severe pain.
- **Photophobia.*** Photophobia is an abnormal sensitivity to light that accompanies iritis. It may occur either alone or secondary to corneal inflammation. Patients with conjunctivitis have normal light sensitivity.
- Colored halos.* Rainbow-like fringes or colored halos seen around a point of light are usually a symptom of corneal edema, often resulting from an abrupt rise in intraocular pressure.
 Therefore, colored halos are a danger symptom suggesting acute glaucoma as the cause of a red eye.
- **Exudation.** Exudation, also called mattering, is a typical result of conjunctival or eyelid inflammation and does not occur with iridocyclitis or glaucoma. Patients often complain that their lids are "stuck together" on awakening. Corneal ulcer is a serious condition that may or may not be accompanied by exudate. Mucoid discharge generally is related to allergic conditions. Watery discharge may occur with viral conditions, and a purulent discharge is related to bacterial conditions.
- **Itching.** Although a nonspecific symptom, itching most commonly indicates an allergic conjunctivitis.

^aFor evaluating suspected periorbital and other depressed fractures.

^bFor evaluating suspected retinal detachment, chamber dimensions, and intraocular foreign bodies.

^cFor evaluating foreign body and intracranial pathology.

Signs of Red Eye (see Table 3)

- Reduced visual acuity.* Reduced visual acuity suggests a serious ocular disease, such as an
 inflamed cornea, iridocyclitis, glaucoma, or vitreous hemorrhage. It never occurs in simple
 conjunctivitis unless the associated cornea is involved. Acceptable of passable visual acuity for
 driving and injuries without a known baseline is considered 20/40 or better in each eye
 separately and both eyes together.
- Ciliary flush.* Ciliary flush is an injection of the deep conjunctival and episcleral vessels surrounding the cornea. It is seen most easily in daylight and appears as a faint violaceous ring in which individual vessels cannot be seen by the unaided eye. These engorged vessels, whose origin is the ciliary body, are a manifestation of inflammation of the ciliary body and the anterior segment of the eyeball. Ciliary flush is a danger sign often seen in eyes with corneal inflammations, iridocyclitis, or acute glaucoma. Usually ciliary flush is not present in conjunctivitis.
- **Conjunctival hyperemia.** Conjunctival hyperemia is an engorgement of the larger and more superficial bulbar conjunctival vessels. A nonspecific sign, it may be seen in almost any of the conditions causing a red eye.
- **Corneal opacification.*** In a patient with a red eye, corneal opacities always denote disease. These opacities may be detected by direct illumination with a penlight, or they may be seen with a direct ophthalmoscope (with a plus lens in the viewing aperture) outlined against the red fundus reflex. Several types of corneal opacities may occur, including:
 - Keratic precipitates, or cellular deposits on the corneal endothelium, usually too small to be visible. Occasionally forming large clumps, these precipitates can result from iritis or chronic iridocyclitis.
 - A diffuse haze obscuring the pupil and iris markings. This may be characteristic of corneal edema. It is frequently seen in acute glaucoma.
 - o Localized opacities. These may be due to keratitis or ulcer.
- **Corneal epithelial disruption.*** Disruption of the corneal epithelium, which occurs in corneal inflammations and trauma, can be detected in two ways. The first method uses fluorescein vital stain, which detects disruption of the epithelium.
 - The examiner should be positioned in such a way as to observe the reflection from the cornea of a single light source (e.g., window or penlight) as the patient moves his or her eye into various positions. Epithelial disruptions cause distortion and irregularity of the light reflected by the cornea. Apply fluorescein to the eye. Areas denuded of cells of the epithelium will stain a bright green with a blue filter.
 - The second method uses rose bengal vital stain, which detects degeneration or absence
 of one or more layers of the epithelium. The examiner should be positioned in the same
 manner as described above. Apply rose bengal vital stain. Diseased epithelium will stain
 a reddish purple color.
- Pupillary abnormalities.* The pupil in an eye with iridocyclitis typically is somewhat smaller
 than that of the other eye due to reflex spasm of the iris sphincter muscle. The pupil is also
 distorted occasionally by posterior synechiae, which are inflammatory adhesions between the
 lens and the iris. In acute glaucoma, the pupil is usually fixed, mid-dilated (about 5 to 6 mm),
 and slightly irregular. Conjunctivitis does not affect the pupil.
- Shallow anterior chamber depth.* In a red eye, a shallow anterior chamber (especially related
 to acute ocular pain, nausea, and sometimes vomiting) suggests the possibility of acute angleclosure glaucoma. Anterior chamber depth can be grossly estimated through side illumination
 with a penlight. The most exact technique and practice standard involves using a slit lamp with

- or without a diagnostic anterior segment contact lens. Intraocular pressure (IOP) is then measured.
- **Elevated IOP.*** IOP is unaffected by common causes of red eye other than iridocyclitis and glaucoma. In any red eye without obvious infection, IOP can be measured to rule out glaucoma as clinically indicated (routinely at the time of all eye screening examinations generally after age 40); however, under some circumstances, routine screening for IOP should be part of the examination.
- **Proptosis.*** Proptosis is a forward displacement of the globe. Proptosis of sudden onset suggests serious trauma, orbital infection, or tumor. The most common cause of chronic proptosis is thyroid disease, especially Grave's disease, and is bilateral. Orbital mass lesions also result in proptosis and should be considered. Proptosis may be accompanied by conjunctival hyperemia or limitation of eye movement. Small amounts of proptosis are detected most easily by standing behind a seated patient and looking downward to compare the positions of the two corneas. Acute orbital proptosis secondary to trauma is an ophthalmologic emergency because it may cause severe pressure on the eyeball, which may lead to central retinal artery occlusion.
- Preauricular nodes. The type of ocular discharge may be an important clue to the cause of
 conjunctivitis. Preauricular node enlargement can be a prominent feature of common viral as
 well as some unusual varieties of chronic granulomatous conjunctivitis, known collectively as
 Parinaud's oculoglandular syndrome. Usually, such enlargement does not occur in acute
 bacterial conjunctivitis. The adenovirus is found most commonly, especially in epidemic
 keratoconjunctivitis, which generally is readily spread by direct contact with the secretions of
 affected individuals.

MANAGEMENT APPROACH

The principal recommendations for assessing and treating patients with eye complaints are as follows:

- Initial assessment should focus on detecting indications of potentially serious ocular pathology, termed red flags, and determining an accurate diagnosis. For these purposes, red flags are defined as a sign or symptom of a potentially serious condition indicating that further consultation, support, or specialized treatment may be necessary.
- In the absence of red flags, experienced healthcare providers can safely and effectively handle
 most work-related eye injuries. Conservative treatment can proceed for 48 to 72 hours for
 superficial foreign bodies, corneal abrasions, conjunctivitis, and ultraviolet radiation damage.
 Normally, eye tissues heal rapidly. If eye damage is not well on the way to resolution within 48
 to 72 hours and the provider is not experienced with the condition, referral to a specialist is
 indicated.
- Ocular diseases and nonspecific eye complaints usually require longer treatment timelines.
- The treatment focus is on assuring optimal treatment, monitoring for complications, facilitating
 the healing process, and determining fitness for return to work in a modified- or full-duty
 capacity.

Follow-up Visits

The frequency of follow-up visits is determined by the diagnosis, stage and severity of the problem.

After successful treatment for simple corneal abrasions or minor foreign bodies, follow-up may be on a daily basis until the problem has resolved. As healing is rapid and minor abrasions do not generally

require follow-up, it is also acceptable to schedule follow-up for such cases as needed. The larger, deeper and more extensive the injury, the more likely follow-up will need to be scheduled.

Photokeratitis (e.g., welder's flash) is generally readily treated and resolves in 1 or 2 days. It frequently requires no follow-up appointments or at most one appointment the next day.

For chemical burns, daily follow-up is generally required until the problem has resolved. For minor volumes of non-acidic, non-alkaline insults, it is acceptable to schedule follow-up as needed.

Thermal burns depend on the severity and involvement of other structures. Minor cases may require one follow-up appointment within a day or two. More severe cases may need follow-up every one to two days until the burns are resolved.

Blunt trauma injuries that include orbital blowout fractures without red flags for immediate surgery require follow-up approximately every 3 to 5 days to ascertain improvements and resolution of diplopia or other problems.

Traumatic hyphema requires close follow-up that is generally determined by IOP on presentation. The larger the extent of the hyphema and the higher the IOP, the more frequently the follow-up is needed.

Corneal ulcers require follow-up initially every 1 to 2 days until the epithelium has healed and then every 1 to 6 months depending on the severity and frequency of the episode when multiple.

SCREENING AND DIAGNOSTIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Vision Screening

Vision screening is performed for a wide range of purposes. Categories of vision screenings include preplacement, periodic surveillance, post-injury and postoperative [217, 218](AOA). It is also performed for motor vehicle driver licensure.

Vision Screening for Preplacement Examinations

Recommended.

Preplacement vision screening is recommended for jobs that require visual acuity.

Indications – Occupations that require visual acuity for performance. Generally, most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs require corrected visual acuity of at least 20/40 in both eyes and each eye separately.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

Vision Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations

Recommended.

Periodic vision screening is recommended for jobs that require visual acuity.

Indications – Occupations that require visual acuity for performance. More frequent examinations are indicated for jobs with higher visual demands and/or higher risks and/or among those at higher risks for incident visual impairments. Generally, most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs require corrected visual acuity of at east 20/40 in both eyes and each eye separately.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

Vision Screening for Post-injury Examinations

Recommended.

Vision screening is recommended for post-injury examinations.

Indications – All post-injury examinations, including subsequent follow-up examinations.

Strength of Evidence – **Recommended, Evidence (I)** Level of Confidence – High

Vision Screening for Postoperative Examinations

Recommended.

Vision screening is recommended for postoperative examinations.

Indications – All postoperative examinations, including subsequent follow-up examinations.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High

Rationale for Recommendations

Vision screening is widely performed as a component of essentially all eye-related examinations, most commonly with either a Snellen chart or a vision screening device that is comparable to a Snellen chart. For preplacement examinations, there are data to suggest increased risk of motor vehicle crashes with reduced visual acuity that is usually worse with 20/40 corrected [219-222], thus indirect evidence that both preplacement examinations and surveillance examinations are likely successful. There are many protocols for screening, with the most frequent interval typically being either annual or biennial. For specific occupations, there is an absence of evidence of efficacy of visual screening, but strong belief it is successful. Occupation-specific visual acuity testing beyond Snellen tests is recommended for specific occupations. For post-injury and postoperative examinations, vision screening is used to track the recovery, but there are naturally no studies without vision screening being performed to assess its comparable utility. Vision screening is not invasive, is without adverse effects, is low cost and is thus recommended for pre-placement, periodic surveillance, post-injury and postoperative examinations.

Evidence for Vision Screening

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Maa 2014 [26] (score = 8.0)		Diagnostic	No industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 52 patients Tele- eye protocol		Clinical Diagnosis through face-to-face examination		The percentage agreement between the tele-eye protocol and the clinical diagnosis for cataract was 100%, for macular degeneration it was 96% and that for glaucoma suspect was 87%.	"The initial data suggest that the tele-eye program is feasible to execute and appears fairly accurate when compared with the gold standard faceto-face eye exam."	Pilot study with small sample size study suggests high correlation between tele MD protocol and face to face eye exam for cataract, macular degeneration and glaucoma/R/o glaucoma.
Ong 2003 (score = 7.5)		Diagnostic	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N= 510 Diabetic subjects, 17 with retinopathy and 493 without retinopathy. Tritan Contrast Threshold testing (TCT)	Mean age was 60.8 years.	Best corrected Snellen visual acuity (BCVA test).		For TCT detection of retinopathy there were 16 positive tests among the 17 patients and 1 negative tests. This yielded a sensitivity of 94% and a specificity of 95% for the TCT test.	"Tritan color vision deficiency was observed in patients with STDR despite their normal BCVA. These results indicate that automated TCT assessment is an effective and clinically viable technique for detecting STDR, particularly diabetic maculopathy, before visual loss."	Study suggests automated TCT detects STDR especially diabetic maculopathy prior to visual loss. Also the test measures function and morphology which may be helpful in early identification prior to development of more severe disease. Test is less cost prohibitive than current

Arnoldi, 2014 [27] (score = 7.0)	Diagnostic	Supported by a research grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc. No mention of COI.	N= 23 patients; a group of orthotropic volunteers with normal vision, a group with small angle strabismus and a group of patient whose angle of strabismus was large enough to precluded stereopsis. Mean age was 32 years.	Titmus Fly test vs. Snellen Test	Mean visual acuity of the worse-seeing eye was 0.8. The sensitivity for the Titmus fly test was 79% but the specificity was only 26% due to the large number of false positive responses.	"If the Titmus fly test is the only stereoacuity measure that can be used due to the presence of manifest strabismus, modifying the presentation of the test plate with this method will improve accuracy and precision of results."	diagnostic tools such as fluorescein photography. Although the Titmus fly test has a reasonable sensitivity, specificity is low with a large degree of false positives. Study suggests modification of test will improve accuracy.
Lim 2010 (score = 6.0)	Diagnostic	Supported by the Joseph and Geraldine LaMotta Research Fund of the New York Glaucoma Research Institute, New York. RBR is a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of OTI-Opko, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.	N= 40 eyes in 40 ophthalmic patients. Mean age was 67 years old.	ETDRS log MAR and compact reduced logMAR (cRLM) tests vs. Snellen Test	The median acuity of the ETDRS, cRLM and Snellen charts were 0.42, 0.41 and 0.41 respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between groups (p=0.9865).	"[T]he theoretical advantages of logMAR charts compared to Snellen charts are measurable in a simulated clinical setting but the magnitude of the benefit of using an improved chart design appears to be small and the costeffectiveness of introducing such charts into routine clinical practice is uncertain."	Relatively small sample size. ETDRS had a measurable advantage over Snellen but ETDR tool 1.86 times as long to complete as Snellen test making it likely cost prohibitive.

Arora 2014 (score = 6.0)	Diagnostic	No industry sponsorship. COI: Dr. Friedman is a consultant for Alcon, Bausch & Lomb, Merck, and QLT Inc. Manu Lakkur helped develop the iPod application used in this study.	N= 104 subjects with a wide range of visual acuity. Mean age was 67.3 years	Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) using either a chart or iPod screen vs. Snellen Test	When a positive test was getting only 1 of 4 letters incorrect, the ETDRS test showed 100% and specificity was 60.9%. When getting 3 of 4 letters incorrect was a positive test the sensitivity was 98.3% and specificity was 91.3%. When getting all 4 letters incorrect was a positive test there was 98.3% sensitivity and 93.5% specificity.	"An iPod application requiring about a 1-minute testing time provides an objective, portable, rapid, and low-cost method to determine approximate VA, allowing VA testing to be performed efficiently in large surveys and other settings where approximate VA should be measured."	iPod visual acuity testing is relatively low cost and portable although the test does not represent total measurement of visual dysfunction which can be assessed in a clinical setting with more sophisticated technology.
Bock 2012 (score = 6.0)	Diagnostic	Supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG Exc 257 to JD, SO, CFP and FP) and grant KF2286101FO9 from the German Ministry of Economics to NeuroCure Clinical Research	N= 120 subjects (240 eyes), 85 multiple sclerosis (MS) patients and 35 healthy controls; Mean age was 37 years.	Functional Acuity Contrast Testing (FACT) vs. Snellen visual acuity test.	Area Under the Log contrast sensitivity function (AUC) was calculated for all data points of each FACT session. Retinal nerve fiber layer thinning (RNFLT) and Total Macular volume reduction (TMV) both correlated	"[O]ur study shows that functional contrast vision in MS is influenced by morphological changes in the anterior visual pathway, and that contrast vision testing with the Optec 6500 contrast box is capable of detecting differences from HC."	In MS, RNFL and TMV as measures of retinal axonal loss predict contract sensitivity as measured by FACT with Optec 6500P. Unable to readily calculate sensitivity and specificity.

Kushner, 1995 [28] (score = 5.5)	Diagnostic	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N= 69 literate patient with amblyopia or other cause of vision loss. Mean age was not provided.	Teller Acuity Card Test vs. Snellen test	significantly with AUC day; (p=0.001) and (p<0.001), as well as with AUC night; (p=0.017 and (p=0.003). These assessments were corrected for age, gender and Snellen score. There was a significant correlation between Teller card visual acuity and distance Snellen visual acuity (r =0.508, (p<0.001). Teller visual acuity had a low sensitivity for detecting a vision deficit of 20/40 or poorer (58%), 20/70 or	"Teller Acuity Cards may underestimate the presence of amblyopia of all types, legal blindness, and a specified level of vision impairment (20/70). Even in the presence of normal visual acuity measurements with Teller cards, significant visual loss as assessed by standard Snellen optotypes may be	Study suggests that both Snellen visual acuity and teller cards may underestimate vision lots in patients.
					poorer (39%) or legal blindness (24%).	anticipated in many patients."	
Sobaci 2009 (score = 5.0)	Diagnostic	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N= 46 participants (23 patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) and 23 matched healthy	Randot Steroacuity (RSA) test vs. Snellen Test	The RSA score was much lower in the MS group compared to the control group; 80.7 arc seconds vs. 22.3	"Based on this study, patients with MS without optic neuritis have considerable abnormalities in stereopsis. RSA testing may be a	Very small sample. Study suggests MS patients had delayed PVEP and worse stereoacuity when compared

			controls. Mean Age was 35.1 years.		arc seconds (p<0.001). There was a significant correlation between P 100 latency (at 15 min) and RSA score; r=0.653 (p=0.001).	useful marker of subclinical disease activity in this condition."	to controls suggesting MS patients without optic neuritis have abnormal stereopsis such that RSA testing may aid in selecting those with subclinical disease.
Terry 2010 [29] (score = 4.5)	Diagnostic	No mention of sponsorship. No COI. No mention of	N= 2529 participants aged 40 years were evaluated for visual field loss. N= 20	Frequency doubling technology (FDT) methodology vs. Visual Field (VF) testing ETDRS acuity	The mean time was for the entire exam was 9.7 minutes. The average time of a single FDT test was 42 seconds. When defining reliability based on ≤ 1/3 blind spots, ≤ 1/3 false positive tests, and technician noted proper fixation, 90.1% of examined subjects had 2 reliable FDT tests for both eyes, and an additional 13.4% had 2 reliable tests for 1 eye.	"FDT is a feasible, fast, and reliable method for visual field loss screening in a population based U.S. study, with an 86.2% response rate, median exam time ~9 minutes, and nearly 95% of examined participants having complete, reliable results in 1 or both eyes."	Study suggests FDT is a fast alternate method for visual field loss screening in large populations. Small sample
Barsam 2006 [30]	Diagnostic	sponsorship. No COI.	n= 20 patients with who had	and Humphrey	field analyzer showed a mean	potentially allows retention/restoration	size. Study suggests that

(score = 3.5)			undergone a vitrectomy on at least one eye for hemorrhage or retinal detachment. Mean age was 50.8 years.	binocular Esterman Visual field testing vs. Snellen test	number of abnormal stimuli of 71.2% (p<0.005). 70% of patients had sufficient binocular acuity to drive and 71.4% were shown not to have a minimum visual acuity for safe driving.	of good visual acuity in patients with complications of proliferative diabetic retinopathy."	post vitrectomy patients may still have undetected visual impairment which may compromise safe driving.
Cacho- Martinez, 2013 [31] (score = 3.5)	Diagnostic	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N= 66 patients with either large exophoria or normal heterophoria. Mean age was 24.83 years.	Diagnostic validity of clinical signs associated with Exophoria, using alternate cover test (ACT) and the Colon survey. EXO- MHVD group- Patients with large exophoria at near and moderate or high visual discomfort (N=33) vs. NH-LVD- Normal heterophoria and low visual	The NH-LVD group showed a significantly higher score compared to the EXO-MHVD group for the Monocular accommodative facility (MAF); 12.86 vs. 7.28 (p<0.001), the binocular accommodative facility (BAF); 10.82 vs. 4.45 (p<0.001), the monocular estimated method (MEM); 0.61 vs. 0.34 (p=0.002), the negative relative accommodation (NRA); 2.30 vs. 2.07 (p=0.02) and the	"In summary, this study shows that for subjects with a large near exophoria and moderate to severe symptoms, the accommodative and binocular tests that show a higher diagnostic accuracy are NPC and BAF."	Small sample, study suggests that people with a large near exophoria with moderate to severe symptoms, the NPC and BAF tests show a higher degree of diagnostic accuracy.

Cooper 1977 [32] (score = 2.5)	indu	mention of ustry subjects tested with Titmus Stereo test Age range was 8-55	Tit Ste usi the an tes 1 (Lo of cir	emus ereo test ing both e circles d animals sts. Group (N=30)- ok at each the 4 rcles and II me	vergence facility (VF); 15.91 vs. 10.35 (p<0.001). The mean number of correct responses for the circle test was 3.3. The probability of guessing 4 consecutive right answers	"Responses obtained on the Wirt Stereo test with axis-135 Polaroid filters before both eyes was better than predicted by chance."	Study suggests administration of the animal test first, which has bene noted to be uninfluenced by lateral
			loc dif Gr (N: at the an wh to Vs (N: an cir like off	nich one oks fferent Vs. oup 2 =9)- Look each of e 4 circles d tell me nich seems be closer . Group 3- =10) Do y of the ccles look e they pop f the page wards u?	in group 1 was very small (0.004). 78% (7 of 9) of group 2 subjects and 70% (7 of 10) of group 3 subjects responded correctly to 1 or more of the circles. Scores obtained by the animal test were similar to those expected by chance.		displacement cues. After that, study suggests numbers 4 and 9 of the circle test to decrease individuals responding to displacement cues. Authors report that the above will improve the validity of the Titmus Stereo test.

Color Vision Testing

Color vision screening is commonly performed as a component of preplacement and periodic examinations. It is sometimes performed prior to return to work for post-injury and postoperative patients, particularly for those in safety critical jobs.

Color vision is critical for countless occupations that require varying degrees of color detection. Color vision testing is also performed for motor vehicle driver licensure. Color detection is commonly segregated into several discrete categories including normal, deutranopia (difficulty detecting red/purple from green/purple), protanopia (difficulty detecting blue/green from red/green), tritanopia (difficulty detecting yellow/green from blue/green), and achromatopsia (absence of ability to detect colors) [223]. Although often categorized into these categories, there is an unappreciated and tremendous degree of heterogeneity within these groups. This heterogeneity has functional impacts such that some individuals within a given group can accurately perform a given occupation's tasks while others cannot [224, 225].

An added complication is that, there is a widespread misconception that color signals are of uniform color hue when they are not. This produces further difficulties with determining safety to perform a given job. There is yet another a common misperception that color detection is fixed for life, but multiple retinal intracranial diseases, metabolic disorders and pharmaceuticals all may result in serious, functional color vision impairments [226-231]. Such examples include diabetic retinopathy [230], multiple sclerosis, [232, 233], chloroquine, and amiodarone [234-236]. There also are some decrements in color vision discrimination ability with aging [237], mercury toxicity [238], and use of petroleum-based solvents [239].

As an example of the consequences of failure to detect color vision deficiencies, acquired color vision deficiencies have resulted in transportation injury fatalities [240-242]. Yet, color vision deficiency is also associated with advantages in discerning camouflaged objects, animals or humans [243, 244].

Color Vision Screening for Preplacement Examinations Recommended.

Preplacement color vision screening is recommended for jobs that require color vision detection.

Indications – Occupations that require color visual detection for accurate performance. Generally, most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs require some degree of color detection, although the discrimination requirements vary widely. These include almost all jobs requiring commercial operation of motorized equipment. Pseudochromatic plates are generally the most efficient way to screen a population and are thus recommended. Functional tests (e.g., on-the-job test) are of unclear validity and, if used, must test a wide array of circumstances (e.g., array of hues to be encountered, time of day/night, varying backgrounds) to have the potential to be valid.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

Color Vision Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations Recommended.

Periodic color vision screening is recommended for jobs that require color vision detection.

Indications – Occupations that require color visual detection for accurate performance. Generally, most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs require some degree of color detection, although the discrimination requirements vary widely. These include almost all jobs requiring commercial operation of motorized equipment. Pseudochromatic plates are generally the most efficient way to screen a population and are thus recommended. Functional tests (e.g., on-the-job test) are of unclear validity and, if used, must test a wide array of circumstances (e.g., array of hues to be encountered, time of day/night, varying backgrounds) to have the potential to be valid.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

Color Vision Screening for Select Post-injury Examinations

Recommended.

Color vision screening is recommended for select post-injury examinations.

Indications – Post-injury examinations for safety critical jobs that also require color vision detection.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – Moderate

Color Vision Screening for Select Postoperative Examinations

Recommended.

Color vision screening is recommended for postoperative examinations.

Indications – Postoperative examinations for safety critical jobs that also require color vision detection.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Moderate

Rationale for Recommendations

Color vision deficiency is well associated with increased failures on signal detection [224, 225]. Fatalities in the transportation sector have been attributed to operator color vision deficiencies [240-242]. Thus, this is a strong basis for screening for color vision deficiency. There is also a potential basis for screening in favor of those with color vision deficiency for jobs requiring superior camouflage or animal detection [243, 244].

There are many color vision screening tests used, including: Ishihara, Farnsworth Panel D-15, Farnsworth Munsell 100 Hue (FM-100), Roth 28-hue desaturated, L'Anthony's desaturated D-15/D-15DS, Medmont C100, Color Assessment and Diagnosis Test; Nagel anomaloscope, Bowman's Color Confusion Index, Cambridge Colour Test (CCT), Color Assessment and Diagnosis test (CAD), Vingrys test, King-Smith's test, SPP-2, Nagel anomaloscopre, Color Vision Testing Made Easy (CVMET); City University Colour Vision Test (CUT); Waggoner computerized color vision test (CCVT) Richmond Hardy-Rand-Rittler (HRR), American Optical Hardy-Rand-Rittler (AO-HRR), Malbrel's chromatometer and luminance perception; Lantern test [237] [245-249] [236, 238, 250-255] [256-266] Cole 06a,b,c [267-269] [270-273] [218, 274, 275]. Pseudoisochromatic plates are the most commonly administered tests used to screen for color

deficiency, with Ishihara being the most widely used. Functional tests, such as the lantern test, a signal detection test, or on-the-job function tests are often used to attempt to ascertain sufficient discriminant abilities to perform a job after failure on pseudoisochromatic plate testing.

Functional tests have not been validated for determination of ability to both accurately perform the job tasks and prevent injuries/fatalities. Thus, they are generally of unclear ability to properly determine safe and accurate job performance. Carefully performed, functional testing that includes the array of circumstances likely to be encountered (e.g., array of hues to be encountered, time of day/night, season of year, varying backgrounds) may be sufficiently accurate for some jobs. The use of unvalidated functional tests is particularly concerning for safety critical jobs. Validated functional tests should be validated for both accuracy under a wide array of performance circumstances (e.g., array of hues to be encountered, time of day/night, season of year, varying backgrounds), as well as for ability to perform without elevated accident crash or other critical outcome performance measure(s). Color vision screening is recommended for pre-placement and periodic screening for all jobs that require color vision detection. For safety sensitive and safety critical jobs, greater frequency of periodic screening is recommended, generally either annually or biennially. For safety critical jobs, screening post-injury and postoperative is also recommended. For those with risks for acquired color vision deficiency, greater frequency of color vision screening may be considered.

Color vision screening is not invasive, is without adverse effects, is low cost and is thus recommended for pre-placement, periodic surveillance, as well as select post-injury and postoperative examinations.

Evidence for Color Vision Screening

Author/ Year	Scor e	Study Design	Population/ Case Definition	Investigative Test	Compara tive Test	Results	Conclusion	Comments
Hackman 2001	7.5	Diagn ostic	N= 200 subjects. Age range from 17 to 53.	Farnsworth Lantern (FALANT)	Ishihara test.	167 subjects who passed the short-six Ishihara test also passed the FALANT test (0 failed). Of the 33 who failed the short-six Ishihara test, 30 failed the FALANT and 3 passed it. For the 14-plate test the 166 subjects who passed also passed the FALANT. The one borderline subject also passed the FALANT. Of the 33 who failed the 14-plate test, 30 failed the FALANT and 3 passed it.	"It appears that a 6-plate series of Ishihara pseudoisochromatic plates can predict FALANT success."	Study suggests that using a smaller number of Ishihara pseudoisochromatic plates can successfully predict FALANT testing success but at a much lower costs as study showed all subjects using either a 6 plate or 14 plate series of Ishihara plates passed the FALANT.
Shoji, 2009	7.0	Diagn ostic	Criterion A (N=959). Mean age, 38.0±8.7 vs Criterion B (N=884). Mean age, 37.8±8.7. Subjects in criterion B were classified as normal subjects (N=729) Vs Acquired color vision impairment (ACVI) suspects (N=155) after Ishihara test.	D-15 panel (D-15DS)	Ishihara pseudois ochroma tic plates, standard pseudois ochroma tic plates part 2	The Bowman's Color Confusion Index (CCI) did not have normal distribution in the worse eye even after transformation (p<0.001). The 90 th percentile (95 th percentile) scores in the worse eye were 1.70(1.95) in criteria A and 1.59(1.73) for criteria B. AUC was 0.951 (95% confidence interval (CI), 0.931-0.971). Specificities of 80, 85, 90, and 95% were reached for sensitivities of 96.8, 93.3, and 71.0%.	"[O]ur study provided the normal healthy distribution in a large number of working-aged men on active duty using the D-15DS test with the CCI scoring system. Our results could be helpful for clinicians and patients when the D-15DS test is performed for screening purposes".	Study suggests D-15DS may be useful in screening as CCI correlated well with ACVI.
Birch 2010	6.5	Diagn ostic	N = 486 male anomalous trichromats identified with the Nagel anomaloscope. 70 protanomalous trichromats and 416 deuteranomalous trichromats.	The Ishihara plates and of the American Optical Company (Hardy, Rand and Rittler) plates (HRR plates)	The Nagel anomalo scope	Based on 5/4/3 errors for the Ishihara plates, the sensitivity for 70 protanomalous trichromats was: 98.6%/100%/100%. The sensitivity for 416 deuteranomalous trichromats was: 87.7%/94.1%/98.1%. The overall screening sensitivity for Ishihara test based on 5/4/3 errors was: 94.7%/97.7%/98.4%.	"The Ishihara test and the HRR tests have different aims and it can be useful to give both tests in a clinical setting to provide accurate identification of red—green colour deficiency, with the Ishihara plates, and an estimate of severity together with confirmation of protan/deutan	Ishihara plates superior to HRR. In clinical settings using both tests may be of use in identification of redgreen color deficiency. However, Ishihara plates associated with a sensitivity between 97.7%-98.4% in this

Cole 2007	6.5	Diagn	99 participants with CVD diagnosed by the Ishihara, the Richmond HRR, the Farnsworth D15, the Medmont C100 and the Nagel anomaloscope.	Color naming task: 10 surface colors. The participants were asked to name 10 surface colors (red, orange, brown, yellow, green, blue, purple, white, grey and black). The colors were presented in two shapes (dots and lines) and three sizes.	The Ishihara, the Richmon d HRR, the Farnswor th D15, the Medmon t C100 and the Nagel anomalo scope.	The overall screening sensitivity for HRR plates was based on 2 and 3 errors: 92.8% and 87.0%. The color naming task based on 1 error had a predictive value of passing of 0.73 and predictive value of failing of 0.90. The predictive value of failing based on no more than 1 error for Farnsworth D15/Farnsworth D15 plus Medmont C100 or anomaloscope to exclude protans/ Richmond HRR/Anomaloscope range were: 0.73 and 0.90/ 0.84 and 0.85/ 0.87 and 0.70/ 0.66 and 0.97.	classification when the HRR test is failed." "A 'mild' classification with the Richmond HRR test, especially if no more than two errors are made on the HRR diagnostic plates, identifies patients with abnormal colour vision who are able to name surface colour codes without error or only the occasional error. A pass of the Farnsworth D15 test identifies patients who will make no or few (up to 6%) errors with a 10 colour code, but who will be able to name the colours of a seven colour code that does not include orange, brown and purple."	study and identified slight trichromatism. Study suggests patients who fail the Farnsworth D-15 are likely to make errors on surface color code tests and patients with an anomaloscope range of >35 units will identify surface color code failures.
Ng 2015	6.5	Prosp ective, observ ationa I, multic enter trial	Subjects with color vision deficiency (CVD) (N=59) Vs Subjects with normal color vision (N=361) For subset subjects (24 CVD and 7 CVN), CCVT was administered twice using default setting of the computer monitor and another time after computer screen had been set to a correlated color temperature (CCT) of 6500 K. Mean (±SD) age for all subjects was 22.3 (±8.4) years	Waggoner computerized color vision test (CCVT) and the Richmond Hardy-Rand-Rittler (HRR)	24-plate Ishihara test	The HRR test classified 29 of 54 (54%; 95% Confidence Interval (CI), 0.40 to 0.67) subjects the same as the CCVT. When CCVT was used as a screening test only, the default (78% passed; 95% CI, 72 to 83%) vs Set CCT (*&% passed; 95% CI, 82 to 91%) conditions were different (p=0.017).	"The Waggoner CCVT is an adequate color vision screening test with several advantage and appears to provide a fairly accurate diagnosis of deficiency type. Used in conjunction with other color vision tests, it may be a useful addition to a color vision test battery".	Study suggests CCVT performs similarly to Richmond HRR with high sensitivity and specificity. It generally classified color defects as having a more severe defect than other tests.

	1	l	T			T	T	
Cotter 1999	6.5	Diagn ostic	N=41 with normal color vision (N=20) or hereditary red-green color deficiency (N=21). Age range 22-31 years	Pseudoisochromatic color plate test, "Color Vision Testing Made Easy" (CVMET)	Ishihara, Panel D- 15, anomalo scopic Rayleigh	Specificity CVMET: 100% for all 12 test plates (from color normal subjects. Sensitivity CVMET: ranged from 67-90% (from color deficient subjects); compared with anomaloscope, 90.5%.	"[T]he results of our investigation of the CVMET indicate that the test appears to be just as sensitive as the Ishihara test in identifying red-green color deficiencies in adults."	Preliminary study with small sample shows CVTMET to be potentially promising as a screening tool for redgreen color deficiency Study reports 90.5% sensitivity and 100% specificity.
Ganley 1997	6.5	Diagn ostic	N=111 university students. Age range 19-56 years.	Ishihara and Hardy- Rand-Rittler (H-R-R) pseudoisochromatic color plates projected on 35mm slides as a group in a moderately darkened auditorium	Ishihara and H-R- R color plates shown individua Ily under natural daylight	Individuals identified as color blind: projected slides Ishihara 7, H-R-R 89; individual color plates Ishihara 6, H-R-R 5. Projected slides: Ishihara plates sensitivity 100%, specificity 98.1%; H-R-R plates sensitivity 100%, specificity 20.8%.	"[T]his study projected 35mm color slides, under well-controlled conditions, can be used to screen large population groups for red- green color deficiencies."	Study suggests that if conditions are well controlled, 35mm color slides might be used to screen large populations for red-green color defects.
Hovis 2000	6.5	Diagn ostic	N=81 participants with normal color vision and N=74 participants with congenital red-green defects. Age range 18-67 years.	Lantern test (CNLAN) administered under room illumination levels of 300 lux; repeated after 10 days	Ishihara test, Nagel anomalo scope, simulatio n	CNLAN and simulation results: 70% of color-normals and no color-defectives had a perfect score for simulation; 90% of color-normals and 5% of color-defectives had a perfect score on the lantern test. Comparison with Ishihara test: 100% of color-defectives and 3.7% of color-normals failed the Ishihara test; all the color-normals that failed Ishihara passed both the lantern and simulation. Ishihara vs simulation results 1st session: k=0.94±0.028. Predictive value: Ishihara test for passing 0.98 for lantern when color-normals included and predictive value of Ishihara for failing 0.99 for lantern.	"[T]he CNLAN is a reasonable substitute for a field trial of identifying wayside signal light colors."	Study suggests lantern test appears to be a "reasonable assessment" of the ability to correctly detect rail signal colors but lantern test is not as "strict" as Ishihara since Ishihara failed 3.7% of individuals passing both simulation and lantern. Study is biased against FRA criteria for 38 plate Ishihara.
Huna- Baron 2013	6.5	Diagn ostics	N=43 patients (48 eyes) with newly diagnosed optic neuropathy and N=33 patients (33 right eyes) controls. Mean	Hardy-Rand-Rittler (HRR) 4 th edition	Ishihara color plate tests	Mean±SD Ishihara scores: study group 10.1±2.5 vs controls 11.73±0.42 (p<0.001). Mean±SD HRR scores: study group 2.5±1.7 vs. control 5.3±0.5 (p<0.001). ROC area	"[W]e found the HRR 4 th edition test to be more sensitive in detecting acquired dyschromatopsia due to optic neuropathy,	Small study sample. Study suggests 4 th edition HRR test superior to Ishihara in detection of acquired

	1	1			1	1 1 /2::5 : 1 !!		
Ing 1994	6.0	Diagn ostic	N= 32 subjects; 21 with normal color vision, 10 with congenital red-green defect and 1 patient with an acquired mixed color defect. Mean age was 34.5 years.	City University Colour Vision Test (CUT) and American Optical Hardy-Rand-Rittler (AO-HRR)	Ishihara	under the curve (AUC): Ishihara 0.77±0.05; HHR 0.93±0.03 (p=0.0006). Specificity-sensitivity balance: HRR 100% and 79% respectively; Ishihara 100% and 48% respectively. AUC of ROC curve using age to separate study and control groups: 0.72±0.05; Ishihara did not perform better than age (p=0.5); HRR better than age (p=0.0006). Subjects completed the three computer tests in an average of 20 min. Sensitivity for the CUT was 34% for the conventional test and 27% for the computer test. CUT showed a 99% specificity for the conventional test and 98% for the computer test. The AO-HRR showed 45% and 55% sensitivity for the conventional and computer tests, respectively. AO-HRR also showed a 100% and 99% specificity for the conventional and computer tests,	"[O]ur computer emulations of the CUT, Ishihara, and AO-HRR tests screen subjects with normal color vision with high specificity and delineate congenital color defects with a sensitivity comparable to that of their conventional counterparts"	dyschromatopsia due to optic neuropathy, stating better sensitivity and specificity. Small sample size so generalizability of results cannot be ascertained. Computerized color images did not have identical color to their corresponding color plates but study suggest this difference did not effect performance.
Birch 1997c	6.0	Diagn ostic	N = 401 males with green-red color deficiency diagnosed with the Nagel anomaloscope. There were 83 protanopes, 30 protanomalous trichromats, 96 deuteranopes and 192 deuteranomalous trichromats	The American Optical Company (Hardy, Rand, and Rittler [HRR]) plates.	Nagel anomalo scope, D15 test	respectively. HRR test sensitivity was 98% overall or 96.4% for the 222 anomalous trichromats. HRR screening plates identified 35 color deficient participants by a single error (6 protanopes, 2 protanomalous trichromats, 1 deuteranope and 26 deuteranomalous trichromats).	"The three tests compared in this study have very different examination procedures, and visual tasks, and the results obtained should not necessarily be expected to show precise agreement. However if all three tests are used a clear indication of practical hue discrimination ability can be obtained."	Study suggests Ishihara test is the most efficient test in determination of color deficiency with a high sensitivity and specificity.
Seshadri, 2005	6.0	Diagn ostic	Normal color vision (N=30). Mean age: 26±5.4 years Vs Congenital red-green deficiency (N=30). This includes	Color Assessment and Diagnosis test (CAD),	Ishihara, Standard Nagel (model 1)	The specificity of the CAD test for normality was 100%. The sensitivity was 93.33%. The concurrent validity of the CAD test for normal colors, given by TN/TN+FN was 93.75%.	"These results showed that the CAD test is a valid test for identifying congenital red-green color deficiency".	Small sample so further testing necessary to validate preliminary results.

			11 protanopes (P), 7 deuteranopes (D), 11 deuteranomals (DA) and 1 protanomalous (PA) subjects. Mean age: 35±7.67 years		anomalo scope, Hardy, Rand and Rittler (HRR: 4 th ed) pseudois ochroma tic test, and the Farnswor th Munsell 100 (FM- 100) hue test.	The concurrent validity of the CAD test for color defects, given by TP/TP/+FP was 100%. The sensitivity for Ishihara was 96% with a specificity of 100%. The sensitivity for HRR was 100% with a specificity of 33.33%. For FM-100 and Nagel anomaloscope, the sensitivity was 100% with the specificity of 83.33%.		
Chauhan 1986	6.0	Diagn ostic	N= 455 male subjects	Both editions of the City University Colour Vision Tests (Cit y 1 and City 2)	Nagel anomalo scopre.	The anomaloscopre classified 42 subjects (9.23%) as abnormal. Shared information City 1 weighted score was 13.74% and the City 2 weighted score was 7.26%.	"Despite this, even the improved City 2, like its origin, the D-15, is shown to be poorer than most of the commonly used PIC tests."	Study suggests that a weighted scoring system
Squire, 2005	6.0	Diagn ostic	Normal color vision (N=24) Vs Color vision deficient (N=55). This includes 36 deuteranomalous trichromats, 5 deuteranopes, 9 protanomalous trichromats, and 5 protanopes.	Nagel anomaloscope	Ishihara test	All 55 color-deficiency subjects failed the Ishihara plates by making at least 1 mistake in the 1st 15 plates of the 24-plate version. All dichromats failed the 2nd tests and all the protanomalous failed all 3 lantern tests except 3 who passed the Nagel anomaloscope. 7 of the 24 normal trichromats made between 1 and 3 mistakes on the 1st 15 plates of Ishihara test. 12 out of 24 normal color vision subjects passed the Nagel test.	"Consistency is lacking in color vision testing and an aspiring professional pilot may be accepted without limitation in one country, and rejected outright in another. The different tests also reveal different aspects of color deficiency and the severity of outcome may or may not relate directly to the subject's ability to discriminate colors".	Study demonstrates variability between all tests in terms of results for color vision testing. A consistent and quantifiable test is necessary to set standards for pass/fail criteria in the aviation industry.
Aroichan e 1996	5.5	Diagn ostic	N= 178 consecutive patients (349 eyes) reffered to the Wilmer Eye Institute examined by the two authors. Mean age was 45 years.	Hardy-Rand-Rittler test	Ishihara test.	Testing with the HRR plates showed no evidence of a color vision defect in 168 of the 202 healthy eyes (83.2%) compared to 196 (97.0%) in the Ishihara test (p<0.0001). For those with a visual acuity ≥ 20/25	"For patients with unilateral or bilateral NGON, HRR plates are more likely than Ishihara plates to detect a colour vision defect,	Neither HRR nor Ishihara plates are very sensitive in detecting nonglaucomatous optic neuropathy although Ishihara plates were

Atchison 1991	5.5	Diagn ostic	N= 99 congenital red-green color defective subjects. Mean age was 33 years.	Farnsworth's standard D15 and L'Anthony's desaturated D-15 panel tests.	Ishihara	with nonglaucomatous optic neuropathy, the color vision deficit on testing was higher in the HRR test vs. Isihara; 13 (76.5%) vs. 6 (35.3%) (p=0.008). The correct diagnostic rates were 45% for the standard D15 test and 58% for the desaturated D15 test. The desaturated D15 test had a misclassification rate of 5% for dichromates compared to <0.1% for the standard D15 test.	"We suggest that quantitative scoring techniques are of limited benefit for the clinical diagnosis of congenital color vision defects but that they are of use in clinical trials or for the monitoring of changes in color vision over time."	superior to HRR plates in detecting normal vision and HRR plates were more likely to detect color vision defects in persons with a 2-/25 visual acuity or better. Quantitative scoring methods to detect congenital color vision deficiencies are of little value. Study supports Ishihara plates to make congenital color vision diagnoses.
Cole 2003	5.5	Diagn	N = 102 participants with abnormal color vision. 48 deuteranomals, 18 deuteranopes, 16 protanomals and 19 protanopes.	The Farnsworth D15 test	The Ishihara test, and the Nagel anomalo scope.	The Farnsworth D15 had a sensitivity and specificity of 0.80 and 0.69 (large stimuli), and 0.75 and 0.71 (small stimuli). The Nagel anomaloscope < 35 scale units had a sensitivity of 0.85 (large and small stimuli), and specificity of 0.56 at large stimuli, and 0.63 at small.	"About 40 per cent of those with abnormal colour vision can name the main colours correctly under good visibility conditions. The D15 test is an imperfectpredictor of those who can name surface colour codes correctly but it does provide useful information for general counselling. It is not suitable as a single test for occupational selection because it will pass 20 per cent who cannot name surface colours correctly and fail 30 per cent who can. In occupations in which recognition of surface colour codes is of critical importance, it may be best not to select people with abnormal colour vision because of the lack of a colour vision test that is a	Study supports other literature stating that no one single test is a perfect predictor of a person's ability to name colors.

						_	<u></u>	
							perfect predictor of the	
							ability to recognise surface colours."	
Cole	5.5	Diagn	100 participants with color	Color Naming Task:	The	Only 37% of the CVD participants	"Mild deuteranomals will	Study suggests that
2006		ostic	vision deficiency (CVD) and 20	10 surface colors	Ishihara	named the colors without any	make very few errors with a	various types of color
Optomet			color vision normal (CVN)	(red, orange, brown,	test, the	errors. There was a significant	seven-color code that omits	vision deficiency have
ry and			participants. CVD was	yellow, green, blue,	Richmon	factor in the class of color deficiency	orange, brown, and purple	different error rates
Vision			diagnosed by the Ishihara test,	purple, white, gray,	d HRR	(p<0.001). There were significant	and will make very few	when naming surface
Science			the Richmond HRR test, the Farnsworth D15 test, the	and black) that were presented in two	test, the Farnswor	interactions between shape and 1/area (p<0.001), and between class	errors (approximately 0.3%) with a 10-color code when	colors (mild deuteranomals 0.3%)
			Medmont C100, and the Type	shapes (dots and	th D15	of	the stimuli are reasonably	and mild protanomals
			1 Nagel anomaloscope,	lines) and in three	test, the	CVD and 1/area (p<0.001).	large (area >20 mm²)."	but dichromats and
				sizes for each shape.	Medmon		,	anomalous trichromats
					t C100,			make more errors than
					and the			both mild
					Type 1 Nagel			deuteranomals and mild protanomals.
					anomalo			protanomais.
					scope.			
Cole	5.5	Diagn	100 patients with abnormal	The new Richmond	The	The mean number of errors on the	"The test is as good as the	Study suggests new
2006		ostic	color vision and 50 patients	HRR	Ishihara	protan-deutan screening plates was	Ishihara test for detection of	Richmond HRR is
Clinical			with normal color vision. The	pseudoisochromatic	test	4.97 ± 0.86. When the fail criterion was 2 or	the red-green colour vision deficiencies but unlike the	comparable to Ishihara
and Experim			color vision was diagnosed by the Ishihara test, the	test		more errors for the Richmond HRR	Ishihara, also has plates for	plates in detection of red-green color
ental			Farnsworth D15 test, the			test had a sensitivity of 1.0 and	the detection of the tritan	deficiency but also has a
Optomet			Medmont C-100 test and the			specificity of 0.96. When the fail	defects. Its classification of	specific plate for the
ry			Type 1 Nagel anomaloscope.			criterion was 3 or more for the	protans and deutans is	detection of tritan
						Richmond HRR test had a sensitivity	useful but the Medmont C-	plates.
						of 0.98 and specificity of 1.0.	100 test is better. Those	
						The Richmond HRR test correctly classified 86% of participants as	graded as 'mild' by the Richmond HRR test can be	
						protan or deutan.	regarded as having a mild	
						production and a second	colour vision defect but a	
							'medium' or 'strong' grading	
							needs to be interpreted in	
							conjunction with other tests	
							such as the Farnsworth D15 and the anomaloscope. The	
							Richmond HRR test could be	
							the test of choice for	
							clinicians who wish to use a	
							single test for colour vision."	

Good 2005	5.5	Diagn ostic	N=126 color vision normal. Mean age 34.5 years	Lanthony Desaturated D-15 retested after 3-6 weeks.	Nagel anomalo scope, HRR Pseudois ochroma tic color plates, Farnswor th D-15	Mean Color Confusion Index (CCI): Lanthony Desaturated D-15 first session 1.12±0.12 vs. second session 1.10±0.12 with regard to age (p=0.04); median scores males 1.05 vs females 1.10 (p=0.05). Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) test-retest reliability of CCI score: 0.56 (95% CI 0.43-0.67).	"[T]he Lanthony Desaturated D-15 test can be used to quickly assess fine color discrimination, although there is considerable within-subject variability in discriminating subtle differences in color."	Although Lanthony desaturated D-15 test is quicker to administer and score, when compared to Farnsworth Panel D-15, there is significant inter-subject variability when detecting subtle differences in color. Authors recommend administration of Lanthony D-15 test at least three times and calculating mean of the three values because the test, retest reliability is only average at best.
Gündoğa n 2005	5.5	Diagn ostic	N=104 students with no known history of ocular pathology, ocular operations, and occlusion or penalization therapy, median age 21 years.	Ishihara projected slides, mass screening testing	Ishihara printed plates, individua I testing a few weeks after mass screenin	Incidence of color-blindness: 13.6% male, 6.7% whole population. Concordance between mass screening and classical method: k=1.00 (p=0.000). Sensitivity and specificity of mass screening: 100% for both.	"Using projected slides of Ishihara plates instead of the authentic method is an effective and timesaving method for detecting color- blindness."	No comparative test. Ishihara gold standard. Study suggests there is 100% sensitivity and 100%specificity in using Ishihara slides in mass screening of individuals with no known ocular disease for color deficiency.
Birch 2008	5.0	Diagn ostic	107 protanomalous and 410 deuteranomalous trichromats identified by failure of the Ishihara plates.	The Farnsworth D15 test	The Nagel anomalo scope	186/517 anomalous trichromats failed the D15 (36%). In total, 42% protanomalous trichromats and 35% deuteranomalous trichromats failed Farnsworth D15 test.	"The ability of many severe protanomalous trichromats to pass the D15 might be attributed to perceived luminous contrast and the poor performance of a significant proportion of subjects with "minimal" deficiency demonstrates them true loss of practical hue discrimination ability when this is not available."	Study suggests protanomalous trichromats with slight color deficiency have poor practical hue discrimination ability measured by the Farnsworth D15 test.

Cole 1998	5.0	Diagn ostic	N = 286 people with defective color vision.	The Farnsworth lantern test	The Ishihara Test, the Farnswor th D 15 test, and the Nagel anomalo scope	Sensitivity and specificity of the Farnsworth D 15 Test in predicting a pass or fail at the Farnsworth lantern was 0.67 and 0.94. The sensitivity and specificity of a Nagel Range with a fail criterion of >10 was 0.87 and 0.57.	"[N]either the D-15 nor the Nagel Anomaloscope matching range are satisfactory predictors of performance on the Farnsworth Lantern."	Study suggests neither the D-15 nor Nagel are good predictors of performance on Farnsworth lantern test. D-15 has good specificity (94%) but marginal sensitivity (67%) where Nagel test has poor specificity (57%) but good sensitivity (87%). Study would support use of a combination of tests.
Rabin, 2011	5.0	Diagn ostic	(N=1446) Pilot applicants who had normal color vision (CVN). Mean age ±SD, 24.3±3.2 years.	The Cone Contrast Test (CCT), Pseudoisochromatic plate (PIP) that includes Dvorine PIP, Standard Pseudoisochromatic Plates Part 2 (SPP2), and Farnsworth F2 Plate	Ishihara test	L, M, and S CCT specificity was 100% in 92 participants on all tests, based on the concordance between passing scores on the CCT (≥75) and on Rayleigh and Moreland anomaloscope and PIP tests. Sensitivity of individual PIP tests for detecting hereditary color vision deficiency (CVD) ranged 40% to 68%, vs 40(80%) of 49 for the combined PIP battery. Deutan CVDs showed decreased M cone CCT scores (2-sample t-test, unequal variance, t=18.4; p<0.0001), but the protans showed decreased L cone CCTs (t=9.0; p<0.0002)	"[T]he CCT offers an intuitive, robust index of color vision that accurately detects type of CVD and capable of grading severity of CVD as well as color ability in the CVN population. The rapid, threshold letter-recognition task is well-suited for clinical application".	Study suggests CCT is a quick color vision test with sensitivity and specificity comparable to anomaloscope. Additionally, the CCT can detect color disability type and severity.
Abramov 2009	4.5	Diagn ostic	N= 7 subjects with normal color vision. Mean age was 26 years.	Vingrys and King- Smith's tests	Rayleigh Matches using an anomalo scope. As well as distances . Standard distance	Values for the C-index (confusion) and S-index (polarity of an individual's pattern of cap reversals) began to decrease when view distances increased past 2 m. At 0.5 m all participants had perfect scores. After 2 m, error in the indices scores increased slightly for most participants.	"An individual's color vision performance can be interpreted by relating it to performance of colornormals viewing the test caps at some non-standard distance. This is similar to Snellen notation for acuity."	P-values were not reported with the data. Study suggests high degree of correlation between Farnsworth D-15 and Lanthony desaturation D-15 panels for interpreting an individual's color vision and the cut off index values correspond to

Birch 1997 Opthal. Physiol. Opt.	4.5	Diagn ostic	N= 401 subjects with red-green color deficiency. Mean age was 28.3 years.	Ishihara test (Transformation and Vanishing plates)	was 0.5 m. Nagel anomalo scope	The sensitivity for the Ishihara test was 88.2% for a fail criteria of 12 errors, 95.5% for 8 errors, 97.5% for 6 errors, 99.0% for 3 error 100% for 2 errors. For the 222 anomalous trichromats the sensitivity was 78.8% for 12 errors, 91.9% for 8, 95.5% for 6, and 98.2% for 3 errors.	"The specificity of the Ishihara test was determined in a previous study (Birch and McKeever, 1993) and the results combined with the present data to obtain the overall efficiency of the Ishihara	values of 2.5-3.0m viewing distance. Study suggests that HRR plates be used in conjunction with Ishihara plates but not as a stand- alone test for color deficiency subjects.
Birch	4.5	Diagn	N= 222 subjects with	City University test	Nagel	Of the 222 subjects examined, 149	plates for a representative cross section of colour-deficient subjects." "Detection and classification	Study suggests Ishihara
1997 Ophthal. Physiol. Opt.		ostic	congenital red-green color deficiency. Mean age was not reported.	(TCU test)	anomalo scope	(67.1%) failed the TCU test. All 47 deuteranopes failed the TCU, but 2 of the 52 protanopes examined passed the test. The TCU test was failed by 52 of the 123 anomalous trichromats examined (42.3%) and 48 of the 108 deuteranomalous trichromats (44.4%) failed the TCU test.	rates varied on all the plates of the TCU test. Mixed protan and deutan classification errors were made by 61% of subjects with the majority result correct in 80%. The most efficient plates are identified and recommendations are made for the optimum use of the TCU test in clinical practice."	plates should be used for screening of color defects but that both the TCU and D-15 be used for determination of color defect severity. The D-15 is better in detection of acute protan color deficiency.
Cole 2006c	4.0	Diagn ostic	100 male subjects with abnormal color vision diagnosed by the Ishihara test, the Farnsworth D15 test, the MedmontC100 test, and the Nagel anomaloscope.	Two versions of the Farnsworth Lantern test	The Ishihara test, the Farnswor th D15 test, the Medmon tC100 test, and the	24% participants passed the old version of the Farnsworth Lantern test and 19% passed the new version. There were agreements between the two tests for 89% participants. The median number of errors on runs 2+3 was 9.5 in the new lantern test vs. 6.5 errors in the old version (p<0.0001). Most participants who	"The Optec 900™ can be considered equivalent to the Farnsworth lantern and might be preferred because it is slightly more stringent, reducing the risk of passing those who will make errors with signal lights. The practice of passing applicants who make no	Study suggests new lantern test (Farnsworth Optec 900) is slightly better than old Farnsworth lantern test in detecting color vision deficiency.

McCulley , 2006	4.0	Clinica I experi ment study	Healthy Subjects tested at lesser degrees of fogging, 0.1 logMAR intervals. (N=12)	D-15 panel and Hardy-Rand-Rittler (HRR) plates	Nagel anomalo scope. Ishihara color vision test	failed the Farnsworth D15 test (n = 41) failed both Farnsworth lantern tests Single factor repeated measures analyses that was conducted separately at each acuity found a difference between the color vision testing devices for acuities 20/188, p=0.01. D-15 panel and HRR had fewer percentage of errors than Ishihara, p<0.01).	errors on the first run should be abandoned since 10% of those who pass in this way make many errors when additional runs are given." "Color vision testing is accurate up to logMAAR 1.40 (20/501) with D-15 panel, 1.10 (20/252) with HRR plates, and 0.71 (20/106) with Ishihara plates".	Study suggests color vision testing may be attributable to visual acuity loss. Color vision testing with Ishihara plates was most dependent and Farnsworth D-15 panel least dependent upon visual acuity.
Gaudart 2005	4.0	Diagn ostic	N=158 patients aged 20-28 years, mean age 22.6 years.	Malbrel's chromatometer and luminance perception	Ishihara plates and Farnswor th 28- hue test (I-28H), Lanthony desatura ted 15- hue panel used when required	Chromatometer evaluation with Ishihara plates and Farnsworth 28-hue tests to detect anomalous color vision (sensitivity/ specificity/ positive predictive value/ negative predictive value: 158 eyes of sample 1 – Blue-Yellow 100/83.7/16.7/100; Green-Red 100/83.0/16.1/100; Blue-Yellow and Green-Red 100/96.7/50.0/100; sample 2 – Blue-Yellow 40.0/79.1/5.9/97.6; Green-Red 60.0/80.4/9.1/98.4; Blue-Yellow and Green-Red 40.0/92.8/15.4/97.9.	"[C]hromatometer is a complementary test with regard to conventional tests. This new device allows color vision deficiency to be detected early and monitored."	visual acuity. Study suggests new chromatometer may assist conventional tools in screening for color deficiency especially for early onset disease as a first line tool.
Rodrigue z- Carmona , 2012	4.0	Diagn ostic	Subjects with normal color vision (N=236) Vs. Subjects who had deutan deficiency color vision. (N=340) Vs Subjects who had protan deficiency color vision. (N=166) The mean age for all subjects was 31.0±11.7 years with a median pf 28 years.	Color Assessment and Diagnosis (CAD) test	Ishihara test	80.9%(191) of normal trichromats made no errors on the 1 st 25 plates of 38-plate version and al normals except for 1 got all 25 plates correct with 3 or less errors. 29% of deutan subjects make 12 or less errors compared to protan subjects with only 8%. 70% of deutan subjects make 20 or less errors compared with only 39% of protan subjects.	"Color thresholds can provide a good measure of the severity of both RG and YB color vision loss. Neither the number of IT plates failed nor the SI value computed in this way can be used to determine reliably the severity of color vision loss".	Study suggests that the number of IT plates failed nor the SI value can serve as a reliable method to determine color loss severity.

	1 -	1	T		I			<u> </u>
Bailey,	3.5	Diagn	N= 52 subjects. 29 normal	2002 edition of the	Ishihara	100% of the normal vision subjects	"Among those with	Small sample size. New
2004		ostic	color vision subjects (18 male	HRR color vision test.	test.	tested as normal on the HRR test.	moderate and severe	HRR color vision test
			and 11 female) and 23 color			100% of the subjects with color	defects the new test was	appears to be more
Diagnost			deficient Caucasian male			vision deficiency were diagnosed as	highly accurate in correctly	sensitive than older
ic Article			subjects. Mean age was 29			having a color vision deficiency	categorizing subjects as	version.
			years.			using the HRR. 100% of subjects	protan or deutan. In	
						classified as dichromats were rated	addition, a mild tritan	
						as "severe" on the new HRR.	subject made a tritan error	
							on the new test whereas he	
							was misdiagnosed as normal	
							on the original."	
Melamu	3.5	Prosp	Subjects with normal	D-15 Farnsworth-	15-plate	The FM 100-Hue and the PCST	"This study suggests that the	Study confirms
d, 2006		ective	trichromatic vision or with	Munsell test (D-15),	Ishihara	scores were highly correlated,	PCST, a test of color vision	limitations of all color
		clinical	congenital color vision defects	Farnsworth-Munsell	test	0.8(95% confidence interval (CI) 0.6-	deficiency, can be used	testing. Study suggests
		labora	underwent various color vision	100-Hue test (FM		0.9, p<0.001.	effectively and reliably as a	PCST may be used a
		tory	tests. (N=59 subjects)	100-Hue) and the		The median time of 3 minutes to	tool for screening	confident alternative to
		study		Portal Color Sort Test		complete the PCST was faster than	(comparable to the Ishihara	both the Ishihara and D-
				(PCST)		the FM 100-Hue (p<0.001) but	plates and the D-15) and	15. However, future
						slower than both the Ishihara and	grading (comparable to the	study is needed to
						D-15 (p<0.001)	FM 100-Hue) color	compare PCST against
							discrimination ability."	the anomaloscope.
York,	3.5	Diagn	Subjects with normal color	Red light increment	Farnswor	The differences between normal	"The red test measures red	Small sample. Study
2008		ostic	(N=44)	threshold test	th D-15	observers (1.21 cd/m²) and the CD	light increment threshold, a	suggests red light test
			vs		arrange	observers (7.58 sd/m²) is 0.80 log	characteristics of color vision	measures a red light
			Subjects with color deficiency		ment	units and highly reliable (ANOVA,	not asses by conventional	increment threshold
			(CDs) (12 deutans, 4 protans,		test and	F=127, dF=3, p<0.001). The protans	tests of color vision which	which is not typically
			and 3 unclasified)		the	were reliably less sensitive to the	are based upon measuring	assessed by traditional
			(N=19).		Hardy-	red test than deutans (p<0.001).	loss of color discrimination.	color vision tests
					Randy-	The unclassified CDs were less	All CD observers have raised	because most of the
					Rittler	sensitive than the deutans	red light increment	tests are tests of loss of
					(HRR)	(p<0.001) whereas marginally	thresholds and the test	color discrimination.
					plate	different from the protans (=0.047).	clearly differentiates CD	
					test	White increments detection	observers from those with	
						threshold overlapped between the	normal color vision".	
						two groups, but the normal		
						observer's average (7.02 cd/m²) and		
						the difference was reliable (ANOVA,		
						F=5.119, dF=3, p=0.003).		
Biersdorf	3.0	Diagn	N= 112 subjects (14 color	Davidson and	Nagel	The DH color rule performed as	"The DH color rule has both	Results presented were
, 1977		ostic	vision impaired subjects and 98	Hemmendinger (DH)	anomalo	accurately as the Nagel	advantages	not clear and statistics
			normal vision subjects. Age	color rule test	scopre,	anomaliscope and better than the	and disadvantages in	were not used to analyze
					Farnswor	Farnsworth D-15 and HRR tests in	screening	differences between the

Diagnost ic article		Diogra	range from 10 to 50, most between 18-30.	The University of	th D-15 and the HRR test.	detecting anomalous trichromats and in discriminating protanomalous subjects from deuteranomalous subjects.	congenital color vision defects. When used with the proper illumination, the color rule is very sensitive in detecting small degrees of color defect (anomalous trichromats) and correctly classifying them."	different diagnostic tests. Study suggests there are both advantages and disadvantages to the PH Color Rule. For severe color vision subjects (dichromats and achromats), thus, DH color rule is more time intensive and less discriminatory. For less severe color vision defects, when used with proper illumination it appears to be quite sensitive.
Hovis 2002	3.0	Diagn ostic	N=31 adults with normal color vision and N=21 adults with congenital red-green defects	The University of Waterloo Colored Dot Test (UWCDot) for Color Vision Testing	Nagel anomalo scope, Lanthony D-15	UWCDot agreement with D-15: with various versions, 80% of subjects pass and fail each test; UWCDot less sensitive vs. D-15 when only errors on Chroma 4 hues are considered. UWCDot compared with anomaloscope: agreement over 0.95. UWCDot: more sensitive than both D-15 tests when scored based on number of eye movements.	"The results show that when any mistake is considered to be a failure, the UWCDot test has a clinical utility approaching the Desat D-15."	Study underscores difficulties in accurately detecting color vision deficits.
Cole 1983	2.5	Diagn ostic	N = 100 observers with defective color vision. 17 protanomals, 51 deuteranomals, 9 protanopes and 17 deuteranopes.	Lantern tests: the Farnsworth lantern and the Holmes-Wright Type A and Type B lantern.	The Farnswor th dichoto mous test (Panel D15), the H-16 test, L'Anthon y's desatura ted test, the City	The sensitivity and specificity for the Farnsworth lantern test with D15 in the fail criterion of 5/4/3/2/1 xings were: 0.58 and 1.00/0.68 and 1.00/0.71 and 0.91/0.74 and 0.85/0.88 and 0.44. The sensitivity and specificity for the Farnsworth lantern test with City University based on 1/2/3 errors were: 0.74 and 0.85/0.62 and 0.97/0.56 and 1.00. The sensitivity and specificity for the Holmes-Wright Type A with D15 in the fail criterion of 5/4/3/2/1	"The lack of a strong correlation between clinical tests and the recognition of the small colored stimuli presented by the lantern tests suggests that clinical tests do not test the same aspect of color vision that is important to the recognition of signal lights. For this reason lantern tests should be retained for occupational testing of color vision."	Study suggests that Farnsworth D-15 test and City University tests were the best predictors of performance on lantern test but it appears that the lack of correlation between multiple color defective subjects suggests these tests of color vision test different aspects.

					Universit y test, the Farnswor th- Munsell 100 Hue test and the Nagel anomalo scope.	xings were: 0.44 and 1.00/ 0.52 and 1.00/ 0.56 and 0.86/ 0.59 and 0.79/ 0.81 and 0.50. The sensitivity and specificity for the Holmes-Wright Type A with City University based on 1/2/3 errors were: 0.62 and 0.93/ 0.49 and 1.00/ 0.44 and 1.00.		
Davison 2011	2.5	Diagn	N=102 healthy subjects. Age range 18-40 years.	Macular pigment (MP) optical density (MPOD) using customized heterochromatic flicker photometry.	Farnswor th- Munsell 100-Hue test (FM100), Morelan d match on the HMC anomalo scope, customiz ed short wavelen gth automat ed perimetr y (SWAP) techniqu e at foveola and at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5º eccentric ity	Mean±SD hue discrimination total error scores (TES): not significantly correlated. % partial error scores (PES): short wavelength hue discrimination in region of peak absorption by MP and discrimination at the short wavelength end of the expected axis of type III acquired color vision defect were non-significantly correlated to MPOD at all eccentricities. Anomaloscope Moreland match midpoints: negatively correlated to MPOD at all eccentricities indicating shift toward green mixtures to match cyan (p=0.001 at MPOD 0.25, 1, 1.75, and 3°). Foveal cSWAP data eccentricities: negatively correlated with MPOD at 1.75 and 3° (p=0.000).	"Our findings suggest that dietary supplementation to increase MPOD is unlikely to adversely affect hue discrimination. The association of MPOD with cSWAP may be a temporally limited effect to which the visual system normally adapts. We suggest that cSWAP may provide a clinical tool for assessing short-wavelength foveal sensitivity."	Study suggests that CSWAP "may" be useful in detecting foveal SWS- cones sensitivity but strong conclusions are limited.

			Ta. 400 II	I		1		
Hovis	2.5	Diagn	N=100 subjects with normal	Adams D-15, two	Nagel .	Passing agreement: any mistake –	"Approximately 98 per cent	Study suggests that
2004		osis	color vision and N=64 subjects	sessions at least 10	anomalo	significantly lower than other values	of the colour-normals and	approximately 98%
			with defective color vision,	days apart	scope	for both groups and for color-	82 per cent of the colour-	normal color vision
			congenital red-green. Mean			defectives at more than one	defectives would have the	individuals would have
			age color normal 30±10 years,			transposition.	same pass/fail outcome on	similar pass/fail outcome
			color defectives 29±11 years.			Failing agreement: color-normals	the Adams D-15 test	and about 82% of color
						increased as more errors were	conducted several days	defectives on Adams D-
						allowed; color-detectives values	apart when the failure	15 if tests repeated
						were constant. Failure criterion of	criterion was either one or	several days apart if
						more than 6 crossings: repeatability	more or two or more	failure criterion was
						of Adams D-15 was significantly	crossings."	either one or two or
						higher than the Farnsworth D-15.		more crossings but
						Confusion index (C-index) pass/fail		individuals who make
						criteria: correlation coefficients 0.90		less than four Adams D-
						for first session and 0.93 for second		15 crossmap need
						session. Inter-session classification:		repeat testing to confirm
						agreement between sessions		results. Also, the CDV
						k=0.38; 85% of subjects classified as		analyses is more
						protan at both sessions by Adam D-		accurate in correct
						15 were classified correctly.		defect classification.
						Coefficient of repeatability: C-index/		
						specificity index (S-index)/ Angle/		
						Crossings: color-normals		
						0.71/0.70/49.8/0.20; all color-		
						defectives 1.26/1.22/57.45/3.49.		
Mantere	1.0	Diagn	N=85 color caps	Farnsworth-Munsell	Ishihara	There were differences in absolute	"Our results show the	Study suggests efficiency
1995		ostic		100-hue test	color	values of the eigenvalues though no	efficiency of eigenvector	of eigenvector analysis in
					vision	greater importance over another	analysis in color	color representation and
					test	eigenvector for human color vision.	representation and in	approximating color
						The results for anomalous	approximating color-vision	deficiencies similar to
						trichromats did not differ from	deficiencies".	the Farnsworth-Munsell
						those of dichromats.		100 hue test.
1	1		1	1		l .		I.

Peripheral Vision Testing

Peripheral vision is particularly required to appreciate objects that are approaching the person or for situations where the person is moving and thus needing peripheral vision for accident avoidance. This is necessary for motor vehicle accident avoidance, avoidance of injury from a forklift driven by another worker, avoidance of injury from moving parts (e.g., suspended parts from an overhead crane), operation of overhead cranes, etc. Some safety sensitive and non-safety sensitive jobs require full visual fields to function.

Peripheral Vision Screening for Preplacement Examinations Recommended.

Preplacement peripheral vision screening is recommended for jobs that require peripheral vision.

Indications – Occupations that require peripheral vision, generally including most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs. Optimum means for testing are unclear. Screening the temporal field of vision with simple equipment that can measure degrees of visual field is a reasonable option. Confirmatory testing with standard automated perimetry testing equipment is required for definitive determinations, particularly those with reductions in visual fields or glaucoma.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Moderate

Peripheral Vision Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations Recommended.

Periodic peripheral vision screening is recommended for jobs that require peripheral vision.

Indications – Occupations that require peripheral vision, generally including most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs. Frequency is generally every year or biennially. Optimum means for testing are unclear. Screening the temporal field of vision with simple equipment that can measure degrees of visual field is a reasonable option. Confirmatory testing with standard automated perimetry testing equipment is required for definitive determinations, particularly those with reductions in visual fields or glaucoma.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Moderate

Peripheral Vision Screening for Select Post-injury Examinations

Recommended.

Peripheral vision screening is recommended for select post-injury examinations.

Indications – Post-injury examinations for jobs that also require peripheral vision. This is particularly needed where the injury may have reduced peripheral vision capabilities.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low

Peripheral Vision Screening for Select Postoperative Examinations

Peripheral vision screening is recommended for select postoperative examinations.

Indications – Postoperative examinations for jobs that also require a peripheral vision. This is particularly needed where the injury may have reduced peripheral vision capabilities.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low

Rationale for Recommendations

Peripheral vision is necessary for most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs and job tasks, although unsurpringly, there are no studies identified that address risks in those occupations. Cohort and longitudinal studies reported elevated crash risks among subjects with reduced useful field of view [276-278]. Other study designs have suggested visual field and/or useful field of vision [279, 280] are associated with crashes [279, 281-283]. Yet, multiple studies suggest no increased risk for peripheral vision [221, 276, 284, 285]. Driving simulator studies [286, 287] [288-290] and road tests [291, 292] suggest performance problems with one finding participants with bilateral central scotomas had higher risks of failing to detect pedestrians, slower and missed responses [287]. Another found performance impairments associated with peripheral vision impairments [288].

The degree of peripheral vision required varies among occupations. The most common screening tests used in primary care are manual kinetic testing (typically, "finger wiggle" moving from the lateral side forward) and confrontation fields. There are multiple tests that have been used mostly in comparative studies, including: Standard automated perimetry, Short-wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), Frequency-doubling technology perimetry (FDT), High-pass resolution perimetry (HPRP), Scanning Laser Polarimetry (SLP, GDx VCC), Optical coherence tomography (OCT), pattern-electroretinography (PERG), Pattern Electrand Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT), Octopus tendency-oriented perimetry (TOP), and the Humphrey Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm (SITA)-fast (HSF), SITA 24-2 SAP, and Humphrey Matrix perimetry [293-309] [310-313] [314-330] [92, 320, 331-350]. There are no validated tests that demonstrate a given test is able to predict both inability to accomplish normal peripheral vision as well as to not successfully avoid crashes or accidents. Thus, the means to accomplish screening are unclear. Automated equipment is commonly used for confirmatory testing (or for monitoring glaucoma) and Wagner is most commonly used.

Peripheral vision screening is nevertheless recommended for pre-placement and periodic screening for jobs that require peripheral vision. This includes most safety sensitive and safety critical jobs. When injuries or surgeries potentially impair peripheral vision, peripheral vision screening of post-injury and postoperative patients is also recommended. For those in jobs requiring peripheral vision who also have risks for acquired or progressive loss of peripheral vision (e.g., glaucoma), greater frequency of peripheral vision screening is recommended.

Peripheral vision screening is not invasive, is without adverse effects, is low cost and is thus recommended for select pre-placement, periodic surveillance, as well as select post-injury and postoperative examinations.

Evidence for Peripheral Vision Testing

Author	Categ	Study	Conflict of	Sample	Age/Sex:	Population	Case Definition	Investigative	Comparative	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Year (Score):	ory:	type:	Interest:	size:		Description		Test	Test			
Robin 2005 (8.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of COI.	N=659	Mean age: 64.6±0.7 years. 281 males, 378 females.	Participants 50 years and older in the Seymour community	Individuals 50- 90 years old with visual acuity <20/40, a family history of glaucoma or abnormal FDT, no history of stroke or previous diagnosis of glaucoma.	FDT	HRT	Optimal screening strategy combining visual acuity and family history with FDT and HRT had sensitivities at 96.8%, specificities at 89.7%, positive predictive values at 31.9%, and negative predictive values at 99.8% for detecting glaucoma.	"By combining assessments of presenting visual acuity and family history of glaucoma with Frequency Doubling Technology perimetry and Heidelberg Retina Tomography, we devised a community glaucomascreening algorithm that showed a high sensitivity and specificity for detecting glaucoma in the general population."	This study supports a combination community based glaucoma screening algorithm using visual acuity, family history, FDT perimetry and HRT yielding both high sensitivity and specificity to detect glaucoma.
Sample 2006 (6.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	Sponsored by National Eye Institute Grants EY 08208 (PAS) and EY11008 (LMZ) and	N = 111	Mean age for controls / OHT / GON / and PGON: 51.81 ± 13.70 / 60.27 ± 11.61 /	(N = 71) FDT with glaucomatous optic neuropathy, (N = 37) ocular hypertensive eyes, and (N = 28) age-	A best corrected acuity of 20/40 or better, a spherical refraction within and inclusive of ± 5.0 D	Short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), Frequency- doubling technology	Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	Controls vs GON group, the FDT pattern SD (PSD) area was larger than the HPRP PSD (= 0.020), and the FDT area of total deviation (TD) <5% was larger than	"At equal specificity, no single perimetric test was always affected, whereas others remained normal."	Data suggests the same quadrant of the retina shows damage for all tests first no one test was always affected in GON or PGON

Chauhan,	Visual	Diagno	participant retention incentive grants in the form of glaucoma medication at no cost: Alcon Laboratorie s Inc, Allergan, Pfizer Inc, and SANTEN Inc. P.A. Sample, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Welch-Allyn, and Haag-Streit (F); F.A. Medeiros, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc. (F); no other COI reported.	N=455	65.59 ± 11.42 / and 66.85 ± 10.57, gender not specified.	matched normal control.	(transposition allowed), and cylinder correction within ± 3.0 D.	perimetry (FDT), High-pass resolution perimetry (HPRP).	City University	the HPRP mean deviation (MD, p = 0.004). 2 (PSD) and 3 (PD) show the agreement among the 4 tests in identifying abnormality in eyes with GON and PGON combined (n = 142), using the 80% specificity criterion.	"The concept	patients suggesting a combination of tests may be needed to confirm early loss.
1986 (6.0)	Field Test	stic	mention of sponsorshi p or COI.		between the age 17 and 30 years	have very low incidence of congenital red/green and blue/yellow defects.	weighting PIC plates is utilized for the information theory to check the frequency of animals and	a derivatives of the Farnsworth D-15 sequence and the color samples on each plates	tests (Colour Vision Tests) vs PIC test (pseudoisochro matic plate tests) City 1 = Fletcher 1975	classified 413 subjects as normal = 90.77%, and 42 patients as abnormal = 9.23% using Ishihara plates. Percentage information	of utilizing weighted responses is a powerful tool and has direct clinical implications. By extracting a	that a weighted scoring system might provide better information about a person's true state of color vision

		•	•							•		
							defects passinf or failing the plates.		and City 2 = Fletcher 1980	increased from 25.4 to 31.6% (p=0.984) in City 1 and 34.2 to 45.9% (p=0.991) in City 2. GER decreased from 9 to 5.5% in city 1 and 5.9 to 4% in City 2.	selected amount of information and by reducing the level of spurious information or noise, tests can be made more efficient and as a consequence a good deal of time and effort can be saved."	when compared to using one unique test. Via the use of informational analysis, a cutoff point separated normal from defectives city 2 appeared to perform better than City 1, but still inferior to most PIC tests. ALL men were used due to low incidence of red or green color blindness in
Landers 2000 (5.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 62	Mean age 58 years, 26 male and 36 female.	With ocular hypertension and normal AAP visual fields.	An IOP > 21 mmHg when not receiving medication, visual acuity 6/12 or better, five dioptre or less of sphere and three dioptre or less of cylinder in refractive error, no previous intraocular surgery, no other systemic illness.	Achromatic automated perimetry (AAP), Short wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP).	Frequency doubling perimetry (FDP).	Of the 53 that tested normal with SWAP 51 were normal with FDP. Mean time to complete SWAP was 11 minutes and 37 seconds vs 4 minutes and 32 seconds for FDP, (p < 0.0001). Sensitivity of 88.9% (8/9) a specificity of 96.2% (51/53), a positive predictive value of 0.8 (8/10), and a negative	"These results suggest that as SWAP may be predictive of AAP visual field loss, FDP may be similarly predictive."	women. Data suggest high degree of concordance between SWAP and FDP.

Wu 2011 (5.5)	FDT	Diagno	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 49	Mean age 56.4 ± 9.8, 19 male and 30 female.	With open- angle glaucoma with visual field defects only in one hemifield.	Visual acuity greater than 20/28.6 and clear ocular media; reliable visual field test results (fixation losses <20% and false positives and false negatives <33%) that showed a hemifield defect.	With normal hemifields by FDT.	With abnormal hemifields by FDT.	predictive value of 0.98 (51/52). The sensitivity of the FDT hemifield abnormality criteria was 98%, the specificity of the FDT hemifield abnormality criteria was 88%. HFA-intact hemifields that were abnormal on FDT testing compared with those with normal FDT results (unpaired t test, p = 0.013–0.024).	"Frequency doubling technology can detect glaucomatous damage earlier than conventional static perimetry can."	Data suggest FDT detects glaucomatous damage earlier than standard static perimetry and is associated with a 98% sensitivity and 88% specificity.
Zeppieri 2010 (5.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 319	Mean age for: POAG / GON / OHT / and Controls; 65.9 ± 11.0 / 63.9 ± 9.3 / 63.6 ± 10.3 / and 53.4 ± 13.2.	(N = 87) ocular hypertensives (OHT); (N = 67) glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON); (N = 75) primary openangle glaucoma (POAG); and (N = 90) healthy subjects.	Best-corrected visual acuity better than or equal to 0.7; open anterior chamber angle; absence of ocular pathology other than glaucoma; reliable SAP, FDT, and Pulsar test results; good GDx and HRT image quality.	Pulsar perimetry (Pulsar), Frequency Doubling Technology (FDT), Scanning Laser Polarimetry (SLP, GDx VCC), and Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT).	SAP	The greatest AROC for discriminating between glaucomatous and healthy eyes were respectively: sLV for Pulsar; no. p < 5% in the PDP for FDT; CSM for HRT; and NFI for GDx. Accuracy in discriminating between POAG and healthy eyes the AROCs were significantly higher for Pulsar sLV and FDT no. p < 5% than for structural parameters. POAG	"Pulsar T30W test is a rapid and easy perimetric method, showing higher sensitivity than SAP in detecting early glaucomatous VF loss."	Data suggest comparable efficacy between FDT, HRT and GDx. Data suggests T30W has a higher sensitivity then SAP and is better detecting early glaucomatous disease.

Choi FDT Diagno stic mention of sponsorshi p or COI. (5.5) (5.5) (5.5) (5.6) (5.6) (5.7) (5.6) (5.7) (5.8) (7.8) (8.8) (1.8	ed vs FDT nd vs HRT nd 79). For ulsar ability vas higher 0x (0.69) ver than 30) and The ent among ents from 0.12 Pulsar test n was vs SAP and < .001). ogMAR) of perimetric na group 1 ± 0.68 vs group 0.09 (p = 0.154). m SAP was 2.75 dB in metric na patients 12 ± 1.66 ontrols 92). an PSD P was 2.14 IB in metric na and 1.88	(0.83). The agreement among instruments ranged from 0.12 to 0.56. Pulsar test duration was shorter vs SAP and FDT, (p < .001). Normal BCVA (logMAR) of the preperimetric glaucoma group was 0.11 ± 0.68 vs normal group 0.09 ± 0.77, (p = 0.154). MD from SAP was -2.66 ± 2.75 dB in preperimetric glaucoma patients and -2.12 ± 1.66 dB in controls (p = 0.092). The mean PSD from SAP was 2.14 ± 1.01 dB in preperimetric glaucoma and 1.88	Data suggest Humphrey Matrix 24-2 may be valuable in detecting preperimetric glaucoma.
--	--	---	--

		ı		Т	ı	ı	1 .	1		1	ı	1
							history, and no systemic disease or medication that affect visual acuity.			controls, (p = 0.063). Discriminating power by the modified Anderson criteria showed the highest sensitivity and hit ratio (75.76% and 76.92%, χ^2 = 63.24).		
Horn 2012 (5.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	Sponsored by Deutsche Forschungs gemeinsch aft, Bonn, Germany. No COI.	N = 588	Age range 34 to 71 years, gender not specified.	(N = 334) open angle glaucoma patients and (N = 254) controls.	A visual acuity of 20/40 or better, and a myopic refractive error not exceeding –8 D.	Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT).	Frequency doubling technology (FDT).	Highest sensitivities at a fixed specificity (95%) were: HRT = 32%, FDT = 19%, combined analysis = 47% in preperimetric patients and HRT= 76%, FDT = 89%, combined analysis = 96% in perimetric patients. HRT had a higher diagnostic power for early glaucomas and FDT perimetry for glaucoma patients with visual field loss.	"The feasibility of machine learning for medical diagnostic assistance could be demonstrated in patients from 2 independent study populations."	Data suggest combining morphology and function (HRT with FDT) translates into better diagnostic power.
Kaushik, 2011 (5.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p. No COI.	N=114	Mean age was 47.3 years. 72 males, 42 females.	60 ocular hypertensive patients (OHT) and 54 subjects with suspicious	Patients with OHT were required to fulfill the following	Frequency- Doubling Technology (FDT) perimetry and Optical	Optic disc size	In Disc suspects, FDT-Mean Deviation correlated with retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL)	In OHT, optic discs with larger VCDR and thinner RNFL had lower FDT-MD	Data suggest both OCT and FDT are useful detecting those types of changes which may be

						glaucoma (disc suspects).	criteria in both eyes: best-corrected visual acuity 20/40 or better (refractive error ±5.0D spherical and ±3.0D cylinder); IOP greater than 22mm Hg and less than 32mm Hg. Disc suspects were included if they had features suggestive of glaucomatous optic neuropathy as described above; IOP less than 21.0mm Hg on at least 2 successive measurements spaced 2 weeks apart	coherence tomography (OCT).		thickness measurements (p<0.001 and p=0.003) and disc area (p<0.001). In OHT patients the FDT-Mean Deviation also significantly correlated with mean RNFL thickness (p=0.038).	values. In disc suspects, smaller-sized discs had thinner RNFL and lower values of FDT-MD.	associated with glaucoma.
Wadood 2002 (5.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	No COI. No mention of sponsorshi p.	N=98	Mean±SD age 69.5±8.7 years. 59 female, 39 male.	With glaucoma.	With typical glaucomatous optic disk damage.	Humphrey— Welch Allyn frequency- doubling technology (FDT).	Octopus tendency- oriented perimetry (TOP), and the Humphrey Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm	Mean test time was 1.08±0.28 minutes, 2.31±0.28 minutes, and 4.14±0.57 minutes for the FDT, TOP, and HSF, respectively, p<0.0001.	"The C-20 FDT, G1-TOP, and 24-2 HSF appear to be useful tools to diagnose glaucoma. The test C-20 FDT and G1-TOP take	Data suggest all tests (FDT, TOP, HSF) have moderately comparable sensitivities and specificities. However, test time is significantly less

									(SITA)-fast (HSF).	Sensitivity for FDT: 91.4%; TOP 94.2%; HSF 98.5%	approximately 1/4 and 1/2 of the time taken by 24 to 2 HSF."	with HSF followed by FDT and TOP.
Heeg 2009 (5.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	Sponsored by the Dutch Health Care Insurance Council (CVZ) and the University Medical Centre Groningen, the Netherland s.	N = 174	Mean age was 60 (13), 80 male and 94 female.	With ocular hypertension or a positive family history of glaucoma without visual field abnormalities at baseline.	Suspected optic disc, vertical cup—disc ratio 40.6, Glaucoma hemifield test (GHT) outside normal limits, Pattern SD, (p < 0.05), Or, 3 adjacent non-edge points, (p < 0.05).	Frequency doubling perimetry (FDT) / Nerve Fibre analyser (GDx).	Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	Relative risk for FDT was 1.8 (CI: 0.9–3.7; p = 0.10) and of an abnormal baseline for GDx 2.7 (CI: 1.2–6.3; p = 0.01). Positive predictive value was 0.22 for both and FDT and GDx; negative predictive value was 0.88 for FDT and 0.92 for GDx.	"In a clinical setting, especially GDx may be helpful for identifying glaucoma suspect patients at risk of developing glaucomatous visual field loss as assessed by SAP."	Data suggest that in SAP test patients, GDx "may" aid in identifying glaucoma at risk patients.
Salvetat 2010 (5.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 105	Mean age for Controls and POAG 58.7 ± 12.3 and 60.2 ± 11.7.	With primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG).	Best corrected vision acuity better or equal to 0.7 decimal, open anterior chamber angle, absence of ocular pathology other than glaucoma, reliable VF test results.	Control group, normal intraocular pressure (IOP), ONH and RNFL appearance.	POAG group (54 eyes): IOP 421mmHg before medication, reproducible glaucomatous SAP VF defects.	All significant perimeters between the groups, (p < 0.0001), except PP test duration, (p = 0.73). Number of locations in pattern deviation probability (PDP) plot with p < 5% for FDT (0.93); mean hit rate for RBP was 0.95 and mean defect for PP was 0.94. PP test		Data suggest FDT, PP and RBT are rapid and easy methods for detecting early glaucomatous disease and PP took half as much time to perform vs. SAP.

Bayer 2002 (5.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 36	Mean age was 59.1 ± 6.5 and 59.8 ± 6.6 years, 13 male and 23 female.	With POAG	Optic disc cupping with a cup-to-disc ratio of 0.6 and untreated IOP of more than 21 mmHg on at least three occasions.	Short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), perimetry, and pattern- electroretinog raphy (PERG), and Frequency- doubling technology (FDT).	Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	duration was shorter than FDT and RBP, (p < 0.002). SWAP-MD / FDT-MD / SAP-MD / and PERG amplitudes N1P1: (paired t test, p = 0.0003) / (p = 0.0003) / (p = 0.0001) / (p = 0.0001) / (p = 0.0001) and P1N2 (p = 0.0001) between contralateral POAG eyes. Sensitivities of 80.6% and 66.7% and specificities of 61.1% and 50.4% achieved with PERG P1N2-amplitude (AROC score 0.776; p < 0.0001) and N1P1-amplitude (AROC score	"A test battery of SWAP-MD and PERG P1N2 amplitude could detect glaucomatous optic neuropathy in POAG eyes with normal standard visual fields, whereas FDT-MD and SWAP-MD significantly correlated with each other and with SAP-MD."	Data suggest SWAP and PERG detected glaucomatous optic neuropathy. There was good correlation to SAP between SWAP and FDT.
										(AROC score 0.628; p < 0.062), respectively.		
Redmond 2013 (5.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	Sponsored by the Glaucoma Research Foundation (Dr Artes) and by grant MOP- 11357 from	N = 64	Mean age 65 years and in patients and 62 years in controls, gender not specified.	With open- angle glaucoma (OAG).	SAP mean deviation (MD) between -2 and -10 dB, optic disc damage consistent with the clinical diagnosis, and	Frequency-doubling matrix perimetry (FDT2).	Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	Agreement between FDT2 and SAP was moderate with TD for both patients, (k = 0.44) and controls, (k = 0.34), but lower with PD for patients, (k = 0.03)	"No evidence was found that FDT2 is more sensitive than SAP in identifying visual field deterioration."	Data suggests similar efficacy for detection of visual field deterioration between FDT2 and SAP.

			the				no other ocular			and controls, (K =		
			Canadian				disease.			0.00).		
			Institutes							Significant		
			of							deterioration was		
			Health							identified in 16%of		
			Research							patients with		
			(Dr							FDT2, in 17%of		
			Chauhan).							patients with SAP.		
			No COI.									
Shah	FDT	Diagno	Sponsored	N=123	SAP	One eye from	No history of	Scanning	Optical	The sensitivity and	"A	This data
2006 (5.0)		stic	by the		Definition:	each	intraocular	laser	coherence	specificity in	combination of	suggests a
			National		Glaucoma –	participant was	surgery, with	polarimetry	tomography	detecting	parameters	combination of
			Institutes		Mean age	included in the	exception to		(OCT),	glaucomatous VF	from structural	tests
			of Health,		of 68.3, 23	study.	uncomplicated		scanning laser	damage is 41.9	tests and	determining
			Bethesda,		Males, and		cataract or		polarimetry,	and 98.3 for	functional tests	both structure
			Maryland.		20 Females.		glaucoma		frequency-	scanning laser	can improve	and function
			No		Control –		surgery. All		doubling	polarimetry, 58.1	the sensitivity	increases the
			mention of		Mean age		subjects with		technology	and 98.3 for OCT,	of glaucoma	sensitivity for
			COI.		of 58.6, 22		non-		(FDT) and	58.1 and 84.5 for	detection."	the detection of
					males, and		glaucomatous		short-	confocal scanning		glaucoma.
					36 females.		secondary		wavelength	laser		
							causes of		automated	ophthalmoscopy,		
					Stereophot		elevated IOP,		perimetry	44.2 and 98.3 for		
					ography		other		(SWAP)	FDT perimetry and		
					Definition:		intraocular eye			65.1 and 86.2 for		
					Glaucoma –		diseases, other			SWAP. The		
					Mean age		diseases			addition of FDT		
					of 65.5, 27		affecting VF,			significantly		
					males, and		medications			increases (P<0.05)		
					38 females.		known to			sensitivity without		
					Control –		affect VF			significantly		
					Mean age		sensitivity, or			changing		
					of 60.1, 18		problems			specificity when		
					males, and		other than			compared to		
					31 females.		glaucoma			structural		
							affecting color			parameters. The		
							vision.			addition of SWAP		
										significantly		
1			1	1						increases the		

Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) Tafreshi
Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) Tafreshi 500 (5.0) Tafreshi 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) Tafreshi
Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) Tafreshi 5009 (5.0) Tafreshi 7009 (5.0) Tafreshi 1009 (5.0) Tafreshi
Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) Tafreshi
Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) Tafreshi 2009 (5.0) There is no significant abnormality is por COI. There is no significant abnormality is por COI. Mean age of 59.6, 59 males, and 105 males. There is no significant abnormality is presence of the optic disk previous appearance of intraocular stereophotogr surgery, There is no significant abnormality is presence of when measured with the McNemar test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
2009 (5.0) stic mention of sponsorshi p or COI. Group — glaucomatous appearance of the optic disk on males, and 105 simultaneous females. Stereophotogr surgery, significant difference in single important and optima when defects is significant difference in single important and optima when defects is optima when defects is when measured with the McNemar test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
sponsorshi p or COI. Mean age of 59.6, 59 the optic disk on history of males, and 105 simultaneous females. Sponsorshi p or COI. Mean age of 59.6, 59 the optic disk previous on history of simultaneous stereophotogr surgery, Mean age of 59.6, 59 the optic disk previous optima when defects is consistent in terms of test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
p or COI. of 59.6, 59 the optic disk previous test sensitivities optima when defects is when measured an abnormal consistent in terms of females. stereophotogr surgery, test sensitivities optima when defects is when measured an abnormal consistent in terms of test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
males, and on history of when measured an abnormal consistent in 105 simultaneous intraocular females. stereophotogr surgery, test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
105 simultaneous intraocular with the McNemar SAP is terms of females. stereophotogr surgery, test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
females. stereophotogr surgery, test: SAP vs SWAP confirmed by a location across
Glaucoma intraocular FDT (P=0.39), SAP or SWAP SWAP or FDT)
group – pressure SWAP vs FDT test." and areas of loss
Mean age caused by non- (P=0.71). SAP had equate into
of 56.9, 81 glaucomatous a sensitivity of disease. If there
males, 93 causes, 30%, FDT had a exists an
females. coexisting sensitivity of 28% abnormal SAP,
retinal disease, and SWAP had a this should be
other diseases sensitivity of 29%. confirmed with
affecting visual When combined, either SWAP or
field, taking SAP/SAP had the FDT to maximize
medication highest sensitivity sensitivity and
that affects and SWAP/FDT specificity.
visual field had the lowest
sensitivity or sensitivity.
problems
affecting color
vision other
than glaucoma.
Thomas FDT Diagno No N = 162 No mention With With Frequency Automated When using the "Frequency Data suggest
2000 (5.0) stic mention of patients, of mean glaucoma. glaucomatous doubling perimetry frequency doubling FDP detects
sponsorshi 248 eyes age or sex. defects and perimetry. using Swedish doubling perimetry is a neuro-
p of COI. with "typical" Interactive perimetry 20-5, a sensitive and ophthalmic VF
neuro- Threshold single point specific test for defects with
ophthalmic Algorithm pressed to the less detecting good sensitivity
field defects. than 1% 'neuro- and specificity.

		1	1		ı		1	1	1	1		,
							visual acuity of			probability yielded	ophthalmic'	
							6/60 or			a sensitivity of	field defects."	
							greater.			97.1% and a		
										specificity of 95%,		
										2% probability		
										yielded 98.6% and		
										85%, and 5%		
										yielded 99.3% and		
										53.3 %. The 20-1		
										test with a single		
										point pressed to		
										the less than 1%		
										probability yielded		
										a sensitivity of		
										95.7% and a		
										specificity of 95%.		
										Two abnormal		
										points depressed		
										to <1% probability		
										in the 20-1 had a		
										specificity of 100%		
										and a sensitivity of		
										84.8%.		
Kim, 2007	FDT	Diagno	Supported	N=93	Mean age	93 glaucoma	Open angles,	Frequency	Standard	38 eyes showed a	"When SAP is	Data suggest
(4.5)		stic	by the		was 63.2	patients.	spherical	doubling	automated	normal SAP and	within normal	FDT may be able
			National		years. 51		refraction	technology	perimetry	normal FDT (Group	range, some	to detect early
			Institutes		males, 42		within ±5	perimetry	(SAP)	1), 19 eyes showed	patients with	glaucoma as
			of Health,		females.		diopters,	(FDT)		a normal SAP and	VF loss	there is thinning
			Bethesda,				cylinder			abnormal FDT	detected by	RNFL detected
			Maryland				correction			(Group 2), 4 eyes	FDT show a	by FDT when
			(grant				within ±3			showed an	decreased	SAP results are
			nos.				diopters and			abnormal SAP and	RNFL	normal.
			EY11008				best-corrected			a normal FDT	thickness,	
			[LMZ],				acuity of 20/40			(Group 3), and 32	possibly	
			EY08208				or better.			eyes showed an	indicating the	
			[PAS]). COI:							abnormal result in	presence of	
			research							both SAP and FDT	glaucomatous	
			support							(Group 4). The	damage. These	
			from Carl							mean deviation	results support	

Tofrochi	EDT	Diagno	Zeiss Meditec (LMZ, PAS, RNW), Heidelberg Engineerin g (LMZ, RNW), Welch- Allyn (PAS), and Haag- Streit (PAS). Honoraria from Heidelberg Engineerin g (LMZ, RNW) and Carl Zeiss Meditec (RNW).	N = 06	Hoalthy	Dationts with	Control 49	Pattorn	Davshanhysical	was -2.59 dB in the SAP group compared to -3.90 db in the FDT group. The FDT MD was significantly worse in group 4 than groups 1 and 2 (p<0.05).	the validity of FDT as a tool to detect early glaucoma."	Dota suggest
Tafreshi 2010	FDT	Diagno stic	Sponsored by research	N = 96 patients	Healthy patients	Patients with glaucomatous	Central 48 degrees (52	Pattern Electroretinog	Psychophysical Testing:	At high specificity (95%) the	"Overall, our results suggest	Data suggest FDT had a
(4.5)		Stit	grants NIH	N= 175	(n=42	appearing	test points) of	ram Testing	Standard	sensitivity	that pattern	diagnostic
			EY018190,	eyes	patients	optic discs	the visual field.	(PERGLA was	Automated	obtained for	ERG amplitude	accuracy than
			NIH	-	and 83	such as	. Best-	used to	Perimetry 24-	pattern ERG	using the	pattern ERG,
			EY008208,		eyes) had	glaucomatous	corrected	measure the	2, Short-	amplitude was	pattern ERG	SAP or SWAP.
			NIH EVO11000		an average	optic	acuity better	pattern ERG	Wavelength	significantly lower	for glaucoma	
			EY011008, and		age of 63.6, and	neuropathy.	than or equal to 20/40. The	response)	Automated Perimetry	than that obtained for SAP and FDT	detection paradigm is	
			participant		glaucoma		spherical		(SITA) 24-2,	PSD and was	significantly	
			incentive		patients		refraction		and	similar to that of	different	
			grants in		were 70.4.		within ± 5.0D		Frequency-	SWAP PSD. The	between	
			the form of		Healthy: 55		and cylinder		Doubling	diagnostic	healthy eyes	
			glaucoma		female eyes		correction		Technology	accuracy of	and early	
	1	l	medication		and 28	1	within ± 3.0D,		(FDT) 24-2.	pattern ERG was	glaucoma eyes,	
			. No		male eyes.		and open		(of lower quality	and the	

			mention of		53 female		ganiossany			with a ROC	accuracy of	<u>'</u>
							gonioscopy. Pattern ERG					
			COI.		eyes and 39					curve=0.818. The	pattern ERG	
					male eyes		tested all eyes			diagnostic	amplitude	
							for good			accuracy of	likely is similar	
							quality stereo-			pattern ERG	to that of SAP	
							photography			amplitude ROC	and SWAP and	
							of the optic			curve=0.744 was	somewhat	
							disc and			statistically similar	worse than	
							reliable SAP,			to that of SAP PSD	FDT. Pattern	
							SWAP and FDT,			and SWAP PSD	ERG (and other	
							within 9			ROC curves =	electrophysiolo	
							months			0.786 and 0.732	gical	
										respectively. The	techniques)	
										area under the	has the	
										ROC curve for FDT	advantage of	
										PSD was 0.818	being a mainly	
										significantly	objective visual	
										greater than that	function test	
										obtained for	and may be	
										pattern ERG	useful for	
										amplitude 0.744.	patients who	
										(p = 0.04). No	are unable to	
										statistically	perform	
										significant	reliably on	
										differences	psychophysical	
										between pattern	tests."	
										ERG ROC curve	tests.	
										area and SAP PSD		
										curve (0.786; p =		
										0.17) and SWAP		
										-		
										PSD (0.732; p = 0.41).		
Dowed	FDT	Diagna	No	N= 94	Covicent	Lloalthy.	All subject over	Fraguena	Coopping loss:	•	"In conclusion	Data suggest
Bowd,	וטז	Diagno	mention of	N= 94	Sex is not	Healthy	All subject eyes	Frequency	Scanning laser	The largest area	"In conclusion,	Data suggest
2001 (4.5)		stic	COI.		mentioned.	subjects or	had open	doubling	polarimetry	under the Receiver	the largest ROC	OCT and FDT
					N4	patients with	angles, best	technology	(SLP) Optical	operating	curve area for	parameters
			Supported		Mean age:	glaucoma,	corrected	(FDT)	coherence	Characteristic	OCT (inferior	more sensitive
			by National		61.91 years.	prospectively	acuity of 20/40	perimetry.	tomography	(ROC) curve was	quadrant	than SWAP and
			Institutes			enrolled as	or better,		(OCT) short-	found for	thickness) was	SAP parameters.
			of Health			longitudinal	sphere within		wavelength		larger than the	The instrument

	Grants	study	65.0 diopters	automated	OCT inferior	largest ROC	with best
	EY11008	participants.	(D), and	perimetry	quadrant thickness	curve area	sensitivity and
	(LMZ)	participantes.	cylinder within	(SWAP)	(0.91 for diagnosis	for SLP (LDF)	specificity not
	and		63.0 D at time	Standard	based on	and SWAP	recommended
	EY08208		of testing.	automated	SAP, 0.89 for	(PSD) when	for as a sole
	(PAS), the		or testing.	perimetry.	diagnosis based on	diagnosis was	screening test in
	Glaucoma		Healthy eyes in	perimetry.	disc appearance),	based on	the general
	Research		this study (n 5		followed by	SAP, and the	population.
	Foundation		38) had a		the FDT number of	largest ROC	population
	(PAS), the		measured IOP		total deviation plot	curve area for	
	Research		of 22 mm		points of ≤5%	OCT (inferior	
	to Prevent		Hg or less with		(0.88 and	quadrant	
	Blindness		no history of		0.87, respectively),	thickness) was	
	Lew R.		elevated IOP.		SLP linear	larger than the	
	Wasserma		Cicvatca 101 .		discriminant	largest ROC	
	n award				function (0.79 and	curve area for	
	(PAS), and				0.81, respectively),	SWAP	
	the				and SWAP PSD	(PSD) when	
	Foundation				(0.78 and 0.76,	diagnosis was	
	for Eye				respectively).	based on disc	
	Research				For diagnosis	appearance.	
	(EZB, CV).				based on SAP, the	ROC	
	(LZB, CV).				ROC curve area	curve areas	
					was	among other	
					significantly larger	instruments	
					for OCT than for	were not	
					SLP and SWAP. For	significantly	
					diagnosis	different for	
					based on disc	either	
					appearance, the	diagnostic	
					ROC curve area	criterion.	
					was	Sensitivities	
					significantly larger	were best	
					for OCT than for	(although not	
					SWAP. For both	always	
					diagnostic	significantly so)	
					criteria, at	for OCT and	
						FDT	
					specificities of		
						measurements	

the most sensitive SWAP and SLP. SWAP and SLP. However, the sensitivity than the most sensitive than the most sensitive than the most sensitive standard the sensitive standard that the sensitive s	1	 	1	1	ı	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			
OCT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. For diagnosis based on SAP, the most sensitive FOT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of 290% and 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 270%, For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FOT parameter was more sensitive sensitive FOT parameter was more sensitive serial CVA specificity of parameter was more sensitive sp								≥90% and ≥70%,	followed by	
was more sensitive bant the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. For diagnosis based on SAP, the most sensitive That the most sensitive That the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificities of 290% and carry and sensitive That the most specificity of 270%. For diagnosis based on disc sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive That the most sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive That the most sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive That the most sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive That the most sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive That the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity 2 90%, saud 22% (for sexplate), assuming that the them of the parameters and secretable, assuming that the them of the parameters. At specificity 2 90%, simple										
than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. For odlagnosis based on SAP, the most sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive than the sensitive than the most sensitive flow parameter at specificity of 270%. For diagnosis based on disc sensitive flow parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive than the most sensitive than the most specificity of 590%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameters. At specificity of 590%, the most sensitive SWAP parameters was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameters was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and 51.P parameters. At specificity of sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity 290%, which is relatively simple was summing that the technique is relatively simple.								·		
sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. For diagnosis based on SAP, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of 290% and 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 270%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 270%. For diagnosis based on disc sensitive SWAP specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive mature sensitive SWAP parameter sensitive SWAP specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT sparameter was more sensitive SWAP specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT sparameter was more sensitive PDT sparameter was more sensitive or specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT sparameter was more sensitive PDT sparameter was more sensitive PDT sparameter was more sensitive SWAP and SLP the technique is relatively specificity of specificity of specificity of specificity of sparameter. At specificity spows, simple										
SWAP and SLP parameters. For diagnosis based on SAP, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 270%, For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FWAP sensitive FWAP sensitive FWAP sensitive FWAP sensitive FWAP aparameter was more sensitive fwappearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FWAP aparameters was more sensitive than the most sensitive FWAP aparameters was more sensitive than the most sensitive FWAP aparameters. At specificity 290%, is relatively simple										
parameters. For diagnosis based on SAP, the most sensitive FIT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, and solved appearance at appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP and SAP										
diagnosis based on SAP, the are probably most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the screening most sensitive SUP parameter at specificities of 290% and 270% and was screening in more sensitive sufficient of the parameter at specificities of 290% and 270% and was creening in more sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 270%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 390%, the most appearance at specificity of 390%, the most sensitive sufficiency of 390%, the most sensitive was more sensitive and 92% (for sensitive SWAP and SWAP) are measures, for expectative sensitive sufficiency of 390%, the most sensitive sufficiency of 390% and 92% (for sensitive SWAP and SLP are sufficiency of 390% and 392% (for sensitive SWAP and SLP are sufficiency as sufficient to work as								SWAP and SLP		
SAP, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the screening method in the garameter at specificities of 290% and 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 270%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SWAP parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity 200%, specificity 200%, specificity 200%, specificity 200%, simple										
most sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of ≥70% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of ≥70%. For diagnosis based on disc sensitive SWAP aparameter at specificity of ≥20%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of ≥20%, the most sensitive SWAP aparameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP aparameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP aparameter at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive SWAP and SLP and SLP the technique than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, is specificity ≥ 90%, is migmle with specificity ≥ 90%, is migmle in sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP the technique is relatively simple.										
parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SUP parameter at specificity of 290% and 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of 3≥70%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive at the teatment is at apremium (e.g., developing anations), a sensitive for parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SUP parameter was more sensitive the technique is relatively simple sensitively sensitively simple sensitively sensitive supplementations are sensitively sensitive supplementations are sensitively sensitive supplementations are sensitively sensitived sensitives.										
more sensitive state than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of ≥90% and ≥70% and was recently in the general population. In ≥90% and ≥70% and was recently in situations in which than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of ≥70%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of ≥70%, the most sensitive SWAP parameter was more sensitive SWAP and SLP parameter was more sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive PDT sensitive PDT parameter was more sensitive was more sensitive pDT parameter was more sensitive was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity 9 is inple								most sensitive FDT	not sufficient	
than the most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of ≥90% and ≥70% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of ≥70%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of ≥90%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive end and sensitive parameter at specificity of ≥90%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive exercise parameter sensitive for parameter was more sensitive for parameter was more sensitive for parameter was more sensitive for parameter sensitive for parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive for parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive for parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive for parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive for parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive for parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
most sensitive SLP parameter at specificities of 290% and 290% and 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at apremium (leg., comparance at appearance at appearance at appearance at sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive FDT parameter. At specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity 390%, simple										
parameter at specificities of 290% and 270% and was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive EDT several OCT marameter was more sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity of sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity of sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity of sensitive SWAP and SLP sensitive SWAP is relatively simple								than the		
specificities of ≥90% and contrast, for screening in stream of the sensitive SWAP and service sensitive SWAP and service sensitive sens										
≥90% and 270% and was screening in streamments screening in which than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at a premium specificity of (e.g., ≥70%. For diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive EDT several OCT parameter was more sensitive SWAP and SLP the technique sensitive SWAP and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥90%, simple										
≥70% and was more sensitive situations in which than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at specificity of ≥70%. For developing nations), a sensitivity and specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive but than the most sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity ≥90%, simple										
more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at a premium specificity of diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and appearance at specificity of specificity of specificity of specificity of diagnosis based on disc appearance at specificity of specificity										
than the most sensitive SWAP parameter at a premium specificity of (e.g., developing diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and specificity of specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity be acceptable, assuming that the technique parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple								≥70% and was	screening in	
sensitive SWAP parameter at a premium (e.g., developing diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and specificity of specificity of specificity of 199%, the most sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple								more sensitive		
parameter at specificity of (e.g., e.g., developing of diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and appearance at specificity of specificity of 79% ≥90%, the most sensitive FDT servameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP and SLP and SLP parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
specificity of ≥70%. For developing diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and appearance at specificity of 290%, the most sensitive FDT parameter was more sensitive example) may be acceptable, assuming that the most sensitive SWAP and SLP parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
≥70%. For diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and appearance at specificity of 79% ≥90%, the most sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was more sensitive wample) may than the most sensitive SWAP and SLP the technique parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple									a premium	
diagnosis based on disc sensitivity and specificity of specificity of 79% ≥90%, the most and 92% (for sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple								specificity of		
disc sensitivity and appearance at specificity of 590%, the most and 92% (for sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
appearance at specificity of 79% ≥90%, the most and 92% (for sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								diagnosis based on		
specificity of ≥90%, the most and 92% (for sensitive FDT parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								disc	sensitivity and	
≥90%, the most sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was measures, for example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
sensitive FDT several OCT parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
parameter was measures, for more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple										
more sensitive example) may than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								sensitive FDT	several OCT	
than the most be acceptable, sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								parameter was	measures, for	
sensitive SWAP assuming that and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								more sensitive	example) may	
and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								than the most	be acceptable,	
and SLP the technique parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								sensitive SWAP	assuming that	
parameters. At is relatively specificity ≥ 90%, simple								and SLP		
specificity ≥ 90%, simple								parameters. At		

										instruments for classifying eyes as glaucomatous was poor.	and quick. The poor diagnostic agreement found among instruments suggests that different techniques may identify different characteristics of glaucomatous damage."	
Cioffi, 2000 (4.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of COI.	N=130	The mean age was 55.5 years. 88 females, 42 males were in the study	116 eyes (45%) were normal. Fifty-five eyes (21%) had evidence of cataractous lens changes, while only 9 (3.5%) of these eyes had best corrected visual acuity worse than 20/30. Sixteen eyes (6%) had open-angle glaucoma, 44 (17%) were diagnosed as "glaucoma suspects," and 27 (11%) had an intraocular	A participant was considered to be a "glaucoma suspect" if a suspicious optic nerve examination or intraocular pressure above 20 mm Hg was noted.	Frequency doubling technology (FDT) perimetry	standard achromatic automated perimetry (SAP), anterior segment biomicroscopy, tonometry, and dilated Ophthalmosco py.	On clinical examination, 116 eyes (45%) were normal, 9 eyes (3.5%) had a cataract with best corrected visual acuity worse than 20/30, 16 eyes (6%) had open-angle glaucoma, and 17 eyes (7%) had retinal findings or lesions that were likely to cause a visual field defect. For FDT perimetry, 22 (8.6%) of 257 tests were unreliable, and for SAP, 65 (25.3%) of 257 tests were	"Finally, in a separate study, we have demonstrated that the FDT (C-20-5 test) sensitivity varied between 94% and 100%, depending on the severity of glaucoma in a controlled clinical population of glaucoma patients.'8 In these well-controlled studies with defined patient populations in a clinical setting, FDT	Data suggests FDP shows promise as a community screening tool for eye disease.

1	1	ı		1		1	1			1
					head (ONH)			group were the	is not currently	
					and retinal			following: mean	available. RP	
					nerve			(SD) SAP MD -1.04	has the	
					fiber layer			(0.68), mean (SD)	additional	
					(RNFL); and			SAP PSD 1.60	advantage of	
					central corneal			(0.31), mean (SD)	not	
					thickness (CCT)			FDT	requiring any	
					≤550 μm.			MD 1.1 (1.4),	expensive	
								mean (SD) FDT	device to be	
								PSD 3.0 (0.3),	used. The poor	
								mean (SD) RP	agreement	
								MHR 96.2 (2.0).	between these	
								The differences	techniques in	
								between the two	identifying	
								groups were not	eyes with early	
								significant for all	damage	
								studied indexes	warrants	
								(Figs. 3-5).	further	
								According	investigations.	
								to the abnormality	Large	
								criteria we	longitudinal	
								adopted, 11	studies are	
								(36.6%) out of the	needed before	
								30 OHT eyes had	defining the	
								abnormal RP	role of RP in	
								results;	early glaucoma	
								12 (40.0%) eyes	diagnosis."	
								had abnormal FDT		
								results (Fig. 6); 5		
								(16.6%) eyes had		
								abnormal RP and		
								FDT findings. Only		
								1		
								eye (3.3%) in the		
								control group had		
								abnormal RP		
								results and 3 eyes		
								(10.0%) had		

										abnormal FDT		
										results (Fig. 7). RP		
										and FDT showed a		
										moderate		
										agreement (Kappa		
										= 0.43;		
										95% CI: 0.42 to		
										0.51) (28). Mean		
										(SD) CCT was 532		
										(8)		
										μm (range 510-548		
										μm) in the OHT		
										group and 561 (22)		
										μm		
										(range 515-607) in		
										the control group		
										(a cutoff level was		
										adopted for CCT		
										only for OHT		
										patients).		
Hirashima	FDT	Diagno	No conflict	N=26	Mean age:	26 patients	subjects with	frequency-	Heidelberg	SAP and FDT	"In conclusion,	Data suggest
, 2013		stic	of interest.		54.66 years	with	normal open	doubling	retina	indices, HRT	although PPG	poor correlation
(4.5)			The study			preperimetric	angles and	technology	tomography-2	parameters, and	eyes have	between
			was		25 females,	glaucoma	normal visual	(FDT)	(HRT2),	circumpapillary	significantly	structure and
			supported		21 males	(PPG) and 20	field results on	perimetry	standard	retinal nerve	worse FDT	function as
			in part by a			healthy eyes of	standard white		automated	fiber layer	indices and	these changes
			Grant-in-			20 volunteers.	on		perimetry	(cpRNFL) and	thinner cpRNFL	are not uniform.
			Aid for				white		(SAP),	macular ganglion	and GCC	
			Scientific				perimetry. The		and RTVue-	cell complex	thicknesses	
			Research				eligible eyes		100.	(mGCC)	compared to	
			(20592038)				were assigned			thicknesses were	healthy control	
			from the				to the			correlated using	eyes, the	
			Japan				preperimetric			Pearson's test.	correlations	
			Society for				group when			Areas under the	between the	
			the				glaucomatous			receiver operating	functional and	
			Promotion				optic disc			characteristic	structural	
			of Science				appearance			curves	parameters	
			(JSPS),				was evident.			(AUROCs) and	were poor.	
							Volunteer eyes			sensitivity/specifici		

	т	okyo,	1		were assigned	I	ty based on each	In addition,	
		-			to the		•		
]];	apan.					parameter's definition of	neither of	
					healthy control			these	
					group when		abnormalities	functional or	
					they had		were compared	structural	
					normal optic		between	parameters	
					disc		parameters.	strongly	
					appearance, an		Significant	discriminated	
					intraocular		differences were	PPG eyes from	
					pressure of 21		found in FDT-MD,	healthy eyes,	
					mmHg or		FDT-PSD, SAP-PSD,	and both had a	
					lower,		cpRNFL, and mGCC	complementar	
					and no family		parameters (p<	y relationship.	
					history of		0.001–0.015), but	Collectively,	
					glaucoma in a		not in SAP-MD or	these findings	
					first-degree		HRT parameters,	suggest that	
					relative.		between	detectable	
							PPG and control	damages to	
							groups. Significant	retinal	
							correlations were	function and	
							not found	structure due	
							between visual	to glaucoma	
							field indices and	are not	
							structural	uniform	
							parameters,	(high inter-	
							except between	individual	
							FDT-MD and HRT	variability)	
							rim area (r00.450,	even at the	
							p00.021) and	preperimetric	
							between FDT-PSD	stage. A	
							and temporal	combination of	
							cpRNFL	functional and	
							thickness (r00.402,	structural	
							p00.021). AUROCs	parameters	
							for cpRNFL (p0	may	
							0.0047–0.033) and	potentially	
							mGCC (p00.0082-	improve the	
							0.049) parameters	ability to	
							· •	diagnose PPG."	

Hollo, FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical grant for medical research ETT Hands and suggest state of the			1	ı	1		ı	T	ı	ı	T -	ı	
Hollo, FDT Diagno Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical grant for grant grant for grant gra											were significantly		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Diagno stic Supported Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Mean age: 7 females, 4 males and significant changes and significant changes and significant changes and color of found between FDT indices and corp. FNFL or mGCC parameters or between corp. FNFL and mGCC parameters or between corp. FNFL and mGCC parameters or between corp. FNFL and mGCC parameters or between corp. FNFL or mGCC thickness to FOT-MD significantly increased sensitivity compared to single parameters (p=00.016–0.031). [P=0.016–0.031]. [P=													
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Sic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollow and support of the search ETT Hollow and support of the search ETT Hollow and support of the significant differences were not found between FDT indices and cpRNFL or mGCC parameters. Adding average cpRNFL or mGCC bitchickness to FDT-MD significantly increased sensitivity compared to single parameters (p=0.016-0.031). "In conclusion, we were not able to find automated perimetry and the eyes were free of (AP). (SIP), measurements and statistically significant differences were not found between FDT indices and cpRNFL and mGCC parameters. Adding average cpRNFL or mGCC bitchickness to FDT-MD significantly increased sensitivity compared to single parameters (p=0.016-0.031). "In conclusion, we were not able to find able to find and the eyes were free of (AP). (SIP), measurements and statistically significant adheration in patients and the eyes were free of (AP). (AP). (Significant differences were not formative and macket and											of HRT		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Signo Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical grant for medical research ETT Hollo, ETT Hollo, Label Sale Research ETT Hollo, Label Research E											parameters,		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for an for medical research ETT Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for an for medical research expression in medical research glaucoma) patients Hollo, 4 FDT Diagno Hollo Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 4 FDT Diagno Hollo Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 5 FDT Diagno Hollo Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 6 FDT Diagno Hollo Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 6 FDT Diagno Hollo Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 6 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 6 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 7 FETT Hollo, 7 FETT Hollo, 7 FETT Hollo, 8 FDT Diagno Hollo Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) patients Hollo, 8 FDT Diagno Hungarian national grant for angle glaucoma) perimetry and febt glaucoma angle gl													
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 300 (4.5) Hollo, 400 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 300 (4.5) Hollo, 400 (4.5) Hollo, 400 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 300 (4.5) Hollo, 400 (4.5) Hollo, 400 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Ho											significant		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 300											differences were		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (not found		
Hollo, FDT Diagno No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research endical grant for medical grant for medical research ETT Hollo, ETT No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical grant for medical research ETT No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research glaucoma) patients and the eyes glaucoma											between FDT		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, a for medical research and to make a for medical grant for medical research and to a feet of the form medical research and the eyes glaucoma) a feet of the form medical research and the eyes glaucoma) and the eyes glaucoma) and the eyes glaucoma and the eyes glau											indices and		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 4 Lungarian national grant for medical research elements and for elements and for medical research elements and for											cpRNFL or mGCC		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research effect of medical research effect of the content of the											parameters or		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Sic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical medical research ETT FDT Mean age: 55.1 years with participants had undergone and the eyes glaucoma) patients any corneal or anterior FDT Mean age: 11 patients with participants had undergone and the eyes glaucoma) patients any corneal or anterior FDT Mean age: 12 patients with participants had undergone and the eyes glaucoma) patients any corneal or any corn											between		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Sic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT											cpRNFL and mGCC		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Mean age: 9 participants had undergone angle glaucoma) patients and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior RDI patients and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior RDI patients and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior RDI patients and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior RDI patients and the eyes and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior RDI patients and the eyes were free or anterior RDI patients and the eyes to Frequency doubling technology (SLP), conventional automated perimetry significant changes determined to single parameters (p=00.016–0.031). RDI patients and the eyes to Frequency doubling technology (SLP), conventional automated perimetry significant changes detertion to anterior anterior which may go undetected in perimetry and perimetry and statistically in medically perimetry and statistically in medically in medically perimetry and statistically significant changes denoted to single parameters to FDT-MD significant to single parameters to Fequency doubling the 12- global indices undetected in perimetry and statistically significant thanges denoted to single parameters to Fequency doubling the 12- global indices undetected in perimetry and statistically significant and the event of any corneal or anterior anterior anterior and the event of a statistically significant and the event of any corneal or anterior anterior and the event of a statistically significant and the event of any corneal or anterior and the event of a statistically significant and the event of any corneal or anterior and the event of a statistically significant and the event of any corneal or anterior and the event of any corneal or any											parameters.		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 4 Hungarian Hungarian rational grant for medical research effect of medical research effect of ETT effe											Adding average		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Diagno stic by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT FDT Signard for medical research ETT Signard FDT and the participants and the eyes glaucoma) patients which may go undetected in anterior signaftic anterior signaftic and the eyes glaucoma and the eyes and the eyes glaucoma and the eyes and the leves and the eyes glaucoma and the eyes g											cpRNFL		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research effort of the patients and the eyes medical research effort of the patients of the patients and the eyes and the eyes are the patients and the eyes and the eyes and the eyes and the eyes are the patients and the eyes are the patients are the p											or mGCC thickness		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo and the langer of the langer											to FDT-MD		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 300											significantly		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3000 No COI. N=11 Mean age: 4 with 4 participants 4 by 4 preperimetric 4 had undergone 4 had undergone 4 no coular 5 surgery 4 and hollow 4 perimetry 4 automated 5 showed no 4 significant 6 perimetry 8 significant 6 perimetric 4 significant 6 perimetric 8 significant 6 perimetric 8 significant 6 perimetric 9 significant 6 perimetric 9 significant 6 perimetric 9 significant 6 perimetric 9 during the 12-global indices 4 undetected in 4 perimetry and 4 perimetry 4 medically 4 perimetry 4 medically 4 perimetry 6 perimetry 6 during the 12-month follow up 6 in medically 7 perimetry and 6 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 7 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow up 6 in medically 8 perimetry 8 month follow 1 perimetr											increased		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 2001 (4.5) Hollo, 3 FDT Diagno stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for measurements and the eyes were not able to find any statistically significant measurements alteration in perimetry statistically significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and											sensitivity		
Hollo, FDT Diagno Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT FIT FIT FIT FIT FIT FIT FIT FIT FIT F											compared to single		
Hollo, 2001 (4.5) FDT Diagno No COI. Stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Diagno No COI. Stic Supported by Supported by Hollo and FDT stic Supported by Hollo at Supported by Hollo at Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Diagno No COI. Supported Stic Supported by Supported by Supported by St. Puseful in participants doubling polarimetry (SLP), and FDT able to find support technology (SLP), conventional automated showed no significant any statistically significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant perimetry an											parameters		
2001 (4.5)							_				(p=00.016-0.031).		
2001 (4.5) stic Supported by Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT stic Supported by BY Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT stic Supported by Statistic Supported by Statistic States and the specimetric preperimetric had undergone technology (FDT) and FDT able to find any statistically significant measurements showed no significant automated perimetry statistically significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant perimetry and significant perimetry and significant in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes and the eyes detection and the eyes significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes are significant changes and the eyes significant changes are s	Hollo,	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N=11	Mean age:	11 patients	The	frequency-	scanning laser	Intraocular	" In conclusion,	Small Sample.
by Hungarian national grant for medical research research ETT by Hungarian national B FDT able to find detection & measurements any statistically perimetry perimetry automated primary open and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically in medical perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes are significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes are significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes are significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes are significant changes and the eyes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes are significant changes and the eyes are significant changes and the eyes are significant changes and the eyes are significant changes are significa	2001 (4.5)			Supported		55.1 years	with	participants	doubling	polarimetry	pressure (IOP), AP	we were not	Data suggest
Hungarian national grant for medical research ETT Hungarian national grant for males 7 females, 4 males POAG (no ocular surgery and the eyes glaucoma) perimetry surgery and the eyes glaucoma) were free of any corneal or anterior POAG (no ocular (FDT) conventional measurements showed no significant alteration in early glaucoma which may go undetected in perimetry and						-	preperimetric					able to find	
grant for medical glaucoma) were free of research ETT angle and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior perimetry statistically significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and significant changes during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and						7 females, 4		no ocular			measurements	any statistically	detection &
grant for medical glaucoma) were free of research ETT angle and the eyes were free of any corneal or anterior perimetry statistically significant changes perimetry statistically significant changes perimetric which may go during the 12-month follow up in medically perimetry and				national		males	primary open	surgery	perimetry	automated	showed no	significant	measurement of
medical glaucoma) were free of research ETT glaucoma) were free of any corneal or anterior global indices undetected in month follow up in medically perimetry and				grant for						perimetry	statistically		early glaucoma
research ETT patients any corneal or anterior during the 12- global indices undetected in month follow up in medically perimetry and				medical			glaucoma)					perimetric	
ETT anterior month follow up in medically perimetry and				research				any corneal or				global indices	
												_	
293/2000 segment period. In contrast controlled, FDT testing.				293/2000									
(G.H.). diseases. None to this, a tendency preperimetric								_					
of the for primary open				,				of the					
a glaucomatous a mgle											a glaucomatous		
type decrease was glaucoma													

	1							l		seen with SLP in	d	
							patients was a				during a one-	
							contact lens			the retinal nerve	year follow-	
							wearer. All			fibre layer	up, using the	
							eyes originally			(RNFL) thickness	sensitive FDT	
							had intraocular			parameters (mean	method.	
							pressure			superior and	However, a	
							higher than 21			inferior sector	statistically and	
							mmHg before			thickness values,	clinically	
							treatment			ellipse average	significant	
							but it was			thickness and	thinning of the	
							reduced to be			maximal	RNFL was	
							consistently			modulation). The	detected with	
							lower than 22			mean decrease of	scanning laser	
							mmHg by the			RNFL thickness in	polarimetry.	
							use of topical			the superior and	Our results	
							medication.			inferior sectors	suggest that	
										was 2.77 mm and	SLP is able to	
										2.48 mm,	detect fine	
										respectively. Using	progression in	
										the two-way	glaucoma, and	
										nested ANOVA,	that the GDx	
										which considers	Nerve Fiber	
										the relation	Analyzer is a	
										between	superior	
										the right and left	technique for	
										eyes of the	detecting and	
										subjects, the	quantifying the	
										decrease was	progression of	
										statistically	preperimetric	
										significant	glaucoma in	
										(p=0.021) for the	comparison to	
										inferior sector	the	
										RNFL thickness	FDT method."	
Horn,	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N=202	Moan ago-	64 healthy	All individuals	flicker-	standard	The age-corrected	" In conclusion,	Data suggest the
2014 (4.5)	ן דטו	Diagno stic		IN-ZUZ	Mean age= 58.8 years,	subjects, 45	included in the	defined form	automated	sensitivity values	in this cohort	functional
2014 (4.5)		SUC	Supported		•					· ·		
			by		105	ocular	study had an	(FDF)	perimetry	and the local	of trained	changes
			Deutsche		females, 97	hypertensive	open anterior	perimetry	(SAP)	results from the	participants	detected with
			Forschungs		males	patients, and	chamber angle,			controls were used	the FDF	FDF perimetry
			gemeinsch				clear optic					correlated with

			aft, Bonn, Germany (SFB 539).			97 "early" open angle glaucoma (OAG) patients participated in this study	media, a visual acuity of 20/40 or better, and a myopic refractive error not exceeding _8D.			to determine FDF mean defect (FDF MD). The FDF perimetry and SAP showed high concordance in this cohort of experienced patients (MD values, R = -0.69, P < 0.001). Of a total of 42 OAG patients with abnormal SAP MD, 38 also displayed abnormal FDF MD. However, FDF MD was abnormal in 28 of 55 OAG patients with normal SAP MD. The FDF MD was significantly (R =-0.61, P < 0.001) correlated with RNFL thickness with a (nonsignificantly) larger correlation coefficient than conventional SAP MD (R =-0.48, P < 0.001)	stimulus was able to detect patients with glaucomatous nerve atrophy at an early stage and was correlated strongly with loss of RNFL thickness. This technique might be a new method in diagnosis of glaucoma that should compete against other sensory tests in the same patients to compare feasibility and performance."	RNFL thickness changes.
Clement, 2009 (4.5)	FDT	Diagno stic (prospe ctive case	No COI. No mention of industry sponsorshi p.	N=148	Mean age= 66.9 years 76 females, 72 males	participants with glaucomatous	Only patients with open- angle glaucoma (OAG) with reproducible	Humphrey Matrix perimetry	standard automated perimetry (SAP), original FDT perimetry.	The matrix perimetry sensitivity and specificity were up to 100% for moderate and	"Matrix perimetry is excellent for detection of moderate to advanced	Data suggests Humphrey Matrix frequency doubling perimetry is

		control study)				visual-field loss and 33 normal controls	visual-field defects on SAP tested within 12 months of this study were included			advanced glaucomatous visual-field loss. A receiver operator characteristic area under the curve (AUC) analysis revealed MD to be slightly better than pattern standard deviation (PSD) for defining moderate (AUC: MD 0.997; PSD 0.987) and advanced defects (AUC: MD 1.000; PSD 0.987). Matrix was less sensitive (up to 87.3%) for detecting early glaucomatous visual-field loss compared with SITA 24-2 SAP (AUC: PSD 0.948; MD 0.910	glaucomatous visual-field loss but may miss some early defects. It may be well suited to following progression of early to moderate field loss because of a smaller target size compared with original FDT perimetry."	useful for the detection of VF loss in moderate to advanced glaucoma but likely misses some early defects.
Taravati P 2015 (4.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of COI. Supported by institutiona I research grants from Welch-Allyn, Inc. to the University	N=33	Mean age=57 years. Sex: not mentioned	Thirty-three patients with hemianopias and 50 normal participants	The included subjects had either undergone a complete eye examination within 12 months before this study or were examined by an	Humphrey Matrix frequency- doubling perimeter	standard automated perimetry (SAP)	The sensitivity for hemianopic defects by total deviation probability plots was 75% for SAP and 59% for Matrix (not statistically significant, P= 0.29). The	"Although there was no statistically significant difference between the Matrix and SAP in the detection of hemianopias, the sensitivity of SAP was	Data suggest SAP had higher sensitivity then matrix but no statistically significant between the 2 methods to detect hemianopias

of Iowa	ophthalmologi	sensitivity of	higher,
and	st on the day	hemianopic	probably
University	of testing to	defects by pattern	because
of	ensure normal	deviation	of the
California	ocular health.	probability plots	obscuration of
Davis; a VA		was 88%	defects by
Merit		for SAP and 69%	scattered
Review		for Matrix (not	abnormal test"
Grant; and		statistically	
an		significant, P=	
unrestricte		0.13). The	
d grant to		specificity of total	
the		deviation	
Departmen		probability plots	
t of		was	
Ophthalmo		84% for SAP and	
logy,		86% for Matrix.	
University		The specificity of	
of Iowa,		the pattern	
and the		deviation	
Departmen		probability plots	
t of		was 68% for SAP	
Ophthalmo		and 74% for	
logy		Matrix.	
and Vision			
Science,			
University			
of			
California			
Davis			
School of			
Medicine,			
Sacrament			
0,			
California,			
from			
Research			
to Prevent			

			Blindness, Inc.									
Nomoto H 2009 (4.5)	FDT	diagnos	No mention of COI and no industry sponsorshi p.	N=123	Mean age: 60 years, 64 females, 59 males.	Fifty-nine eyes of fifty-nine patients with open-angle glaucoma, 24 eyes of 24 glaucoma suspects (GSs), and 40 eyes of 40 healthy agematched subjects.	The inclusion criteria for glaucoma and GS groups were: best visual acuity of 0.7 or better; within a refractive error of -7.0D (spherical) and -3.0D (cylindrical); no tilted optic nerve head (ONH); and a reliable field defined as falsepositive, falsenegative, and fixation loss all <33%.	frequency doubling technology (FDT),	standard automated perimetry (SAP), short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), and flicker perimetry, and structural changes using optical coherence tomography (OCT).	The area under the curve (AUC) for FDT 30-1, 30-5, 24-2-1, 24-2-5, flicker perimetry, SWAP (MD), and SWAP (number of abnormal points) were 0.95, 0.94, 0.88, 0.89, 0.99, 0.88, and 0.88 in the early glaucoma group and 0.67, 0.69, 0.65, 0.70, 0.80, 0.64, and 0.66 in the GS group, respectively. In the early glaucoma and GS groups, all OCT parameters had an AUC >0.81 except the disc area parameter. Especially, average NFLT had the highest AUC of 0.94 in the OCT parameters.	"In conclusion, though we may take into account the selection bias of GS group, which may affect the better result of OCT, our results demonstrated the usefulness of detecting functional changes by FDT, SWAP, and flicker perimetry and substantiated the usefulness of measuring NFLT to evaluate structural damages in earlier stage of glaucoma. For the GS, FDT 24-2-5, flicker perimetry, and OCT show good performance to detect abnormalities.	Data suggests OCT has best sensitivity for detection of early glaucomatous changes although SAP, FDT, SAP and flicker perimetry are all good methods for discriminating between normal healthy eyes and enough early glaucoma eyes.

	T										Among all OCT	
											measurements	
											, NFLT has the	
											highest	
											sensitivity to	
											detect early	
											glaucomatous	
											changes. NFLT	
											measured by	
											OCT provides	
											us with	
											valuable	
											information to	
											diagnose and	
											examine the	
											patients with	
											earlier stage of	
											glaucoma."	
Cello 2000	FDT	Diagno	Sponsored	N = 484	Age ranges	Normal	Normal	Frequency-	Previous	The receiver	"In its present	Data suggest
(4.5)		stic	by National		between 18	subjects and	subjects with	doubling	Humphrey	operating	form,	FDT perimetry
			Eye		and 85 with	Glaucoma	visual acuity of	technology	Field Analyzer	characteristic	frequency	detects VF loss
			Institute,		mean and	patients	better than	(FDT).	(HFA) results.	(ROC) curve for	doubling	associated with
			Bethesda,		SD for age	without any	20/40 in both			the FDT of control	technology	glaucomatous
			Maryland		at 46.8 ±	history of	eyes, normal			group against	perimetry	eyes for early,
			(Dr		16.5 years	ocular or	results of an			glaucomatous	provides a	moderate and
			Johnson)		for control	neurologic	eye			patients has an	useful	advanced VF
			research		patients.	disease other	examination,			area ROC curve	complement to	loss.
			grant EY-		And Age	than glaucoma.	Humphrey			equal to 0.9751,	conventional	
			03424. COI,		ranges		Field Analyzer			corresponding to a	automated	
			Dr.		between 18		and 30-2 full-			sensitivity of	perimetry test	
			Johnson is		and 85 with		threshold			approximately	procedures	
			a paid		mean and		visual fields			96% and a	and can serve	
			consultant		SD for age		with normal			specificity of	as an effective	
			for, and		at 69.1 ±		visual field			approximately	initial visual	
			receives		11.3 years		indices P>05.			96%. Using a new	field evaluation	
			TCCCIVCS		-							
			research		for		Glaucoma			test strategy, the	for detection	
					for glaucomato		patients had			Swedish	of	
			research		for							

	1	,
Welch patients. in one or both been introduced	loss. Frequency	
Allyn, No Gender eyes, a history by Humphrey	doubling	
Skaneatele details. of elevated Systems reduces	technology	
s, New intraocular threshold testing	perimetry	
York. pressure of > time by	demonstrates	
22 mm Hg approximately	high sensitivity	
before 50%. This changes	and specificity	
treatment, the area under	for detection	
best-corrected ROC curve equal to	of early,	
visual acuity 0.9261,	moderate, and	
better than corresponding to a	advanced	
20/40 in the sensitivity of	glaucomatous	
eye to be approximately	visual field	
tested, and no 85% and a	loss."	
history of specificity of		
ocular or approximately		
neurologic 90%.		
disease other		
than glaucoma.		
Landers FDT Diagno No N = 63 Control: Patients Glaucoma Humphrey Medmont HFA was	"We conclude	Data suggest
2003 stic mention of mean attending an patients had Field Analyzer M600 significantly faster	that Medmont	Medmont and
(4.5) sponsorshi age=52, urban no definite (HFA) 24-2 automated than Medmont	and Humphrey	Humphrey
p. COI, J SD=15, 7 glaucoma clinic structural full threshold, perimeter 30 central Threshold	perimetry	correlate well
Landers is males and 8 having ocular changes and central 24-2 degree (p<0.001).	correlated	for perimeters
affiliated females; hypertension normal SITA standard threshold and Medmont central	favourably	results.
with Eye Glaucoma or open angle intraocular and central 15/22 flicker threshold and	with one	
Associates, suspects: glaucoma. pressure (IOP 24-2 SWAP perimetry and HFA full threshold	another, and	
whom mean < 21 mm Hg) tests. Zeiss had no significant	therefore, both	
supports age=56, and visual Frequency- difference in test	may be used	
and aids SD=16, 5 fields. Ocular doubling time (p=0.53). HFA	for clinical and	
the study. males, 3 hypertension technology SWAP compared		
	research	
Ocular as IOP >21 mm flicker showed a	research purposes with	
Ocular as IOP >21 mm flicker showed a strict criteria of	research purposes with similar	
Ocular as IOP >21 mm flicker showed a strict criteria of n mean glaucoma flower	research purposes with similar	
Ocular hypertensio hypertensio n mean age=60, patients had flicker showed a strict criteria of 0.65 and loose criteria of 0.62.	research purposes with similar	
Ocular as IOP >21 mm flicker showed a strict criteria of n mean glaucoma flower	research purposes with similar	

					and Open		visual field			flicker (p<0.001),		
					angle		abnormality			while Medmont		
					glaucoma:		using HFA 24-2			flicker was		
					mean		testing.			significantly faster		
					age=64,					than HFA SWAP		
					SD=9, 16					(p<0.01).		
					males, 16							
					females. 34							
					females, 29							
					males, and							
					average age							
					of 60 with							
					SD =13.							
Anderson	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N>275	Ages	Subjects	With refractive	Humphrey	Humphrey	Sensitivity	"The	Data suggest
2005		stic	Supported		ranged	judged to be	errors of <5 D	Matrix	Matrix	decreased by 0.7	performance	Matrix
(4.5)			by National		from 10-90	normal by a	sphere and <3	perimeter 30-	perimeter 24-2	dB per age decade	of the test	perimeter is
			Eye		years. No	battery of	D cylinder,	2 test	test.	across all	strategy in the	matched to a
			Institute		gender	clinical	normal white-		Humphrey	eccentricities;	Matrix	normal
			Grant		details	procedures.	on-white fields		Matrix	sensitivity	perimeter is	populations
			EY03424		reported.		(HFA Swedish		perimeter 10-2	decreased with	appropriately	response
			(CAJ), the				interactive		test.	eccentricity,	matched to the	characteristics.
			Oregon				threshold		Macula test.	typically by <5 dB	response	
			Lions Sight				algorithm, no			at the most	characteristics	
			and				explicit			peripheral points	of the normal	
			Hearing				criterion for			tested.	population.	
			Foundation				false responses				The finding of	
			(CAJ),				or fixation				a spatially	
			National				losses), acuity				nonuniform	
			Institute on				of better than				difference in	
			Aging				6/12 (20/40).				sensitivity	
			Grant								between left	
			AG04058								and right eyes	
			(JSW), and								is attributable	
			a Jules and								to light-	
			Doris Stein								adaptation	
			RPB								differences	
			Professorsh								between the	
	1		ip (JSW).								eyes. This	
											effect is	

		1	1	1			1	1		1	1	1
Lamparter, 2013 (4.5)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N=73	60.6 years. 24 males, 49 females.	44 ocular hypertensive subjects and 29 health age-	Participants had to have best-corrected visual acuity of	Matrix frequency doubling technology	Standard automated perimetry (SAP)	In Ocular hypertension subjects the SAP and Matrix-FDT	accounted for in the perimeter's normative database." "In both, ocular hypertensive and healthy	Data suggest SAP and Matrix FDT correlate well in ocular
						matched control subjects.	at least logMAR 0.3, spherical refraction within 65.0 D, and astigmatism of less than 63.0 D.	(Matrix FDT)		significantly correlated (r=0.47 (p<0.005)). The SAP and Matrix-FDT also showed a significant correlation for healthy subjects (r=0.68 (p<0.001)). The comparison of SAP MD and FDT MD was significant for both Ocular hypertension (p=0.03) and control subjects (p=0.02).	subjects SAP and Matrix-FDT correlate well. In ocular hypertensive subjects, both techniques showed good correlation in the supero- temporal, supero-nasal, and nasal sectors of the disc."	hypertensives and normal.
Fredette 2015 (4.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	No COI. Supported in part by a fellowship scholarship from Laval University; an unrestricte d donation from Carl Zeiss Meditec	N=53	Mean±SD age: 68±11 years. No gender details reported.	With glaucoma.	With a best- corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, had less than 5 diopters (D) of spherical and less than 3 D of cylindrical refractive errors, had a pupil diameter of 2mm or	Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm.	Humphrey Field Analyzer II (HFA).	Mean deviation on the HFA ranged from -31 to +2.5dB. Medians of SAP sensitivity CVs (n = 53 subjects) were lower (p<0.05) than the medians of Matrix sensitivity CVs for 37 of the 55	"The decibel values reported by the two machines are not equivalent. Variability of sensitivity determinations is affected more by the sensitivity level with HFA than	Data suggest since decibel values are non-equivalent between the Humphrey and the Matrix, it is imperative to recognize this variability when making any type of diagnosis or determination

		1	F	ı	I	1	T		I	T	I	
			Humphrey;				more, had no			evaluated	with Matrix.	of disease
			a donation				history of			locations	Duplicate	progression.
			from Welch				disease or				measurements	Additionally
			Allyn; an				surgery that				for baseline	there was an
			unrestricte				might affect				and follow-up	observed
			d donation				visual field				evaluation	learning effect
			from				results, and				could be	in the Matrix.
			Allergan,				agreed to				important,	
			Inc (Irvine,				participate as				especially for	
			CA); an				subjects in the				Matrix. Further	
			investigato				study by				information on	
			rinitiated				attending all				learning effects	
			grant from				five sessions of				is needed, as is	
			Pfizer, Inc;				testing.				commercially	
			and an								available	
			unrestricte								progression	
			d grant to								software for	
			the								Matrix.'	
			University									
			of Miami									
			from									
			Research									
			to Prevent									
			Blindness,									
			Inc (New									
			York, NY).									
Horn 2002	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N=173	Mean±SD	Ocular	With open	FDT	Conventional	There was a	"Point-wise	Data suggest
(4.0)		stic	Supported	270	age was	hypertensive	anterior	perimeter	white-on-white	correlation	analysis of	FDT perimeter
()		01.0	by		43.6±14.6	eyes.116	chamber	protocol (C-	perimetry.	between FDT	FDT screening	protocol (C-20-
			Deutsche		vears	"preperimetric	angles, clear	20-5).	permeery.	results	results can be	5) can detect a
			Forschungs		(normals);	" open-angle	optic media,	20 3).		of nasal quadrants	helpful for	proportion of
			gemeinsch		56.6±106	glaucoma eyes.	and visual			and corresponding	classification of	glaucoma
			aft, Bonn,		years	giadeoina eyes.	acuity of 20/25			visual field losses	patient groups	patients.
			Germany		("preperim		or better.			in 78 left	and	patients.
			(SFB 539).		etric"		o. better.			perimetric	consideration	
			(5. 5 555).		glaucoma;					glaucoma eyes	of the	
					55.5±11.3					(Spearman's rank	individual	
					years					correlation was	learning curve	
					perimetric					significant	in repeated	
	1	L		l	permittine	1			l	Jigitificant	птерсасса	l

	1	1	1		1		Т	1	•	1		ı
					glaucoma.					(p<0.001) for	measurements	
					No gender					lower (left, r=0.7)		
					details					and upper areas	The C-20-5	
					reported.					(right,	protocol of the	
										r=0.72).	FDT perimeter	
											is able to	
											detect a	
											considerable	
											proportion of	
											glaucomatous	
											patients."	
Sakai 2007	FDT	Diagno	No COI. No	N=40	Mean age	With resolved	Optic neuritis	Frequency-	Standard	Correlations	"(F)DP detects	Small sample.
(4.0)		stic	mention of		of 38.9	optic neuritis.	in 1 eye, but	doubling	automated	between SAP and	characteristics	Data suggest
			sponsorshi		years		visual acuity	perimetry	perimetry	FDP were	of slower	FDT comparable
			p.		(affected		had recovered	(FDP).	(SAP).	statistically	recovery more	to SAP in
					eye group)		to 1.0 or better			significant for	effectively	detecting VF
					. Gender		(affected eye			mean deviation	than SAP in the	defects
					not		group).			(P<0.001) and	fovea and	associated with
					reporter.					pattern standard	extrafoveal	optic neuritis
										deviation	areas. These	and is more
										(P<0.005)	properties may	sensitive.
											allow more	
											accurate	
											detection of	
											visual field	
											defects and	
											may prove	
											advantageous	
											for monitoring	
											of patients	
											with resolved	
											optic neuritis"	
Brusini 2006	FDT	Diagno	No COI. No	N=318	Mean age	N=108 patients	Corrected	Standard	Frequency	FDT-N-30 test	"FDT perimetry	Data suggest
(4.0)		stic	mention of		control	with ocular	visual acuity	automated	doubling	showed a greater	appeared more	FDT more
			sponsorshi		group:	hypertension	Z20/30, open	perimetry	technology	percentage of	sensitive than	sensitive than
			p.		63±11	(OHT), N=150	anterior	(SAP)	(FDT) N-30 and	areas with P<5% in	SAP in	SAP in detecting
					years. OHT	patients with	chamber angle,	Humphrey	Humphrey	the OHT,	detecting early	early VF loss
					group:	high-tension	absence of	Field Analyzer	Matrix 30-2	preperimetric	glaucomatous	associated with
					64±11		ocular	30-2.	tests.			glaucoma.

	1	Т	I	1	П			ı		T		
					years.	primary open-	pathologic			POAG, and early	VF loss. The	Humphrey
					Gender not	angle	condition			POAG groups.	FDT-N-30 test	Matrix 30-2 test
					reported.	glaucoma	other than				showed a	took about 30%
						(POAG), N=60	glaucoma, mild				slightly higher	longer to
						healthy	nuclear				ability to	perform but
						individuals as a	sclerosis, and				detect early	provided more
						control group.	rare drusen.				glaucomatous	details.
											damage in	
											patients at risk	
											for the	
											development	
											of glaucoma,	
											whereas the	
											Matrix-30-2	
											test provided a	
											more detailed	
											characterizatio	
											n of the	
											glaucomatous	
											VF loss	
											pattern,	
											although it	
											required 30%	
											more time.'	
Bayer 2002	FDT	Diagno	No COI. No	N=138	52 males,	With primary	Glaucomatous	Short	Frequency	SWAP and PERG	"All three tests	Data suggest
(4.0)		stic	mention of		86 females.	open-angle	visual field	wavelength	doubling	P1N2-detected	(SWAP, FDT,	SWAP, FDT and
			sponsorshi		Mean age	glaucoma	defects and	automated	technology	88.9% of eyes	and PERG)	PERG
			p.		(Study	(POAG).	concentric	perimetry	perimetry	before a	have been	successfully
					Group)		optic disc	(SAP).	(FDT), and	prediction of field	successful in	detect
					53.4±9.5		cupping with a		pattern	loss on SAP.	detecting	progressive
					years.		cup-to-disc		electroretinogr	When comparing	glaucoma eyes	damage
					Control		ratio of 0.5 or		aphy (PERG).	the results of the	with a future	associated with
					Group		more as judged			two functional	progression of	glaucoma.
					51.6±8.6		by slit-lamp			tests, SWAP and	standard visual	
					years.		biomicroscopy			FDT in the 84 eyes	field defects. A	
							using the 78-D			without	test battery of	
							lens and			progression of	SWAP and	
							untreated			field	PERG P1N2-	
							(wash				amplitude	
P.		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•

							out) IOP of			loss on SAP	improved the	
							more than 21			between baseline	power to	
							mmHg on at			and at 30 months,	predict these	
							least three			SWAP and FDT	progressive	
							occasions with			showed	defects on SAP.	
							the Goldmann			progressive	It remains to	
							applanation			deficits in 34.5%	be seen	
							tonometer in			and	whether the	
							both eyes.			35.7%.	long-term	
							,				follow-up in	
											POAG eyes will	
											improve the	
											false-positive	
											rate of SWAP	
											and FDT."	
Haymes	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N=65	34 males,	With	With open	Frequency-	Standard	Least conservative	"Using GCP,	Data suggest
2005		stic	Supported		31 females.	glaucoma.	angle	doubling	automated	GCP criterion: 32	more patients	FDT detected
(4.0)			by Grant		Mean age		glaucoma with	technology	perimetry	(49%) had	showed	glaucomatous
			MOP-		at baseline		glaucomatous	(FDT).	(SAP).	progressing visual	progression	VF progression
			11357 from		was 63±11		optic disc			fields with FDT vs.	with	but FDT and SAP
			the		years.		damage (e.g.,			32 (49%) with	FDT than with	identified
			Canadian				notching			SAP. FDT identified	SAP, yet the	different patient
			Institutes				or progressive			progression before	opposite	subgroups
			for				thinning of the			SAP (median, 12	occurred using	suggesting
			Health				neuroretinal			months earlier).	LRA. As there is	progression
			Research				rim), open				no	rates vary
			and by an				angles by				independent	depending upon
			unrestricte				gonioscopy,				qualifier of	method and
			d grant				a visual field				progression,	criteria used.
			from Welch				with an SAP				FDT and SAP	
			Allyn Inc.				MD index				progression	
							between _2				rates vary	
							and _10 dB, a				depending on	
							best corrected				the method of	
							visual acuity of				analysis and	
							6/12 (20/40) or				the criterion	
							better, and a				used."	
		1		1			minimum of 6					
							miniminani oi o					

							with both FDT and SAP.					
Artes 2005 (4.0)	FDT	Diagno	Supported by Grant 41340 from the E. A. Baker Foundation of the Canadian National Institute for the Blind (PHA) and an unrestricte d grant from Welch-Allyn (BCC). COI, one author indicated Welch-Allyn (F).	N=15	Mean age, 66.3 years. No gender details provided.	With glaucoma.	Open-angle glaucoma, refractive error within 5 D equivalent sphere or 3 D astigmatism, best-corrected visual acuity ≥6/12 (+0.3 logMAR), and prior experience with FDT1 perimetry and SAP.	Second- generation Frequency- Doubling Technology perimetry (FDT2, Humphrey Matrix).	Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	High correlation for global visual field indices mean deviation (MD) and pattern standard deviation (PSD) of FDT2 and SAP; P<0.001.	"The test— retest variability of FDT2 is uniform over the measurement range of the instrument. These properties may provide advantages for the monitoring of patients with glaucoma that should be investigated in longitudinal studies."	Small sample. Data suggest the variability of test-retest of FDT-2 is uniform.
Wong 2000 (4.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	No COI. Supported by Medical Research Council of Canada Grant MA15362 and by the E. A. Baker Foundation , Canadian National Institute	N=12	9 male, 3 female. Mean age of 57.5 years.	With homonymous hemianopia	Patients with well-defined occipital infarcts on MRI were included in the study.	Manual kinetic perimetry.	Tangent screen and Goldmann techniques and automated static perimetry with the Humphrey Field Analyzer.	Visual fields obtained from tangent screen and Goldmann perimetry were similar and corresponded well with the location of lesions on MR images in all 12 patients.	"All three perimetric techniques are satisfactory screening tests to detect occipital lesions. However, tangent screen and Goldmann perimetry provide information	Small sample. Data suggest Tangent screen, Goldmann and Humphrey Perimetry are comparable but location and degree of damage best with Goldman Tangent Screen.

									•		•	
			for the								about the	
			Blind.								location and	
											extent of	
											lesions	
											that is more	
											consistent with	
											prevailing	
											knowledge of	
											the effects of	
											the lesion in	
											the post-	
											geniculate	
											visual	
											pathway"	
Wall 2002	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N=139	Mean age:	With damage	Perimetry with	Frequency-	Conventional	The sensitivity of	"FDT has	Data suggest
(4.0)		stic	Supported		Patients	to the neuro-	a field analyzer	doubling	automated	FDT was 81.3%,	sensitivity and	that in patients
			by a		46.6±16.8	ophthalmic	(program 24-2,	technology	perimetry	with a specificity	specificity	with non-
			research		years.	sensory visual	or in the case	(FDT).	(CAP).	of	similar to that	glaucomatous
			grant from		Normal	pathways.	of the patients			76.2%.	of CAP for	neuro-
			Welch-		subjects		with temporal				detecting	ophthalmic
			Allyn, Inc.,		44.9±18.9		lobectomies,				visual field	disease, both
			by a VA		years. No		program 30-2;				defects in	CAP and FDT
			Merit		gender		Humphrey				patients with	have
			Review		details		Systems, San				optic	comparable
			Grant, and		reported.		Leandro, CA)				neuropathies.	sensitivities and
			by an				and FDT				However,	specificities.
			unrestricte				perimetry (C-				defects in	Both CAP and
			d grant to				20 threshold)				patients with	FDT would need
			the				performed in				hemianopias	some additional
			Departmen				both eyes on				may be missed	modifications to
			t of				the same day.				because of the	successfully
			Ophthalmo								presence of	detect
			logy from								scattered	hemianopias.
			Research								abnormal test	
			to Prevent								locations and	
			Blindness.								failure to	
											detect test	
											locations along	
											the vertical	

		1			1	1	ı		ı	1	T .	I
											meridian. The	
											defects	
											demonstrated	
											by both tests in	
											patients with	
											optic	
											neuropathies	
											are similar in	
											number,	
											extent, and	
											shape of the	
											defects. This	
											suggests FDT	
											may not be	
											isolating	
											the	
											magnocellular	
											(M) cells with	
											nonlinear	
											responses to	
											stimulus	
											contrast (My	
											cells) in	
											patients with	
											visual loss"	
Artes 2009	FDT	Diagno	No COI.	N=15	Mean age	With open-	Clinical	Signal-tonoise	Standard	Moderate	"The higher	Small sample.
(4.0)		stic	Supported		66.3 years.	angle	diagnosis of	ratios (SNRs)	automated	correlation	SNRs of FDT2	Data suggest
			by an E. A.		No gender	glaucoma.	open-angle	frequency-	perimetry	between the	suggest that	comparable
			Baker		details		glaucoma,	doubling	(SAP).	signals of FDT2	this technique	efficacy
			Foundation		reported.		refractive error	technology		and SAP (P<0.001),	is at least as	between SAP
			Project		·		within 5 D	(FDT2)		but no correlation	efficient as SAP	and FDT-2 for
			Grant				equivalent	perimetry.		of noise (P=0.16).	at detecting	the detection of
			(PHA) and				sphere or 3 D	,		, ,	localized visual	localized VF
			Canadian				astigmatism,				field losses.	losses.
			Institutes				visual acuity				Signal/noise	
			of Health				better than or				analyses may	
			Research				equal to 6/12				provide a	
			Grant.				and prior				useful	
							experience				approach	
L	1	1	I .	1	l	1	F	l	I	1	1 10	1

Zein 2010 (4.0)	FDT	Diagno stic	No mention of COI or sponsorshi p.	N=78 eyes.	Mean age 53±20 years.33 males, 45 females.	With open- angle glaucoma.	with frequency doubling technology (FDT) perimetry (i.e., FDT1) and SAP. Mean intraocular pressure ≥21 mmHg in a diurnal curve, open angle by gonioscopy, neuroretinal thinning in the optic nerve head (ONH) (i.e. cupping), and corresponding visual field defects.	Frequency doubling technology (FDT) perimetry.	Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	SAP detected abnormalities in 74 (79%) of the superotemporal, and inferotemporal quadrants. FDT figures were 70 (69%) for the same quadrants (p<0.05 each).	for comparing visual field tests independent of their decibel scales and may provide an initial indication of sensitivity to visual field change over time." "As well as the already established lower sensitivity of FDT compared to SAP, this study also demonstrated the significantly poorer ability of FDT in detecting the same field quadrant defects, especially in	Although test time with FDT is significantly shorter than with SAP, FDT has a lower sensitivity than SAP and in early glaucomatous disease, FDT has poor ability to detect same field quadrant abnormalities.
											,	
Kogure 2002 (3.5)	FDT										damage.	Data suggest good agreement between FDT and HFA in NT

		 				_		
								eyes using
								threshold of
								HFA.
Allen 2002	FDT							Data suggest
(3.5)								FDT comparable
								in performance
								to Humphrey
								24-2 SITA fast
								with a relatively
								low FP rate, FDT
								may be a
								potentially
								useful screening
l								device.
Bozkurt	FDT					l	T .	Data support
2008			1					combination of
(3.5)								VF test results
,			1					and optic nerve
			1					head
								parameters to
			1					improve
								glaucoma
			1					diagnosis as well
								as follow-up.
Zarkovic	FDT							Data suggest
2007	• - •							good correlation
(3.5)			1					between
(,			1					MATRIX and
			1					SAP.
Brusini	FDT							Data suggest N-
2006	'5'		1					30-F
(3.5)			1					comparable to
(3.5)								N-30 for early to
			1					moderate
			1					defects but in
			1					subjects with
			1					significantly
			1					
			1					large VF loss,
			i					the N-30 was

			 					т			T
'			'				'				better. The test
'			'				'				time for N-30-F
'			'				'				was 25%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 <u> </u>	<u> </u>							shorter.
Wang	FDT		'				'				Data state that
2007			'				'				FDT perimetry
(3.5)			'				'				has a sensitivity
'			'				'				of 64% for
'			'				'				detecting
			'				'				glaucoma and
			'				'				that in
'			'				'				approximately
'			•				1				50% of persons
			'				'				with abnormal
			'				'				FDT perimetry
'			•				'				the precise
			'				'				cause may not
'			 '		<u></u>					<u></u>	be detected.
Yenice	FDT		1								Data suggest
2005			•				1				there is a
(3.5)			'				'				learning effect
'			'				'				which occurred
'			•				1				for both tests
'			•				1				with suggestion
			'				'				that SITA
'			•				1				standard may
			'				'				have less of a
'			•				1				learning effects
'			•				'				than FT.
Saric,	FDT		 			†					Data suggest
2005 (3.5)			•				1				FDP better than
, ,			'				'				SAP in the
			'				'				detection of
'			•				1				early glaucoma.
Spry,	FDT	 	 			+	+				Small Sample.
2001 (3.5)			'				'				Data suggest
			'				'				FDTP shows less
'			'				'				variability than
			'				'				SAP in regions
·			 								JAN INTEGROIS

	ļ '	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	'			,			T '	of VF sensitivity
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	loss and may be
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	beneficial in
ļ	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	detection of
Į į	'	'	1	1 '	1			1	1		!	progressive
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	glaucoma vision
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	loss.
Maddess,	FDT	 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	†	+	 	<u> </u>	+	+	Data suggest
2000 (3.5)	' '	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	HFA perimetry,
2000 (0.2,	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	MFP and FDT
'	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	provide
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	evidence of
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	diffuse loss
1	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	which occurs in
1	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	early glaucoma
1	'	'	1	1	1			'	1		'	and later
	'	'	1	'	1			1	1		'	glaucoma
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	scotomas.
lacan	FDT	+'	 	+'	+'	+	+	+'	+	+	+'	
Joson, 2002 (3.5)	י יטא	'	1	1	1			'	1		'	Data suggest
2002 (3.3)	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	learning effects must be
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	
·	'	'	1	1	1			'	1		'	considered
	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	during screening
·	'	'	1	1	1			'	1		'	for all ocular
	'	'	1	1	1			'	1		'	diseases
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	including
	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	glaucoma in FDT
	 '	<u> </u>	 '	 '	 '	1		 '	1		·	perimetry.
Numan	Slit	'	1	'	1			1	1		'	Unequal group
2008	Lamp	'	1	1	1			'	1		'	size for
(3.0)	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	unexplained
	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	reasons. Appear
ļ	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	to have uneven
ļ	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	follow-up
	'	'	1	1	1			1	1		'	length. Patients
	'	'	1	1	1 '			1	1		'	not well
	<u> </u> '	<u> </u> '	1'	<u> </u> '	1'			1'			<u> </u>	described.
Anderson	FDT		'		'			,			T '	Data suggest
2009	'	'	·	l'	l'			·				cataracts
								•				

											т	T
(3.0)	'	1	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		introduce
ļ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	·	'	increased stray
1	'	1	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	·		light but GRP is
1	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		the most
1	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	·		insensitive to
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		stray light
ı İ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	·		effects.
Gardiner	FDT					 	 	<u> </u>		+	+	Data suggests
2006	''' '	1	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		variability
(2.5)	'	1	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		among VF tests
(2.5)	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		must be
ļ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		considered
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		when evaluating
ļ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		
ļ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		glaucoma since
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'	'	tests have different
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		predictive
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		power,
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		performance
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		and detection
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'	'	speeds.
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		SWAP>FDT for
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		aging and
ļ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		practice effects
ļ	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		and SAP had the
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		least. RAP
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		showed high
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		variability
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		followed by
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'	'	TMP.
Bernardi	FDT				1	,	,	7		,		Data suggest
2007	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		fusion
(2.5)	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		frequency
` '	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		diminishes with
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	·		age and flicker
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		perimetry is
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		associated with
	'	1 '	1	1	1 '	1	'	1	1	'		a learning
	'	1 '	1	1	1	1	'	1		'		effects.
				'				'				CITCCCS.

	1		,	ı		ı	,	
Mukai,	FDT							Data suggest
2004 (2.5)								FDT perimetry
								results of the
								second eye
								were far less
								reliable than
								results of the
								first eye.
								Possible factors
								influencing
								there results
								are: delayed
								light adaptation,
								the learning
								effect, fatigue,
								reduced
								concentration,
								visual
								afterimage, ect.
Mansberg	FDT							Data suggest
er, 2007								that if an FDT
(2.5)								test is abnormal
(=.5)								initially, the test
								should be
								repeated.
								Results showed
								dependence
								upon age and
								screening locale
								but repeat test
								results
								unavailable on
								38% of initial
								abnormal
								results.
Pierre-	FDT							Data suggest a
Filho,								significant
2010 (2.5)								learning effect
								on Humphrey
	l			l		l		on Humpiney

PDT Yoshii FDT Casson 2006 (2.0)					•	,			
glaucoma patients who have no perimetric experience. Data suggests it is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii PDT									Matrix FDT
patients who have no perimetric experience. Data suggests it is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii FDT Z008 (2.0) FDT Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) FDT Data suggest results of Humphrey Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astignatism of 22D. Data suggest cataracts of produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the restrict larged via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via service and the results from FDT perimetry screening via serv									perimetry in
have no perimetric experience. Data suggests it is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii 2008 (2.0) FDT Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 5 FDT Casson 6 FDT Casson 6 FDT Data suggest results of Humphrey Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of 2D. Data suggest cataracts produce faise positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retrial image via the r									
perimetric experience. Data suggests it is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Data suggest 7208 (2.0) PDT Toshii FDT Data suggest results of Humphrey Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astignatism of 22D. Casson FDT Casson FDT Casson FDT Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry vs screening due to the cataract degrading the retnal Image via									patients who
experience. Data suggests it is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii 2008 (2.0) The probably service of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Data suggest results of Humphrey Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of 22D. Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson FDT Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via the cataract degrading the retinal the cataract degrading the retinal the cataract degrading the retinal									have no
Data suggests it is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii 2008 (2.0) The property of the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. The property of the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. The property of the presence of a learning effect by results are infiltenced by inverse myopic astignatism of \$20. The property of the presence of a learning effect by results are infiltenced by inverse myopic astignatism of \$20. The property of the presence of a learning effect by results are infiltenced by inverse myopic astignatism of \$20. The property of the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test \$1.00 to the presence of a learning effect by repeating effec									perimetric
is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii 2008 (2.0) Yoshii 7 PDT The primetry We results are influenced by inverse myopic astignatism of \$\frac{1}{2}D. Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry y screening due to the cataract degrading the rethal image via									experience.
is probably necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii 2008 (2.0) Yoshii 7 PDT The primetry We results are influenced by inverse myopic astignatism of \$\frac{1}{2}D. Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry y screening due to the cataract degrading the rethal image via									Data suggests it
necessary to hull out the presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Yoshii 2008 (2.0) Yoshii 2008 (2.0) Casson FDT 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry vs. screening due to the cataract degrading the ire think illings via surper sur									is probably
presence of a learning effect by repeating the test 3 times. Voshii 2008 (2.0) (2.0) Casson FDT 2006 (2.0)									
Voshii 2008 (2.0) Voshii 2008 (2.0) Data suggest results of Humphrey Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astignatism of 22D. Data suggest results are influenced by inverse myopic astignatism of 22D. Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry verseults from FDT perimetry verseults from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retital image via									hull out the
Yoshii FDT									
Total content of the product of the content of t									
Pot									
2008 (2.0) Casson 2006 (2.0) FDT 2006 Casson 2006 (2.0) FDT 2006 Casson 2006 (2.0) FDT 2006									
(2.0) Humphrey Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of \$\frac{2D}{2D}\$. Casson 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via		FDT							Data suggest
Matrix perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of ≥2D. Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson FDT 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
perimetry VF results are influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of ≥2D. Casson 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via	(2.0)								
Tesults are influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of ≥2D. Casson FDT									Matrix
influenced by inverse myopic astigmatism of ≥2D. Casson FDT 2006 (2.0) Casson FDT 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
inverse myopic astigmatism of ≥2D. Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson FDT produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
Casson 2006 (2.0) Casson FDT Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
Casson 2006 (2.0) Data suggest cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
2006 (2.0) cataracts produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									≥2D.
(2.0) produce false positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via		FDT							
positive results from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
from FDT perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via	(2.0)								
perimetry screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
screening due to the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
the cataract degrading the retinal image via									
degrading the retinal image via									
retinal image via									
scattered light.									retinal image via
									scattered light.

Author Year (Score):	Categ ory:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/S ex:	Populat ion Descrip tion	Case Definition	Investigative Test	Comparat ive Test	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Kerr 2010 (6.5)	SAP	Diagn	Kerr is supported by the Maurice and Phyllis Paykel Trust, Alcon, and the Neurological Foundation of New Zealand. Chew is supports by Allergan, Inc. Funded partially by Pfizer Inc.	N = 163 patients, 301 eyes	Mean age 58.9, 91 female and 72 male	Patient s from speciali st neuroo phthal mology clinic	Best-corrected visual acuity of 6/60 (or better) Ability to perform both confrontation testing and automated statis perimetry SITA-standard 24-2 Humphrey visual field analysis.	Confrontation testing (7 common confrontation visual field tests and combinations)	Automate d Perimetry	Mean sensitivy for the seven confrontation visual field tests was 52.2%. Probability of detecting visual field defects was dependent on density of field defect. While using the kinetic red target test, there was a 50% probability of detecting a defect. When detecting mild defects the sensitivity was low (0.0 – 67.9%) for all of the tests. Specificity ranged from 27.8 – 100%. Combining the static finger wiggle and kinetic red target tests produced the highest sensitivity (78.3%) and specificity (90.3%) when compared to individual tests.	"Confrontation visual field tests are insensitive at detecting visual field loss when performed individually and are therefore a poor screening test. Combining confrontation tests is a simple and practical method of improving the sensitivity of confrontation testing."	Data suggest use of a combination of confrontation tests is superior to any single confrontation test for visual field test diagnostic accuracy.
Rao 2014 (6.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Rao and Garudadri are consultants with Allergan. Garudadri consults with Alcon and Merek as well. Funded by grant from Optovue.	N = 291	Media n age 52.5, no gender distrib ution menti oned	Patient s referre d to tertiary eye care facility	glaucoma suspects based on the optic disc appearance best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 (or better)	False positive and false negative rates of Standard automated perimetry (SAP) using Humphrey field analyzer, model 750i,	Fixation losses of Standard automate d perimetry (SAP) using Humphrey	Median fixation loss response rate was 7% while the median response rate for false-positives and false-negatives were 1% and 2%, respectively. 241 patients had reliable visual field test	"This study suggests that FN response rates have an effect on the ability of automated VF assessments to rule out glaucoma. Since	Data suggests the ability to defect and diagnose glaucoma is effected by the FN response rates.

	1	1		1	1	1						Г
							refractive error within	with the SITA	field	results, meaning the	FN response	
							± 5 diopter sphere and	standard 24-2	analyzer,	fixation loss response	rates are	
							±3	algorithm.	model	was < 20% and false-	ignored by the	
							diopter cylinder		750i, with	positive response rate	manufacturer	
									the SITA	was < 15%. Of these	while flagging a	
									standard	241 patients, visual	test as unreliable,	
									24-2	field testing	clinicians and	
									algorithm.	determined 78% were	researchers	
										normal and 22% had	may benefit by	
										glaucoma.	realizing that FN	
											response rates	
										False-positive response	can lead to FP VF	
										rate for visual field	classification,	
										testing was related to	even when	
										the false-negative	their frequencies	
										response rate (OR =	are small."	
										1.36, CI 95% 1.25-1.48,		
										p < 0.001). However, it		
										was not associated with		
										the fixation loss		
										response (OR = 0.96, CI		
										95% 0.90-1.03, p =		
										0.30) or false-positive		
										response rate (OR =		
										0.96, CI 95% 0.83-1.12,		
										p = .64).		
Siatkow	SAP	Diagn	Partially funded	N = 159	No	Particip	Right eye of	76-point,	76-point,	Final clinical diagnoses	"The central 30°,	Data suggest
ski	5/ (1	ostic	by the National	11 - 133	mean	ants	participants	central 30°	central	revealed 70 patients	76-point, 2-dB	comparable
1996		Ostic	Glaucoma		age or	who	participants	suprathreshol	30°	had bona fide	offset	efficacy between
(6.0)			Research, the		gender	had	Classification by 6	d with central	automate	ophthalmologic	suprathreshold	suprathreshold
(0.0)			United States		distrib	visual	reviewers: Normal,	reference	d static	disease.	automated	automated
			Public Health		ution	field	borderline, abnormal	level set at 2	threshold	disease.	perimetry	perimetry as full
			Service, the		menti	exam	(whatever standard	or 4 dB lower	perimetry,	Out of all eyes classified	is more rapid and	threshold but is
			United States			while	,			-	· ·	less time
			Public Health		oned		criteria used in clinical	than	On Humphrov	as abnormal, 26 had	nearly as effective as the	intensive. Data
						attendi	practice by reviewers)	estimated	Humphrey	patchy depression, 34		
			Service Clinical			ng the	To be abnormal must	normal	Visual	had nerve fiber layer	full-threshold test	suggests
			Vision Research			neuro-	present one of the	median	Field	defects, 9 had nasal	in detecting	borderline test
			Development,			ophthal	following: general or	central	Analyzer	defects, 13 had	visual	results (in either
			the National Eye			mology	patchy depression,	reference		temporal defects, and 3		test) should be

			Institute, the Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc. Author Anderson received a Senior Scientific Investigators award from the Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc.			service at Bascom Palmer Eye Institut e	nerve fiber layer defect, nasal or temporal defect, or enlarged blind spot Clinical diagnosis using history and examination data, central 30-2 threshold tests of Humphrey Visual Field Analyzer, kinetic visual fields on Goldmann perimeter, fluorescein angiography, and neuroradiological evaluation Reviewer classifications were compared to final diagnostic ruling and if both agreed the reviewer's decision was listed as "correct"	level (CRL), adjusted for age ranges		had enlarged blind spots. The full-threshold test produced a sensitivity of 93% (borderline results considered normal) or 99% (borderline results considered abnormal). It produced a specificity of 71% or 91%. The 4-dB test produced a sensitivity of 79% or 87% and a specificity of 81% or 89%. The 2-dB test the 2-dB test produced a sensitivity of 87% or 94% and a specificity of 73% or 85%. Difference between sensitivities of two screen fields was significant (p < 0.01).	field abnormalities due to neuro- ophthalmologic disease. More quantitative, full- threshold perimetric strategies should be used in all equivocal cases and to follow progression of established disease."	repeated with the full threshold test.
Fan 2010 (6.0)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No COI.	N=68	Mean age group 1: 59.95± 12.11 years. Mean age group	OAG	Glaucomatous optic neuropathy and visual field defects in at least 1 eye and having normal or elevated intraocular pressure without secondary causes	FDT N-30	SAP	Twenty-one eyes showed normal FDT results, 39 eyes showed abnormal FDT results at baseline. No significant difference in SAP and FDT groups at baseline except in FDT for first affected eyes (p<.05). Twenty of	"In perimetrically normal eyes of OAG patients, FDT detected visual field loss in almost 2 of every 3 of these eyes and also predicted to some extent	Data suggest that in OAG perimetrically normal eyes FDT predicted future VF loss on SAP and correctly detected this about 2/3 of the time.

2:	perimetrically normal future visual field	$\overline{}$
59.33±	eyes developed visual loss on SAP.	
13.82		
years.	12.40±6.76 months glaucomatous	
30	after study. Twenty neuropathy at	
males,	eyes were converters baseline was	
30	(greater cup to disc related to	
female	ratio) in group 2 and no conversion of	
S.	eyes were converters in abnormalities on	
	group 1. Twenty-eight FDT to visual field	
	patients were loss on SAP."	
	diagnosed with primary	
	open-angle glaucoma	
	and the other 32	
	patients were	
	diagnosed with normal-	
	tension glaucoma.	
	During 3-year follow-	
	up, 25 of 28	
	perimetrically normal	
	eyes in POAG patients	
	and 27 of 32 such eyes	
	in NTG patient were	
	treated with	
	medication. Both POAG	
	and NTG patients taking	
	medication had used	
	eye drops including	
	prostaglandins, β-	
	adrenergic receptor	
	blockers, α-2-	
	adrenergic receptor	
	agonists, and topical	
	carbonic anhydrase	
	inhibitors. Seven of 17	
	initial perimetrically	
	normal eyes with	
	abnormal FDT results in	
	POAG patients and 13	

Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of ostic COI. Solid COI. CO	_	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1		ı	T		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of ostic COI. Mean ostic COI. Mean of 40 with no history of eye trauma, best corrected visual study group: 62.2±9 COI. Glauco ma age of contro COI. Glauco ma age of corrected visual study of eye trauma, best corrected visual study evicual effects in early to moderate eye of effects in early to moderate eye of effects in early to moderate eye of effects in e											of 22 NTG patients		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Diagn ostic COI. COII. COI. COI. COI. COI. COI. COI. COI. COI. COI.													
teeprec FDT Diagn No mention of Banon and SPT COI. Leeprec FDT Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of Glauco man ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Diagn Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Diagn Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Diagn Ostic COI. Leeprec FDT Ostic COI. L											_		
Sectors in 60 eyes with normal SAP results. Superior nasal quadrant 23%, superior temporal quadrant 23%, superior temporal quadrant 23%, inferior nasal quadrant 21%, and central 51 "15 "15 "15 "15 "15 "15 "15 "15 "15											(p>.05). At baseline,		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of Col. N=127 Mean age of Glauco of eye trauma, best normal spanning follow of eye trauma, best normal eye of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive error of 066 diopters, a stignatism of 033 diopters, a stignatism of 034 diopters, a stignatism of 034 diopters, a stignatism of 035 diopters, a stignatism of 035 diopters, a side of the control of control of the co											ther were 1140 FDT		
Superior nasal quadrant 35%, superior temporal quadrant 23%, inferior nasal quadrant 21%, and central 5° 15% was the distribution. During follow-up, 22% of abnormal FDT developed an SAP abnormality, whereas only 4% of normal FDT developed SAP abnormality, whereas only 4% of normal FDT developed SAP abnormality to COI. Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of Glauco age of Glauco of 40 with no history of Gey trauma, best corrected visual acuity of eye trauma best corrected visual acuity of eye of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive baseline, glaucoma and defects in early to moderate age of outclear sclerosis on a scale of 1-4, open angles on gonioscopy, and no history of mean test time with FDP suggests the possibility of FDP may suggest su											sectors in 60 eyes with		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of COI. Site Corrected visual acuity FDP No statistically Significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP perform similarly fields with SAP corrected visual acuity fields with SAP corrected visual field fields with SAP corrected visual acuity fields with SAP											normal SAP results.		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Leeprec FDT banon 2007 (6.0) Leeprec FDT banon 2007 (6.0) Leeprec FDT banon 2007 (6.0) Leeprec FDT banon 320 Leep											Superior nasal quadrant		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of N=127 Mean OAG Patients over the age of Gilauco of eye trauma, best Gilauco ma corrected visual acuity group: of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive ge of och to correct of sub and sightly worse visual acuity than age of och to contro scale of 1-4, open angles on gonioscopy, and no history of many term of the first of the control och to control											35%, superior temporal		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of another											quadrant 28%, inferior		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of another											nasal quadrant 21%,		
Col.											and central 5° 1% was		
Col.											the distribution. During		
Leeprec FDT Diagn No mention of N=127 Mean age of Glauco ma corrected visual acuity felds with SAP ashightly mean test time number of unreliable felds with SAP ashightly mean test time number of unreliable felds with SAP for perform similarly in mean test time feld suggest the feld suggest feld with FDP feld sensitivity											_		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Coll											abnormal FDT		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Coll											developed an SAP		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Mean ostic COI. Glauco ma group: of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive error of 0±6 diopters, years. Mean age of control Leeprec of 10 moderate Mean age of control Leeprec of 10 moderate Leeprec of 20 moderate Leeprec of 40 with no history of eye trauma, best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive Leeprec of 20 moderate Leeprec of 40 with no history of eye trauma, best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive Leeprec of 20 moderate Leeprec of 40 with no history of eye trauma, best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive Leeprec of 40 with no history of leep of 50 leep of 40 with no history of leep of 40 with no													
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Diagn ostic Col. FDT Diagn ostic Col. Diagnostivity											only 4% of normal FDT		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. Diagn ostic Col. FDT Diagn ostic Col. Diagnostivity											•		
Leeprec FDT Diagn ostic COI. N=127 Mean age of Glauco ma group: of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive error of 0±6 diopters, years. astigmatism of 0±3 Mean age of contro I performing tests, but mean test time age of control group: and speeds and spe											abnormality (p<.05). RR		
Leeprec hanon 2007 (6.0) Leeprec (6.0) Mean ostic (6.0) Mean os													
Leeprec hanon 2007 (6.0) Leeprec (FDT ostic COI. Diagn ostic COI. Mean of Glauco ma group: 62.2±9 spherical refractive spherical refractive years. Mean age of control group (p=.04). Mean age of control group (p=.04). Mo significant difference in age of control group (p=.04). No significant difference in age of control group (p=.04). No significant difference in their ability to performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and deeper and deeper and deeper and deeper and deeper and deeper defects deetcted glaucoma. The high sensitivity group: and no history of the mean test time possibility of FDP may suggest in abnormal FDT was 5.38 (95% CI, 3.61-8.04; P<0.05). SITA 24-2 SAP FDP No statistically significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP perform similarly in comparable performance defects with saleline, glaucoma group had slightly worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant difference in their ability to performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and deeper defects deetcted with FDP significant difference in their ability to performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and deeper defects deetcted with FDP significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP their ability to performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and deeper defects deetcted with FDP significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP their ability to performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. The high sensitivity of possibility of											·		
Leeprec hanon 2007 COI. Mean OAG Patients over the age of Glauco ma group: of 20/40 or better, 62.2±9 Mean odiopters, a stigmatism of 0±3 Mean age of control group (p=.04). Mean age of control group (p=.04). No significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP detect visual field defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and defects detected with figure. No significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP compared to FDP. At baseline, glaucoma group had slightly worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant difference in perform similarly in comparable performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and defects in early to moderate glaucoma. The high sensitivity of group: angles on gonioscopy, and no history of group: and no history of group (p=.04).													
Leeprec hanon 2007 COI. Mean OAG Patients over the age of Glauco ma group: of 20/40 or better, 62.2±9 Mean odiopters, a stigmatism of 0±3 Mean age of control group (p=.04). Mean age of control group (p=.04). No significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP detect visual field defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and defects detected with figure. No significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP compared to FDP. At baseline, glaucoma group had slightly worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant difference in perform similarly in comparable performance defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and defects in early to moderate glaucoma. The high sensitivity of group: angles on gonioscopy, and no history of group: and no history of group (p=.04).											(95% CI, 3.61-8.04;		
Leeprec hanon ostic COI. Mean													
hanon 2007 Significant difference in number of unreliable fields with SAP their ability to gerformance of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive for of 20/40 or better, spherical refractive age of nuclear sclerosis on a seg of control group: Above the comparable of fields with SAP their ability to gerformance of their ability to detect visual field defects in early to moderate group had slightly worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). Above their ability to gerformance of their ability to detect visual field defects in early to moderate group had slightly worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). Above their ability to detect visual field defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and deeper and deeper moderate defects detected glaucoma. The high sensitivity angles on gonioscopy, and no history of group: Above their ability to detect visual field defects in early to moderate glaucoma. Larger and deeper and deeper with FDP high sensitivity and specificity of FDP may suggest the possibility of group:	Leeprec	FDT	Diagn	No mention of	N=127	Mean	OAG	Patients over the age	SITA 24-2 SAP	FDP	No statistically	"FDP and SAP	Data suggest FDP
Glauco ma group: (6.0) Glauco ma group had slightly moderate wisual field defects in early to moderate wisual field worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). Mean diopters, +1 or less nuclear sclerosis on a scale of 1-4, open angles on gonioscopy, I and no history of mean test time Glauco ma group had slightly moderate wisual field worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant defects detected with FDP high sensitivity and specificity of FDP may suggest the group:	hanon		ostic	COI.		age of		_				perform similarly	and SAP have
(6.0) ma group: 62.2±9 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2007					_		of eye trauma, best			number of unreliable		comparable
Georgin Geor						ma					fields with SAP	their ability to	
baseline, glaucoma group had slightly moderate visual field worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). Mean age of nuclear sclerosis on a scale of 1-4, open l angles on gonioscopy, group: and no history of spherical refractive error of 0±6 diopters, astigmatism of 0±3 worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant defects detected glaucoma. The with FDP high sensitivity suggests the and specificity of possibility of FDP may suggest	(6.0)					group:					compared to FDP. At	detect visual field	
.0 error of 0±6 diopters, years. Mean diopters, +1 or less nuclear sclerosis on a scale of 1-4, open l group: and no history of serior of 0±6 diopters, years. astigmatism of 0±3 worse visual acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant defects detected glaucoma. Larger and deeper moderate glaucoma. The difference in with FDP high sensitivity and specificity of performing tests, but mean test time possibility of FDP may suggest the possibility of p	, ,												•
years. Mean diopters, +1 or less age of control group (p=.04). No significant defects detected glaucoma. The high sensitivity and specificity of group: Vears. Mean diopters, +1 or less age of nuclear sclerosis on a scale of 1-4, open angles on gonioscopy, and no history of Vears assigned acuity than control group (p=.04). No significant defects detected glaucoma. The with FDP high sensitivity and specificity of performing tests, but mean test time possibility of FDP may suggest						.0		1 -			. —		visual field
Mean age of nuclear sclerosis on a scale of 1-4, open I angles on gonioscopy, group: and no history of age of group: and no history of and no history of and no history of control group (p=.04). And deeper defects detected glaucoma. The defects detected glaucoma. The difference in with FDP high sensitivity and specificity of performing tests, but mean test time possibility of FDP may suggest								1					
age of contro contro l scale of 1-4, open l difference in performing tests, but group: and no history of l mean test time defects detected glaucoma. The difference in performing tests, but glaucoma. The difference in performing tests, but glaucoma. The difference in performing tests, but glaucoma. The high sensitivity and specificity of performing tests, but group:								_					
contro scale of 1-4, open difference in with FDP high sensitivity angles on gonioscopy, group: and no history of mean test time possibility of FDP may suggest												· ·	
I angles on gonioscopy, and no history of group: and no history of grou											_		-
group: and no history of mean test time possibility of FDP may suggest						1							
						group:					_		
						58.2±1		systemic disease or			between the groups	,	earlier detection

					2.0 years. 34 males, 58 female s.		medication that could influence visual function.			(P<.01 for SAP and P<0.96 for FDP). SAP took 5.89 minutes and FDP took 5.23 minutes (P<0.001). Significant correlation with MD and number of defects on TD at P<.05 (r=.56, P<.001; r=.68 p=.001).In TD, FDP had significantly higher defect score than SAP in glaucoma group (P=.028) and oppositely for the normal group (P=.004). And the same results in PD occurred, except only significance in the glaucoma group (P=.01).GHT provided highest specificity (98%) and highest sensitivity (92%). Location of visual field defects for plausoma	earlier detection at high specificity."	of glaucoma associated with presence of larger and deeper structural defects.
										sensitivity (92%).		
										agreement with SAP defects. (κ =.48±.04) This was not seen in the normal group. (κ =.16±.05)		
Thomas 2002 (6.0)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=133	Mean age: 50.39 years. 60 males, 66	85 eyes of 85 patient s with establis hed field	Patients with primary open- or chronic closed-angle glaucoma with best corrected Snellen chart visual acuity of 6/9 or greater. No patients	C-20-5	C-20-1	The best sensitivity 85.9% and specificity 95.1% were provided. For moderate and severe cases, sensitivity improved to 91%. Detection was not	"FDP is a valid screening test for glaucoma. The scoring system described by Patel et al.	Data suggest FDP as a valid screening test for glaucoma.

	1		I	1	1		I					
					female	defecte	with posterior			improved by	provided the best	
					S.	d in	subcapsular cataract			quantification of defect.	results."	
						automa	in the pupillary area,					
						ted	no fellow eyes of					
						perimet	chronic closed-angle					
						ry and	glaucoma without					
						48 eyes	field defects,					
						of 48	proliferative diabetic					
						control	retinopathy, no					
						subject	patients treated with					
						S.	laser					
							photocoagulation,					
							cataracts considered					
							responsible for best-					
							corrected vision less					
							than 6/9.					
Soliman	FDT	Diagn	No COI.	N=123	Mean	42	Only subjects with an	SAP	SWAP	SWAP gave a	"SWAP in its	Data suggest
2002		ostic			age:	patient	open anterior		FDT	significantly larger	existing condition	SWAP does not
(6.0)					58.14	s with	chamber angle,			defect than both SAP	is markedly less	perform as well
					years.	early to	minimum best-			and FDT in the	efficient than	as either SAP or
					No	modera	corrected visual acuity			glaucoma group and	either SAP or FDT	FDT in the
					menti	te	20/25 and clear ocular			larger defects than FDT	in detecting	detection of VF
					on of	glauco	media, no history of			only in suspects. For	VF defects,	defects
					gender	ma, 34	intraocular surgery, no			the VF index PSD in	especially in	(especially
						ocular	secondary cause of			SWAP was significantly	glaucoma	glaucoma and
						hyperte	elevated intraocular			larger than SAP in all	patients and	ocular
						nsives,	pressure, no patients			groups (P=.0001 for all	ocular	hypertension
						22	with history of			groups except	hypertensives	patients) FDT
						glauco	diabetes, no			glaucoma P=.01) and	(defects detected	detects larger
						ma	neurological disorders			SWAP only in the	with SWAP are	defects making it
						suspect	that might affect VF,			glaucoma and OHT	less than	useful for
						s, and	no medications that			group (P=.002 and	both SAP and	population
						25	might affect the color			P=.004 respectively).	FDT). Defects	screening.
						normal	vision or retinal			No significant	detected with	
						control	sensitivity, and no			difference was	FDT are	
						S	patients with a history			detected in the	equivalent to SAP	
							of congenital color			suspects group. In	and sometimes	
							vision defects, and no			normal controls the	larger, especially	
							patients with lens			abnormal point in	in ocular	

							opacity >1. Normal patients without history of glaucoma, clinical evidence of glaucomatous damage on exam, and no abnormal IOP.			SWAP were significantly lower than in SAP for (p=.01 and p=.05). FDT detected significantly larger defects than SAP in OHT and suspects. (p=.01 and P=.004 respectively).	hypertensives and glaucoma suspects; this makes it a useful tool for picking up early glaucomatous defects in populations at risk."	
Su 2003 (6.0)	SAP	Diagnostic	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 24	Mean age 38, 10 female s and 14 males	Possibili ty of glauco ma, experie nce with automa ted visual field tests	Best-corrected visual acuity of 20/30 or better Intraocular pressure 21 mmHg Clear ocular media Normal ocular exam except for suspicious optic disc No other ocular or systemic condition that may affect visual field Two or more normal or equivocal visual field tests on standard white-on-white automated perimetry	SWAP, Humphrey Field Analyzer (HFA II 750i), 30-2 program with full- threshold performance	W-W perimetry, Humphrey Field Analyzer (HFA II 750i), 30- 2 program with full- threshold performa nce	The average mean deviation (MD) for the SWAP group was 6.55 ± 3.31 db. For the W-W group the average MD was 2.69 ± 1.76 db. Using the Wilcoxon signed rank test these average MDs were statistically difference (p < 0.001). The average pattern standard deviation (PSD) for the SWAP group was 3.49 ± 0.80 db. The average PSD in the W-W group was 2.40 ± 0.95 db in the W-W group. Again these results were statistically different (p < 0.001). The average test time in the SWAP group was 905.68 ± 70.03 seconds.	"This study showed that greater MD and PSD were demonstrated with SWAP. The test time was longer for SWAP. However, in order to conclude that SWAP is an early indicator of glaucomatous damage, longer follow-up and further analyses are required."	Data suggest similar test reliability between SWAP and W-W just that SWAP, while longer in testing time was associated with greater MD and PSD. Small sample.

	, ,	T		1		ı	T	 _
1							It was 788.26 ± 69.93	
							seconds in the W-W	
							group (p < 0.001)	
1							B F (F 0.00-1)	
							Average fixation	
							loss in the SWAP group	
							was 6.57% ± 7.98%, and	
							6.41% ± 8.43% in the	
							W-W group (p = 0.95).	
							νν-νν group (p = 0.95).	
							False-positive rate was	
							0.72% ± 1.95% in the	
							SWAP	
							group. For the W-W	
							group it was 2.37% ±	
							5.00%	
							(p = 0.07);	
							For the SWAP group the	
							false negative rate was	
							2.14% ± 4.06% and	
							1.28% ± 3.70% for the	
							W-W group (p = 0.57).	
							The SWAP group had	
							3.42 ± 3.12 average	
							number of test points	
							depressed	
							below the 5%	
							sensitivity level on the	
							pattern deviation	
							probability plot. The W-	
1							W group had 3.29 ±	
							3.13 (p = 0.84).	
							W	
							The CMAD group with	
							The SWAP group with	
							test points under 1%	
1							was 0.67 ± 1.13	
				•	L	1	L L	·

										and 0.71 ± 1.04 for the		
										W-W group (p = 0.85).		
Delgad o 2002 (6.0)	SAP	Background	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 60		Effectiveness in diagnos ing glauco ma and detecting disease progres sion.		Short wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), Frequency doubling technology perimetry (FDT), High-pass resolution perimetry (HPRP), and Motion automated perimetry (MAP).	Swedish interactiv e threshold algorithm (SITA) and SITA fast.		"Short wavelength automated perimetry detected visual field loss earlier than standard threshold automated perimetry, with a sensitivity and specificity of about 88% and 92% respectively."	Data suggest that SWAP, while having high sensitivity and specificity, it is time intensive and subject to large long term fluctuations. FDT is useful for the detection of early to advanced glaucoma and is resistant to blur and pupil size and less time intensive.
Terry 2010 (5.5)	FDT	Diagn	No COI.	N=2529	Partici pants over the age of 40. 1302 males, 1227 female s.	No patient s who are blind, have eye infectio n, or had an eye patch on both eyes.	VFL defined as at least 2 fields in the first test <.01 threshold, and at least 2 fields in the 2 nd test were <.01 threshold level, and at least one field was the same on both tests.	FDT C-20	Humphrey Matrix N- 30-5	Of eligible participants, 86.2% received VF exam. The average exam time was 9.7 minutes, with a median time of 9.1 minutes. Twenty-five percent of exams conducted for visual acuity (<20/40) exceeded 12 minutes. Average time of FDT test was 42 seconds with median time of 37 seconds. When defining reliability based on ≤1/3 blind spots, ≤1/3 false positive tests, and technician noted proper fixation, 80.1%	"FDT is a feasible, fast, and reliable method for visual field loss screening in a population-based U.S. study, with an 86.2% response rate, median exam time ~9 minutes, and nearly 95% of examined participants having complete, reliable results in 1 or both eyes."	Data suggests FDT a reliable testing method for VF screening and was a fast method for screening a large population.

Liu 2011 (5.5)	FDT	Diagnostic	No mention of COI.	N=132	Mean age:54 .1 years.	132 eyes of 95 glauco ma patient s and 37 normal subject s	Visual acuity of at least 20/40, spherical refractive error within the range of ±8.0 diopters. No clinical evidence of macular disease, no refractive or retinal disease, no neurological disease, and no diabetes.	SAP	SITA SWAP Matrix FDT	of examined adults had 2 reliable tests for both eyes; an additional 13.4% had 2 reliable tests for 1 eye. Increasing age, test times, decreasing visual acuity, data reliability, and presence of self-reported glaucoma resulted in decreased exam rates. Sensitivity and specificity to detect persons with glaucoma was 54.8% and 91.9% respectively. Sensitivity was highest for Matrix FDT perimetry, followed by SAP, and then SITA SWAP. Analysis of only patients with early glaucoma sensitivity decreased to 52%, 46%, and 34%, respectively, with a significant difference between Matrix FDT perimetry and SITA SWAP (P=.034). The specificity was ≥97% for all perimetries. AUCs of MD and PSD followed a similar order, with Matrix FDT perimetry having the greatest (.8994) then SAP (.8794), and then SITA SWAP (.6990). There	"The performance for glaucoma detection was comparable between FDT perimetry and SAP. FDT perimetry had a higher sensitivity for detecting glaucoma than did SWAP at a comparable level of specificity."	Data suggest both FDT and SAP were comparable for the detection of glaucoma. SWAP and FDT had similar specificities but FDT had higher sensitivity of detection of glaucoma.
----------------------	-----	------------	--------------------	-------	--------------------------------	--	---	-----	-------------------------------	---	--	---

Liu 2014 (5.5)	FDT	Diagn	No mention of COI.	N=217	Mean age: 52.53 years. No menti on of gender	179 eyes of 148 glauco ma patient s and 38 eyes of 28 normal subject s	Visual acuity of at least 20/40, no evidence of macular disease, no refractive or retinal surgery, no neurological disease, and no diabetes.	SAP	Matrix FDTP	were significant differences in sensitivities at 90% specificity between Matrix FDT perimetry and SITA SWAP (p≤.005 for MD, p≤.039 for PSD) Of the 217 eyes, 6.1% and 3.9% progressed with conservative criteria, 14.5% and 5.6% of eyes progressed with the moderate criteria by FDTP and SAP. FDTP detected more progressing locations than SAP. Rate of change of visual field mean deviation was significantly faster for FDTP (P<.001). No eyes showed progression in the normal group using the conservative and the moderate criteria.	"With a faster rate of change of visual sensitivity, FDTP detected more progressing eyes than SAP at a comparable level of specificity. Frequency doubling technology perimetry can provide a useful alternative to monitor glaucoma progression."	FDTP and SAP have comparable specificity in glaucoma detection, but FDTP detected more progressing glaucoma locations than SAP.
Sample 2000 (5.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Funded by grant from the National Eye Institute, the Foundation for Eye Research, and the Joseph Drown Foundation. No mention of COI.	N = 136	Mean age 62.46, no gender distrib ution menti oned	Glauco matous optic neurop athy (GON), ocular hyperte nsion (OHT), or control	Open angles in stereoscopic photographs Best corrected acuity of 20/40 (or better) Spherical refraction within 65 D Cylinder correction within 63 D	Short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), frequency- doubling technology perimetry (FDT), motion- automated	SAP	71 eyes had GON. FDT identified 70% as abnormal, SWAP identified 61%, MAP identified 52%, and SAP identified 46%. For the eyes with OHT, FDT identified 46% as abnormal, SWAP identified 22%, MAP identified 30%, and SAP identified 5%. SWAP (p	"For detection of functional loss standard visual field testing is not optimum; a combination of two or more tests may improve detection of functional loss in these eyes; in an individual, the	The data suggest that using standard visual field testing is not ideal for detecting functional loss. It is suggested that combination of tests may be more appropriate for increasing the

				perimetry	= 0.003), FDT (p =	same retinal	sensitivity with a
			Glaucomatous optic	(MAP)	0.002), and MAP (p =	location is	slight loss of
			neuropathy	(,	0.005) all significantly	damaged,	specificity.
			participants had to		identified more	regardless of	
			have asymmetrical		abnormality in eyes	visual function	
			cupping, presence of		than SAP according to a	under test;	
			rim thinning, notching,		chi-squared analysis.	glaucomatous	
			excavation, or nerve		ciii squarca ariarysis.	optic neuropathy	
			fiber layer defect		There was no visual	identified on	
			liber layer defect		function loss in 10% of	stereophotograp	
			Ocular hypertensive		the GON eyes. 27% only	hs may precede	
			participants had to		showed loss in one test.	currently	
			have		63% showed loss in two	measurable	
			intraocular pressure of		or more test. 30% of	function loss in	
			23 mm Hg (or more)		OHT eyes showed visual	some eyes;	
			on at least two		function loss in two or	conversely,	
			occasions and normal-		more tests. 4% of eyes	function loss with	
					from the controls		
			appearing optic			specific tests may	
			disc		showed any loss.	precede	
			stereophotographs		Fan average with CON 070/	detection of	
					For eyes with GON, 97%	abnormality by	
					that were detected as	stereophotograp	
					abnormal for the SWAP	h review; and	
					and FDT tests had one	short-wavelength	
					quadrant in common.	automated	
					97% also overlapped	perimetry,	
					quadrants in the MAP	frequency	
					and FDT tests. 92% also	doubling	
					overlapped in the MAP	perimetry, and	
					and SWAP tests.	motion-	
						automated	
					The mean number of	perimetry	
					quadrants that were	continue to show	
					detected abnormal in	promise as early	
					GON eyes were as	indicators	
					follows: SAP 0.59 ±	of function loss in	
					1.10, SWAP 1.18 ± 1.38,	glaucoma."	
					FDT 1.67 ± 1.62, MAP		
					0.79 ± 1.34. The mean		

Plumm er 2000 (5.5)	SAP	Diagn	Funded by grants from the NIH, Core Grant for Vision Research, and Research to Prevent Blindness. No mention of COI.	N = 23	No mean age or gender distrib ution menti oned	Glauco ma patient s and control s	Glaucoma patients and controls	Scanning laser entoptic perimetry	Standard Humphrey automate d visual field perimetry (SAP)	number detected in OHT eyes were as follows: SAP 0.02 ± 0.16, SWAP 0.47 ± 1.10, FDT 1.00 ± 1.27, MAP 0.95 ± 1.61. The mean number in the control eye group was about 0.25 or less for SWAP, FDT, and MAP. SAP detected abnormality in all 29 glaucomatous eyes. 19 were detected as having entopic perimetry disturbances. All controls presented no abnormality in either test. With the entoptic perimetry, the sensitivity was high for moderate/severe patients (0.71-0.90). Specificity was 1.00. The sensitivity for those considered to less severe conditions or none were moderate (0.27-0.67). Specificity was high (0.78-1.00).	"Scanning laser entoptic perimetry may be an effective and inexpensive screening test in hospitals and community clinics for diagnosing visual field loss caused by glaucoma."	Data suggest entopic perimetry "reasonably estimates" moderate- severe scotomas in visual field loss although this method is not as sensitive in detecting early visual field defects. It is less costly than SAP.
Laron 2010	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by NIH grants P30	N = 69	Age range	With clinical	MS diagnosis ranged from just diagnosed to	MfVEP (amplitude/la	Optical coherence	MfVEP identified more abnormality in MS-ON	"The mfVEP, HVF and OCT provide	Data suggest that in MS patients,
(5.5)			EY07751, T35 007088, a pilot grant from the		from 21 to 57	definite MS.	21 years, in particular optic neuritis (ON). 47 MSON eyes (last	tency) and Humphrey visual field	tomograp hy (OCT).	eyes (89%) vs HVF (72%), OCT (62%), mfVEP amplitude (66%)	complementary information in detecting visual	MFVEP letter at detecting deficits that either HVF
			National		years, gender		optic neuritis (ON) attack ≥ 6 months	(HVF).		or latency (67%) alone. 18% of MS-no-ON eyes	pathway	or OCT.

			Multiple Sclerosis Society, a University of Houston GEAR grant, and the Minnie Flaura Turner memorial fund. No mention of COI.		not specifi ed.		prior) and 65 MS-no- ON eyes without ON history.			were abnormal for both mfVEP and HVF compared to 8% with OCT. MfVEP categorized additional 15% of MS-ON eyes as abnormal vs HVF and OCT combined.	abnormalities in MS."	
Hood 2004 (5.5)	SAP	Diagn	Sponsored by National Eye Institute Grants R01-EY02115 and R0 - EY09076 and by the Steven and Shelley Einhorn Research Fund of the New York Glaucoma Research Institute, New York, New York. D.C. Hood, Carl Zeiss Meditec (C), and no other COI reported.	N = 50	Mean age 59.9 ± 11.5, gender not specifi ed.	With open-angle glauco ma (OAG) and relativel y mild visual field defects.	Abnormal HVF if the pattern standard deviation (PSD) was significant at, (p < 5% and or glaucoma hemifield test (GHT) outside normal limits.	Multifocal visual evoked potential (mfVEP).	Automate d perimetry	The mean value of the MD for this group was – 2.72 dB (range, 1.56 to –7.84). For the mfVEP test 74 (37%) of the 200 hemifields had abnormal mfVEP clusters vs 75 (37.5%) had abnormal HVF clusters. The HVF and mfVEP results agreed on 74% of the hemifields, and 90 normal and 58 abnormal hemifields on both the mfVEP and HVF cluster tests.	"[T]he HVF and monocular mfVEP tests showed a comparable number of defects, and, with the addition of the interocular test, the mfVEP showed more abnormalities than the HVF."	Data suggestion both multifocal VEP and HVP detect abnormalities that are distinctly different a comparable number of the same defect.
Goldba um 2002 (5.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by	N = 156	Mean age 50.0 ± 6.7, gender not specifi ed.	With advanc ed open- angle glauco ma	The glaucoma category based on optic nerve damage and not visual field defects.	Humphrey Field Analyzer with program 24-2 or 30-2.	Standard Automate d perimetry (SAP).	Correlation between MD and PSD, (p = 0.55) and MD vs CPSD, (p = 0.42). MoG with PCA had 0.922 area under the ROC curve vs MoG constrained to QDF (0.917) with the full	"MoG, using the entire visual field and age for input, interpreted SAP better than the global indices of STATPAC."	Data suggest MoG better than STATPAC in interpreting SAP.

Foundation, San Francisco, California from the National Eye Institute, National Institution of Health, Bethesda, Md (Dr Zangwill), the Heed (1.4.5) and (1.4.5) an
--

Bowd 2009	SAP	Diagn ostic	Foundation, Chicago, III, and Joseph Drown Foundation, Los Angeles, California (Dr Weinreb). No other COI reported. Sponsored by NIH EY018190,	N = 71	Mean age of	With	Best-corrected acuity better than or equal	Pattern electroretinog	Standard Automate	standard perimetry, (p < 0.004) and SWAP, (p < 0.001) between progression and nonprogressed group. PERGLA accuracy was 0.66 and SAP accuracy	"Pattern electroretinogra	Data suggest PERGA does not
(5.5)		Osae	O11008 and O08208. Financial disclosure, Carl Zeiss Meditec: PAS (S), RNW (S, C), LMZ (S), Haag-Streit: PAS (S), Heidelberg Engineering: RNW (S), LMZ (S), Lace Elettronica: CB (S), Optovue: LMZ (S), Welch- Allyn: PAS (S).		health y individ uals and PERGL A; 63.3 and 43.8 years, gender not specifi ed.	matous optic neurop athy (GON). N = 42 healthy individu als and N = 29 with GON.	to 20/40, spherical refraction within ± 5.0D and cylinder correction within ± 3.0D, and open angles on gonioscopy.	rams optimized for glaucoma detection (PERGLA).	d perimetry (SAP).	was 0.80. PERGLA and SAP significant differences for all parameters, (p ≤ 0.001) except PERGLA phase, (p = 0.582). Sensitivities at or near the chosen specificities of 0.75, 0.85 and 0.95 were generally better for SAP than for PERGLA parameters.	ms recorded using the PERGLA paradigm can discriminate between healthy and glaucoma eyes, although this technique performed no better than SAP at this task."	perform as well as SAP in discriminating between healthy eyes and glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON) eyes.
Iwasaki 2002 (5.5)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=14,81 4	Mean age: 40.7±9 .7 years. 12660 males, 2154 female s.	103 consec utive glauco matous patient s and 14,814 persons	Patients without chronic ocular disease, distance refraction less than 700 diopters, and no systemic disease or medication known to affect the visual field.	FDT-GSP	30-2 SITA	FDP-GSP detected 83.3% of early stage glaucoma and 100% of advanced stage glaucoma. Of the 14,814 patients, 660 tested positive for FDT- GSP. 13,650 showed a negative FDT-GSP. Of the 660 with positive results, 370 were examined and 148 were	"Frequency-doubling technology-based screening with only a visual field test showed reasonable performance on mass screening for detection of definitive	Data suggest FDT screening showed good performance for glaucoma detection.

	EDT.			N. 202				0.20		already under medication for glaucoma or other diseases. Definitive glaucoma was diagnosed in 167 patients, 46 with suspicious, 53 with at- risk, 39 were normal, 55 with other diseases, and 10 were undiagnosed.	glaucoma in this study population, considering the glaucoma prevalence."	
Ferrera s 2007 (5.5)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=202	Mean age: 60.78 years. No menti on of gender	92 healthy control subject s and 110 patient s with varying degrees of glauco matous visual field loss on SAP	Patients with best corrected visual acuity ≥ 20/30, refractive errors of <3 diopters sphere and <2 D cylinder, transparent ocular media, open anterior chamber angles, and patients without previous ocular surgery, diabetes, or other systemic diseases, without a history of ocular or neurological disease, and without current use of any medication that might affect VF sensitivity.	C-20	C-20-1	Best criterion for C-20-1 test is with 1 or more altered points with a pvalue of<.01 and a sensitivity of 57.81% sensitivity and 100% specificity. Best criterion for glaucoma diagnosis for C-20 test is with 5 or more altered points with a pvalue of <.05 or 2 or more altered points with p<.02, or 1 altered point with p<.01. Sensitivity at 79.68% and 94.2% specificity is best. Test duration for C-20-1 was 51±18 seconds. Test duration for C-20 was 279±30 seconds. Performance times for FDT were lower than SAP test (651±192 seconds).	"By using the C- 20-1 strategy, a p < 1% defect anywhere showed 100% specificity with the lowest test duration. The criteria proposed for the threshold C-20 algorithm presented a good sensitivity) specificity balance. The threshold C-20 test provides higher sensitivity than the C-20-1 strategy but takes about five times longer to perform."	Data suggest C-20 test takes 5 times longer to perform with a higher sensitivity than C-20-1 has 100% specificity and short testing time.
Nehma	FDT	Diagn	No mention of	N=1253	Age:	1253	Patients with an IOP of	FDT	C-20-1	IOP and direct	"In the	Dada suggest FDT
d		ostic	COI.		≥45	persons	≤21 mmHg in either			ophthalmoscopy were	community	was reliable for

2008 (5.0)					years old. No menti on of gender .	over age 45 who are either black or have family history of glauco ma	eye or an IOP difference between the eyes ≤ 3mmHg, and no abnormality or suspicion of abnormality in media opacity, retinal disease, optic nerve disease, or the inability of the examiner to get a clear view of the fundus because of media opacity or small pupil.			passed by 1043 people. Of the 1043, 159 met high-risk criteria. Of the high-risk 19 failed FDT and 8 had unreliable FDT tests.	screening, FDT performed reliably and identified abnormalities in a significant number of persons in the high-risk group passing the eye health part of the screening. However, with the exception of the poor sensitivity shown by strategy 4, results from the simulated screening did not support the usefulness of one strategy over another."	the screening of most individuals in community vision screenings except it lacked good sensitivity for the group of persons with no direct ophthalmologic exams or IOP.
Nam 2009 (5.0)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=115	Mean age: 55.16 years. 67 males, 48 female s.	47 healthy subject s and 68 glauco matous subject s.	Patients with best- corrected visual acuity of 20/30 or better, with spherical equivalent ±5 diopters, cylinder correction +3D, presence of a normal anterior chamber and open-angle on slit- lamp and gonioscopic examination, reliable	Humphrey Matrix	SAP	Of the 68 glaucomatous eyes, 45 were diagnosed with normaltension glaucoma and 23 with primary openangle glaucoma. Overall AUC score was .857 for Matrix data and .881 for SAP data. No significant difference was observed (p=0.538) for Matrix or SAP	"Both Matrix and SAP showed good diagnostic performance with glaucoma defined as structural loss. Matrix and SAP data showed similar discrimination capability for	Data suggest Humphrey MATRIX and SAPP perform well in detecting structural loss associated with glaucoma.

							SAP and matrix results with a false-positive error of <15%, a false-negative error of <15%, and a fixation loss of <20%. No subjects with any other ophthalmic disease that could result in VF defects and those with a history of diabetes mellitus.			cluster score and for early-advanced stages of glaucoma (p=.831; p=.237).	different stages of glaucoma determined by cluster analysis."	
Sekhar, 2000 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N= 48	No menti on of mean age or gender	48 Glauco ma Patient s.	Glaucoma	SITA Fast (SF)	Standard Full Threshold (SFT), SITA Standard (SS)	The sensitivity of the SS test was 95.12% and the sensitivity of the SF test was 92.68%. Both were compared to the standard full threshold test. The SS test was 53.12% faster than the SFT test (p=0.001) and the SF test was 70.69% faster than the SFT test (p<0.0001).	"Swedish interactive threshold algorithm strategies have good sensitivity and are significantly faster as compared with the standard threshold algorithm. The repeatability of the SFT and SS strategies are excellent, whereas that of the SF strategy is variable."	Data suggest SITA is a faster VF test with good sensitivity and SFT and SS testing resulted in excellent repeatability.
Mirand a, 2008 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N= 10	No menti on of mean age or	10 glauco ma patient s.	Glaucoma with previous experience with SSAP; visual acuity (VA) ‡ 0.3	Single- Stimulus automated perimetry (SSAP)	Multiple- stimulus perimetry (MSP)	The MSP showed an increase in sensitivity (mean = 1.9 dB (p<0.01)) and a reduction in variability (mean range from 3.7	"Patients have a higher sensitivity and less variability in their visual field when tested with MSP	Small sample. Data suggest MSP combined with verbal feedback led to increased sensitivity and

					gender		logMAR (6/12); refractive error within ±5.00 D sphere and <3.00 D cylinder			to 2.5 dB, (p<0.01)). The mean MSP test time took 5.4 min, and the SSAP test took 4.3 min.	with verbal feedback than with SSAP."	less variability in visual field testing of glaucoma patients compared to SSAP although test performance time, on average, was longer.
Newkir k, 2006 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=10	Mean age was 53.8 years. Gende r was not provid ed.	5 normal subject s and 5 patient s with glauco ma.	Glaucoma patients were included based on clinical diagnosis of glaucoma.	Humphrey Field Analyzer's Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm (HFA II).	Clinical Diagnosis of Glaucoma	The mean false positive tests for normal and glaucoma patients were 0.4% and 0.93%, respectively. The greatest change in mean deviation in glaucoma patients at 33% error frequency was 2.4 dB. The mean test duration for normal subjects increased by 54 seconds and the mean test time increased by 69 seconds in glaucoma patients.	"HFA II SITA-S underestimates patients' FP errors, particularly among normal patients. High FP error frequencies can have adverse effects on MD and PSD, leading clinicians and researchers to an inaccurate determination of the amount and severity of visual field loss."	Small sample. Data suggest HFA II SITA-S in normal eyes underestimates FPs to a greater extent than when MD & PSD were abnormal as in glaucomatous eyes.
Park, 2009 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N= 202	Mean age was 55.5 years. 102 males, 100 female s.	glauco matous eyes.	90 Glaucomatous eyes were identified with SAP. 112 eyes were diagnosed using the Humphrey Matrix.	Humphrey Matrix (Matrix)	Standard Automate d Perimetry (SAP)	No average RNFL thickness measured by OCT was significant between the matrix and SAP groups (p>0.05). The S1 (MD>-6dB) and S2 (-12 <md<-6db) and<="" average,="" different="" group="" had="" sap="" significantly="" subgroups="" superiori="" td="" the="" within=""><td>"SAP subgroups showed a good correlation of structural and functional defects when assessed using OCT and GDx VCC. These correlations were weaker in the</td><td>Data suggest SAP subgroups were highly correlated between structural and functional defects with OCT and GDx VCC assessments. This was not as strongly</td></md<-6db)>	"SAP subgroups showed a good correlation of structural and functional defects when assessed using OCT and GDx VCC. These correlations were weaker in the	Data suggest SAP subgroups were highly correlated between structural and functional defects with OCT and GDx VCC assessments. This was not as strongly

										inferior RNFL thickness measured by OCT ((p=0.001), (p=0.011), and (p<0.001)) respectively. Only the average and inferior RNFL thicknesses were significantly different in M1 and M2 groups ((p=0.016) and (p=0.013)) respectively.	Matrix subgroups, especially in the early stages of glaucoma."	correlated in the Matrix subgroups for early to moderate glaucoma stages.
Kim 2013 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea. No COI.	N = 106	Mean age 52.93 ± 20.93, 51 male and 55 female	With glauco ma	BCVA >20/30, a spherical equivalent within ±6D with a cylinder within 3D, presence of openangle on slit lamp, gonioscopic examinations, and reliable visual field test results.	SD-OCT volume scans	SAP tests	The VFS of each test point was significantly correlated with the corresponding MRT (R² = 0.133-0.383, all (p < 0.001). The quadratic model than linear model when the MRT was plotted against the decibel VFS (superior hemisphere, p = 0.002; inferior hemisphere, (p = 0.012).	"The VFS showed a significant reciprocal relationship with corresponding macular thickness at each test point."	Data suggest that although the VFS showed a significant reciprocal relationship (correlation) to macular thickness, the strongest correlation was in the arcuate area whereas other areas showed variability. The SD-OCT may be useful as another way of assessing structural damage associated with glaucoma.
Fortune 2007	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by the M. J. Murdock	N = 185	Mean age 60.9 ±	With high- risk	Corrected visual acuity ≥ 20/40 and spectacle refraction < ± 5.00 D	Multifocal visual evoked potential	Standard automate d	The abnormality rate for mfVEP ranged from 14% to 45%.	"The diagnostic performance of mfVEP was	Data suggest similar performance for
(5.0)			Charitable Trust, Vancouver WA;		11.0, 78 male	ocular hyperte nsion	sphere and < ± 2.00 D cylinder.	(mfVEP).	perimetry (SAP).	The average SAP MD was +0.3 ± 2.1 dB (range +3.9 to +10.1 dB)	similar to that of SAP."	the detection of GON between mfVEP and SAP

Lima 2009 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn	Good Samaritan Foundation, Portland, OR; National Eye Institute Grants R01- EY03424 (CAJ) and R01- EY02115 (DCH); and the Legacy Good Samaritan Foundation. No COI. Sponsored by the Joseph and Geraldine LaMotta Research Fund of the New York Glaucoma Research Institute, New York. RBR is a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of OTI-Opko.	N = 20	Mean age and VF mean deviati on were 60.8 (13.4) years and -7.3 (6.1) dB, 8 male and 12 female . Mean	With charact eristic optic neurop athy and a paracen tral VF defect.	VF defect 1% within the central most 16 points of the 24–2 visual field (Humphrey Field Analyzer II, SITA Standard 24–2).	Scanning laser ophthalmosc ope microperimet ry (SLO-MP).	Standard Automate d perimetry (SAP).	and the average PSD was 2.3 1.9 dB (range, 1.0 – 16.1 dB). 54/185 eyes graded as GON abnormal SAP and 152/181 graded as normal SAP. The sensitivity of SAP-OHTS had higher sensitivity and lower specificity, of the SAP clusters only "44" or 2 points and "444" or 3 points performed better vs SAP-OHTS, (p < 0.05). Correlation between SLO-MP and SAP in all quadrants (inferotemporal, r²= 0.84; inferonasal, r²= 0.73; superonasal, r²= 0.68; superotemporal, r²= 0.70, (p < 0.001). All abnormal SAP quadrants had corresponding abnormal SLO-MP quadrant.	"Macular sensitivity evaluated by SLO-MP correlates significantly with SAP paracentral VF defects."	Data suggest SLO-MP significantly correlates with SAP paracentral VF defects for macular sensitivity.
1997	<i>3</i> , ti	ostic	grants from the Herman	11 - 31	age 63 years,	normal subject	threshold, Dicon 76- point threshold test	visual-field test	perimeter	sensitivity/specificity was higher with the	perimeter appears to yield	Dicon perimeter results in high
(5.0)			Jrnhardt		gender	s and N	,	perimeters		Humphrey vs Dicon	excessive false-	numbers of false
			Foundation, the		not	= 31				probability maps, (p <	positive findings	postures
1	l		Inez and Joel	ı		with		i	1	0.05).	in normal	compared to

			Carlsson's Foundation, and the Ingeborg and Ernst Ydman's Foundation, Malmo, Sweden. No COI.		specifi ed.	glauco ma or cerebro vascula r disease.				Blind spot was correctly detected as an absolute defect more often with Humphrey vs Dicon perimeter, (p < 0.012).	subjects, resulting in poor sensitivity/specifi city combinations, while at the same time failing to properly measure defect depth in scotomas."	Humphrey perimeter, thus, sensitivity and specificity is marginal and there is failure in accurately measuring defect depth in blind spots.
Bengtss on 2008 (5.0)	SAP	Diagn	Sponsored by the Jarnhardt foundation, Malmo" University Hospital Foundation, Foundation of Visually Impaired in former Malmohus lan, Sweden, and by the Crown Princess Maragreta Foundation for the Visually Handicapped. No mention of COI.	N = 50	Mean age 54 years, gender not specifi ed.	With diabete s mellitus and differen t degrees of retinop athy.	Retinopathy stages 10–75 according to the ETDRS severity scale, visual field assessed by the 24-2 SITA standard SAP program.	Short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP) with short intervals.	Standard Automate d perimetry (SAP).	The average visual field threshold sensitivity decreased to 0.46 dB per ETDRS step using SAP (p = 0.001) and 0.72 dB per ETDRS step using SWAP, (p = 0.011). Mean deviation (MD) test with SAP vs SWAP, (p < 0.0001). The variability increased, with 0.06 dB per dB worsening of MD for both SAP (p = 0.04) and SWAP (p = 0.003). The median local testretest variability for all points was 2.07 dB with SAP and 2.67 with SWAP, (p = 0.83).	"[C]hange in diabetic retinopathy can be monitored using conventional SAP, as well as SWAP, thus adding useful information to the conventionally used photographic documentation, particularly at early stages."	Data suggest similar performance between SAP and SWAP for monitoring visual field loss in diabetic retinopathy patients but a slight performance for SAP due to less test-retest variability.
Montei ro 2008 (5.0)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=30	Mean age: 48.2 years. 12 males, 18	15 patient s with DON and 15 healthy	Patient must have a least one eye with DON documented by an abnormal SAP test result (3 adjacent abnormal points at P<.05 level or 2	C-20-5	C-20	For C-20-5 test sensitivity ranges were 40-86.7% and 53.3- 100% total deviation and 20-93.3% partial deviation for C-20 test. Respective specificity	"FDT perimetry is a useful screening tool for DON in eyes with normal or only slightly	Data suggest FDT is useful for detecting DON in eyes with normal VA or slightly diminished VA.

		ı		1	I c .		p		I	007400	1 1	
					female	control	adjacent points with			ranges were 86.7-100,	reduced visual	
					S	eyes	one abnormal at the			33.3-93.3, and 26.7-	acuity."	
							p<.01 level), best-			100. Best		
							corrected VA of 20/25			sensitivity/specificity		
							or better in the study			ratios for 1 abnormal		
							eye, above 20 years			point depressed <.05 in		
							old, good cooperation			C-20-5 test		
							for VF, spherical			(86.7/86.7%), 1 point		
							refraction within ±5 D,			depressed <.01 in the		
							cylinder correction			total deviation		
							within ±3 D,			(80.0/86.7%) and 1		
							intraocular pressure			point depressed <.02 in		
							<22mmHg, reliable VF,			pattern deviation		
							reliable Humphrey VF			(80/86.7%). DON eyes		
							with fixation loss			showed significantly		
							<25%, and <25% false-			lower than normal		
							positive or false-			average sensitivity in		
							negative responses,			central, pericentral, and		
							and no patients with			peripheral areas.		
							clinical signs of					
							glaucomatous optic					
							neuropathy or optic					
							disc anomaly.					
Fogagn	FDT	Diagn	No mention of	N=80	Mean	40	Patients without FDT	N-30	C-20	Both C-20 and N-30	"N-30 and C-20	Small Sample.
olo		ostic	COI.		age:	glauco	experience, patients			best criteria to detect	screening	Data suggest
2005					65.7	matous	with visual acuity of at			glaucoma was with 1	procedures	similar sensitivity
(5.0)					years.	patient	least 20/25, lack of			point with P<.05 at	obtained similar	and specificity
, ,					58	s and	media opacities,			sensitivity= 87.5% for	results in well-	between N-30
					female	40	retinal abnormalities,			both tests and	defined glaucoma	and C-20
					s, 62	control	and systemic diseases			specificity of 90% and	patients in terms	screening
					female	s	potentially affecting			95% for C-20 and N-30	of sensitivity and	methods. In the
					s.		visual field results			respectively. Both tests	specificity. In the	presence of a SAP
										obtained a lower	presence of a	nasal step, both
										sensitivity (75%) while	standard	N-30 and C-20
										FDT was able in all	automated	methods did not
										cases. Mean duration	perimetry nasal	perform well.
										for C-20 was 60.0±33.3	step, diagnostic	F 2
										seconds and 88.1±39.4	ability with both	
										seconds for N-30.	frequency-	
										seconds for in-so.	irequericy-	

										Difference in duration	doubling	
										was significant P=.01.	technology	
											screening	
											strategies	
											decreased and	
											one quarter of	
											nasal steps went	
											undetected."	
Leeprec	FDT	Diagn	No mention of	N=77	Mean	42	Patients must be 40	FDT	SWAP	Normal group did	"Short-	Data suggest
hanon		ostic	COI.		age:60.	patient	years or older, have			significantly worse on	wavelength	similar abilities to
2007					41	s with	best-corrected visual			SWAP MD (P=.0003)	automated	detect early
(4.5)					years.	preperi	acuity 20/40 or better,			and SWAP TD <.05	perimetry and	glaucoma
					41	metric	spherical refractive			(P=.001). Defects on the	FDP showed	between SWAP
					males,	glauco	error of 0±6 diopters,			TD and PD plots were	similar ability to	and FDT.
					36	matous	astigmatism of 0±3 D,			more frequent by FDP	detect visual	
					female	optic	no more than 1+			in glaucoma group, but	dysfunction in	
					S.	nerve	nuclear sclerotic			significant for only PD	patients with	
						damage	cataract (1-4) scale, no			at P<.01 (P=.024). Areas	preperimetric	
						and a	history of eye disease			under curve for MD of	glaucoma. Long-	
						normal	or eye trauma, and no			SWAP and PSD of FDP	term follow-up is	
						SAP in 1	other systemic disease			were .74 and .67	required to	
						eye,	or medication use that			respectively. (P=.37)	define their role	
						but	could influence color			Early glaucoma group	in	
						with	vision or the visual			performed significantly	predicting	
						contral	field. Normal patients			worse on FDP PSD	subsequent SAP	
						ateral	must not have risk			(P=.01) and FDP PD	defects."	
						SAP	factors for			<.05 (P=.005). FDP had		
						abnorm	development of			a significantly higher		
						alities,	glaucoma or other eye			sensitivity (72% vs.		
						and 35	disease (positive			54%; p=.02) and also in		
						normal	family history,			specificity (53% vs.		
						patient	previous eye disease,			44%; P=.12) compared		
						S	previous intraocular			with SWAP. Agreement		
							surgery, previous			on defect location was		
							ocular trauma, and			moderate (k=.46).		
							retinal or neurological			Testing time was longer		
							abnormalities that			for SWAP than FDP in		
							may affect the visual			both normal and		
							field).			glaucomatous groups.		

lester	FDT	Diagn	No mention of	N=23	Mean	23	Patients free of ocular	Short-term C-	Long-term	Average mean	"Short-term and	Data suggest
2000		ostic	COI.	5	age:	healthy	disease, refractive	20	C-20	sensitivity of the 3	long-term	short and long
(4.5)		Ostic	00		29.1±6.	subject	errors ranged		0 20	examinations of 2 nd	fluctuations	term fluctuations
()					3	S	between +5 and -7			session was 30.4±1.24	were similar to	were similar to
					years.		dopters with			dB and average short-	those known to	those known to
					12		corrected visual acuity			term fluctuation of	occur with the	exist in
					males,		equal to or better			subjects was 2.16±0.5	conventional	conventional
					11		than 0.7.			dB. Short-term	threshold	threshold
					female					fluctuation of each	perimetry when	perimetry. There
					S.					point tested ranged	they were	was also the
										1.4-3.4 dB. Average	compared with	observance of a
										mean sensitivity for all	the literature	learning effect
										session was 32.4±1.14	data. A learning	which should be
										dB. Average long-term	effect was also	accounted for in
										fluctuation of each	observed and	clinical settings.
										tested point range 2.5-	should be taken	
										4.4 dB.	into account for	
											the clinical use of	
											this test."	
Iwase	FDT	Diagn	No COI.	N=4000	Mean	4000	Subjects over 40 years	C-20-1	HFA 30-2	Of 5784 eyes in 2892	"In a population-	Data suggest the
2007		ostic			age:	random	old with visual acuity			participants, 5707 eyes	based glaucoma	C-20-1 screening
(4.5)					57.7±1	subject	>20/40, no ocular			obtained reliable	screening study,	protocol of FDT
					1.	s form	disease except			results (≤33% fixation	FDT perimetry	perimetry testing
					3	Tajimi	glaucoma, and no			loss and ≤33% false	with the C-20-1	performed well
					years.	City	brain diseases			positive errors).	screening	although
					1281					Significant bilateral	protocol was	sensitivity for
					males,					difference was	reliably	detecting early
					1611					observed in 2871 right	performed in	damage related
					female					eyes and 2836 left eyes	more than 98% of	to glaucoma was
					S.					(p<0.001). In 5582 eyes	participants. The	not high, but
										with reliable FDT	sensitivity for	specificity was
										results, FDT showed 1	detecting	good.
										or more abnormal point	glaucomatous	
										in visual field in 502	visual field	
										eyes (388 of 5295	damages,	
										normal eyes; 19 of 116	especially early	
										of glaucoma subjects;	damage, was not	
										95 of 171 eyes with	sufficiently high,	
		1		I		I		Ì		definite glaucoma).	whereas the	

Wall,	SAP	Diagn	Supported in	N=36	Mean	18	All patients met the	Humphrey	Ring Test	Sensitivity and specificity values for detecting definitive glaucoma were 55.6% and 92.7% respectively. Predictive values in mean deviation of HFA, sensitivities were 32.1%, 48.4%, 73.7% and 96.6% for detecting definitive glaucoma with an MD of more than -2dB, an MD of -2dB or less and more than -5dB, an MD of -5dB or less, and an MD of -8 dB or less, respectively. Goldmann perimtery	specificity was high."	Data suggest ring
Wall, 1991 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Supported in part by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc., New York, NY. No mention of COI.	N=36	Mean age was 36.1 years. Gender not provide d.	18 patient s with pseudo tumor cerebri (PTC) and 18 age- matche d control s.	All patients met the modified Dandy criteria: Signs and Symptoms of increased intracranial pressure, absence of localized finings, deformity, displacement, or obstruction of ventricular system. No other cause of increased intracranial pressure (Table 1)	Humphrey perimetry test 24-2	Ring Test and Goldmann perimetry test.	respectively.	"In conclusion, the sensitivity and specificity of the ring test is similar to differential light sensitivity automated perimetry. Most of the defects found with the ring test had a similar defect present with at	Data suggest ring test (high-pass resolution perimetry) has comparable sensitivity and specificity to Humphrey automated perimetry in pseudotumor cerebri patients.
							pressure (rable 1)			Humphrey test had a specificity of 78% and a sensitivity of 83% compared to the ring test with specificity of	least one of the other two tests. The ring test has the characteristics	

										89% and a sensitivity of 89%.	of an excellent screening test for patients with optic neuropathies"	
Wang, 2012 (4.5)	SAP	Diagnostic	Supported by the Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre (MAHSC) and the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre. No COI.	N=6696 eyes in 3586 patients.	Mean age was 66 years. No mentio n of gender.	6696 eyes in 3586 patient s with suspicio us/diag nosed glauco ma.	Normal eyes (Brusini stage 0) and defective eyes (Brusini stage 2-3) were analyzed from the sample.	SITA 24-2	SITA 30-2	10, 20, 30, and 54 test locations were used for the defective group. Sensitivity for the test locations were 70.2%, 91.0%, 95.5%, and 97.4%, respectively. Specificity was 96.0%, 86.2%, 76.3%, and 58.6% respectively. The estimated test time in minutes for each number of testing location was: 0.8-0.9, 1.6-1.8, 2.4-2.7, and 4.3-4.9, respectively. With increasing number of test locations the mean deviation became less negative and the pattern standard deviation became less positive (p<0.001).	"Good diagnostic performance can be obtained with optimized subsets of the standard 24-2 test pattern that can provide substantial savings in test times."	Data suggest subtests can provide both good diagnostic performance as well as saving time.
Patel, 2007 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Supported in part by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland (grant nos.: RO1-EY013178-	N=50	Mean age was 58.8 years. 18 males, 32	50 glauco matous eyes in 50 patient s.	Subjects had a best- corrected visual acuity of >20/40 and had a SITA VF defect.	Matrix Perimetry (Matrix VF)	Swedish interactiv e thresholdi ng algorithm (SITA)	The matrix test was significantly shorted than the SITA test; 319.5 sec vs. 357.0 sec (p=0.0002). All subjects showed visual field defects on the SITA test, but 18 subjects (36%) did not show any	"The Matrix examination did not detect 36% of abnormal SITA fields. Matrix field defects were smaller and deeper than those appearing	Data suggest comparative accuracy of matrix perimetry inferior to SITA perimetry as abnormal field detection was missed in

			5, P30- EY008098); the Eye and Ear Foundation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc., New York, New York. COI: Dr Schuman receives royalties for intellectual property licensed by Massachusetts Institute of Technology to Carl Zeiss		female s.					defects on the Matrix test. The mean deviation was significantly different between the SITA and matrix groups as well; -4.14 vs5.34 (p=0.03).	in SITA perimetry."	greather than 1/3 of abnormal fields detected by SITA.
Mutluk an, 1994 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Meditec, Inc. The author was supported financially by The International Glaucoma Association, The Royal National Institute for the Blind, The Ross Foundation of Prevention of	N=25	Mean age was 68 years. 13 males, 12 female s	25 glauco matous eyes in 25 perimet rically experie nced patient s.	All patients had 6/6, N5, or better visual acuity. None had non- glaucomatous ocular disorders or systemic disease.	Computer- Assited moving eye campimeter (CAMEC) using dark stimuli.	Humphrey visual field analyzer 30-2.	All four contrasts of the CAMEC dark stimuli test showed the abnormal areas in the central visual field of the glaucomatous eyes. The highest contrast (-76% black) had a specificity of 93%, and a sensitivity of 49%. The lowest contrast (-10% light gray) had a specificity of 86% and a sensitivity of 35%.	"In conclusion, dark stimuli allowed the delineation between glaucomatous field defects and the normal regions in the central visual field."	Data suggest that testing dark stimuli on a bright background identified glaucoma related defects and normal areas of the central visual field.

Katz 1995 (4.5)	SAP	Diagnostic	Blindness, and McCunn Trust. No mention of COI. Sponsored by grants, and RR04060 from the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. No mention of COI.	N = 543	Mean age 57.0 ± 13.6, gender not specifie d.	With intraoc ular pressur e and glauco ma (plus 41 normal subject s).	Intraocular pressures below 22 mm Hg.	The Glaucoma Hemifield Test.	Single and Repeated Visual Field Testing.	The average difference in MD between the 1st and 2nd fields was 0.5 dB (p = 0.28) for normal group, -0.5 dB (p < 0.001) for ocular hypertension, and −1.0 dB (p < 0.01) for those with glaucoma. 17% of normal, 16% with ocular hypertension, and 18% of subjects with glaucoma had 2 unreliable fields (falsenegative or false-positive rate ≥33%, or fixation loss rate	"Although mere is concordance of Glaucoma Hemifield Test results on consecutive testing, there is enough disagreement to result in improved specificity from the use of a second test in a clinical trial setting."	Data suggest repeat testing on the glaucoma Humphrey Field Test improves specificity.
Bergin 2011 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by the Department of Health's National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology At Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation	N = 6	Age range 21 to 29 years, gender not specifie d.	Healthy volunte ers	Optic disc rim area classified as within normal limits and intraocular pressure < 21 mm Hg. Visual acuity for each observer was 20/17 (6/5) or better.	SITA-Standard 24-2 Program 24-2 ZEST Program 24- 2 ASTA Program Weighted Binary Search Program.	Moorfield s MDT, Weighted Binary Search Program.	≥20%. With a white opacity filter (WOF) greater than grade 4, SAP (p < 0.001), FDT (p < 0.003), and FDF (p < 0.001) significantly affected; MDT TMS values did not have a significant association with the density of WOF filter used (p = 0.73; ANOVA). MDT threshold show little to no association with IOS (slope = -	"The Moorfields MDT shows greater resilience to the effects of additional straylight compared with SAP, FDT, or FDF."	Small sample, N = 6. Data suggest MDT is less influenced by IOS than SAP, FDI or FDF.

Landers 2007 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Trust and the UCL Institute of Ophthalmology (DFGH). No COI. No sponsorship and no COI.	N = 63	Averag e age 60 years, 29 male and 34 female.	With suspect ed glauco ma, ocular hyperte nsion, open angle glauco ma.	Visual acuity of 6/12 or better, IOP, 21 mm Hg.	Humphrey Field Analyzer II (HFA), used to perform central 24-2 full threshold visual field tests.	Medmont Automate d Perimeter (MAP) visual fields, used to perform central 30° threshold tests.	0.01), SAP weak association with IOS (p = 0.02), strong association with FDT, (p < 0.01) and FDF, (p < 0.01). There was an association when MD is compared to AD, (p < 0.001). MD and PSD results strongly correlated with AD and PD, (p-value not given).	"The AD and PD results obtained from the MAP may be substituted for the MD and PSD results from the HFA after appropriate conversion."	Data suggest comparable performance efficacy between MAP and HFA.
Kwon 1998 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc, New York, New York, and the Alcon Research Institute Award, Fort Worth, Texas (Dr Caprioli). No mention of COI.	N = 64	Mean age for Humph rey and Octopu s groups: 35.5 ± 6.6 and 34.6 ± 5.5, gender not specifie d.	No history of ocular disease.	Corrected Snellen visual acuity of at least 20/25, and astigmatism of less than 3 diopters.	Humphrey Visual Field Analyzer, white-on- white and blue-on- yellow perimetry (N = 31).	Octopus perimeter , white- on-white and blue- on-yellow perimetry (N = 33).	Humphrey perimeter, mean sensitivity declined with eccentricity for both blue-on-yellow (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001 for Octopus group) and white-on-white (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001 for Octopus group) perimetry. The long-term fluctuation for blue-on-yellow vs white-on-white, (p < 0.001) / the short-term fluctuation for blue-on-yellow vs white-on-white, (p < 0.001). The intersubjective	"Long-term fluctuation and short-term fluctuation of blue-on-yellow perimetry are greater than those of white-on-white perimetry in normal subjects."	Data suggest in normal individuals both long and short term fluctuations of blue-on-yellow perimetry are larger than white-on-white perimetry.

										variability was significantly greater in blue-on-yellow (13.2 6 2.8 dB ²) vs white-on-white perimetry (4.25 6 1.13 dB ² ; p < .001) and similar results found with the Octopus perimeter.		
Hoffma nn 2006 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn	Sponsored by a research fellowship from Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft Ho 3277/1 to 1 (E.M.H.), NIH grant EY08208 (P.A.S.), and NIH grant EY11008 (L.M.Z.). Drs Weinreb and Zangwill have received research support from Carl Zeiss Meditec. Dr Sample has received research support (instruments) from Carl Zeiss Meditec, Welch Allyn, and Haag Streit.	N = 245	Mean age was 66.8 ± 12.9 years, gender not specifie d.	With glauco matous optic neurop athy in at least one eye defined by masked stereop hoto review include d.	Reliable fields had less than 25% false positives, 25% false negatives, and 25% fixation losses Corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, a spherical refraction within ± 5.0 diopters, and cylinder correction within ± 3.0 diopters.	2 SAP visual fields using the 24 to 2 program.	SITA thresholdi ng algorithm of the Humphrey Field Analyzer.	In those with a normal superior hemifield in the worse eye, 75% of the normal eye had normal VF. In those with a normal inferior hemifield in the worse eye, 69% of the better eye had normal superior hemifield. The percentage of correspondence by hemifield location for (superior-superior) / (inferior-inferior) / (superior-inferior) / and (inferior-superior) was: 53% / 62% / 45% / and 55%.	"Patterns of visual field loss between eyes often corresponded within the same VF hemifield (superior-superior, inferior-inferior) as well as between opposite hemifields (inferior-superior), although opposite hemifield correspondence was less common."	Data suggest moderate correlation between patters of visual field loss and the same VF hemifield as well as opposite hemifields with opposite side hemifield correlation was less common. Also, more correlation was seen in eyes showing more progressive ocular defects.

Bizios	SAP	Diagn	Sponsored by	N = 260	Mean	Healthy	Visual acuity ≥ 0.5 and	Humphrey	Stratus	Mean deviation of the	"Compared to	Data suggest
2011		ostic	grants		age	individu	refractive error ≥ 5	24-2 SITA	OCT tests	SAP visual fields, the	the use of SAP	combining both
			K2005-74X-		64.65 ±	als (N =	dioptres (D) sphere	standard SAP		glaucoma group	parameters,	OCT and SAP
(4.5)			1426-13A and		8.11	125)	and < 3 D cylinder,			consisted of 49 patients	input from the	(fused OCT and
			K2005-74BI-		for	and	intraocular pressure			(ca 36%) with early, 32	combination of	SAP parameters;
			15375-01A		heathy	those	measured by a			patients (ca 24%) with	fused OCT and	and fused OCT
			from the		group	with	Goldmann			moderate and 54	SAP parameters,	data) may help to
			Swedish		and	glauco	applanation			patients (ca 40%) with	and from fused	improve ANN
			research		73.36 ±	matous	tonometer.			advanced	OCT data,	accuracy in
			Council, by the		7.81,	optic				glaucomatous visual	significantly	diagnosing
			foundation of		115	nerve				field loss. The fused	increased the	glaucoma.
			Crown Princess		male	head (N				OCT and the combined	performance of	
			Margareta		and	= 135).				fused OCT and SAP data	ANNs."	
			for visually		145	,				respectively provided		
			handicapped,		female.					almost identical AROC		
			by the							values of 0.978. For SAP		
			foundation for							GHT accuracy of		
			visually							86.92%.		
			impaired in the									
			former									
			Malmöhus län,									
			and by the									
			Järnhardt									
			foundation. No									
			COI.									
Boswor	SAP	Diagn	Sponsored by	N = 105	Mean	With	Open angles cup-disc	Motion	Separated	Perimetric motion	"Motion	Data suggest
th		ostic	grant from the		age	primary	ration asymmetry	automated	full-field	thresholds significantly	automated	motion
1998			National Eye		66.3 ±	open	between the 2 eyes of	perimetry	foveally	distinguish the groups,	perimetry	automated
			Institution,		11.18	angle	0.2 mm or more, loss	(MAP), using	centered	$(p \le 0.001)$ vs foveally	identifies visual	perimetry may be
(4.5)			Bethesda, MD,		years,	glauco	determined by visual	RDKs in a	RDK and	centered motion test	field defects in	beneficial in
			and by the		gender	ma (N =	field analysis,	direction	standard	motion test was unable	patients who	identifying early
			Samuel E.		not	21),	corrected pattern SDs	discrimination	automate	to separate them, (p ≤	already show	glaucoma in
			McLaughlin		specifie	suspect	outside the 95% CI or	paradigm.	d	0.32).	standard visual	patients with
			Foundation of		d.	ed .	glaucoma hemifield		perimetry	90.5% with glaucoma,	field loss as was	suspected
			Canada,			glauco	test results outside			39.3% with suspected	as in a moderate	glaucoma and
			Toronto,			ma (N =	the 99% confidence			glaucoma, 27.8% with	percentage of	ocular
			Ontario (Dr.			28),	limits.			ocular hypertension,	those with	hypertension as
			Gupta). No			OHT (N				and 5.3% of the normal	suspected	this technique
			mention of COI.			= 18)				subjects had abnormal	glaucoma and	does positively

						and normal control s, (N = 38).				results on motion automated perimetry testing.	ocular hypertension, indicating that the testing of discrete locations might be necessary for increase diagnostic utility."	identify visual field defects in those who already present with standard visual field loss.
Turpin, 2007 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn	Supported by an Australian Research Council QEII research fellowship (AT). The project was supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Project Grant DP0450820. No mention of COI.	N= 428	Mean age was 52.3 years. No mentio n of gender.	265 control patient s and 163 patient s with glauco ma.	Glaucoma	Zippy Estimation by Sequential Testing (ZEST)	Full Threshold test (FT)	If sensitivity was stable from test to retest, the retest algorithms were faster by one presentation per location and were significantly more accurate (p<0.05). Retest minimizing uncertainty (REMU), which combined the suprathreshold and ZEST procedures, was faster and more accurate than other procedures from test to retest.	"The obvious approaches to retest, such as continuing the previous procedure or seeding with previous values, have limitations when sensitivity changes between tests. REMU, however, significantly improves both accuracy and precision of testing and displays minimal bias, even when fields change and patients make errors."	Data suggest REMU improves accuracy and precision in liew of changing fields, patient errors and minimal bias.
Rowe, 2010 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	No mention of sponsorship. Potential COI: The Damato campimeter	N=100	Mean age was 62.8 years.	100 patient s (197 eyes) identifi	"Glaucoma suspects were defined as patients with evidence of raised intraocular pressure but with no	Damato Campimetry	Humphrey automate d perimetry	178 eyes were tested in both methods. 94 eyes (53%) had defects detected by both tests, 45 (25.5%) had normal	"We found Damato campimetry to be a useful portable device to assess	Data suggest Damato campimetry when compared to Humphrey

			used in this study was provided by Professor Bertil Damato, St Pauls Eye Unit, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals, Liverpool, UK.		38 males, 62 female s.	ed random ly from those on a waiting list for a visual field assess ment.	prior evidence of optic disc or visual field defect."			results on both tests, 22 (12%) had normal results on the Damato test and defects on the Humphrey test, and 17 (9.5%) had a normal result on the Humphrey test and a defect on the Damato test. The sensitivity for Damato in comparison with the Humphrey test was 81% and the specificity was 72%.	the visual field, with an optimal sensitivity of 81% and a specificity of 72% based on comparison with a Humphrey 24-2 programme."	perimetry has a sensitivity of 81% and specificity of 72% The Damato compimetry is portable and may be useful in areas where sophisticated testing does not exist.
Roggen, 2001 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=41	Mean age was 57.1 years. 13 males, 28 female s.	normal subject s and 22 glauco ma patient s.	"The diagnosis of glaucoma was based on the presence of at least two out of three of the following criteria: intra-ocular pressure before treatment s 22 mmHg, glaucomatous discexcavation (cup/discratio s 0.6), obvious visual field defect on previous visual field examinations."	FASTPAC (FP)	SITA Standard (SS) and SITA Fast (SF)	The FASTPAC test took an average of 8.1 minutes for normal subjects compared to the SITA standard at 6.1 min (p<0.0001) and compared to the SITA fast, 3.8 min (p<0.0001). For glaucoma subjects it was 10.6 min vs. 8.8 (p=0.008), and 10.6 vs. 5.5 (p<0.0001). There were no significant differences between SITA fast and FASTPAC for the mean deviation for both normal subjects and glaucoma patients (p>0.05).	"The SITA strategy causes a significant test time reduction without decreasing the test quality."	Data suggest SITA FAST takes approximately half as much time as FAST PAC although with increasing VF loss, time increases. Also, SITA FAST appears to maintain test quality while decreasing test time.
Goren 2013 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Sponsored by NEI EY19674 (SD) and The Legacy Good Samaritan	N = 209	Age range betwee n 38 and 91	With high- risk ocular hyperte	Early to moderate ocular hypertension or diagnosis of glaucoma.	Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness (RNFLT) using three	SAP 24-2 test pattern and SITA- standard	The correlation with SLP was of intermediate strength, (r = 0.40) and weakest correlation	"Average RNFLT estimated from SDOCT predicts SAP status significantly	Data suggests that the coverage RNFLT from SDOCT is a significantly

			Foundation. SD was involved in a clinical training using the Spectralis OCT. The funding organization had no role in design or conduct of this research.		years, gender not specifie d.	nsion or a diagnos is of glauco ma.		techniques: CSLT, SDOCT and SLP.	threshold algorithm.	was found with CSLT, (r = 0.13). CSLT in models that included all three RNFLT measurements (p = 0.50), or bivariate models when included with SDOCT (p = 0.51) or SLP (p = 0.22).	better than average RNFLT estimated from SLP or CSLT."	better predictor of SAP than average RNFLT from either SLAP or CSLT.
Martine z, 1994 (4.5)	SAP	Diagn	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N=107	Mean age was 62.5 years. No mentio n of gender.	34 patient s with primary open- angle glauco ma, 37 glauco ma suspect patient s, and 36 normal subject s.	Glaucoma: intraocular pressure exceeding 24 mmHG, abnormal optic disk, disk hemorrhages, localized rim defects.	Frisen Ring – High pass resolution perimetry	Humphrey perimeter	Both tests identified 19/34 (56%) of glaucoma eyes. High- pass resolution perimetry determined that 34/36 (94%) normal eyes were not outside normal limits. The Humphrey perimeter test determined that all 36 normal eyes were normal. Lastly, high- pass resolution perimetry determined 12/37 (32%) glaucoma suspect eyes were outside normal limits compared to 3/37 (8%) by the Humphrey Perimeter.	"With the Glaucoma Hemifield Test, high-pass resolution perimetry was comparable to standard perimetry in sensitivity and specificity, and identified a slightly higher percentage of patients at risk for glaucoma as abnormal. These results suggest that high-pass resolution perimetry should continue to be explored as an alternative to standard perimetry for the diagnosis and	Data suggest comparable performance between high pass resolution perimetry and SAP but high pass resolution perimetry identified more at risk for glaucoma patients.

Medeir os 2004 (4.5)	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=105	Mean age of Converters: 66.2±1 1.0 years. Mean age of Nonco nverter s: 58.3±1 2.5	105 eyes of 105 glauco matous suspect patient s	Subjects had to have best-corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, spherical refraction within ±5.0 diopters and cylinder correction within ±3.0 dipoters, and openangles in gonioscopy. Could not have secondary cause of high intraocular pressure, other intraocular even	FDT	SAP	Seventeen patients showed a change from normal SAP visual field to a visual field with a confirmed defect. Abnormal FDT exams at baseline predicted SAP visual field conversion in both univariate and multivariate models. Six of 14 converters developed FDT abnormalities. Fiftynine percent of	treatment of glaucoma." "Functional abnormalities detected by FDT perimetry were predictive of the future onset and location of SAP visual field loss among glaucoma suspect patients."	Data suggest FDT in suspected glaucoma patients correlated to SAP VF loss and was predictive of future onset.
					age of	S	angles in gonioscopy.			in both univariate and	visual field loss	future onset.
					nverter s:		secondary cause of high intraocular			of 14 converters developed FDT	suspect	
					2.5 years.		intraocular eye disease, other			nine percent of converters had FDT		
					48 males, 57		diseases possibly affecting visual field, or a history of			abnormalities that preceded SAP visual field loss by as much as		
					female s.		refractive surgery. Must have Intraocular			4 years. Twenty-one of the 88 nonconverters		
							pressure higher ≥ 23 mmHg or			had repeatable FDT examination during		
							glaucomatous optic neuropathy by stereophotograph			follow-up. A significantly higher proportion of		
							assessment			converters had repeatable abnormal		
										FDT exams compared to nonconverters. (P<.001)		
Jansoni us	FDT	Diagn ostic	No mention of COI.	N=70	Mean age:	70 glauco	Patients with an HFA visual field was	SAP	GDx FDT	Of 70 glaucoma suspect patients, 3 converted	"The most frequent finding	Data suggest GDX nerve fibre had
2009 (4.0)					58±12 years. 32	ma suspect patient	considered reliable if fixation losses were ≤ 20%, false-positives			on FDT, 14 on GDx, and 6 on SAP. These 3 proportions are	after a 4-year follow-up was conversion	the most conversions after 4 years compared
					males,	s	≤ 20%, false-positives ≤ 10% and false- negatives ≤ 10%. No			significantly different (p=0.002). GDx versus	on GDx."	to SAP and FDT

					female s.		glaucomatous visual field defects in either eye.			SAP (p=.033), GDx versus FDT (p=.002), and FDT versus SAP (p=.256) were the proportions.		
Schiefer , 2003 (4.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	Supported by MSD Sharp & Dohne GmbH, Haar, Germany, and Allergan Inc, Irvine, Calif. No mention of COI.	N=66	Age rang was 14-85 years. 32 males, 34 female s.	66 eyes in 66 patient s with suspect ed glauco ma.	Curcumscribed glaucomatous morphotic lesions with or without corresponding localized glaucomatous VFDs. Central visual acuity equal to or better than 10/20.	Fundus- Oriented perimetry (FOP)- Using the Tuebingen Computer Campimeter	Conventio nal automate d perimetry (CAP)- Using Humphrey Field Analyzer (HFA 30- 2)	In 23 patients, both tests showed normal findings. 27 patients had pathological findings in both tests. In 15 patients with normal visual fields according to HFA 30-2, the FOP revealed early glaucomatous functional damage. Only 1 patient had pathological HFA results where FOP results were normal.	"Fundus-oriented perimetry that uses individual condensed test grids significantly increases the detection rate of glaucomatous VFDs in morphologically conspicuous areas compared with CAP using equidistant targeting arrangements."	Data suggest FOP with condensed grads is superior to CAP for the identification of VFDs associated with glaucomatous areas where morphology is abnormal.
Wild, 2005 (4.0)	SAP	Diagn ostic	No mention of sponsorship. No author has a proprietary interest in the Humphrey Field Analyzer. Dr Wild has received honoraria from Carl Zeiss Meditec for lectures.	N=35	Mean age was 60.5 years. No mentio n of gender.	patient s with ocular hyperte nsion (OHT). 13 patient s with open- angle glauco ma (OAG)	The classification of the severity of glaucoma was graded in terms of Hodapp et al. Also, visual acuity of 6/9 or better in either eye, a distance refractive error of _5 diopters (D) mean sphere and _2.5 D cylinder, lenticular changes not greater than NC2.0, NO2.0, C1.0, or P1.0 by the Lens Opacities Classification System III	Short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP)	Standard automate d perimetry (SAP)	The mean deviation (MD) improved for all patients in both eyes occurred from visits 1 and 2 (P<0.001) and 2 and 3 (p=0.021). Other visits were not significant. The mean short-term fluctuation (SF) improved over all 5 visits (p<0.001), and Pattern Standard Deviation (PSD) varied between the OAG and OHT groups. It was the most postivei for the OAG groups with a mean difference of 3.56	"Care should be taken to ensure that, during the initial examinations, apparent field loss with SWAP in patients exhibiting a normal field by SAP is not the result of inexperience in SWAP. Apparently deeper or wider field loss in the initial	Data suggest there is a learning effect in SWAP and some patients may demonstrate VF loss initially due to inexperience. This is not as prevalent in SAP.

										and 4.58 for the right and left eye respectively. The ratio across the 2 eyes indicated that the learning effect was greater in the periphery with OAG by 20% and 25% in the patients with OHT who were experienced in SAP and in the region of 30% to 50% in those inexperienced with SAP.	examinations with SWAP compared with that exhibited by SAP in OAG also may arise from inexperience in SWAP."	
Wall, 2008 (4.0)	SAP	Diagn	Supported by a VA Merit Review Grant, and by an unrestricted grant to the Department of Ophthalmology from Research to Prevent Blindness, New York, NY. No mention of COI.	N=180	Mean age was 62.4 years. 67 males and 113 female s.	120 Patient s with glauco ma and 60 control patient s.	Glaucomatous visual field defects with a mean deviation of 0 to _20 dB on standard automated perimetry.	24-2 SITA Standard Test using the response time window procedure (RTW)	24-2 Full Threshold (FT) perimetric test using the blank presentati on method (BP)	Glaucoma patients did not have significant differences comparing SITA vs. BP for false positive rates at both visits (1.99% vs. 1.99%). The overall difference between the RTW and BP tests were significant for glaucoma patients who had false positive responses on both SITA and FT tests; 3.58% vs. 7.72% (p=0.001). However glaucoma patients had higher mean false negative rates (4.11% vs. 1.69% (p=0.001))	"In summary, FP responses using the RTW technique underestimates the values found using BP. Although FP rates greater than 10% identify subjects with excessively liberal response criteria, FN in areas of damage and fixation losses are poor indexes of patient performance and should be replaced by use of an eye tracking system."	Data suggest RTW appears to underestimate false positives compared to BP method.

Salvetat	SAP	Diagn	No mention of	N= 75	Mean	75	Healthy adult	Rarebit	Standard	The mean hit rate	"RBP is a rapid	Data suggest
, 2007		ostic	sponsorship. No		age	consec	volunteers	Perimetry	Automate	(MHR) was 91%. The	and easily	rarebit perimetry
(4.0)			COI.		was	utive		(RBP)	d	mean miss rate (MMR)	accessible VF	is simple and fast
					52.9	healthy			Perimetry	ranged from 4.0% to	test. RBP	without showing
					years.	adult			(SAP)	13.8%. No significant	testing did not	a significant
					33	subject				learning effect was	show a significant	learning effect
					males,	S.				found. Mean test time	LE; however,	but consideration
					38					for RBP was 268	inter- and	needs to be given
					female					seconds, and the mean	intrasubject	to central VF
					S.					SAP test time was 433	variability were	false positives.
										seconds. No significant	consistent. Blur	
										learning effect was	and media	
										observed.	opacities	
										28 patients underwent	may give false-	
										4 repeated RBP tests.	positive results in	
										There were no	RBP, especially in	
										significant differences	the	
										for MHR or MMR across	central VF, and	
										the 4 tests. Test-retest	should be	
										variability (TRV) ranged	considered."	
										between 4.9% and		
										11.4% (p=0.001).		
Nakata	SAP	Diagn	Supported by a	N=126	Mean	60	Patients had a best	Automated	Standard	The rate of negative	"Fundus-oriented	The data suggest
ni, 2012		ostic	Grant-in-Aid for		age for	Normal	correct visual acuity	Fundus-	Automate	response was	small-target	automated
(4.0)			scientific		60	Control	(BCVA) ≥1. No other	oriented	d	significantly lower for	perimetry is	fundus-oriented
			Research		normal	s, 37	pathologies other than	small-target	Perimetry	the PPG group vs. the	useful in	small-target
			(20592034)		particip	with	glaucoma.	perimetry	- (SAP)	POAG group (9.2% vs.	detecting visual	perimetry is
			from the Japan		ants	Pre-				21.2% (p<0.0001). The	field	useful in
			Society for the		was	perimet				SAP mean deviation for	abnormalities in	detecting PPG via
			Promotion of		45.3	ric				PPG vs. POAG was 0.25	PPG."	visual field
			Science. No		years,	glauco				vs1.45 (p<0.0001) and		defects before
			mention of COI.		with 37	ma				the SAP-pattern		SAP can detect
					males	(PPG),				standard deviation was		them.
					and 23	and 29				1.70 vs. 3.69		
					female	early				(p<0.0001). The mean		
					S.	stage of				test time for the		
					Gender	primary				fundus-oriented small-		
					and	open-				target perimetry was		
				1	age	angle				13.8 min per eye.		

Bengtss on 2006 (4.0)	SAP	Diagn	Sponsored by the Swedish Research Council; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, California; and funds administered by Malmö University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden. No mention of COI.	N = 101	were not provide d for the glauco ma patient s (n=66). Mean age of 70 years, 33 male and 68 female.	glauco ma (POAG) With ocular hyperte nsion and manifes t glauco ma.	Ocular hypertension of more than 24 mmHg. Manifest glaucoma, with no more than slight cataract, all lens grading ≤ 2. Threshold sensitivity at the p < 5% and the p < 2% levels in the pattern deviation probability maps.	Short- wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP) Lengthier full- threshold (SWAP) Standard automated perimetry (SAP).	Swedish interactiv e threshold algorithm (SITA).	The median number at the p < 5% limit was 9 for both full-threshold SWAP and SITA SWAP; 7 for SITA Fast SAP (p = 0.27); and 5, 5, and 4, respectively, at the p < 2% level (p = 0.18). The median false-positive frequency was 1% for SITA SWAP, 0% for full-threshold SWAP, and 3% for SITA Fast SAP. Full-threshold SWAP identified 1 or more cluster in 65% of all eyes. ITA	"The SITA SWAP identified at least as much glaucomatous visual field loss as the older full-threshold SWAP, although test time was considerably reduced."	Data suggest comparable performance between all 3 tests (SITA, SWAT & SAP) for the detection of early glaucoma limit the testing time was shortened with SITA SWAP.
										65% of all eyes, ITA SWAP detected clusters in 66% (95% CI, 57–76), and SITA Fast SAP detected clusters in 64% (95% CI, 55-74).		
Demirel , 2009 (2.5)	SAP									33.74).		Data suggest there are patterns of visual field fundings in

							classification trees which are
							predictive for
							progressive
							glaucomatous
							optic neuropathy
							(pGON)
Bourne,	SAP						Data suggest SITA
2007							and FT testing
(3.0)							should be done
							within a short
							time (i.e. same
							day) to minimize
							data
							misinterpretation
							. Also, the
							glaucoma
							hemfield test
							(GHI) was more
							likely to be
							abnormal from
							SITA vs. FT.
Kamant	SAP						Data suggest C-
igue,							20-1 FDT
2006							predictive of
(3.5)							glaucoma in
							some patients
							but has a high
							false positive
							rate.
Johnso	SAP						Data suggest
n, 2012							approximately
(3.5)							twice as many
							false negatives
							resulted from
							FULL vs. SITA.
Hong,	SAP						Data suggest
1990							comparable
(3.5)							performance

					1		T	-
								efficacy between
								Humphrey
								screening and
								Humphrey
								threshold for
								detection of
								glaucomatous
								usual field
								defects.
Bass,	SAP							Small Sample
2000								(N=11) Data
(3.5)								suggest
(/								comparable
								results between
								Humphrey and
								Dicon but Dicon
								took less time to
								perform in
								patients with
								well-defined
								lessons.
Bernard	SAP							Data suggest
i, 2006								increasing age
(3.5)								decreases critical
(5.5)								fusion frequency
								and that thicker
								perimetry is
								associated with
								learning in
								healthy
								individuals. Also
								study suggests a
								fairly high short
								term fluctuation
								is typical.
Moham	SAP							Data suggest
madi,	JAF							thinning SLP RNFL
2004								measurement
(3.5)								were predictive
(5.5)								were predictive

	l			1		<u> </u>		for fortune of the l
								for future visual
								loss independent
								of IOP, CCP, age,
								SAP PSD and
								vertical disk ratio.
Reus,	SAP							Data suggest
2003								glaucoma
(3.5)								patients with
								RNFL
								measurements
								which are mild to
								moderate, are
								highly correlated
								with DGx VCC
								measurements
								but not for
								normal healthy
								eyes. However, in
								severe glaucoma
								disease, SAP may
								be better.
Nowom	SAP							Data suggest
iejska,								both SAP and SKP
2009								should be used to
(3.5)								diagnose the
(0.0)								variety of visual
								field defects in
								ONHD.
Zhu,	SAP							Data suggest
2010	0,							BRPB resulted in
(3.5)								a statistically
(3.5)								significant
								method to
								describe and
								relate function
								and structure in
								glaucoma
								compared to
								standard linear

Oleszcz uk, 2012 (3.5)	SAP						regression modeling. Small Sample. Data suggest MDT less sensitive to additional straylight when compared to SAP or PP.
Wishart , 1993 (3.5)	SAP						Data suggest OKP is not useful for glaucoma screening due to low sensitivity and specificity but can detect advanced visual field loss.
Wall, 2000 (3.5)	SAP						Data suggest SITA standard had higher sensitivity at least in hemianopias & optic neuropathies and is comparable to FTT for funding visual loss.

Author Year (Score):	Categ ory:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Population Description	Case Definition	Investigative Test	Gold Standard/Comp arative Test	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Lee 2003 (6.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/Pr ospecti ve	No sponsorshi p or COI.	N=84	82 males, 2 females; mean age 66±12	All patients who were presented to complete a Visual field test.	All visual field test examiners were blinded to any previous diagnoses or visual field defects	Laster pointer visual field testing (LVF) and Confrontatio nal visual field testing (CVF).	The Humphrey Visual Field Test(HVF)	Sensitivity LVF & CVF with defects in agreement with HVF (95% CI): LVF 0.73 (0.59-0.81), CVF 0.31 (0.17-0.38). Specificity of LVF and CVF in agreement with HVF (95% CI): LVF 0.82 (0.77-0.95), CVF 0.99 (0.92-1.00). Testing times: CVF 0.5 min, LVF 1.5 min, HVF 8.0 min.	"[W]e have demonstrated that LVF testing, performed using a commercially available laser pointer projected onto a tangent screen, and is significantly more sensitive than confrontation visual field testing with fingers in screening for HVF visual field defects in this cohort."	Data suggest LVF was significantly more sensitive than confrontation testing.
Wall 2010 (5.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/RC T	Study supported by a Veteran Affairs Merit Review Grant and an unrestricte d grant to the Departme	N=180	Control: 38 males, 22 females; mean age 57.2±7.9. Glaucoma group: No mention of gender;	N=120 patients with Glaucoma. N=60 Healthy participants.	Glaucoma patients enrolled with primary, secondary, or normal tension glaucoma with no other disease. Control patients had no history of eye disease, diabetes,	Comparing Effective dynamic range (EDR) of 4 perimetry 5 retests including: SAP III, SAP V, motion perimetry and Matrix perimetry.	All perimetry tests at baseline.	SAP III and SAP V tests had linear sensitivity of about 20 dB. Sap III had largest number of 0 dB trials, therefore the smallest dynamic range, while SAP V had largest with fewest 0 dB trials. Comparing least	"[S]tandard automated perimetry (SAP) III, motion perimetry, and matrix perimetry have similar effective dynamic range (EDR), but their associations are complex. SAP V stimuli may	Data would suggest that the SAP III range is far less than tested limits. Motion perimetry and matrix perimetry have complex associations even if EDR's are similar.

Morales 2000 (5.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/Pr ospecti ve	nt of Ophthalmo logy from Research to Prevent Blindness. No sponsorshi p, one of the authors invented the Tendency- Oriented Perimetrya lgorithm and has propriety interests in the correspon ding software.	N=57	No mention of gender; age Range (20-70)	N=42 individuals with a variety of visual field abnormalities. N=15 individuals with normal ocular exam results.	Most of visual field abnormalities consisted of either glaucoma (N=12), advanced glaucoma (N=10). Exclusion criteria included multiple ocular pathologies, or vision worse than 20/40.	Tendency- Oriented Perimetry (TOP) perimetrc program.	The Octupus 32 Threshold Perimetry visual field test. (32)	amount of dicsrimnable steps, SAP V appears to have greatest range. Mean Sensitivity TOP v 32 (dB): 20.5 vs 19.45 (p<0.001). Mean deviation Top vs 32 (dB): 6.31 vs 7.36 (p<0.001). Time of test Top vs 32 (min): 4.05±0.55 vs 14.65±3.75.	therefore be useful in testing glaucoma patients with moderate to severe visual field damage." "The TOP algorithm is the fastest strategy reported in the current literature. It is capable of obtaining a full estimate of the visual field threshold in the 76 points commonly tested in glaucoma and in different pathological conditions of the visual field."	Data suggests that TOP was four times faster than octopus program 32 and successful in the detection of visual field abnormalities.
Alniemi 2013 (5.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/Pr ospecti ve	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N=20 patients	10 males, 10 females; mean Age 64±16	All patients were preoperatively diagnosed with blepharoptosis,	Blepharoptosis was defined as a marginal reflex distance of <+2.5 mm.INdividuals with glaucoma, neurologic disease, or visual field defects were excluded.	Humphrey automated perimetry visual field testing	Goldman manual perimetry visual field testing	Bilateral mean examination time, Goldmann vs Humphery: 12.1±2.9 vs 18.5±3.8, difference of 6.4 min (95% CI 4.5-8.3) (p<0.001). Seventy percent (14/20) patients preferred	"In comparison visual field testing techniques, Goldmann and Humphrey visual field techniques were comparable in their ability to detect superior	Data suggest that Goldmann and Humphery are comparable in terms of sensitivity for the detection of Blepharoptosis visual field defects but Goldmann Perimetry is

Kerr 2010 (5.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/Ra ndomiz ed prospe ctive	No sponsorshi p or COI.	N=163 patients	72 males, 91 females; mean age 58.9±16.3	Study participants were consecutively recruited from a special neuroopthamol ogy clinic at University of Auckland.	Inclusion criteria were a best correlated visual acuity of 6/60, and able to perform visual tests. Excluded if false-negatives or false positives were above 33%.	7 confrontatio n Visual field tests; Finger counting, finger comparison, red comparison, static finger wiggle, kinetic finger wiggle, Kinetic 5 mm red target.	Automated Humphrey visual field testing	Goldmann over Humphrey, chi quared test reveal (p=0.0253). Mean sensitivity of 7 confrontational tests, 52.2±%. Red comparison test highest sensitivity of 71% for detecting anterior visual pathways. Kinetic red target (90.9%) was most sensitive in detecting posterior lesions.	visual field loss due to ptosis. Goldmann testing offers advantages in examination time and patient preference." "The present findings suggest that the sensitivity of confrontation testing may be enhanced by combining 2 tests. However, even the best combination of tests will fail to detect more than 20% of lesions."	better than Humphery for Blepharoptosis detection, takes less time and is the patient preferred method. Data suggest as a standalone test confrontation visual field testing is a poor screening test but combinations of confrontation tests increase the sensitivity.
Jennings 1991 (4.5)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/ran domize d prospe ctive	No sponsorshi p or COI.	N=176 patients	113 males, 239 females; Mean age 50.7 (11- 86)	All study participants were taken from the Vascular Clinic at the Southern College of Optometry.	All patients demonstrated any type of disease that would affect their visual field. Patients were put into 1 of 8 programs that matched their disease, (i.e. glaucoma,	The Marco MT-336 automated perimeter	Goldmann Perimetry visual field testing	Marco vs Goldmanns level of agreement chi- squared testing for all 8 groups: Glaucoma Screen X²=1014.0 (p<10 ⁻⁸), Full Field Screen X²=770.8 (p<10 ⁻⁸), Pseudo- kinetic X²=815.5 (p<10 ⁻⁸), Central 30 absolute	"In this study, chi-squared testing, as well as the accuracy ratios and predictive values, have demonstrated that the Marco MT-336 computerized perimeter demonstrates	Data suggests comparing different visual field tests to each other is challenging but that MarcoMT-336 automated perimetry correctly detected the presence of scotomas and

			1	T	1	1	macular			X ² =94.8 (p<10 ⁻⁸),	sufficient	also detected
										••		
							disease, etc)			Glaucoma	degrees of	areas of vision
										absolute X ² =954.1	accuracy to	where present.
										(p<10 ⁻⁸), Macula	serve as a	
										absolute X ² =43.6	diagnostic tool	
										(p<10 ⁻⁸), Full Field	for evaluating	
										Diagnostic	the visual field	
										X ² =526.4 (p<10 ⁻⁸).		
										Marco vs		
										Goldmann		
										disagreement,		
										McNemar's test		
										value: Glaucoma		
										screener 45.1		
										(p<10 ⁻⁸), Psuedo-		
										kinetic 28.6 (p<10		
										8-), Glaucoma		
										diagnostic 38.1		
										(p<10 ⁻⁸),		
										Glaucoma		
										absolute (p<10 ⁻⁸⁻)		
Trope 1987	Manu	Diagno	No	N=25	No	Patients who	Glaucoma was	Automated	Goldmann	Patient	"The results of	Data suggest
(4.5)	al	stic	mention of	patients	mention	were diagnosed	diagnosed by	Humphrey	Perimetry	preference: 60%	this section of	high sensitivity
()	Studi	51.0	Sponsorshi	(42	of gender	with Glaucoma.	physicians by	threshold	visual field	Goldmann vs 17%	the study	and specificity
	es		p or COI.	eyes)	or age.	With Gladcoma.	clinical	visual field	testing	Humphrey.	indicate that	of Humphrey
	CS		p or con.	Cycs	or age.		standard. No	testing	testing	Technician	Program 30-2	automated
							detailed criteria	(program 30-		Preference: 67%	(Humphrey) is	perimetry for
							for diagnosis of	(program 30- 2)		Humphrey vs 13%	both highly	Galucoma
							Glaucoma.	2)		Goldmann.	sensitive and	patients but
							Giaucoilia.					
										Humphrey test	specific for	patients
										Specificity was	detecting	preferred
										91% and	glaucomatous	Goldmann over
										sensitivity 90.3%.	visual field	Humphrey
										Automated	defects."	
										Humphrey test		
										takes		
										approximately		
										25% longer.		

Bengtsson 2000 (4.5)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno	Study supported by grants administer ed by Malmo University Hospital, and by Jarnhardt foundation	N=76 patients	26 males, 50 females; Mean age 72 (50- 83)	Patients diagnosed with glaucoma.	Glaucoma being defined as typical field loss, paracentral and arcuate defects across the nasal horizontal meridian.	Reproducibili ty of automated test and patient reliability indices.	Humphrey II 30-2 SITA Standard program.	Threshold reproducibility was highly dependent on visual field status (p<0.0001). Second most importntt in reproducibility was False Negative (p=0.065). High frequencies of Field loss were more common than False Negatives. And False Positives being the least common.	"A general conclusion of the current study is that the reliability if glaucomatous visual fields expressed as their reproducibility can be reasonably well predicted by field status (MD) alone, and that traditional patient reliability indices contribute surprisingly little in this regard."	Data suggest in glaucoma patients, visual field loss can be directly correlated to threshold reproducibility, not patient reliability indices.
Marraffa 1989 (4.5)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N=104 patients (182 eyes)	45 males, 59 females; Mean age 54.3±13.8	Participants within the study were suspected to have glaucoma.	Patients had intraocular pressure of >21 mmHg in more than one measurement, as well as a suspicious optic disc. Excluded if they had already been previously diagnosed with glaucoma, or	Four different visual field exams including; Humphrey 630 perimeter, Octopus 2000 R perimeter, Perikon (opticon) perimeter, Henson CFS	Final diagnosis based upon clinical parameters including intraocular pressure, or presence of optic disc.	Final clinical diagnosis in 140 and absent in 42. Glaucoma screening (Henson test) sensitivity 51.4%, specificity 88.0%. Humphrey 630 test: sensitivity 64.2%, specificity 64.2%. Perikon: sensitivity 55.0%, specificity 90.4%.	"The Henson strategy has the definite advantage of the short examination time and lower cost of the equipment however a specifically designed threshold measuring	Data suggest Henson method is quicker and less costly but with marginal sensitivity. It may be appropriate as a screening tool in large population where glaucoma is not highly prevalent.

							cannot perform field test.	2000 perimeter.		Octopus: 92.1%, specificity 83.3%.	strategy is needed."	
Wall 2009 (4.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic	Study supported by a VA Merit Review Grant by Departme nt of Ophthalmo logy from Research to Prevent Blindness. No COI.	N=120 patients	Glaucoma group: 22 males, 83 females; Mean age 64.9±9.5. Control group: Mean age 57.2±7.9	First 120 patients were all previously diagnosed with Glaucoma. An additional 60 participants were healthy.	Glaucoma patients could have no other ocular disease. Included if they had abnormal glaucomatous, also included primary, secondary, and normal-tension glaucoma.	Study aimed to test the repeatability of automated Humphrey test with stimulu sized III, and V. Also the Matrix and Motion automated perimetry tests.	All baseline perimetry testing of previously described tests.	Standard automated Perimetry (SAP) III variability increased with a reduction in sensitivity. Retest variability of all 4 tests: SAP III 22%, SAP V 12%, Motion 2%, and Matrix 2%.	"In summary, our results show larger sized stimuli show more uniform variability in areas of visual field damage. A moderate reduction or variability and improvement of dynamic range can be accomplished using size V stimuli."	Data suggest substantial variability in damaged visual field locals in standard automated perimetry III but not as much in matrix or motion perimetry.
Vislisel 2011 (4.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic	Study supported by a VA Merit Review Grant by Departme nt of Ophthalmo logy from Research to Prevent Blindness. No COI.	N=17 participa nts	3 males, 14 females; Mean age 44±14.	Subjects were healthy and had no prior history of ocular disease, apart from refractive error.	Participants were excluded if they had no eye exam within the past 2 years, did nto have minimum of 20/30 Snellan acuity, or had diabetes mellitus, systematic hypetesnions, or other diseases causing visual field loss.	Rarebit Perimetry (RBP). Patients performed test 5 times	Humphrey Automated Perimetry with Goldmann size I and III stimulus. Patients performed test 5 times	PR:M ratios of visual field tests; Size I, Humphrey automated tests, 3.42±0.62, Size III 2.29±0.55, RBP test, 0.29±0.10. Variance was significantly different (p<0.0001) favoring RB. All tests had decreasing sensitivity with an increase in age.	"[I]t appears that RBP might have lower test-retest variability than size III SAP, which in turn has lower variability than size I SAP in normal subjects. The test addresses some of the shortcomings of SAP and attempts to avoid the	Small sample, but 5 tests completed. Data suggest test-retest variability of rarebit perimetry less than both standard automated perimetry sizes 1 and 3 measurements of normal subjects.

Pandit 2001 (4.0)	Manu al Studi	Diagno stic/Pr ospecti	No mention of sponsorshi	N=138 patients	No mention of	All outpatients of an eye clinic were consented	No exclusion criteria for the participants of	Confrontatio n tests, including:	Automated Humphrey II 30-2 Perimetry.	Sensitivity and Specificity of confrontations	limitations imposed by using threshold measutres" "The central red field and the red-colour	Data suggest most confrontation
	es	ve	p or COI.		gender; Mean Age 67.5 (17-88)	for the study, a total of 89 (64%) had defects detected by automatic field testing.	the study.	Description of examiners face, Quadrant finger counting, kinetic to finger, kinetic to 20 mm white target, kinetic to 20 mm red target, red colour comparison, central field test.		tests: Descript of examiners face, 44% and 100%. Quadrant finger counting, 35% and 100%. Kinetic to finger, 40% and 100%. Kinetic to 20 mm white target 48% and 100%. Kinetic to 20 mm red target, 56% and 100%. Red colour comparison, 60% and 100%. Central Field test to 5mm red target, 76% and 100%.	comparison tests should be essential components of the examination of visual fields to confrontation The specificity of confrontation tests is high, suggesting that causes of identified field defects are usually real and therefore warrant explanation."	visual field tests are insensitive to detecting visual field losses compared with full threshold automated perimetry tests.

Shahinfar	Manu	Diagno	Supported	N=72	No	63 of the	Outpatients of	Confrontatio	Automated	Overall sensitivity	"Confrontation	Data suggest
1994 (4.0)	al	stic/pr	by an	patients	mention	participants	a Neuro-	n test	Humphrey II	of confrontation	visual field	confrontation
	Studi	ospecti	unrestricte		of	(87.5%) were	Ophthalmology	(quadrant	30-2 Perimetry.	visual field tests	testing is	testing is poor
	es	ve	d grant		Gender;	diagnosed with	service during a	finger wiggle)		was 63%	sensitive for	at detection of
			from		Mean	abnormal field	3 month-			However, it varied	very dense	visual field loss,
			Research		Age of	defects by	period. A			depending on	visual field	is a poor
			to Prevent		60.4±18.0	automate.	variety of			visual field loss	defects of	screening test
			Blindness.				disorders were			present, being	either the	but cn detect
			No COI				included.			most sensitive to	anterior or	moderate to
							Patients			Hemianopias	posterior visual	large defects.
							included if they			(90%). Significant	pathway.	
							had 20/200			differences in	Confrontation	
							vision, could			field loss types	visual field	
							complete both			(p<0.0001).	testing is	
							tests, had a			Abnormal	insensitive for	
							False Negative			confrontation test	mild to	
							or False			in different	moderate	
							positive			quadrants; overall	scotomas of up	
							frequency <			sensitivity (38%),	to -19 dB	
							20%.			highest sensitivity	sensitivity loss."	
										within the		
										Ineferonasal		
										quadrant		
										sensitivity of 44%.		
										All confrontation		
										testing yielded		
										high specificity of		
										97%, and positive		
										predictive value		
										of 96%.		

Szatmary	Manu	Diagno	Study	N=64	36 males,	Patients were	Severe	Swedish	Manual	Overall, both	"In conclusion,	Data suggest
2002 (4.0)	al	stic/Pr	supported	patients	28	evaluated by	Neurological	Interactive	Goldmann	results were	we believe that	although
	Studi	ospecti	in part by a		female;	study if they	impairment	Thresholding	Kinetic	similar for both	SITA Fast	Goldmann
	es	ve	departmen		Mean age	had either	constituted as a	Algorithm(SIT	Perimetry (GVF)	testing strategies.	strategy of	perimetry has
			tal grant		53 (18-	severe	score of 3-4 on	A) Fast static		Only	automated	been the gold
			from		92)	neurological	Modified	Perimetry		discrepancies	perimetry may	standard for
			Research			impairment or	Rankin Scale			were in 8% (6 of	be useful in the	testing, SITA
			to Prevent			severe vision	(MRS) (requires			43 w/	evaluation of	Fast may be the
			Blindness			loss.	help with or			neurological	central vision	preferred test
			Inc. One				without			defects, 2/50 w/	field defects	due to it being
			author is a				walking).			vision loss) when	associated with	faster and
			recipient				Severe vision			GVF failed to	neuro-	requiring less
			of an				loss defined by			show a defect	opthalmic	skill to perform.
			award				an acuity of			SITA showed.	disorders."	Patients
			from				20/200 or			Also, in 9% (3/43		appeared to
			Research				worse in at			w/ neurological		prefer
			to Prevent				least one eye.			defects, 6/50 w/		Goldmann due
			Blindness							vision loss) SITA		to
			Inc.							failed to show a		concentration
										vision field loss		challenges in
										GVF showed. Test		SITA Fast (91%
										Time, GVF vs SITA:		vs 9%).
										7.97±3.2 vs		
										5.43±1.41. Patient		
										Preference: 91%		
										preferred the GVF		
										test, and 9%		
										preferred the		
										SITA, based on		
										difficulty of		
										maintain		
										concentration		
										during exam.		

Topouzis 2003 (4.0)	Manu al Studi es	Cross- Section al Study/ Diagno stic	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N=88 patients	38 males, 50 females; Mean age 68.8±4.8	Participants came from those included in an ongoing epidemiological study (Thessaloniki Eye Study) of Glaucoma and age-related macular degeneration (AMD).	A test of visual field loss was considered unreliable is 76-STHR or 30-FTHR if the percentage of fixation losses or false-positive to false-negative errors exceeded 33%.	76- suprathresho Id test (76- STHR)	Humphrey Threshold testing, 30-Full Threshold algorithm (30- FTHR)	Sensitivity and Specificity of 76- STHR with 1 test point missed: 85.2% and 70.0%. With 2 test points missed: 77.8% and 78.0%. With 3 test points missed: 74.1% and 86.0%. Higher sensitivity of 76- STHR was found after excluding eyed with Visual	"In conclusion, based on the results of our study, the 76-STHR test showed high sensitivity and low falsenegative results at the "at least one point missed" cutoff level criterion to detect eyes with visual field	Data suggest the 76 STHR had high sensitivity but low specificity and would appear inappropriate for the screening test in a primary care setting.
(4.0)	Manu al Studi es	Diagno stic/Pr ospecti ve	Study supported by a Singhealth Foundatio n Project Grant, Singapore, Republic of Singapore. No COI.	N=426 patients	166 males, 260 females; Mean age, glaucoma group: 66.6±13.1 . Control Group 55.2±9.2	N=78 participants who were diagnosed with glaucoma prior to the study. N=348 participants who were healthy controls.	Diagnosis of glaucoma was based on clinical examination with glaucomatous optic neuropathy defined by presence of neuro retinal rim thinning, notching, or excavation of the cup, cup thinning, or a	Moorfields Motion Displacement Test (MMDT)	Clinical Diagnosis (Described in Case definition) as well as the Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT) results.	Field Defect not secondary to glaucoma. Testing time, glaucoma vs control group (seconds): 112.7±39.7 vs 103.3±30.7. HRT results for diagnosing glaucoma, global probability of true damage (PDT) Area under receiver operator curve (AUC); 0.930 (95% CI, 0.893-0.967). MMDT sensitivity	defect by Humphrey threshold testing in a population- based study." "In summary, the present study has shown that the MMDT shows good diagnostic performance in detecting structurally and clinically defined glaucoma. In view of MMDT's portability, accessibility, and relative	Data suggests MMD highly correlates to structural criteria fro glaucoma with good sensitivity and specificity.

				there of. Confirmed by HRT Moorfields Regression Analysis.		specificity was 85%. MMDT sensitivity 83.3% when specificity was 95%. At PTD cutoff point value of 2.5, sensitivity was 85.9% and specificity was 94.5%.	good diagnostic performance underlies its potential asa new glaucoma diagnostic tool."	
Rowe, 2011 (3.5)	Manu el Studi es							Data suggest Octopus perimeter is useful for assessment of uniocular ductions and binocular field of single vision but speed of stimulus alters test duration, and thus may overestimate field of rotations.
Hsu, 2010 (3.5)	Manu el Studi es							Data suggest use of repeated III-4e isopter techniques during kinetic perimetry testing is fast and aids clinicians in

		I	1	1	1	<u> </u>		diagnasing
								diagnosing
								NOVFL.
Heijl, 1976	Manu							Data suggest
(3.5)	el							manual and
	Studi							automatic
	es							perimetry
								similar in
								efficacy with a
								slight trend
								towards a
								higher rate of
								FPs in
								automatic
								perimetry
								which can be
								improved by
								using higher VF
								defect
								detection
								(optimization)
Katz, 1995	Manu							Data suggest
(3.5)	el							there is
, ,	Studi							concordance on
	es							consecutive
								testing of the
								glaucoma
								hemifield test
								but enough
								discordance
								whereby
								specificity
								increases from
								using a second
								test.
				L	1			icsi.

Johnson, 1991 (3.5)	Manu el Studi es						Data suggest confrontation testing has a high specificity but modest sensitivity.
Kerr, 2010 (3.5)	Manu el Studi es						Data suggest confrontation testing has low-medium sensitivity and high specificity.

Peripheral Vision Crash and Safety Risk

Peripheral Vision Crash and Safety Risk											
Name/Year Location	Score	Study Design	Exposure	Population. Age range. Dropout Rate. Case Definition	Results	Conclusion	Comments				
Rubin 1997 Maryland, USA	II	Cross sectional baseline from longitudinal. Salisbury Eye Evaluation Study	Residents of Salisbury, MD, between September 16, 1993 and September 26, 1995 who completed examination.	N=2520 aged 65-84 yrs. Assessed visual acuity, contrast sensitivity, glare, visual fields.	Visual acuity impairment (worse than 20/40 to better than 20/200) in blacks vs. whites was 5.6% vs. 3.0%.	"[A] loss of visual function with age and potentially important racial differences for all the tests included in this study."	Visual impairments associated with age and greater with black than white. Especially includes VA, contrast sensitivity and visual field points missed				
Rubin 2007 Maryland, USA	II	Longitudinal, population- based study Salisbury Eye Evaluation (SEE) Study	Vision tests (visual acuity, contrast sensitivity, glare sensitivity, stereoacuity, visual fields, test of attention, driving assessment)	1801 members of original cohort (N=2520) with current Maryland driver's licenses ages 65-84; sample included 100% of identified African American residents and 58% of identified Caucasian residents. Eligibility: score higher than 17 on Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), able to travel to SEE clinic for examination	From 1991 to 1997, Maryland Automated Accident Reporting System (MAARS) recorded 290 crashes from SEE study participants. Hazard Ratios. (Variable: interval for hazard ration/HR/95% CI/p- value). Age: 5 years/1.20/1.00- 1.44/p=0.05. Sex (adjusted for age): female = NS. Race (adjusted for age): African American/2.05/1.37- 3.02/p=0.0007. Live alone: NS. Education: NS. Mental status (adjusted for age): 1 point/0.91/0.85-	"[B]inocular visual fields, glare sensitivity, and UFOV were significant predictors of crash involvement in our cohort of older driversNeverthe less, the data suggest that current vision screening for driver's licensure, which is based primarily on visual acuity, may miss important aspects of visual impairment about which the driver is not	Glare sensitivity, binocular visual fields and UFOV associated with elevated crash risk.				

		Comorbidities: NS.	sufficiently	
		Depression: NS.	aware."	
		Vision risk factors.		
		(interval for hazard		
		ratio/adjusted for		
		miles driven hazard		
		ratio/adjusted for		
		miles driven 95%		
		CI/p-value). Acuity:		
		NS. Low luminance		
		acuity: NS. Contrast		
		sensitivity: NS. Glare		
		sensitivity <3: 6		
		letters/0.46/0.26-		
		0.89/p<0.05. Glare		
		sensitivity ≥3: 6		
		letters/2.32/1.14-		
		16.78, p<0.05.		
		Stereodeficient: NS.		
		Binocular visual fields		
		<20: NS. Binocular		
		visual fields ≥20:15		
		points/1.31/1.13-		
		4.27/p<0.05. Useful		
		Field of Vision Test		
		(UFOV): 40%		
		lss/2.21/1.32-		
		3.39/p<0.01.		

Ball 1993 Jefferson County, Alabama, USA	III	Population-based cross sectional and retrospective study, with sampling of the population.	Visual sensory function, mental status, UFOV, driving habits questionnaire , eye health. VA, contrast sensitivity, disability glare, stereopsis, color discrimination and visual field sensitivity.	N=294 drivers ages 55-90. Stratified by age and crashes in prior 5yr. 33% had 0, 49% had 1-3, and 18% had 4+ crashes.	Diagnostic category (n=135 normal, 23 retinal disease, 6 glaucoma/ocular HTN, 5 DM retinopathy, 26 others) not related in final model. MMSE and UFOV most associated with the crash frequency variance.	"With the identification of a visual attention measure highly predictive of crash problems in the elderly, this study points to a way in which the suitability of licensure in the older adult population could be based on objective, performance-based criteria."	Not powered for most diagnoses. UFOV and MMSE most important of the factors.
Goode 1998 USA, Alabama Department of Public Safety	111	Case control design	Crash- involved older drivers	N = 239 with older adult driving population who had experienced a crash. Adults, 55 years of age and older. No dropouts, reported. The purpose of the present investigation was assess; visual sensory function, neurocognitive functioning, UFOV®, driving habits, and eye health.	First model; Traditional tests (MOMSSE, Trials A, B time, WMS-VR score) X ² = 20.02, p < 0.01, indicating these variables as a set, distinguish between crashers and non- crashers. Second model; UFOV® reduction score to the neuropsychological variables, was	"In terms of cognitive assessment of driving risk, the results of the current investigation support the use of a stand-alone measure of visual attention (UFOV®) for assessing older adults' risk for automobile crashing."	Data suggest UFOV most strongly associated with crash.

					analyzed and found to be statistically significant, X² = (7, N = 239) = 84.24, p < 0.001. Third model; only the UFOV® score, found statistically significant X² = (1, N = 239) = 76.04, p < 0.001. All measures are significantly correlated with UFOV® score (ps <		
					0.001).		
Owsley 1998 Alabama USA	II	Prospective cohort study	To identify whether measures of visual processing ability, including the useful field of view test, are associated with crash involvement by older drivers.	N= 294 Ages 55-87. Single visit to the clinic in 1990 with visual sensory function, visual attention and processing speed, cognitive function and eye health; a questionnaire about driving exposure; and a review of demographic and health information.	Those driving <7 days/week 30% less likely to have had a crash vs. those driving daily. Crash risk in 5 prior years (RR=2.0;95% CI, 1.1-3.8). Older drivers with ≥40% field of view reduction 2.2x (95% CI, 1.2-4.1) more likely to crash during follow-up. Older drivers driving <7	"Reduction in the useful field of view increases crash risk in older drivers. Given the relatively high prevalence of visual processing impairment among the elderly, visual dysfunction and eye disease deserve further examination oas causes of motor vehicle crashes	Data suggest visual field impairments associated with increased crash risk.
					days/wk had 45% (95% CI, 0.3-1.1) decreased crash risk.	vehicle crashes and injury."	

Johnson 1982	II	Cohort	Visual field	N= 10,000	Normal/abnormal	"Drivers with	Large sample size,
			loss vs normal	Volunteers, 20k eyes from	visual fields in	monocular visual	but relatively modest
California			vision	driver's license applicants at	96.7/3.3% of	field loss had	numbers affected.
				Dept. of Motor Vehicles (DMV)	eyes. Severe visual	accident	Age related to visual
USA			Visual Field:	offices in El Cerrito and	field loss (eg,	and conviction	field losses.
			substantial	Redwood City,	hemianopic defect or	rates equivalent	
			depression of	CA. Visual field screening and	severe visual	to those	
			all or part of	ophthalmic history.	field constriction) in	of a control	
			the peripheral		0.5%.	group. Our	
			field or 2 or			results have	
			more		Increase in frequency	important	
			adjacent		of visual field loss	implications for	
			target missed		between 61-65 yrs.,	mass visual field	
			in testing.		and frequency	screening to	
					of visual field loss is	detect eye	
					>4x higher for those	diseases and for	
					>65 yrs.	vision-related	
					~13% of >65 years	factors in traffic	
					had visual field	safety."	
					defect.		
Burg		Large-scale	Vision and	N = ~ 17, 065 who participated	Results show slight	"Analysis of the	
1968		research	driving	in the vision and driving study of	but statistical	resultant data	
LICA California		project		both genders, age from 16 to	significance trend	reveals a slight	
USA, California				92.	toward exophoria	but statistically	
Department of Motor Vehicles				The aim of this study was to	with increasing age, for men r = 0.021, p =	significant trend toward exophoria	
iviolor verificies				administrate a distance phoria	0.06, and women r =	with increasing	
				test utilizing a modified	0.042, p = 0.01.	age; however,	
				Thorington apparatus and red	0.042, μ = 0.01.	this trend is not	
				Maddox rod.		consistent one,	
				ividudox rou.		and it more	
						pronounced for	
						women than it is	
						for men."	
Council		Retrospective	Lateral vision	N = ~ 52, 000 drivers were	Visual field and	"Overall two year	
1974		(accident		measured.	accidents:	retrospective	
		experience)			< 0.0848% of the	accident	
USA, North				Age range, < 25 –	applicants had total	experience of	
Carolina				> 70 years.	visual fields ≤ 90	those with	

Highway Safety		degrees and < 1%,	"limited visual
Research	The aim of this study is to	visual fields ≤ 120	fields" (140
Center	examine relationship between	degrees, ≤ 4.18% had	degrees or less)
	lateral vision and accident	visual fields less ≤	does not differ
	involvement.	140 degrees, and	from drivers with
		~75% had total visual	"normal" fields of
		fields greater than	view (greater
		160 degrees.	than 160
			degrees)."
		Distribution of visual	
		fields of the accident-	
		involved sample was	
		different from the	
		distribution of the	
		accident-free sample,	
		p < 0.001.	

Evidence for Intraocular Lenses

Author Year (Score):	Categ ory:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:	Author Year (Score):	Category:
Schmidinger	Intrao	Diagno	No COI.	N=31	Mean	62 eyes of 31	Patients	AF-1 (UV) IOL	AF-1 (UY) IOL	Visual acuity	"In this	Data suggest
2008 (6.5)	culat lens	stic			age: 73.4±7.64 years. No mention of gender,	patients	without history of corneal disorders, no abnormal pupil reaction, no sign of inflammation, no opacification of optic media apart from cataract, no retinal disorders, and	(Hoya)	(Hoya)	difference for both IOL groups was no significant. (p>.05) Central color contrast sensitivity also had no significant difference between eyes with clear IOL and yellow IOL at any tested spatial frequency.	intraindividual comparison, the implantation of a blue-light-filtering IOL did not lead to a clinically significant change in color contrast sensitivity."	equivalency.
							no systemic disease or having			Peripheral color contrast sensitivity test		

			treatment that		showed slightly	
			might affect		higher color	
			color		contrast	
			perception, no		sensitivity in eyes	
			evident signs of		with yellow IOL,	
			macular		but no significant	
			alteration or		difference. Two	
			other ocular		patients reported	
			disease after		subjective	
			surgery.		changes in color	
					perception in the	
					eye with yellow	
					IOL.	

Depth Perception

Depth perception is the ability of the eye to help ascertain three dimensions and be able to judge the distance of an object. Depth perception is also involved in ascertaining the length, width, and the height of an object. When the head is held steady and the body is not moving, both eyes are required to ascertain depth perception, known as stereopsis. While depth perception is commonly thought to require both eyes, this is not completely correct. When the head and/or body is moving (e.g., moving the head or traveling by vehicle), some depth perception is possible based on experiences, the relative changes in the size and position of objects. Still, people with stereopsis will use these clues much less frequently.

Overall, there were two review articles that partially included the condition of monocular vision as a risk factor for occupational injury. One review found that balance issues related to problems of depth perception and visual ambiguity caused by monocular vision increased the risk of falling off a roof for roofers [351]. The second review showed little evidence that visual impairment increased risks for occupational injury and no studies were found that directly assessed monocular vision as a risk factor for occupational injury [352]. Overall, the lack of evidence for monocular vision as a risk factor for occupational injury seems to be related to not properly defining eye pathology in current research [352].

Depth Perception Screening for Preplacement Examinations Recommended.

Preplacement depth perception screening is selectively recommended for jobs that require depth perception.

Indications — Occupations that require a high degree of depth perception for accurate performance. Optimum means for testing are unclear. A functional test that either accomplishes the required job functions or one that mimics the required job task(s) may be best.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low

Depth Perception Screening for Periodic Surveillance Examinations

Recommended.

Periodic depth perception screening is recommended for select jobs that require depth perception.

Indications – Occupations that require a high degree of depth perception for accurate performance. Optimum means for testing are unclear. A functional test that either accomplishes the required job functions or one that mimics the required job task(s) may be best.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low

Depth Perception Screening for Select Post-Injury Examinations

Recommended.

Depth perception screening is recommended for select post-injury examinations.

Indications – Post-injury examinations for jobs that also require a high degree of depth perception.

Strength of Evidence – **Recommended, Evidence (I)** Level of Confidence – Low

Depth Perception Screening for Select Postoperative Examinations Recommended.

Depth perception screening is recommended for select postoperative examinations.

Indications – Postoperative examinations for jobs that also require a high depth perception.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low

Rationale for Recommendations

Depth perception is necessary for select jobs and job tasks. The degree of depth perception required varies widely. There are multiple tests that have been used mostly in comparative studies, including: Polarized Stereoscopic Monitor, Distance Randot Stereotest, Titmus stereo test (static depth perception), Frisby stereotest, Randot circles and FNS, Wirt Fly Stereotest, TNO test, steroacuity, stereogram [353-360] Leske 06. There are no validated tests that demonstrate a given test is able to predict both inability to accomplish normal depth perception as well as to not successfully perform job tasks. Thus, the means to accomplish the testing are unclear.

Depth perception screening is nevertheless recommended for select pre-placement and periodic screening for jobs that require a high degree of depth perception. For jobs that require a high degree of depth perception, depth perception screening of post-injury and postoperative patients is also recommended. For those in jobs requiring depth perception who also have risks for acquired or progressive loss of depth perception (e.g., keratoconus), greater frequency of depth perception screening may be considered.

Depth perception screening is not invasive, is without adverse effects, is low cost and is thus recommended for select pre-placement, periodic surveillance, as well as select post-injury and postoperative examinations.

Evidence for Depth Perception Screening

Author Year (Score):	Category	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sam ple size:	Age/S ex:	Populat ion Descrip tion	Case Definition	Investigativ e Test	Comparative Test	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Yang, 2004 (6.5)	Depth Percepti on Testing	Diagn	Sponsored by the INJE University research grant 2003. No COI.	N=10 0	57 males, 43 femal es, and a mean age of 3.9 years	Normal patient s without ocular or general disease s.	Stereoacuity test can confirm the absence of strabismus, suppression and amblyopia.	Test sheet of digitalized, random-dot stereogram through Random-dot production program	Randot preschool stereoacuity (stereoptical Co., Chicago), Titmus-fly (Stereo Optic Co., INC., IL, USA), and Lang (Western ophthalmic Co. USA)	Success rate percentage for random-dot = 90%, Randot prescholl stereoacuity = 83%, Titmustests = 71%, and Lang test = 80%. Percentage of sensitivity of stereoacutity test for digital random-dot (100(100/100)), Preschool (78(78/100)), and Lang (100(100/100)). Percentage of specificity of stereoacutity test for digital random-dot (100(100/100)), Preschool (96(96/100)), Titmus (90(90/100)), Titmus (90(90/100)), and Lang (98 (98/100)).	"In the future, we can use the digitalized, random-dot, stereogram test designed in this study over a wider range, and the group study results of this test will be more accurate if studies are conducted into favorite Korean numbers, letters and objects."	Study performed on children with strabismus suggests random dot stereoacuity test may be of use in chemical settings.
Kim 2011 (4.5)	Depth Percepti	Diagn ostic	Funded by grant A092206	N = 64	Mean age 30.7,	Normal binocul arity	20/20 vision or better, no manifest tropia	Polarized Stereoscopic Monitor	Distance Randot Stereotest	The two test result scores presented a significant	"The distance 3-D stereotest showed	Data suggest 3-D stereotest comparable to Randot stereotest

Watanabe 2008 (4.5)	Depth Percepti on Testing	Diagn	from the Korea Healthcare Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Seoul, Republic of Korea. No conflict of interest. No conflict of interest.	N = 52	no gende r distrib ution menti oned Mean age 16, 32 femal	Strabis mic patient s	with simultaneous and alternative prism cover test, 0.33 m and 6 . fusion in Worth 4-dot test Exotropia or esotropia	One random dot stereogram of rotating	perception)	correlation (r = 0.324, p = 0.009). Results between the two tests were 64% identical and ranged within 1 disparity level for 97% of the adults. Data presented a weak correlation between scores of the stereo motion	good concordance with the distance Randot stereotest and relatively good test—retest reliability, supporting the validity of the distance 3-D stereotest. The normative data set obtained from the present study can serve as a useful reference for quantitative assessment of a wide range of binocular sensory abnormalities." "This study indicates the importance of testing motion-in-depth perception as well as	and it also demonstrated good test-retest reliability and was either similar to or better than conventional tests. Data suggest it is important to measure both static and motion in depth perception.
Leske 2004 (4.5)	Depth Percepti on Testing	Diagn ostic	Partially funded by grant to Department of	N = 186	Media n age 11, 108 femal	Horizon tal strabis mus	Horizontal strabismus	cylinder, three random dot stereograms of two parallel planes (motion-indepth perception) Titmus Fly, Animals, and Circles tests	Preschool Randot test and Frisby test	The Titmus Fly resulted in a false-positive 6% of the time, Titmus Animals at 10%,	static depth perception in assessing stereopsis in strabismic patients." "In summary, the Titmus Fly, Titmus Animals, and Titmus Circles (the first four circles) tests possess	Data suggest Frisby test useful for identifying the presence or absence of stereopsis where Randot is useful
			Ophthalmol ogy of the Mayo Clinic and by the Research to Prevent		e and 78 male					Titmus circles 35%, and Randot at 10%. The Frisby test presented no false-positives.	monocular clues that limit their usefulness for clinical testing. The Frisby test is particularly	in the quantification of the stereopsis in both adults and children.

		1	T = 1	1	1		T	T	T	1		T
			Blindness in								useful for rapid	
			New York,								assessment of whether	
			New York.								stereopsis is present or	
			Holmes, the								absent. The new	
			coauthor,								Preschool Randot test is	
			was an Olga								valuable	
			Keith Weiss								for quantifying	
			Scholar at								stereopsis in both	
			the Research								children and adults.	
			to Prevent								True stereopsis may be	
			Blindness								rare when a patient has	
			organization								a horizontal	
											deviation > 4 PD."	
Leske	Depth	Diagn	Funded by a	N =	No	Variety	Visual acuity of	Near Frisby	Preschool	Participants	"The type of stereotest	Data suggest Randot
2006	Percepti	ostic	grant, from	182	mean	of	20/40 or better	(nF), distance	Randot test,	underwent finer	influences measurable	test is better for
(4.5)	on		the National		age or	strabis	(in each eye)	Frisby-Davis 2	Distance	disparities using	thresholds, and the	detecting slight changes
	Testing		Institutes of		gende	mic		(FD2)	Randot	the nF test	results from	where the nF and FD2
			Health,		r	conditi	No more than			compared to the	different tests are not	tests are better for
			to		distrib	ons	70 prism			Randot test (p <	interchangeable. The	detecting presence of
			Department		ution		diopters of			0.0001).	choice of test should	or lack of stereopsis.
			of		listed.		esotropia (pd)			Participants also	depend on the question	Therefore, data suggest
			Ophthalmol		Age					experienced finer	being asked;	the choice of stereotest
			ogy of the		range		No more than			disparities with the	nF and FD2 would be	is dependent upon
			Mayo Clinic		8-84		55 pd			FD2 test compared	appropriate for	what question is being
			and by the				exotropia			to the Distance	determining presence	asked.
			Research to				,			Randot test (p <	or absence of	
			Prevent				And/or			0.0001). No	stereopsis and best	
			Blindness in				,			participants	measurable stereopsis.	
			New York,				No more than			presented	The more rigorous	
			New York.				30 pd of			improved	Randot tests would be	
			Holmes, the				hypertropia			stereoacuity with	appropriate for	
			coauthor,				'' '			the Distance	determining subtle	
1			was an Olga							Randot test	changes."	
			Keith Weiss							compared to the		
			Scholar at							FD2 and only 4%		
			the Research							has an improved		
			to Prevent							result with nF		
			Blindness							compared to the		
										Randot test.		

			organization									
Holmes	Depth	Diagn	Funded by a	N =	No	Variety	Variety of	Distance	Preschool	28 participants,	"The FD2 stereotest is a	Data suggest FD2 is
2005	Percepti	ostic	grant from	95	mean	of	strabismic and	Frisby-Davis 2	Randot	out of 66 tested at	useful measure of	beneficial in testing
(4.0)	on		the National		age or	strabis	nonstrabismic	(FD2)	Stereoacuity	3 meters, were	distance stereoacuity,	distance stereoacuity if
	Testing		Institutes of		gende	mic and	conditions			able to pass at	provided the	a monocular phase is
			Health and		r	nonstra				least one of the	presentation protocol	part of the testing
			Research to		distrib	bismic				first levels of the	accounts for monocular	protocol.
			Prevent		ution	conditi				FD2 test	cues."	
			Blindness		menti	ons				(monocular		
			Inc. Holmes		oned.					conditions). 7, out		
			is a scholar		Age					of 29 tested at 6		
			at the		range					m, were able to		
			Research to		4-84					pass one of two		
			Prevent							primary levels. 14		
			Blindness							out of 21 stereoblind		
			Inc.							patients (who		
										failed the Randot		
										and near Frisby		
										tests) were able to		
										pass at least one		
										level of the FD2		
										test (binocular		
										conditions). The		
										binocular test		
										conditions were		
										modified to		
										include monocular		
										phase afterwards.		
										This resulted with		
										no detection of		
										stereopsis.		
Gharaibeh	Depth	Diagn	No mention	N =	Mean	With	Irregular	Intrastromal	Penetrating	At six-month post	"KeraRing implantation	Retrospective case
2012	Percepti	ostic	of COI or	43	age of	keratoc	astigmatism, at	corneal ring	keratoplasty	operation the	provided significant	series. Data suggest
(4.0)	on		sponsorship.	patie	26.62,	onus	least one	segments		mean UCVA	improvement in visual	KeraRing implantation
	Testing			nts,	21		classical sign of	(ICRSs),		statistically	activity, spherical	led to significant
				55	male		keratoconus	specifically		improved from	equivalent, and	improvement in
				eyes	and 34		(fine deep			0.10 to 0.32, the	keratometry results.	patients with all grades

femal	stromal striae,	KeraRing	mean BSCVA	This ICRS is an effective	of Keratoconus during
e.	localized	segments	statistically	treatment for managing	the first three months
	corneal		improved from	keratoconus and might	after surgery.
	thinning,		0.36 to 0.57 (p <	delay or even avoid the	
	progressive		0.05), the mean	need for penetrating	
	corneal		spherical refractive	keratoplasty."	
	thinning,		error improved		
	bulging of		from -4.85 to -1.89		
	lower eyelid		diopters, the mean		
	when looking		cylindrical		
	down, conical		refractive error		
	reflection on		improved from -		
	nasal cornea		3.65 to -2.60		
	when penlight		diopters, the mean		
	shone from		spherical		
	temporal side).		equivalent		
	At least two		decreased from -		
	symptoms		6.68 to -3.19, and		
	from the		the mean		
	Pentacam		keratometry value		
	corneal		decreased from		
	topography		51.83 to 47.27 (all		
	findings. Clear		significant with p <		
	central		0.05).		
	corneas,				
	severely		The change in		
	affected visual		mean cylindrical		
	acuity, contact		refractive error		
	lens		was the only		
	intolerance		variable that was		
			not significant (p =		
			0.74) for patients		
			with grade 3		
			keratoconus. For		
			participants with		
			grades 1 and 2		
			keratoconus, all		
			changes were		

										statistically		
										significant.		
Gomez	Depth	Diagn	Partially	N =	Mean	Student	With	Phoria	TNO test at	Predictive	"The ability to perceive	Data suggest multiple
2011	Percepti	ostic	funded by	69	age	s at the	monocular and	measured with	40 cm	accuracy overall	SIRDS was related to	visual parameters
(4.0)	on		grant from		23.43,	Technic	binocular	cover test and		was 66.67% (p =	many visual parameters	contribute to the ability
	Testing		the Science		15	al	distance and	handheld		0.024). Group 1	and skills, including, but	to perceive SIRDS
			and		male	Univers	near visual	prism bar		(having a	not limited to,	including stereoacuity
			Technology		and 54	ity of	acuity equal to			minimum time of <	stereoacuity and	and negative relative
			Ministry of		femal	Catalon	1.0 or better			10 seconds) had	negative relative	convergence.
			Spain		e.	ia				78.26% predictive	convergence. It is	
						(volunt				accuracy while	uncertain whether	
						eers)				Group 2 (minimum	SIRDS might be	
										time > 10 seconds)	considered a useful tool	
										had 75.86%	in clinical practice."	
										predictive	•	
										accuracy. Group 3		
										(unable to		
										perceive SIRDS)		
										had a predictive		
										accuracy of only		
										35.29%. Between-		
										group differences		
										were significantly		
										different for the		
										variables of		
										stereoacuity (p =		
										0.001) and		
										negative relative		
										convergence (p =		
										0.003).		
Rosner	Depth	Diagn	No mention	N =	Mean	Determ	All pre-	Frisby	TNO	A strong positive	"The Frisby stereotest	Data suggest
1984	Percepti	ostic	of	20	age	ined by	screened with	stereotest		correlation exists	appears to be as	comparable sensitivity
(4.0)	on		sponsorship		27.4,	a pre-	a Random-dot			between the test	sensitive to slight	between the Frisby
	Testing		or COI		no	screeni	E stereotest			results of each test	stereoacuity	stereotest and the TNO.
					menti	ng test	(1.5 meters)			for each	differences as are the	
					on of	to be				participant	other, better	
					gende	binocul				(Pearson r = 0.73,	established tests of	
					r	ar				p < 0.001). Using a	stereoacuity—at least	
										t-test it was	when used with	

Ution Variety or CDI Variety of CDI

•				ı			T		1	T	,
											objective measurement
											of visual fields.
											However, study
											subjects had many
											different diagnoses
											which may involve
											differing pathways
											causing visual loss.
Matsuo,											Data suggest significant
2014 (3.5)											correlation between 3-
											Rods test and eye-hand
											coordination and
											distance Randot
											Stereotest for depth
											perception.
Wang,											Data suggest distance
2010 (3.5)											randot stereotest is a
, ,											useful tool in the
											measurement of
											binocular sensory
											status.
Long, 2005											Data suggest Randot
(3.5)											Stereoacuity Test does
											not perform well for
											accurately diagnosing
											depth perception
											abilities in subjects with
											normal binocular vision.
											N=48
Fu, 2006											Data suggest new
(3.5)											distance Randot test
(5.5)											better at detecting
											distance stereopsis
											abnormalities and may
											and in detection of
											distance stereoacuity
											for those with or
											without strabismus.
	l	1	l	l	l	L	l		l .	l	without strabisinus.

	,			1	1	ı	1	
Fricke,								Small sample. Data
1995 (3.5)								suggest RDE stereotest
								results should be used
								and interpreted with
								caution.
Keltner,								Data suggest SWAP
1995 (3.0)								may be beneficial in
, ,								detection of neuro-
								ophthalmological
								disorders and may be
								better than standard
								automated visual field
								testing.
Heijl, 1976								Data suggest automatic
(3.0)								perimetry screening
` '								better than routine
								perimetry screening.
Brown,								Data suggest Lang 1
2001 (3.0)								Stereotest identified
, ,								both children and
								adults with vision
								defects associated with
								diminished stereopsis.
Smith,								Data suggest that
2012 (3.0)								stereoacuity
								measurements do not
								need to occur prior to
								visual acuity testing as
								thresholds do not
								deteriorate.
Bentley,								Data suggest UFOV test
2012 (2.5)								shows some variability
, ,								(greatest for glaucoma
								subset) as well as a
								"learning effect".
Ooi, 2015	†							Data suggest that
(2.5)								binocular depth
` - '								perception information
								is required to locate a

Mousa, 2013								mid-air target but not when the target is on the ground. Data suggest multifocal visual evolved potential objective perimetry (mfVEP) shows promise in the early detection of glaucoma although it may not be practical to
								the average physician due to its testing length and specific knowledge regarding results.
Momeni- Moghadam , 2011 (2.0)								Data suggest presence of stereopsis is beneficial when determining symptomatic vs asymptomatic subjects.
Pugesgaard , 1987 (2.0)								Data suggest clinical examination in tandem with other stereotests is useful for accurately diagnosing eye conditions associated with stereopsis.
Shousha 2013 (5.5)	Diagn ostic	54 eyes; 53 parti cipan ts		Ocular surface lesions	"custom-build UHR OCT"	UHR OCT served as a valuable tool in analyzing and diagnosing ocular surface lesions similar to histopathologic specimens. UHR OCT also aided in guiding the diagnosis of primary	"This study found that UHR OCT images correlated remarkably with histopathologic results in all studied lesions. This novel, noninvasive diagnostic technique can reveal the structure and location of the lesion and can aid in guiding	Study suggests ultrahigh resolution OCT imaging showed strong correlation to histopathologic specimens. Therefore this technique is a noninvasive tool which can help in diagnosing ocular surface lesions.

					histiocytosis, conjunctival amyloidosis and amelanotic	the diagnosis and management."	
Rush 2013 (2.5)	Diagnostic	22 parti cipan ts	Anterior corneal scarring	Spectral domain OCT (Cirrus HD-OCT), surgery performed, clinical outcomes assessed, long term follow-up.	amelanotic melanoma. In a comparison of preoperative versus postoperative means (95% CI), there were significant differences in BSCVA (LogMAR), topographic cylinder (diopters), topographic projected visual acuity (LogMAR), and crater depth by OCT (µm): BSCVA- 0.82 (0.61- 1.02) vs. 0.40 (0.19-0.61), (p=0.007), topographic cylinder- 4.42 (3.54-5.30) vs. 2.90 (2.02-3.78), (p=0.0173), topographic projected visual acuity- 0.36 (0.30-	"OCT-guided transepithelial PTK algorithm described in this study can result in excellent visual and anatomic outcomes in patients with anterior corneal scars, particularly with crater formation. The algorithm in this study may also restore the uniformity of the Bowman layer and normalize the epithelial thickness, thereby reducing postoperative residual irregular astigmatism. Because the corneal epithelium is photoablated at a rate similar to that of the corneal stroma, the corneal epithelium may effectively act as a masking agent during transepithelial PTK, obviating the need for	Small sample size case series suggesting new technique for managing anterior corneal scarring with preliminary favorable results.
					0.43) vs. 0.26 (0.19-0.32), (p=0.0261), crater depth- 61.4 (49.5- 73.5) vs. 12.5 (0.8- 24.2), (p<0.0001).	masking agents such as sodium hyaluronate or biomask."	

Foreign Bodies, Rust Rings, and Corneal Abrasions

RELATED TERMS

- Corneal Abrasion
- Corneal Injury
- Corneal Scratch
- Corneal Laceration (not same as an abrasion)
- Corneal Foreign Body
- Adherent Corneal Foreign Body
- Embedded Corneal Foreign Body
- Metallic Foreign Body
- Rust ring
- Ferrous ring

OVERVIEW

Foreign bodies and corneal abrasions are the most commonly reported occupational ophthalmological conditions [59, 83, 361]. In experienced hands, they are usually relatively simple to manage. However, complications such as infections and other adverse sequella occasionally occur.

RISK AND CAUSATION

Risk Factors

Risks differ widely across occupational groups. Both foreign bodies in the eye and corneal abrasions may occur in nearly any occupational workgroup. Yet, those at highest risk tend to be employed in construction and metalworking occupations, especially where high impact and/or grinding occur. ([362], [363-368]. Work-related injury was the most common cause, accounting for 70% - 72% of all eye injuries [83]). More than 90% of injuries at work were by workers who worked with grinding/buffing, welding, working in dusty atmospheres, and drilling/hammering [83]. Those exposed to windy environments are also particularly susceptible. Protective eye wear reduces, but does not eliminate risks [72, 83, 369, 370]. In some studies, most workers were not wearing eye protection even though it was available [83, 370].

Causation

Causation is rarely at issue as the onset of symptoms is generally quite acute. When the onset is acute, the event at hand determines the cause.

Prevalence/Incidence

Population-based incidence data are not available. Males between the ages of 20-40 were more likely to be seen with ocular trauma than were women [83, 370, 371]. In an Australian metropolitan area, corneal abrasions were among the top five ocular emergencies [361]. US data are spares and eports

from Korea, Singapore and Nigeria found work was the most common causative factor for ocular traumatic emergencies [59, 83, 372]. Corneal abrasions are well known to occur in the peri-operative and intensive care settings due to lack of protective reflexes [373-377], but are beyond the scope of this guideline.

Work Relatedness

Work-relatedness is determined by whether the ocular event occurred out of, or in the course of employment. As these are acute events, such determinations of work-relatedness are rarely difficult or controversial.

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS

Medical History

Symptoms of corneal abrasions, foreign bodies and rust rings both commonly include:

- A foreign body sensation.
- Acute onset of symptoms (usually)
- Pain. May be severe, especially if large foreign body or extensive abrasion(s).
- Tearing
- Redness
- Photophobia, especially if more severe
- Visual acuity usually preserved unless visual axis affected

Onset

• Symptom onset is sudden and timed with a known event such as metalworking. Abrasions often involve rubbing the eye, with or without a prior foreign body sensation.

Current treatments used

• Usually none, although may have included flushing of the eye.

Prior injuries and prior treatments

- Risk Factors
- Workers with corneal foreign bodies often have had the same in the past, as they tend to hold at-risk jobs (e.g., metalworking).

RED FLAGS

Red flags for potentially more serious injuries include [378, 379]:

- History of penetrating trauma or high impact metalworking without eye protection
- Suspected penetration of the globe
- Lacerated cornea
- Lacerated globe
- Ruptured globe
- Impaled globe
- Impaired extraocular eye movements
- Gradual onset of photophobia without an inciting event
- Systemic symptoms or diseases, especially rheumatological

- Purulence
- Abnormal visual acuity without objective foreign body and/or abrasion in the visual axis

JOB ANALYSIS AND PREVENTION

The employer's roles include primary prevention as well as facilitating secondary and tertiary prevention. Primary prevention activities include engineering interventions such as machine guarding to prevent exposure to the generation of projectiles from hammering, grinding, drilling, and use of other high-speed machines [371].

Education is an important component of prevention [371]. Most often, in higher risk settings, eye protection is still required after consideration of engineering controls to prevent ocular injuries. Safety eye wear, includes glasses, goggles face shields and splash guards, and should be selected based on the exposure(s) to adequately prevent work-related eye injuries.

The employer's roles include eyewear provision, education and promotion of the use of appropriate eye safety wear [368]. Employer's roles also include facilitating appropriate medical care for eye injuries that are incurred at the workplace. Employers sometimes also facilitate consultations when suboptimal clinical results occur.

One role of an employer is education of the susceptible workforce regarding ocular hazards [380, 381].

Education for Potential Eye Injuries

Recommended.

Education is recommendation for workers who have potential for eye injuries, e.g., from chemical splashes, impacting metal and/or wind-blown objects.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C)
Level of Confidence – High

 \boxtimes Acute \boxtimes Subacute \boxtimes Chronic

Indications: All workers should be trained if they have potential for eye injuries,

e.g., from chemical splashes, impacting metal and/or wind-blown

objects.

Benefits: Reduction in risk of injury

Harms: Negligible

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Pre-placement, periodic and post-injury

Indications for Discontinuation: Lack of exposure

Rationale: Behavioral and education training on injury prevention has been

shown to be successful in a few studies, although it is combined with protective eye wear [73, 380, 381]. Training to prevent eye injuries is

not invasive, has no adverse effects, is of negligible cost, has

demonstrated efficacy and is thus recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits.

Comments:

Evidence for Education

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Eime 2005	Eye Injury	Field Study	Sponsored by	N = 992 squash		N = 266		There is no	"Components of	
(score =)	Prevention		NHMRC	players 698		players at PEP		difference	the PEP	
			Translational	Males, 224		venues		between PEP and	intervention were	
			Grant in Injury,	Females		completing		control groups in	shown to be	
			R Eime was	Median age =		the survey		pre/post	effective. The true	
			sponsored by	38.2 years		before the		intervention	success will be the	
			NHMRC Public			intervention		changes of players	sustainability and	
			Health			VS N = 379		wearing PEP (OR =	dissemination of	
			Postgraduate			players at PEP		0.77, CI 95% 0.14 -	the project,	
			Research			venues		1.45). PEP players	favourable	
			Scholarship, C			completing		had a 2.4 times	eyewear	
			Finch was			the survey		greater odds (OR,	behaviours, and	
			sponsored by			after the		CI 95% 1.3 – 4.2)	evidence of the	
			NHMRC			intervention		of wearing	prevention of eye	
			Principal			VS N = 170		appropriate PEP	injuries long into	
			Research			players at		when compared to	the future."	
			Fellowship. No			control		control players.		
			COI.			venues		Players at PEP		
						completing		venues were 2.1		
						the survey		times more likely		
						before the		to start wearing		
						intervention		PEP "this year"		
						VS N = 232		than the players at		
						players at		the control venue		
						control		(p = 0.04, 95% CI		
						venues		1.1-4.2). PEP group		
						completing		had a larger		
						the survey		increase in		
						after the		knowledge about		
						intervention.		open eye guards		
						No follow-up		not providing		
						mentioned.		adequate		
								protection		
								(p=0.05).		

Forst 2004 (score =)	Eye Injury Prevention	Field Study	Sponsored by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and by NIOSH Training Grant. No mention of COI.	N = 703 farm workers that received safety glasses and an information sheet 563 Males, 140 Females. Mean age = 32.9 years.		Block A: 256 received eyewear, worked alongside promoters, and were trained by promoters VS Block B: 298 received eyewear, promoters collected data and no training was provided VS Block C: 149 received eyewear with no training and research was conducted. No follow up mentioned		All blocks (A, B, C) were more likely to wear protected eyewear after intervention than before; meaning simply passing out safety glasses and making workers aware of dangers improves the use of protective eyewear. Those that received training by the promoters had the greatest improvement of eye safety/risk knowledge. The improvement was determined by pre/post intervention questions.	"CHWs were an effective tool to conduct research and to train farm workers in eye health and safety, improving in this case the use of personal protective equipment and knowledge about work-related injuries."	
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------	--	--	--	---	--	---	--	--

Forst 2006 (score =) Eye Injury Prevention	Field Study No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 725 farm workers that received safety glasses and an information sheet No mention of age of sex.	Block A: 256 received eyewear, worked alongside promoters, and were trained by promoters VS Block B: 298 received eyewear, promoters collected data and no training was provided VS Block C: 149 received eyewear with no training and research was conducted. No follow up mentioned	The main reasons for wearing/not wearing safety glasses fell into one of the following categories: (1) perception of risk and effectiveness of eyewear reducing risks, (2) is the eyewear mandated and provided, (3) its impact on visual acuity, (4) comfort, (5) appearance, and (6) nuisance of carrying them. Many LFW mentioned the use of dark glasses obstructed their vision when it gets dark out (i.e. cloudy) and when working inside. Also, many workers were influenced by their co-workers using them.	"A successful program that promotes use of safety glasses among LFWs could be disseminated across the U.S. to significantly reduce eye injuries in this vulnerable population."	
--	--	--	---	--	---	--

Mancini 2005 (score =)	Eye Injury Prevention	Observational Study	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 237 metal- ware factories with reported eye injuries with ~ 32000 workers. No mention of age or sex		~15000 Metal factory workers VS ~12000 Construction workers VS ~6000 wood/ceramic workers. 4 follow up time periods following first intervention: (1) 1991-1992, (2) 1993-1996, (3) 1997-2000, (4) 2001-2003.		Each group had an overall reduction in both eye/noneye injuries, with the sharpest reduction in eye injury coming from metal workers. Metal workers had the greatest reduction in eye injury compared to non-injury, but not the wood/ceramic and construction workers. However, metal workers had a fivefold risk of an eye injury while construction workers had a twofold risk.	"Results suggest that a carefully coordinated, extensive, multicomponent intervention can lead to lasting reductions in the burden of eye injuries"	
----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	--	---	--	--	---	--

Protective Eyewear for Prevention of Eye Injuries Recommended.

Behavioral and Psychological Interventions

Protective eyewear is recommended for prevention of eye injuries.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

 \boxtimes Acute \boxtimes Subacute \boxtimes Chronic

□ Preoperative □ Perioperative □ Postoperative

Indications: Moderate and high risk occupations and at-risk workforces. The

employer should educate the workers regarding the potential for ocular injury and the means of protection [71]. Especially in high-risk settings, it is recommended that this should then be followed by

enforcement.

Benefits: Proactive reductions in risks of injury

Harms: Time to educate

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Generally at baseline and at least annually in moderate and high risk

settings.

Indications for Discontinuation: At-risk exposure(s) have been engineered out

Rationale: Protective eyewear promotion (PEP) has been shown to be effective

for improving compliance, although not in some studies for reducing the rate of injuries [71, 381, 382], for which studies are likely

underpowered. Other studies combining education and protective eyewear have shown reductions in injuries [380]. In one study, there was a 2.4-fold odds of wearing appropriate eyewear compared with controls. [382] Education is low cost, without adverse effects and likely effective and thus is recommended. This may require (re)inforcement

for efficacy.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: Educational interventions for the prevention of eye injuries, eye controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and

Nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 4 articles in PubMed, 665 in Scopus, 1 in CINAHL, 1 in Cochrane Library and 2 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 2 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 0 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 3 articles considered for inclusion, 2 randomized trials

and 1 systematic study met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Evidence for Protective Eyewear

Author	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of	Sample size:	Test Used:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Year			Interest:					ир:			
(Score):		DCT Chusten	Commonted by an	N = 204	Enhanced		Standard	Consorthe	Outrous	"Provision of	Cluster
2013		RCT, Cluster- randomization.	Supported by an intra-mural	consenting	Enhanced education-		Education group-	6 months	Outcome measures:	appropriate	randomized
(score		Tanuonnization.	research grant	adult stone	same initial		Initial health		Compliance	protective	6 quarries.
= 6.5)			from the Fluid	quarry	education as		education		with protective	eyewear	Data suggest
- 0.5)			Research Fund of	workers in	the standard		consisting of		eyewear.	reduces the	enhanced
			the Christian	India. Mean	education		health education		Compared to	incidence of	education
			Medical College,	age was 39.1	group as well as		talk by		standard	eye injuries in	(including
			Vellore,	years.	additional		educators;		education, the	stone quarry	more
			administered	years.	education in		display and		enhanced	workers.	methods)
			through the Office		the form of		discussion		education	Periodic	effective for
			of Research.		pre-recorded,		showing major		group	educational	compliance
			Protective		short street-		ocular injuries		significantly	and	but not eye
			eyewear was		plays and		and		increased	motivational	injuries
			funded by a		messages		consequences		compliance	sessions with	(both
			project grant from		regarding		and instructions		with protective	individuals and	significantly
			the Christoffel-		prevention of		regarding care,		eyewear by	groups	improved).
			Blindenmission		ocular injuries.		handling and		15% at 3	facilitates	' '
			(CBM) to the		Individual		usage of		months (Odds	sustained use	
			Department of		counselling was		protective		ratio, 95% CI);	of protective	
			Ophthalmology,		provided by		eyewear. Single		2.1 (1.2-3.8),	eyewear."	
			Christian Medical		health workers		session lasting 1-		and 25% at six		
			College, Vellore.		occurring 1-2 h		2 h, and follow		months; 2.7		
					every week in		up for 6 months		(1.5-4.8). At		
					the first month		to replace		baseline,		
					and often		protective		80/103 (78%) in		
					throughout 6		eyewear and		the enhanced		
					months (11		answer		education and		
					total sessions)		questions from		88/101 (87%) in		
					(N = 103).		workers and		the standard		
							assess outcomes		education		
							(N = 101)		group reported		
									some sort of		
									eye injuries in		
									the past. The 3		
									month		
									incidence of eye		
									injuries was		

Eime, 2005 (score = 2.5)		RCT	Sponsored by an NHMRC Translational Grant in Injury. RE was funded by an NHMRC Public Health Postgraduate Research Scholarship. CF was supported by an NHMRC Principal Research Fellowship. No COI.	N= 992 total surveys were completed among squash players in Australia. 222 pre-intervention and 360 post-intervention in the PEP group and 146 pre- and 220 post-intervention in the control group. Mean age was 38.3 years.	PEP intervention group- Protective eyewear promotion (PEP), education about the benefits of wearing eyewear. (N=266 players pre- and 379 post- intervention)		Control group- no intervention was used (N= 170 pre- and 232 post- intervention). 4 centers in the northwest region of Melbourne received PEP and 4 centers in the southeast region of the city received no- intervention.	Follow- up for 4 months.	reduced by 16% in the enhanced education and 13% in the standard education group compared to three months before the study. At 6 months, 12% and 7% decrease in enhanced and standard educational groups, respectively, p<0.05. Outcome measures: Compliance with protective eyewear. At the PEP venues, 266 players completed the survey before the intervention and 379 after the intervention. At the control venues, 170 surveyed before the intervention and 232 after the intervention. There was no difference	"Components of the PEP intervention were shown to be effective. The true success will be the sustainability and dissemination of the project, favourable eyewear behaviours, and evidence of the prevention of eye injuries long into the future."	Cluster randomized but only two regions. Then sampled with unclear methods. Data suggest increased use of eyewear.
-----------------------------------	--	-----	---	--	---	--	--	--------------------------------	--	--	--

					between PEP		
					and control		
					groups from the		
					pre- to post-		
					intervention		
					change in the		
					number of		
					players wearing		
					protective		
					eyewear while		
					playing (Odds		
					ratio (95% CI));		
					OR = 0.77 (0.41		
					to 1.45)		
					(p>0.05).		

Safety Glasses in Most Employment Settings Recommended.

Devices

Safety glasses suffice for most employment settings and are recommended for most low to moderaterisk exposure situations.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

Indications: Workers at risk of penetrating trauma, hammering/pounding metal,

chemical splashes or performing work that previously resulted in

foreign bodies.

Benefits: Injury Prevention
Harms: Minor discomfort

Frequency/Dose/Duration: N/A

Indications for Discontinuation: Removal from at-risk task

Rationale: Safety glasses and/or safety eyewear have been shown to be effective for reductions in eye

injuries [380]. Safety glasses are recommended for prevention of eye injuries and the specific type of protection is ideally selected to address the worker(s) specific job task(s). Safety glasses suffice for most employment settings. Where there are high-risks of penetrating eye trauma or chemical splashes, safety goggles, face shields and/or

splash guards are generally preferable.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple

search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye, safety glasses, safety eyewear, safety goggles, eye protective devices, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial,

randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*,

randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 117 articles, and considered 3 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 2,782 articles, and considered zero for inclusion to CINALLY was found and reviewed 4.0 articles and

inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 40 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 10 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion zero articles from other sources. Of the 3 articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0 systematic

studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Safety Goggles, Face Shields and/or Splash Guards in High-Risk Jobs for Penetrating Eye Trauma or Chemical Splashes

Recommended.

Devices

Where there are high-risks of penetrating eye trauma or chemical splashes, safety goggles, face shields and/or splash guards are Recommended, Insufficient Evidence.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

Indications: Workers at risk of penetrating trauma, hammering/pounding metal,

chemical splashes or performing work that previously resulted in

foreign bodies.

Benefits: Injury Prevention

Harms:

Frequency/Dose/Duration:

Indications for Discontinuation: Removal from at-risk task

Rationale: There are no quality studies. There are no quality comparative trials. In

settings were exposures risks and/or consequences of exposures are

higher, safety goggles, face shields, and/or splash guards are

recommended for prevention of eye injuries. However, Safety glasses likely prevent ocular injuries from splashes and injuries associated with penetrating eye trauma. Goggles, face shields and/or splash guards may be preferable where risk of splashes is high or where risks

of projectile metal is quite high.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple

search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye, safety glasses, safety eyewear, safety goggles, eye protective devices, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial,

randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*,

randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 117 articles, and considered 3 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 2,782 articles, and considered zero for

inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 40 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 10 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion zero articles from other sources. Of the 3 articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0 systematic

studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: Goggles may be preferable where risk of splashes is high. Goggles may

also be Indicated where risks of projectile metal is quite high.

However, they are typically less well tolerated.

DIAGNOSIS

Initial Assessment

Visual acuity should be assessed in all patients. It may be impaired, particularly if the visual axis is involved with the injury or the injury is extensive, e.g., with heavy tearing. This is followed by a careful history of the event(s), including duration of the condition. An eye history should be obtained that includes prior trauma and diseases. A history of systemic diseases should be sought. Prior treatment should be recorded.

An eye exam should ensue. Findings on inspection typically include redness, tearing and difficulty using the eye. Larger foreign bodies are visible on direct inspection. Unless large, abrasions are usually not visible without staining. Direct inspection may provide initial identification of larger foreign bodies. Magnification should identify foreign body(ies) and, if present, rust rings. Slit lamp examination is best. Fluorescein staining should be performed after the initial eye examination has occurred.

Prompt referral for definitive care is recommended for cases with penetrating wounds, lacerations, impaired ocular movements, new pupillary defects, signs of infection, loss of visual acuity (unless a minor abrasion is in the visual axis), and signs of iritis.

Diagnostic Criteria

Corneal abrasion:

• Linear uptake on fluorescein staining, may be multiple. May have identifiable parallel linear streaks of uptake. May also have one large defect.

Foreign body:

- Visible foreign matter in the eye, either upon inspection or with slit lamp examination
- Foreign matter does not move with eyelid movement if it is embedded or fixed

Rust ring:

 Generally requires a ferrous foreign body in the eye for at least 3-4 hours and, most commonly, overnight. Often visible without magnification, however small rust rings may require slit lamp examination to observe

Classification

Minor abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies are not commonly classified.

History

The history should include a careful ascertainment of the event(s), including duration of the condition. Particularly important aspects are whether high-impact was used to attempt to estimate the impact and probability of a penetrating foreign body. For example, hammering a nail or metal stamping have higher potential for penetrating trauma, while looking up under a car for routine muffler work with debris dropping in the eye does not. Use of eye protection (glasses, goggles) should be ascertained, and generally (re)recommended if the exposure is ongoing. An eye history should be obtained that includes prior trauma, diseases especially affecting the eye(s). Systemic disease should be sought. Prior treatment should be recorded, including whether the eye has been irrigated or otherwise treated.

Physical Exam

In general, physical examination for simple corneal abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies should include the following elements:

- Distant visual acuity, usually Snellen
- Inspection, appearance (sclera, conjunctiva, blood)
- Signs of other potential foreign bodies in the eyelids, eye brows and on the skin
- Periorbital appearance
- Extraocular movements
- Pupillary reactivity, iris and appearance
- Slit lamp examination
- Fluorescein staining

Other physical examination components that are sometimes used for apparent work-related foreign body eye injuries include pinhole testing (particularly if there is a reduction in visual acuity), direct ophthalmoscopy, and occasionally, ocular pressure/manometry.

DIAGNOSTIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Visual Acuity Testing

Distance visual acuity screening is performed at the initial visit to document current visual acuity, guide clinical management, and as a baseline for follow-up visits. The Snellen chart test is considered the gold standard in visual acuity testing. Most tests are conducted at a distance of 20 feet away, however smaller letters may be used when the chart or card is less than 20 feet away ([383] http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/003396.htm). There are many other acuity tests that have been used including the Randot Stereoacuity test (RSA) [384], the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study [385, 386], the Functional Acuity Contrast Test [387] and the Tritan Contrast Threshold test [388].

Visual Acuity Screening When Evaluating Eye Conditions Recommended.

Vision screening is recommended for evaluation of eye function, including foreign body and corneal abrasion injuries.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

Benefits: Provides clinical assessment of vision

Harms: None

Indications: For the evaluation of eye function after eye injury from foreign bodies

and corneal abrasions.

Rationale: There are no quality studies to directly address the utility of visual

acuity testing. However, it is the primary screening test for all injured eye patients, serving as the main basis for evaluating visual acuity, and as it also is not invasive and has negligible costs is thus recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the

following terms: Visual Acuity Testing, Snellen Test, E-Chart, Titmus test, Eye Exam, Snellen Test, Titmus test eye, eyes, disorders, sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of tests, gold standard, accurate, accuracy, precision, precise, and test. We found and reviewed 824 articles in PubMed, 49 in Scopus, 292 in CINAHL, 20 in Cochrane Library and 0 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 16 from PubMed, 5 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 0 from Cochrane Library and 0 from other sources. Of the 21 articles considered for inclusion, 12 articles met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

Use of Slit Lamp and Fluorescein Stain for Evaluation and Diagnosis of Foreign Body and Corneal Abrasion

Recommended.

Slit lamp with fluorescein staining is recommended.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

Benefits: Provides identification of foreign body and corneal epithelial defect.

Observation of Seidel's sign indicates possible anterior chamber

leakage or globe perforation.

Harms: None. Rare allergies

Indications: The slit lamp examination is the most common method for visualizing

corneal abrasions and other ocular defects. It is also the preferred

method for visualizing uptake with fluorescein staining.

Rationale: There are no quality trials comparing use of slit lamp with and without

fluorescein staining. Some foreign bodies may be observed without a microscope or slit lamp. This technique requires modest practitioner skill. The procedure is moderately expensive, has no adverse effects

for diagnostic purposes, is highly effective, and therefore is

recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane

Library without date limits using the following terms: slit lamp examination, slit lamp exam, eye, disorders, sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of tests, gold standard, accurate, accuracy, precision, precise, and test. We found and reviewed 1577 articles in PubMed, 0 in Scopus, 0 in CINAHL, 0 in Cochrane Library and 0 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 0 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 0 from Cochrane Library and 0 from other sources. Zero

articles met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

X-ray

Roentgenograms (X-Rays) use x-ray beams to detect radiolucent objects, particularly metallic or calcified. They have been used to assess the eye's structural components and can be used to detect intraorbital foreign bodies (IOFBs), orbital and intraorbital fractures, orbital floor blow-outs and retinoblastomas [389-392].

X-ray for Evaluation of Orbital Fracture

Recommended.

X-rays have been used for evaluation of potential fractures, and penetrating eye trauma particularly if metallic [390].

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

Benefits: Detection of orbital fractures
Harms: Mild radiation exposure

Indications: Trauma sufficient to produce orbital fracture(s).

Rationale: There are no quality studies of X-rays for the detection of orbital

fracture, although they have been widely used. X-rays are not invasive, have no significant adverse effects and are low to moderate cost and are thus recommended for evaluation of potential orbital

fracture.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: Eye, Efficacy, Efficiency, Diagnostic, Sensitivity and Specificity, Predictive Value of Tests, Positive predictive value,

Negative predictive value, Radiography, X-ray, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies,

prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 225 articles in PubMed, 271 in Scopus, 3 in CINAHL, 1 in Cochrane Library and zero in other sources. We considered for inclusion 7 from PubMed, 1 from Scopus, zero from CINAHL, zero from Cochrane Library and zero from other sources. Of the 8 articles considered for inclusion, 0 trials and

zero systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

N/A

X-ray for Evaluation of Ocular Foreign Bodies

Recommended.

Comments:

X-rays have been used for evaluating the presence of ocular metallic bodies.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High

Benefits: Detection of intraocular foreign bodies

Harms: Mild radiation exposure

Indications: High impact tool use likely to produce penetrating projectile(s) and

thus risk of intraocular foreign bodies.

Rationale: There are 2 moderate quality studies that included using x-rays for

detection of intraocular foreign bodies. Clear superiority of one

imaging method over another (e.g., CT, xray) has not been shown, and there is some evidence (i) CT is superior to xray for evaluation of trauma [393]; and (ii) MRI is superior to xray or CT to determine foreign body composition if non-ferrous [390]. X-rays are not invasive, have no significant adverse effects and are low to moderate cost and are thus recommended for evaluation of intraocular foreign bodies (especially metallic).

Evidence:

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: Eye, Efficacy, Efficiency, Diagnostic, Sensitivity and Specificity, Predictive Value of Tests, Positive predictive value, Negative predictive value, Radiography, X-ray, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 225 articles in PubMed, 271 in Scopus, 3 in CINAHL, 1 in Cochrane Library and zero in other sources. We considered for inclusion 7 from PubMed, 1 from Scopus, zero from CINAHL, zero from Cochrane Library and zero from other sources. Of the 8 articles considered for inclusion, 0 trials and zero systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

X-Ray for Evaluation for Simple Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Non-Penetrating Foreign Bodies Not Recommended.

X-rays are not recommended for routine evaluation of ocular abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

Benefits: None for routine use
Harms: Radiation exposure, cost

Indications: Not indicated for simple abrasions, rust rings or foreign bodies.

Rationale: There are no quality studies comparing use of xrays with evaluations

without xray to ascertain differences in patient outcomes for simple abrasions, rust rings and/or foreign bodies. Xrays have no clear use for routine evaluation of foreign bodies that do not penetrate and thus

are not recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: Eye, Efficacy, Efficiency, Diagnostic, Sensitivity and Specificity, Predictive Value of Tests, Positive predictive value, Negative predictive value, Radiography, X-ray, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization,

randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies,

prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 225 articles in PubMed, 271 in Scopus, 3 in CINAHL, 1 in Cochrane Library and zero in other sources. We considered for inclusion 7 from PubMed, 1 from Scopus, zero from CINAHL, zero from Cochrane Library and zero from other sources. Of the 8 articles considered for inclusion, 3 trials and zero systematic studies met the inclusion criteria. N/A

Comments:

Evidence for X-Ray

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Modjtahedi 2015 (score = 5.0)		Experimental	Supported by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness. B. S. Modjtahedi receives research support from the Heed Ophthalmic Foundation.	19 lamb cadaver eyes, Intraocular foreign bodies, 8-10 MHz probe, model: I3-ABD (Innovative Imaging, version 2)		CT, MRI, more than one rater.		Ultrasound and plain film x-ray had difficulty differentiating various IOFBs. Computed tomography could distinguish wood, CF6 spectacle plastic, polyvinyl chloride, slate, bottle glass, windshield glass, aluminum, steel, brass, copper, silver and lead.	"[M]RI is superior to CT in detecting nonmetallic IOFBs, and can also be used in conjunction with CT for the identification of their composition. We recommend MRI be considered in the evaluation of patients with a suspected IOFB and a negative CT, as well as in cases where the mechanism of injury suggest a nonmetallic IOFB."	Study suggests computed tomography is best for imaging intraocular foreign bodies showing superiority over plain x-rays. MRI, and ultrasound reserved as adjunctive tests.
Pasman 1995 [37] (score = 4.5)		Case Series	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	1218 patients, Possible head trauma, Plain skull radiography.		CT used.		Skull radiology had no significance in the low-risk group (No hematomas found). X-rays could not determine intracranial hematomas in the high-risk group, thus CT imaging was utilized.	CT imaging is superior to X-ray films in acute head trauma.	Study suggests plain skull x-rays are inferior to CT imaging in detecting intracranial hemorrhage post- head trauma.

Marshall 1978 [38] (score =	No mention of sponsorship or	19, Eye, Known or suspected	Blinding of	More sharply outlines	Xeroradiograms provide a reliable	Small sample size in apparent pilot
	· ·		rater, surgery		•	
2.5)	COI.	facial fractures,	performed.	discontinuities at	alternative to	series. Study
		Plain		bony, soft tissue	plain radiograms.	suggests
		radiography,		interphases than	They can be useful	advantage is
		Xeroradiography,		plain films. Roughly	alone and paired	"edge
		and		twice as much	with other types	enhancement."
		Laminagraphy		radiation require	of X-rays.	
				per film compared		
				to plain films.		
				•		

Computed Tomography (CT)

Computerized tomograms use x-rays but provide more detailed images with greater resolution [394]. It is considered superior to MRI for imaging fractures [395]. Its purported uses are similar to, but more extensive than xrays including detecting intraorbital foreign bodies (IOFBs), orbital fractures, orbital sepsis and traumatic optic neuropathy [39][396, 397].

CT for Evaluation of Ocular Foreign Bodies

Recommended.

CT imaging is selectively indicated for evaluation of penetrating and/or evaluation of potentially retained intraocular foreign bodies.

Strength of Evidence - Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) *Level of Confidence* – Moderate

Improved diagnostic accuracy and potentially altered treatment plans Benefits:

Harms: Higher radiation exposure than x-rays, cost

Indications: Selective use only in cases of 1) penetrating globe injuries, 2)

penetrating corneal abrasions, with 3) concerns for potentially

retained intraorbital foreign bodies (IOFBs).

Rationale: There are no quality studies comparing use of CT scans with

> evaluations without CT scans to ascertain differences in patient outcomes. One small comparative study reported superiority of helical CT scans to conventional scans in the pre-operative setting (Lakits 1998). CT scans have been suggested to be helpful for evaluating intraorbital foreign bodies (IOFBs) [394, 396, 397] and thus are

recommended for selective use.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

> Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: computed tomography, orbit injury, eye injury, eye foreign bodies, penetrating eye injuries, eye fractures, trauma, corneal abrasion, rust ring, hyphemia, conjunctivitis, bacterial infection, fungal infection, pterygium, surfer's eye, transplants, cataracts; diagnostic, diagnosis, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, predictive value of tests, efficacy, efficiency, review. We found and reviewed 847 articles in PubMed, 13 in Scopus, 49 in CINAHL, 4 in Cochrane Library and 0 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 10 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 0 from

Cochrane Library and 0 from other sources. Of the 10 articles

considered for inclusion, 2 diagnostic studies and 1 systematic studies

met the inclusion criteria. Of these, 2 were of moderate quality.

Comments: N/A

CT for Evaluation of Possible Orbital Fracture

Recommended.

CT imaging is selectively indicated for evaluation of penetrating globe injuries and/or abrasions accompanied by concerns for orbital fractures unaddressed by radiographs.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Moderate

Benefits: Improved diagnostic accuracy and potentially altered treatment plans

Harms: Higher radiation exposure than x-rays, cost

Indications: Selective use only in cases of suspected fractures not seen on simple

X-ray, suspected orbital sepsis or traumatic optic neuropathy or penetrating globe injuries. May be indicated for likely fractures with complications (e.g., impaired visual function). Simple orbital fractures without complications do not require CT (e.g., no impaired extraocular

movements, normal visual function). (Pasman 95; Lakits 98)

Rationale: There are no quality studies comparing use of CT scans with

evaluations without CT scans to ascertain differences in patient outcomes. There is one large trial with a risk tool suggesting efficacy with CT for blunt trauma (Bodanapally 2014). CT scans have been suggested to be helpful for evaluating orbital fractures, orbital sepsis

and traumatic optic neuropathy [394, 396, 397] and thus are

recommended for selective use.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: computed tomography, orbit injury, eye injury, eye foreign bodies, penetrating eye injuries, eye fractures, trauma, corneal abrasion, rust ring, hyphemia, conjunctivitis, bacterial infection, fungal infection, pterygium, surfer's eye, transplants, cataracts; diagnostic, diagnosis, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, predictive value of tests, efficacy, efficiency, review. We found and reviewed 847 articles in PubMed, 13 in Scopus, 49 in CINAHL, 4 in Cochrane Library and 0 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 10 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 0 from

Cochrane Library and 0 from other sources. Of the 10 articles

considered for inclusion, 2 diagnostic studies and 1 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria. Of these, 2 were of moderate quality.

Comments: N/A

Evidence for CT scan

Author Year (Score):	Category :	Study type:	Con flict of Inte rest	Num ber	Area	Diagn oses:	Type of CT	X-ray used	MRI use d	Mor e tha n one rate r	Blin ding of rate r	Mye logr aph y	Sur gery Perf orm ed	Clinic al Outc omes	Long- term Follow -up (mean when noted)	Results	Conclusion	Comments
Lakits 1998 (score = 5.0)	[Previou s table header, if any]	Diag nosti c	No me ntio n of spo nsor ship or COI.	18 Parti cipan ts	Еуе	Penet rating eye injurie s and possib le metall ic intrao cular foreig n bodie s	Helical CT (Tomo scan SR 7000 with a tube curren t of 250 mA) versus Conve ntiona I CT (Tomo scan SR 7000 with a tube curren t of 200 mA)	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Both helical and conventional CT detected metallic intraocular foreign bodies for the coronal, axial and reconstructed planes. Similar quality images yielded for both scans on axial and coronal parameters. Examination times and radiation exposure less in helical CT compared to conventional CT.	"[H]elical CT multiplanar imaging is superior to conventional CT in the preoperative assessment of metallic intraocular foreign bodies in clinical practice. The main advantages of helical CT are shortened examination time, reduced radiation exposure, good multiplanar reconstruction capability, and reduced motion artifacts. The multiplanar reconstruction possible with helical CT affords useful sagittal and coronal images without the need for	Very small sample size so generalizabil ity not possible. Further studies needed to validate these preliminary results. Initially helical CT imaging looks promising for reduced radiation exposure and there is shortened exam time (18 sec vs. 52 sec)

1	i	ı	ĺ	İ	ı	ı	İ	ı	ĺ	i	İ	İ	İ	I	Ī	1		I
																	additional	
																	scanning,	
																	particularly in	
																	patients who	
																	cannot be	
																	positioned for	
																	conventional CT	
																	coronal views	
																	because of neck	
																	injuries or other	
																	reasons."	
Bodanapal	y [Previou	Diag	No	1273	Eye	Traum	40 or	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Significant CT	"Radiologists	Study
2014 (scor	s table	nosti	me	orbit		atic	64									predictor variables	might suggest the	suggests
= 4.5)	header,	С	ntio	s;		optic	sectio									analyzed for traumatic	possibility of TON	that this risk
	if any]		n of	637		neuro	n CT;									optic neuropathy	on the basis of CT	model
			spo	parti		pathy	Brillia									included intraconal	findings of	"may" help
			nsor	cipan		from	nce									emphysema,	craniofacial and	predict
			ship	ts		blunt	40-									intraconal hematoma,	intraorbital	patients
			. No			cranio	chann									optic canal fracture,	injuries after	with
			COI.			facial	el or									hematoma along	facial trauma.	traumatic
						traum	Brillia									posterior globe and	Such patients	optic
						a	nce									extraconal hematoma:	should be	neuropathy
							64-									Intraconal	directed toward	after blunt
							chann									emphysema- OR 5.21,	early	facial
							el									95% CI 2.03-13.36,	ophthalmologic	trauma but
							syste									(p=0.001), intraconal	consultation to	MRI is a
							m									hematoma- OR 12.73,	prevent delays in	better
																95% CI 5.16-31.42,	the diagnosis of	diagnostic
																(p<0.001), optic canal	TON as other life-	tool for
																fracture- OR 4.45, 95%	saving	evaluating
																CI 1.91-10.35,	treatments are	optic
																(p=0.001), hematoma	performed in	neuropathy.
																along posterior globe-	patients with	
																OR 0.326, 95% CI	severe trauma."	
																0.111-0.958,		
																(p=0.041), extraconal		
																hematoma (OR 2.36,		
																95% CI- 1.03-5.41,		
									1						1	(p=0.052).		

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Magnetic Resonance Imagery (MRI) has been used especially for soft tissue imaging [398-402] that includes intraocular, non-ferrous foreign bodies [403, 404].

MRI for Diagnosis of Foreign Body and Corneal Abrasion Not Recommended.

MRI is not recommended for routine evaluation of eye foreign body or corneal abrasion, particularly if there is concern of ferrous-metallic object penetration of the globe. MRI may be a reasonable option to evaluate intraocular foreign bodies when there is assurance that an intraocular foreign body is non-ferrous [390, 403] and/or there are concerns for fracture with visual impairment

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

Benefits: Identification of foreign body(ies)

Harms: Contraindicated with ferrous-metal foreign body due to potential

further trauma, costs

Indications: Not recommended for most ocular events. Rarely recommended for

soft tissue injuries. However, MRI is useful for evaluation of other conditions including orbital fractures, and trauma with visual

impairment.

Rationale: There are no quality studies comparing use of MRIs with evaluations

without MRIs to ascertain differences in patients outcomes. MRI may be a reasonable option to evaluate intraocular foreign bodies if they are known to be non-ferrous [403]. MRIs have been shown to be helpful for evaluating soft tissues, including retinal imaging, evaluating staphyloma [405]. Workers are usually unable to identify whether a potential metal foreign body is ferrous or not, providing further concerns about the use of MRI in that setting. When there is concern regarding detection of orbital fractures, CT is generally preferable.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), eye, orbit, eye foreign bodies, eye injuries, penetrating, sensitivity and specificity, predictive value of tests, gold-standard, accurate, accuracy, precision, precise and test. We found and reviewed 275 articles in PubMed, 5 in Scopus, 5 in CINAHL, 9 in Cochrane Library and zero in other sources. We considered for inclusion 9 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 1 from Cochrane Library and 0 from other sources. Of the 10 articles considered for inclusion, 3 articles met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

Evidence for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Author Year (Score):	Cate gory	St u d y ty p e	Conflict of Interest	Numb er	Are a	Diagnos es:	CT used	MRI used	T1 weig hted imag es	T2 weight ed image s	X- ray	Myel ogra phy	More than one rater	Sur gery Perf orm ed	Clinica I Outco mes	Long- term Follo w-up (mea n when note d)	Results	Conclusion	Comments
Mosissei ev 2015[48] (score = 5.5)		Di a g n o st ic	No sponsors hip or COI.	36 porcu pine eyes; 30 with IOFBs; 6 contro I eyes	Eye	Intraoc ular foreign bodies (IOFBs)	1.5 T Inter venti onal MRI (Opti ma 450w)	Helical CT Techn ology (Brillia nce 64)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	MRI proved to be more effective than CT in identifying various materials in the eye. Although CT detected a general appearance of IOFBs, MRI allowed for a more detailed analysis of the type of material embedded.	"[M]RI is superior to CT in detecting nonmetallic IOFBs. Moreover, the integration of information available from T1-, T2-, and GE-MRI and CT images may be used to identify the composition of such IOFBs."	Small sample suggests MRI superior to CT in the detection of nonmetallic IOFB's.
Nasr 1999[49] (score = 2.0)		Di a g n o st ic	Supporte d in part by unrestric ted grants from St. Giles	19 partici pants	Eye	Penetra ting orbital injury with retainer organic foreign bodies	Not state d	Not stated	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Preoperativ e CT identified foreign bodies in 42% of the participant s, while MRI	"[T]he management of organic orbital foreign bodies, a detailed history coupled with careful examination as	Small sample study suggests that, when possible, identification of the foreign material is beneficial in preventing

1 1	ı	I am Na I	i		ı	j		I	ı	ı	ı	I	i	: -1 :1		
		on, New												identified	well as the	long term
		York,												foreign	identification	complications
		New												bodies in	of the foreign	associated
		York												57% of the	material	with organic
		(ZAK,												participant	before surgery	foreign bodies.
		BGH),												S.	is very helpful,	
		and													but may not be	
		Research													possible in	
		to													approximately	
		Prevent													50% of the	
		Blindnes													cases with the	
		s, Inc.,													use of CT and	
		New													MRI. Even at	
		York,													surgery, one	
		New													may have	
		York													difficulty in	
		(BGH,													locating the	
		JCF). No													foreign body	
		mention													under direct	
		of COI.													visualization.	
															Fragmentation	
															of the foreign	
															body at the	
															time of	
															removal and	
															soft tissue	
															damage	
															caused by	
															exploration	
															may also	
															present	
															problem."	

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Foreign Body Removal

Depending on size and degree of embedding, foreign bodies are commonly removed through irrigation, cotton swab, hypodermic needle tip, burr tool, and natural tears [406-408]. Magnets are also successfully used for ferrous foreign body `removals [409, 410]. Rust rings also occur and are generally easily removed [411, 412].

Copious Irrigation for Removal of Superficial Foreign Body(ies) Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Copious irrigation (e.g., approximately 200mL to 1L) is recommended for removal of superficial foreign body(ies) in some circumstances. The use of a Morgan Lens is not recommended for simple foreign bodies and may cause (additional) abrasions unless there is concern related to chemical or other substance that may result in rapid corneal injury through pH imbalance or other mechanism (See Chemical Conjunctivitis Guideline below). Copious irrigation after removal of a foreign body (see below) is often included as an adjunct to attempt to assure removal of foreign body(ies).

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – High

oxtimes Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic						
⊠ Preoperati	ve 🗆 Per	ioperative	☐ Postoperative					
Indications:		in unembedde	sensation, especially with mechanism suspected to resulted foreign body(ies), such as fiberglas, windblown debris. by used after foreign body removal, particularly if the fragments.					
Frequency/Dos	e/Duration:	or lactated Ri	from approximately 200mL to 1L of either sterile saline nger's solution is recommended [413]. Experimental gests solution choice is unimportant [413].					
Benefits:		Removal of foreign body or irritants.						
Harms:		Negligible who irritation	en irrigated without an appliance. May have minor					
Indications for	Discontinuation:	After complet	ion. May repeat until symptoms resolved.					
Rationale:		foreign bodies	quality studies comparing irrigation with no irrigation for s of the eye. Irrigation is low cost, minimally invasive, th negligible risks, is successful and is recommended.					
Evidence:			sive literature search was conducted using multiple s including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane					
		· ·	ut date limits using the following terms: nonpenetrating, ular, corneal, penetrating, foreign body, eye foreign					
		bodies, "rust i	ring, eye, eyes, removal, extraction, leaving in the eye,					
		mydriatics, cy	cloplegic, meidiatric effect, extraction size, extraction					

location, woods lamp, slit lamp, fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin sealant, autologous fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin klebe system immune, transglutine, crosseal, tisseel, tissel, tussucol, beriplast, seal fibrin, eye irrigation, irrigation, morgan lens, morgan lenses, patching, patch, treatment, eye magnet, eye burr, diamond burr, alger brush, ophthalmic burr, aaron burr, burr, contusion, Acuvail, acular LS, acular PF, acuvil, bromday, bromfenac ophthalmic, diclofenac ophthalmic, flurbiprophen ophthalmic, Ilevro, ketorolac ophthalmic, phenylephrine ophthalmic, nepafenac ophthalmic, nevanac, ocufen, omidria, prolensa, voltaren ophthalmic, ketoroloac tromethamine, topical NSAID, "Anti-Inflammatory Agents, Non-Steroidal", Gentamicin, tobramycin, besifloxacin, ciproflaxin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, ofloxacin, azithromycin, erythromycin, bacitracin, polymyxin, natamycin, neomycin, gramicidin, trimethoprim, sulfacetamide, Neosporin, polytrim, natacyn, romycin, Azasite, ocuflox, vigamox, Iguix, guixin, Zymar, Ciloxan, besivance, tobrex, Anti-Bacterial Agents, Anti-Bacterial, Agents, antibiotic ointment, antibacterial ointment, anesthetics, lidocaine, tetracaine, proparacaine, fluress, topical anesthetic, prednisolone, fluorometholone, steroids, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 85 articles, and considered 13 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 10,342 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 137 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 173 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 4 articles from other sources. Of the 18 articles considered for inclusion, 2 randomized trials and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Evidence for Foreign Body Removal

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/	Population:	Comparison:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Jones 1998[54] (score = 5.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	Sponsorship, supported in part by a Geisinger Clinic Research Endowment Fund Grant.	No mention of COI.	N = 63 with no preexisting ophthalmologic abnormalities and at least 18 years old. Ages: 30.9±9.22 years.	Morgan therapeutic lens (MTL) and balanced salt solution (BSS) (N = 15) vs. No lens and BSS (N = 15) vs. MTL with lactated ringer solution (LR) (N = 16) vs. No lens and LR (N = 15). All patients with one eye as control irrigated with NS. Eye irrigation for 15 mins. Follow-ups at 5 min. intervals during irrigation and once 15 min. post irrigation.	A lens-solution interaction was found (p=0.023), indicating that the experimental groups experienced different levels of discomfort. No difference in Global Evaluations by patients or MDs in either treatment or control eyes in any of the treatment groups (p>0.05). Significantly higher ocular pH difference between preand post-irrigation for control eyes in those irrigated with MTL (p = 0.046).	"There does not appear to be any clinically important difference in discomfort scores between the tested ocular irrigation fluids when used without the MTL."	Experimental study in healthy adults. Data suggest comparability across all 4 groups.

O'Malley 2008[55] (score = 5.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	Experimental	No mention of COI or Sponsorship.	N = 10 healthy participants, > 18 years. Mean age not provided.	All eyes with tetracaine instilled. Then, Control Arm Irrigation with 1 NS at 35mL/min (N=NA) vs. Experimental Arm Irrigation with 1 L of NS with 10mL of 1% lidocaine HCL at 35 mL/min Subjects served as their own controls. (N=NA). Follow-ups at 5, 10,15,20,25 min during irrigation.	One-way analysis of variance p value for combined time sets significant (p<0.0001). Difference in mean Likert scores significant at 15 mins [1.22 (95% CI 0.16 - 2.28)], 20 mins [1.44 (95% CI 0.38 - 2.5)], and 25 mins [1.55 (95% CI 0.62 - 2.28)].	"Healthy volunteers were better able to tolerate eye irrigation with a 0.01% lidocaine- saline, solution compared with plain saline, with no reported adverse effects.	Experimental study in healthy adults. Small sample size. Data suggest lidocaine makes Morgan lens more comfortable.	
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------	-----------------------------------	---	--	---	--	---	--

Foreign Body Removal of Superficial Foreign Body(les) with Cotton Swab, Needle or Magnet Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Foreign body removal is recommended. The device used (e.g., needle, tool, magnet, swab) is recommended to be based on expected foreign body composition, depth of embedding and clinician's experience. Copious irrigation after removal of a foreign body (see above) may also be included as an adjunct to attempt to assure removal of foreign body(ies) especially if fragmentation occurs on attempted removal. Use of slit-lamp examination is usually helpful, but is optional for simple removals, especially when the foreign body is visible without magnification and removal is easy (e.g., use of magnet). Slit-lamp is essential if prior removal attempts fail. [406]

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High									
	☐ Chronic								
□ Preoperative □ Perion	ioperative	□ Postoperative							
Indications: Benefits:	Foreign body visu Removal of forei	ualized, and non-mobile. gn body							
Harms:	Negligible in experienced hands. Rare infections, although that risk may not be associated with the foreign body removal, and instead is more associated with embedded organic matter.								
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	N/A								
Indications for Discontinuation:	With resolution of								
Rationale:	trials. Use of a m less corneal dam data do not clear [406], although f removal is mode	noval has not been evaluated in quality comparative agnetized tool tip is quite simple and may result in age, but its use is limited to ferrous bodies. Quality ly define that a slit-lamp examination is required or some removals it is essential. Foreign body rate cost, minimally invasive, associated with shighly successful and is recommended.							
Evidence:	search engines in Library without of superficial, ocula bodies, "rust ring mydriatics, cyclo location, woods la autologous fibrin transglutine, cross irrigation, irrigation treatment, eye m	e literature search was conducted using multiple icluding PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane late limits using the following terms: nonpenetrating, r, corneal, penetrating, foreign body, eye foreign g, eye, eyes, removal, extraction, leaving in the eye, plegic, meidiatric effect, extraction size, extraction amp, slit lamp, fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin sealant, itissue adhesive, fibrin klebe system immune, seal, tisseel, tissel, tussucol, beriplast, seal fibrin, eye on, morgan lens, morgan lenses, patching, patch, nagnet, eye burr, diamond burr, alger brush,							
	ophthalmic burr,	aaron burr, burr, contusion, Acuvail, acular LS, acular							

PF, acuvil, bromday, bromfenac ophthalmic, diclofenac ophthalmic, flurbiprophen ophthalmic, llevro, ketorolac ophthalmic, phenylephrine

ophthalmic, nepafenac ophthalmic, nevanac, ocufen, omidria,

prolensa, voltaren ophthalmic, ketoroloac tromethamine, topical NSAID, "Anti-Inflammatory Agents, Non-Steroidal", Gentamicin, tobramycin, besifloxacin, ciproflaxin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, ofloxacin, azithromycin, erythromycin, bacitracin, polymyxin, natamycin, neomycin, gramicidin, trimethoprim, sulfacetamide, Neosporin, polytrim, natacyn, romycin, Azasite, ocuflox, vigamox, Iquix, quixin, Zymar, Ciloxan, besivance, tobrex, Anti-Bacterial Agents, Anti-Bacterial, Agents, antibiotic ointment, antibacterial ointment, anesthetics, lidocaine, tetracaine, proparacaine, fluress, topical anesthetic, prednisolone, fluorometholone, steroids, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 85 articles, and considered 13 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 10,342 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 137 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 173 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 4 articles from other sources. Of the 18 articles considered for inclusion, 12 randomized trials and 1 systematic study met the inclusion criteria. [Can include harms, benefits, advantages, limitations, etc.]

Comments:

Removal of Rust Ring Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Removal of a corneal rust ring is recommended. Rust rings can develop in as little as three to four hours after ferrous metal adheres to, or penetrates the cornea [56-58]. Due to its insolubility in the corneal tissues, oxidation occurs and rust infiltrates the surrounding corneal tissue [56-58]. However, it is usually readily removed [57, 58].

Strength of Evid Level of Confide	nended, Insuff	icient Evidence (I)
☑ Acute☑ Preoperative	 ☐ Chronic operative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:	visualized, it m an initial tool t rust ring remo preferable pro	ist ring with or without foreign body. If foreign body nust be removed and by definition, use of a magnet for to attempt to remove the foreign body is preferred. For val, use of a burr under slit lamp examination is the ocedure. [412] Use of a hypodermic needle may be uccessfully remove some tiny rust rings.

Benefits: Removal of rust ring. Improvement in visual acuity if rust ring is in the

visual axis. Removal is thought to also reduce scarring.

Harms: Negligible in experienced hands.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: N/A
Indications for Discontinuation: N/A

Rationale: There is no trial comparing rust ring removal with non-removal. Rust

ring removal has been evaluated in one moderate quality trial that compared manual rust ring removal with use of an electric drill and found the drill superior [412]. A low quality trial found comparative results with an electric drill compared with a burr [412]. Delayed and/or inadequate rust ring removal has been associated with worse ocular rehabilitation. [414] Rust ring removal is minimally invasive, associated with negligible risks, generally quite successful, moderately

costly, and thus is recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: Rust ring removal, cornea, corneal, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized

controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized,

randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 12 articles in PubMed, 5 in Scopus, 0 in CINAHL, 2 in Cochrane Library and 0 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 4 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 0 from CINAHL, 0 from Cochrane Library and 0 from other sources. Of the 4 articles considered for inclusion, 2 clinical trials

and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

Evidence for Foreign Body Removal/Removal of Rust Ring

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/	Population:	Comparison:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Brown 1975	Foreign	Clinical	No mention of	N = 121 with	Ages not	Slim electric drill	Manual	"The dental burr	Unclear if blinded.
[57] (score =	Body	trial	sponsorship or	significant	reported.	treatment group	breakup of rust	rotated by an	Study trends re.
6.0)	Removal		COI.	corneal rust		removing	rings in the	electric drill is the	rust removal via
				rings and		foreign body	firm stromal	quickest, safest and	drill trended
				possible ferrous		with dental burr	tissue proved	most precise form	superior to manual
				foreign bodies.		and drill (N = 64)	to be more	of treatment for	removal, though
						vs. Manual	difficult with	corneal rust rings. It	not statistically
						treatment group	manual	enables complete	significant.
						removing	treatment	removal of the	
						foreign body	compared with	corneal rust at a	
						with 40 mm x	electric,	single treatment	
						0.8 mm	causing	and leaves a	
						disposable	irregularities in	smooth crater that	
						syringe and	the resulting	is no larger than the	
						dental burr	crater and a	original rust ring.	
						(Eyes treated	need for more	Pain relief is more	
						with hyoscine	treatment.	rapid after electric	
						and oc.	Zero	drill removal; this is	
						chloramphenicol	participants	probably related to	
						drops) (N = 57)	receiving	the complete	
						Follow-up daily	electric	removal of the rust.	
						until eyes had	treatment	Epithelial and	
						healed.	required a	stromal healing are	
							second	marginally faster	
							treatment,	than after manual	
							while five	removal and the	
							participants	patients' duration	
							receiving	of attendance is	
							manual	less. The ideal drill is	
							treatment	a slim straight	
							required	instrument, which	
							secondary	rotates dental burrs	
							treatment.	and is operated by a	
							Electric drill	light finger	
							treatment	pressure. A brake	
							provided clean	which stops drill	
							cut craters and	rotation on lifting	
							enabled		

			removal of all rust without	the finger is a useful safety feature."	
			further treatment.		
			Persisting mean pain		
			days significantly		
			lower in electric drill		
			group compared with		
			manual treatment;		
			0.02 days vs. .64 days, (p		
			value not reported).		
			, ,		

Haynes 1996[60] (score = 5.0)	Foreign Body Removal	RCT	No mention of COI. Supported by Ciba Vision who provided the diclofenac and placebo preparations and administrative costs.	N = 26 with corneal rust ring for less than 96 hours. Mean age: 33.5 years.	4 hourly G diclofenac 0.1% and Oc. Chloramphenicol (N = 15) vs. 4 hourly G placebo and Oc. Chloramphenicol follow-up after 48 hours. 4 hours of patching was offered to all patients (N = 11).	At day 2, mean pain scores in the diclofenac group vs. placebo for VAS favored diclofenac (p = 0.0075) and Likert scale (p = 0.042). No other differences between groups.	"[D]iclofenac significantly reduces the pain experienced after the removal of a rust ring, without producing a delay in healing."	High dropouts. Data suggest efficacy.	
-------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----	--	---	--	---	---	---------------------------------------	--

Eye Patching

Eye patching has been used as a treatment for corneal abrasion injuries related to foreign body or traumatic injury of the corneal epithelium [362, 415-419]. Patching for 24 hours has been traditionally prescribed to purportedly reduce pain and a theory of promoting healing through reducing eyelid movement across the wound [417].

Eye Patching for Corneal Abrasion

Moderately Not Recommended.

Devices

Eye patching for simple corneal abrasions is moderately not recommended, including after removal of foreign bodies or rust rings.

Strength of Evidence - Moderately Not Recommended, Evidence (B) Level of Confidence - Moderate ☐ Acute ☐ Subacute ☐ Chronic ☐ Preoperative ☐ Perioperative ☐ Postoperative Indications: None Benefits: None demonstrated Harms: Inability to use the eye, elimination of binocular vision, reduced depth perception. Frequency/Dose/Duration: Indications for Discontinuation: Rationale: There are five moderate quality trials that compared the use of an eye patch with no patch for simple corneal abrasions. [362, 416-419] There are no quality trials comparing patch to non-patching without cointerventions, as each of the trials utilized other treatments in addition to patching, including mydriatics, ophthalmic antibiotic drops or ointments, which may also have had some therapeutic effect. However, the trial results uniformly found no clinically significant differences demonstrated between the groups in healing times, pain control or adverse outcomes. The use of an eye patch did not demonstrate altered increased risk of infection in any of the trials. Use of an eye patch may be problematic for activities requiring binocular vision and good depth perception. Evidence is consistent that an eye patch does not provide faster healing or fewer complications, and therefore patching is not recommended for simple abrasions. There are 8 low quality trials comparing the use of an eye patch with no patch concomitant in the appendix, with mostly comparable results. [417, 418, 420-425] Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: nonpenetrating, superficial, ocular, corneal, penetrating, foreign body, eye foreign bodies, "rust ring, eye, eyes, removal, extraction, leaving in the eye, mydriatics, cycloplegic, meidiatric effect, extraction size, extraction location, woods lamp, slit lamp, fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin sealant,

autologous fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin klebe system immune, transglutine, crosseal, tisseel, tissel, tussucol, beriplast, seal fibrin, eye irrigation, irrigation, morgan lens, morgan lenses, patching, patch, treatment, eye magnet, eye burr, diamond burr, alger brush, ophthalmic burr, aaron burr, burr, contusion, Acuvail, acular LS, acular PF, acuvil, bromday, bromfenac ophthalmic, diclofenac ophthalmic, flurbiprophen ophthalmic, Ilevro, ketorolac ophthalmic, phenylephrine ophthalmic, nepafenac ophthalmic, nevanac, ocufen, omidria, prolensa, voltaren ophthalmic, ketoroloac tromethamine, topical NSAID, "Anti-Inflammatory Agents, Non-Steroidal", Gentamicin, tobramycin, besifloxacin, ciproflaxin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, ofloxacin, azithromycin, erythromycin, bacitracin, polymyxin, natamycin, neomycin, gramicidin, trimethoprim, sulfacetamide, Neosporin, polytrim, natacyn, romycin, Azasite, ocuflox, vigamox, Iquix, quixin, Zymar, Ciloxan, besivance, tobrex, Anti-Bacterial Agents, Anti-Bacterial, Agents, antibiotic ointment, antibacterial ointment, anesthetics, lidocaine, tetracaine, proparacaine, fluress, topical anesthetic, prednisolone, fluorometholone, steroids, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random**, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 85 articles, and considered 13 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 10,342 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 137 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 173 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 4 articles from other sources. Of the 18 articles considered for inclusion, 5 randomized trials and 5 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Evidence for Eye Patching

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Arbour 1997 (score = 5.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	Sponsored by Quebec Eye Bank Foundation Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 48 eyes 46 participants with epithelial erosion > 1 mm secondary to trauma or recurrent erosion syndrome sparing Bowman membrane.	Mean±SD age 41.6±11.5 years patch group, 39.8±17.1 years no patch group.	Patch (n=25) vs. No Patch (n=22). Each group received single drop of 2% homatropine hydrobromide, plus 10% sulfacet- amide sodium ointment.	Follow up was 6 months after the last visit.	No significant differences between groups on mean and maximal VAS scores, p = 0.80. No difference in linear and surface speeds of reepithelialization between groups (p=0.78 linear speed; p=0.60 surface speed).	"[W]e found that patching corneal erosions did not significantly accelerate reepithelialization and did not alter the epithelial wound healing pattern."	Details sparse. Data suggest no efficacy of patching in this population.
Le Sage 2001 (score = 5.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	Quasi- RCT	Sponsorship, supported by the Quebec Association of Emergency Medicine (AMUQ), the Foundation of the CHA (Enfant-Jesus Hospital), the CHA Research Center, the Quebec Federation of General Practitioners (FMOQ), and the Department	N = 163 with traumatic corneal abrasions with or without foreign bodies.	Mean (IQR) age: Patched 32 (28-38) years. Nonpatched 36 (31-46) years.	Patch plus erythromycin ointment QID) (n=82) vs. No patch (n=81) (erythromycin ointment QID).	Each group treated with topical erythromycin ointment to be applied 4 times a day.	Patch vs. no patch Healed (cumulative incidence): Day 1-0.51 vs. 0.6; Day 2-0.78 vs. 0.83, Day 3-0.92 vs. 0.88. All non-significant results were similar in both groups. Corneal healing probability after day 1, 2, and 3: (0.51, 0.78 and 0.92 vs. 0.60, 0.83 and 0.88 in group 2).	"[T]he use of eye patchingshould be abandoned for its lack of efficacy. Our study confirms that the use of eye patching, although still widely used in primary care and in emergency medicine, should be abandoned for its lack of efficacy.	Quasi- randomization, allocation by every other patient. Data suggest no difference in treatment.

				of Family Medicine, Laval University. COI, NL and RV obtained research funding.							
	Kaiser 1995 (score = 5.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 223 with traumatic corneal abrasion or removal of superficial corneal foreign body < 36 hours.	Mean±SD age 36.17±11.93 years.	Mydriatics and topical antibiotics ((2.5% phenylephrine/1% tropicamide); No patch. (N = 58) vs.	Pressure patch (control) along with mydriatics drops and topical antibiotics (2.5% phenylephrine/1% tropicamide) (N = 62).	No-patch vs. Patch: Traumatic Corneal Abrasions: 24hr pain change: 3.02+0.66 vs. 2.51+0.08 (p<0.01) 48hrs change: p<0.05 Days to heal: 2.33+0.66 vs. 2.60+0.77 (p<0.05) Blurred vision: 17% vs. 40% (p<0.01) Foreign Body Corneal Abrasions: 24hr pain change: 3.27+0.89 vs. 2.75+0.06 (p<0.01) 48hrs change: (p<0.05) Days to heal: 2.36+0.58 vs. 2.67+0.81 (p=0.049)	"Noninfected, noncontact lens-related traumatic corneal abrasions as well as abrasions secondary to foreign body removal can be treated with antibiotic ointment and mydriatics alone without the need for a pressure patch."	Data suggest less blurry at day 1 if not patched. Less pain at day 1 if patched.
T	Campanile	[Previous	RCT	No mention	N = 74 with a	Mean age	Patched Group or		After a 24 hour	"Our study	Data suggest
	1997	table		of	corneal defect	was 31	PG received a one-		follow up there	demonstrated a	use of patch
	(score =	header, if		sponsorship	limited to the	years (range	time instillation of		was a significant	significant	delays healing,
	4.5)	any]		or COI.	epithelium	5-74).	erythromycin		difference in	improvement in	although long
	,	,,			without	•	ophthalmic		the percent of	the healing rates	term
					evidence of		ointment followed		abrasions	of traumatic	significance is

				ocular inflection or additional trauma		by the application of a semi-pressure patch for 24 hours (N = 31). Vs. Non-Patch Group or NPG received ophthalmic ointment applied in the affected eye every 6 hours for 24 hours (N = 33). All patients were re-evaluated at 24 hours.		healed favoring the Non- Patched Group (NPG: 97.091% vs. PG: 94.130%, p = 0.0283).	corneal epithelial defects in patients treated with an ophthalmic antibiotic ointment and mydriatic alone as compared to patients who received the same ophthalmic antibiotic ointment and mydriatic with the addition of a semi-pressure eye patch."	uncertain. Lack of study details for randomization, baseline comparability, control for cointervention s, assessor blinding.
Menghini 2013 (score = 4.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	No sponsorship. No COI.	N= 66 patients with work- related corneal foreign bodies without infectious keratitis.	Mean age was 31.4 years.	Pressure patch with ofloxacin (PG group) (N=18) vs. Contact lens with nonpreserved ofloxacin eye drops 4 times a day (CLG group) (N=20) vs. Ofloxacin ointment 4 times a day (OG group) (N=28)	Follow up was 1 day and 7 days later.	At day 1 follow up: Corneal abrasion reduction, mm PG vs. CLG vs. OG; 0.2 vs. 0.1 vs. 0.2 (p=0.789). Pain score at 24 hours: PG vs. CLG vs. OG; 4.0 vs. 3.9 vs. 2.2 (p=0.227).	"[T]reating traumatic corneal abrasions by pressure patching, a bandage contact lens or ointment alone was equal in terms of reducing the abrasion area and reducing pain. We believe that such a result is of significant practical value since it gives the treating physician complete liberty to choose the option best suited for each individual patient."	Data suggest no differences in the interventions. Lack of study details, dropout 38%, confusion in assessor masking limits conclusion.

Medications

The use of ophthalmic antibiotic solutions or ointments have been prescribed following traumatic corneal abrasion. The incidence of bacterial keratitis following corneal abrasion is thought to be low, however there may be increased risk with injuries associated with vegetative or organic matter. [72-74]. There also is a reportedly higher incidence of keratitis from foreign body injuries in the developing world than industrialized countries [75][426].

Topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs) function as local analgesics and are administered to provide relief from pain associated with corneal abrasions [76], postoperative pain from various surgical procedures [77] and pain associated with many other disorders.

Topical antifungal medications, generally in ointment form, have been used to attempt to prevent (or treat) fungal keratitis that typically arises from corneal abrasions with unsanitary objects or sources.
[427]

Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antibiotics for Simple Corneal Abrasion, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies

No Recommendation.

Medications (including topical creams)

There is no recommendation for or against the use of prophylactic ophthalmic antibiotics for simple corneal abrasion, rust rings, and foreign bodies that do not involve vegetative matter.

Strength of Evid Level of Confide		mmendation, Insuf	fcient Evidence (I)
☐ Acute	□ Subacute	☐ Chronic	- · · · · ·
☐ Preoperative	e ⊔ Perio	operative \square	Postoperative
Indications: Benefits:		None in the absence N/A	e of vegetative matter (see below)
Harms: Frequency/Dose,		Potential for allergic	reaction
Indications for D	iscontinuation:		
Rationale:		ophthalmic antibiotic minor ocular trauma matter is thought to recommendation is quality study using a lack of efficacy betwevidence, antibiotics are low cost, there is	studies suggesting efficacy of prophylactic cs for prevention of eye infections in the setting of and not involving vegetative matter; vegetative significantly increase risk of infections and the different (see below). There is only one low intifungals for corneal abrasions which showed een treatment groups. As there is no quality are not invasive, have few adverse effects and so no recommendation for or against use of sence of vegetative matter.
Evidence:		Scopus, CINAHL and following terms: cor	erature search was conducted using PubMed, Cochrane Library without date limits using the nea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents,

antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antibiotics for Organic Matter Injuries Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Prophylactic ophthalmic antibiotics are recommended for abrasions associated with significant organic or vegetative matter.

Strength of Evidence - Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence - Low

	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative	□ Per	ioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:		Abrasions due	to organic or vegetative matter, regardless of whether a
		foreign body re	emoval procedure was required.
Benefits:		Potential for re	educed risk of infection.

Allergic reactions in susceptible patients, intolerance Harms:

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations Indications for Discontinuation: When the condition has resolved

> There are no quality trials comparing prophylactic antibiotic use with placebo or non-use in the setting of trauma involving organic matter. However, there is thought to be considerably higher risk of infection when vegetative matter is involved due to potential microbial load/dose, and this is thought to increase risk of infection. Prophylactic use is widely practiced in this setting. Ophthalmic antibiotics are noninvasive with low risk for systemic effects, but do carry small risk of adverse events such as allergic reaction, eyelid itching and swelling, and conjunctivitis. Costs range from inexpensive to relatively high cost for new wide spectrum antibiotics. Eye injuries associated with plant or vegetative matter or organic matter likely have higher risk for bacterial or fungal infection and may warrant use

Rationale:

of these medications, and thus they are recommended for this limited

indication.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,

Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents,

antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections,

intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal

anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies,

epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in

PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials

and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

NSAID Drops after Removal of Rust Ring or Foreign Body Removal Moderately Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

NSAID ophthalmic drops are recommended for large abrasions and/or after removal of a corneal rust ring or foreign body, particularly if larger sized.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended,	Evidence (B)
Level of Confidence – Moderate		

⊠ Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative	⊠ Peri	operative	

Indications: Rust ring with or without foreign body removal with larger sized ocular

trauma

Benefits:Reduced pain, decreased inflammatory response.Harms:Allergic reactions in susceptible patients, intolerance.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations. Duration is until the abrasion is

resolved.

Indications for Discontinuation: When the condition and pain has resolved

Rationale: There are 6 moderate quality trials comparing NSAIDs with placebo or

drug vehicle for analgesia of simple corneal abrasion [428-433]. Ophthalmic drops were evaluated in one moderate quality study after rust ring removal and found evidence of efficacy [411]. Each of the

trials suggest efficacy in providing analgesia, with no significant increases in adverse events or reduction in healing times. NSAID drops have been shown to reduce pain, have low adverse effects, are low cost, and are thus recommended.

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 8 randomized trials and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: N/A

Evidence:

Evidence for NSAID Drops

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Goyal 2001 (score = 7.5)		RCT	No mention of study sponsorship or COI.	N=85 patients with non-infective, non-contact lens related traumatic or foreign body removal related corneal abrasions. Mean age: 39.5 years.		Ketorolac trometamol group-0.5% Ketorolac trometamol solution (N=43) Vs. Placebo Group-Liquifilm tearms 4 times per day. (N=42)	Follow-up took place 24 hours after treatment.	Mean VAS pain scores were not significant after treatment for treatment vs. control; 1.28 vs. 1.02 (p=0.76). The number of patients requiring oral analgesics was less in the treatment group vs. control group; 7 vs. 21 (p=0.002). There were no significant differences for photophobia (p=0.87), grittiness (p=0.27), watering (p=0.66) and blurring (p=0.18).	"We therefore assume our results to be a true reflection of the role of topical NSAIDs in the management of corneal abrasions. They may act as a substitute for oral analgesics in reducing pain levels."	Data suggest efficacy of topical NSAID in reducing oral analgesic intake. Although no differences in outcomes.
Brown 1975 (score = 6.0)		Clinical trial	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 121 with significant corneal rust rings and possible ferrous foreign bodies. Ages not reported.		Slim electric drill treatment group removing foreign body with dental burr and drill (N = 64) vs. Manual treatment group removing foreign body with 40 mm x 0.8 mm disposable syringe and dental burr (Eyes treated with hyoscine and oc.	Follow-up daily until eyes had healed.	Manual breakup of rust rings in the firm stromal tissue proved to be more difficult with manual treatment compared with electric, causing irregularities in the resulting crater and a need for more treatment. Zero	"The dental burr rotated by an electric drill is the quickest, safest and most precise form of treatment for corneal rust rings. It enables complete removal of the corneal rust at a single treatment and leaves a smooth crater that is no larger than the	Unclear if blinded. Rust removal via drill trended superior to manual removal, though not statistically significant.

(score = 5.5) of corneal abrasions who presented to a community-based ED of sponsorship or COI. of corneal abrasions who presented to a community-based ED of sponsorship or COI. of corneal abrasions who presented to a community-based ED years (diclofenac sodium plus 2 drops of topical antibiotic (gentamicin 0.3% solution) (N=25) vs. 1 drop of natural vs. 1 drop of natural Numeric Pain Intensity Score appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team of plus gentamicin plus gentamicin antiportion ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution appears to be safe analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analgesic in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analges in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analges in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analges in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analges in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective analges in the natural team ophthalmic solution and effective an					chloramphenicol drops) (N = 57)		participants receiving electric treatment required a second treatment, while five participants receiving manual treatment required secondary treatment. Electric drill treatment provided clean cut craters and enabled removal of all rust without further treatment. Persisting mean pain days	original rust ring. Pain relief is more rapid after electric drill removal; this is probably related to the complete removal of the rust. Epithelial and stromal healing are marginally faster than after manual removal and the patients' duration of attendance is less. The ideal drill is a slim straight instrument, which rotates dental burrs and is operated by a light finger pressure. A brake which stops	
tears as control plus 2 drops of topical 1.0 (95% CI 0.1 to abrasions in the ED." antibiotic (N=24). 2.0; p=0.002. No Follow up conducted by phone interview differences were	(score =	RCT	of sponsorship	corneal abrasions who presented to a community-	years (diclofenac group), 41 years	diclofenac sodium plus 2 drops of topical antibiotic (gentamicin 0.3% solution) (N=25) vs. 1 drop of natural tears as control plus 2 drops of topical antibiotic (N=24). Follow up conducted	group compared with manual treatment; 0.02 days vs64 days, (p value not reported). At 2-hour mean Numeric Pain Intensity Score comparing diclofenac vs. control (3.1 (95% CI 2.3 to 4.0) vs. 1.0 (95% CI 0.1 to 2.0; p=0.002. No further significant	"[D]iclofenac ophthalmic solution appears to be safe and effective analgesic in the treatment of traumatic corneal	Data suggest diclofenac plus gentamicin superior to natural tears plus gentamicin.

Jayamanne 1997 (score = 5.5)		RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 with a unilateral corneal abrasion. No data on age presented.		ophthalmic examination. Diclofenac 0.1% drops QID 4 times/day in affected eye plus chloramphenicol ointment vs. normal saline QID. Daily follow-up until re- epithelialization occurred.	Wilcoxon rank sums for pain scores on day 1: diclofenac vs. control: 38 vs. 482, p<0.025. Day 2: 149.5 vs. 40.5, p<0.001).	"The treatment regimen of topical diclofenac sodium (0.1%) and antibiotic ointment 4 times daily as outlined in this article appears to provide a superior alternative to the traditional treatment of corneal abrasions."	Details sparse. Data suggest efficacy in pain control for corneal abrasion.
Kaiser 1997 (score = 5.0)		RCT	Sponsored by Allergen, Inc. No COI.	N = 88 simple epithelial defect without stromal edema, loss, or infiltrate, and no prior treatment before being entered into the study.	Mean±SD was 38.46±8.96 years.	Study Group: ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% ophthalmic solution, (N = 43). vs. Placebo (N = 45).	Day 1, Pain / Photophobia / Foreign body sensation: (2.44 ± 1.53 vs. 3.49 ± 1.32 , p = 0.002) / (12 (28%) vs. 22 (56%), p = 0.009) / (17 (40%) vs. 28 (62%), p = 0.003). Return to normal activity (2.09 ± 0.76 days vs.2.68 ± 0.63 days, p = 0.001).	"This study illustrates the effectiveness of ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% ophthalmic solution in providing improved comfort in traumatic, noncontact lens related corneal abrasions with minimal ocular side effects."	Details sparse. Data suggest efficacy in symptomatic relief for corneal abrasion.
Alberti 2001 (score = 4.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	No mention of study sponsorship or COI.	N= 123 patients with traumatic corneal abrasion with pain of >20mm on the Visual Analog Scale. Mean age was 38 years.		Indomethacin 0.1%/gentamicin sulfate drops (300,000IU/100ml); Indogenta group (n=62) Vs. Gentamicin sulfate drops alone; Gentamicin group (300mg/100ml) (N=61) Follow-up occurred on day 0 (same day as	There was a significant difference 1 hour after treatment in VAS score in favor of the Indogenta group vs. Gentamicin; -15.7 vs9.8 (p=0.007). At day 4/5, the difference was also significant with mean VAS	"[W]e observed rapid recovery of the corneal surface in both groups and better pain reduction in the indogenta group."	Baseline differences in outcome measures favoring NSAIDs limits conclusions.

Patrone 1998 (score = 4.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 347 with traumatic corneal abrasion less than 12 hours before clinical examination			treatment), day 1 and day 4 Group A: 0.3% netilmicin, plus 0.1% indomethacin eye drops (N = 178). vs. Group B: 0.3% netilmicin eye drops (N = 169).	scores of 0.3 vs. 1.5 respectively (p=0.015). Pain trend on days 1 and 2: (2.05 ± 1.36 vs. Group B: 3.70 ± 1.94, p < 0.0001 and 1.54 ± 1.00 vs. 2.92 ± 1.72, p < 0.0001).	"Our study highlighted the efficacy of indomethacin as a pain reducer for acute corneal pathology and suggested that the medication may act on the corneal nociceptors in a qualitative way."	Details sparse. Data suggest topical NSAID effective for analgesia.
Harris 1971 (score = 4.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	Clinical trial	Sponsored by the USPHS Research Grant (NS- 07162-04) and the Sam S. Shubert Foundation, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 20 with corneal rust rings, or stains verified through ophthalmoscopy, slit-lap examination, visual acuity and applanation tonometry.	No ages reported.	Lyophilized deferoxamine mesylate with 0.05% methylcellulose (4000 cps) treatment group (10% deferoxamine solution) receiving 6 applications per day. (N=20)	Follow up daily until rust ring disappearance and corneal lesion healing.	70% (n=14) of participants treated exhibited complete healing of corneal rust ring from treatment within 8 days; 4 between 3-4 days, 7 between 5-6 days and 3 between 7-8 days. No p-value statistics reported.	"Corneal rust is mobilized as a result of topical therapy with deferoxamine mesylate. Therapy, however, is effective only as long as reepithelialization is not complete. This is explained by the poor penetrance of the drug through an intact epithelial barrier. Medical therapy offers significant advantages over surgical debridement in certain clinical circumstances."	Small sample and sparse methods. Data suggest medical removal of rust rings with Deferoxamine dependent on size of presenting rust ring and larger rings require more days for removal. 6 Treatment failures (30%).

Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antifungals for Routine Prophylaxis of Simple Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies

Not Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

The use of topical antifungal medications is not recommended for routine prophylaxis of simple corneal abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies. They may be of benefit in select populations at risk for contaminated injuries such as from plants or organic matter.

Strength of Evidence – Not Rec Level of Confidence – Low	commended, Insuffcient Evidence (I)
☐ Acute ☐ Subacute ☐ Preoperative ☐ Peri	☐ Chronic ☐ Postoperative
Indications:	Not indicated for simple abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies. May be used for very select patients who sustained a contaminated exposure.
Benefits:	N/A
Harms:	N/A
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	N/A
Indications for Discontinuation Rationale:	N/A There are no quality trials of efficacy in a developed country. There is one moderate quality comparative trial comparing use of antibiotics and topical clotrimazole with antibiotics in a developing world tribal population [427]. There were no differences in healing rates. The study may be limited by power, generalizability from Southern India, potentially different foreign body source(s) and/or complications may have differed [427]. Topical prophylactic antifungal medications are noninvasive, have low risk for adverse events, low to moderate cost, and are not shown to be effective and thus are not recommended for routine use as prophylaxis for simple corneal abrasions.
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and

nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 1 randomized trial and 1 systematic study met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Evidence for Prophylactic Ophthalmic Antifungals

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/	Population:	Comparison:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Srinivasan 2006 (score = 6.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	Sponsored by World Health Organization, Aravind Medical Research Foundation, Aravind Eye Care System, and Lions Aravind Institute of Community Ophthalmology. No COI.	N = 374 with corneal abrasion after ocular injury (confirmed by clinical examination with fluorescein stain and a blue torch), reported injury within 48 hours of the injury, aged > 5 years old.	Group A: received 1 % chloramphenicol and 1% clotrimazole ointment (N = 205) vs. Group B: received chloramphenicol and a placebo ointment (N = 169).	98.5% abrasion healed without complications.	Four patients had adverse events in treatment A, overall result lacks statistical significance between groups.	"Both fungal and bacterial ulcers that occur after traumatic corneal abrasions seem to be effectively prevented in a village setting using only antibiotic prophylaxis."	Study in Southern India. Data suggest no increased efficacy from addition of antifungal prophylaxis. Study may not be applicable to general populations.

Therapeutic contact lens accompanied with non-preserved Ofloxacin[™] eye drops, also described as a contact bandage, have been used to treat corneal abrasions as a measure to purportedly aid in reepithelialization of the corneal defect [419].

Therapeutic Contact Lens for Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies Not Recommended.

Strength of Evidence: Abrasions – Not Recommended, Evidence (C)

Devices

A therapeutic contact lens or contact bandage is not recommended for corneal abrasions, rust rings, or foreign bodies.

	dence: Rust Ring ence – Moderat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I)
☐ Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative	e 🗆 Per	operative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:			dicated for corneal abrasions, rust rings or foreign d-alone treatment
Benefits: None			
Harms:		N/A	
Frequency/Dose,	/Duration:	N/A	
Indications for D	iscontinuation:	N/A	
Rationale:		therapeutic cont simple corneal a groups. [65] Thu	derate quality trial that compares use of patching with fact lens and topical antibiotic for healing rates of brasion. There was no difference between the two s, there is no evidence of efficacy of the therapeutic it is not recommended for these purposes.
		There are two lo	w quality trials included in the appendix. [83, 84]
Evidence:			e literature search was conducted using PubMed,
		-	and Cochrane Library without date limits using the
		=	cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch,
			on, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents,
		• •	ylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections,
		-	ions, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal
			ry agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments,
			tions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting,
			led clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized
			randomized controlled trials, random allocation,
			mized, randomization, randomly; systematic,
			w, retrospective studies, prospective studies,
			studies, epidemiological research, and
		nonexperimenta	l Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in
		PubMed, 100 in	Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12

in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 1 randomized trial and 1 systematic study met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Evidence for Therapeutic Contact Lenses

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Menghini 2013 (score = 4.5)		RCT	No mention of study sponsorship. No COI.	N= 66 patients with work- related corneal foreign bodies without infectious keratitis. Mean age was 31.4 years.	Pressure patch with Ofloxacin (PG group) (N=18) vs. Contact lens with nonpreserved Ofloxacin eye drops 4 times a day (CLG group) (N=20) vs. Ofloxacin ointment 4 times a day (OG group) (N=28)	Follow up was 1 day and 7 days later.	At day 1 follow up: Corneal abrasion reduction, mm PG vs. CLG vs. OG; 0.2 vs. 0.1 vs. 0.2 (p=0.789). Pain score at 24 hours: PG vs. CLG vs. OG; 4.0 vs. 3.9 vs. 2.2 (p=0.227).	"[T]reating traumatic corneal abrasions by pressure patching, a bandage contact lens or ointment alone was equal in terms of reducing the abrasion area and reducing pain. We believe that such a result is of significant practical value since it gives the treating physician complete liberty to choose the option best suited for each individual patient."	Data suggest no differences in the interventions. Lack of study details, dropout 38%, confusion in assessor masking limits conclusion.

Epidermal growth factor (EGF) reportedly accelerates the re-epithelialization process for traumatic corneal ulcers [434, 435]. EGF purportedly decreases epithelial defects, vascularization risks, infection, and rejection of graft. The encoded proteins act as a mitogenic factor that responds by initiating cellular growth. EGF may be found in the cell membranes of conjunctival epithelium, corneal epithelium and lens epithelium. Once EGF binds with the receptors, proliferation and differentiation of epidermal and cells occurs. [435]

Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) for Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies Not Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Epidermal growth factor (EGF) is not recommended in the treatment of corneal abrasion, rust rings and foreign bodies.

Strength of Evidence: Abrasions – Not Recommended, Evidence (C) Strength of Evidence: Rust Rings, foreign bodies – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low							
☐ Acute ☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic						
☐ Preoperative ☐ Peri	operative \square Postoperative						
Indications:	Not indicated for the treatment of corneal abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies.						
Benefits:	"Potential for faster re-epithelialization and healing.						
Risks:	Possible allergic response to EGF						
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	N/A						
Indications for Discontinuation:	N/A						
Rationale:	There is one quality trial comparing the use of EGF with placebo suggesting faster healing times measured in hours rather than days. [434] Topical ophthalmic EGF is not available on the U.S. FDA approved list of medications (accessed drugs@FDA 4/20/15). Thus, EGF is not recommended for simple corneal abrasions.						
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed,						
	Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections,						
	intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal						
	anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments,						
	ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized						
	controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation,						
	random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic,						
	systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies,						
	epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and						
	nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in						
	PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12						

in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 1 randomized trial and 1 systematic study met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Evidence for Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF)

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Pastor 1992 (score = 6.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	Sponsored by Laboratory Zambon, S.A. No COI.	N = 104 with a previously untreated traumatic corneal epithelial defect >5mm2 and of <6h duration, age range 18-80 years. Mean age not reported.	EGF 10µg/ml of vehicle (40mg of mannitol and 0.5mg of human albumin dissolved in 5ml of sterile 0.1M phosphate-buffered saline) (N = 47) Vs. Placebo, containing only the drug vehicle (N = 57). Gentamicin drops, 1% were prescribed 5 times daily, 10 minutes after the application of either the investigational drug or the placebo. Evaluation times: 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 hours.		Average healing: EGF- treated vs. placebo; 44.17±18.23 hours vs. 61.05±24.45 hours, (p<0.05).	"Our results indicate clinical efficacy of EGF eye drops in accelerating healing of corneal epithelial defects of traumatic origin and the drug may be useful in the treatment of other ocular surface disorders requiring substantial cell proliferation. Additional clinical trials of EGF topical application in other diseases would be promising."	Allocation method not described. Data suggest faster healing times with EGF.

Mydriatic medications such as topical anticholinergic preparations have been used to provide analgesic relief from corneal abrasion and foreign body removal through dilation of the pupil. These medications are typically applied directly to the eye to assist with eye examinations or surgeries, and to treat cyclitis and iritis.

Mydriatic Medications for Simple Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies Moderately Not Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Mydriatic medications are not recommended for treatment of simple corneal abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Not Recommended, Evidence (B) Level of Confidence – Moderate								
☐ Acute ☐ Subacute ☐ Preoperative ☐ Perio	☐ Chronic pperative ☐ Postoperative							
Indications: Benefits: Harms: Frequency/Dose/Duration: Indications for Discontinuation:	N/A N/A N/A N/A							
Rationale:	There is one high quality trial demonstrating no efficacy of mydriatic medication compared with synthetic teardrops for analgesia after corneal abrasion. [436] Mydriatic medications are not invasive, but cause dilation of the pupil and potentially light sensitivity and decreased visual acuity that may be a safety concern for reading, driving, etc. They are low cost. The use of mydriatic medications for corneal abrasion is not recommended except in circumstances that require pupil dilation. There are 8 moderate and low quality trials that utilized mydriatic medications in conjunction with other treatments with no comparison of efficacy. These articles are found in other tables elsewhere in this guideline or the appendix. [362, 416, 420, 422, 423, 437-439]							
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and							

nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 1 randomized trial and 8 systematic study met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Mydriatic Medications

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Meek 2010 (score = 8.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	Study supported by the Department of Emergency Medicine and the Pharmacy Department, Southern Health, Melbourne, Australia. No COI.	N=55 patients who had sustained a mechanical corneal abrasion in the previous 12 hours; Mean age: 38 years (Homatropine): 33.5 years (Placebo).	Homatropine Group (Homatropine 5% eye drops) (N=27) vs. Placebo Group (Hypomellose 0.5%) (N=28) Patients repeated use of study drug at 6, 12, and 18 hours and repeated VAS pain ratings at 6, 12, 18 and 24 hours.		There were no significant differences for mean VAS pain score change (mm) Homatropine vs. Placebo at 6 h; 8.4 vs. 16.7 (p=0.25) 12 h; 20.6 vs. 30.9 (p=0.21) 18 h; 26.1 vs. 35.7 (0.25) and 24h; 33.4 vs. 40.3 (p=0.39).	"In a general ED population presenting with mechanical corneal abrasion, we found no significant difference in the percentage of people reporting a significant level of pain reduction between those using 5% homatropine and those using a 0.5% hypromellose placebo preparation."	60 randomized but 5 withdrew before treatment. Data suggest lack of efficacy.

The use of artificial tears and lubricants is commonly used for eye irritation related to foreign body and corneal abrasion. Artificial tears or lubricants are often used to relieve eyes exhibiting dryness, or keratoconjunctivitis sicca, when the eyes are unable to produce adequate tears.

Artificial Tears or Lubrication for Extensive Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Artificial tears or lubricants are selectively recommended for treatment of patients with extensive corneal abrasions, rust rings and foreign bodies, especially among those who do not tolerate ophthalmologic NSAIDs.

Strength of Evidence – Recom Level of Confidence – Low	mended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
☑ Acute☐ Subacute☐ Preoperative☑ Pe	☐ Chronic rioperative ☑ Postoperative
Indications:	Corneal abrasions of sufficient size and pain that require adjunctive treatment. However, NSAIDs are more effective [429, 433], thus artificial tears reserved for those not tolerating ophthalmological NSAIDs.
Benefits: Harms: Frequency/Dose/Duration:	May potentially alleviate some symptoms. Negligible. Per manufacturer's recommendations
Indications for Discontinuation: Rationale:	Resolution of the condition There are two quality trials comparing artificial tears to topical NSAIDs, demonstrating greater efficacy of the NSAID than artificial tears [429, 433]. There are no quality trials for artificial tears or lubrication vs. placebo. Artificial tears are inexpensive, noninvasive, and have low adverse effects. There is insufficient evidence for or against use of artificial tears, and other interventions may be more beneficial. However, these may be a low cost, low adverse effect option for those who do not tolerate NSAIDs yet require some additional minor treatment. Low quality –[433, 438, 439].
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies,

epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 2 randomized trials and 2 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Artificial Tears or Lubricants

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Goyal 2001 (score = 7.5)		RCT	No mention of study sponsorship or COI.	N=85 patients with non- infective, non- contact lens related traumatic or foreign body removal related corneal abrasions. Mean age was 39.5 years.	Ketorolac trometamol group- 0.5% Ketorolac trometamol solution (N=43) Vs. Placebo Group-Liquifilm tears 4 times per day. (N=42)	Follow-up took place 24 hours after treatment.	Mean VAS pain scores were not significant after treatment for treatment vs. control; 1.28 vs. 1.02 (p=0.76). The number of patients requiring oral analgesics was less in the treatment group vs. control group; 7 vs. 21 (p=0.002). There were no significant differences for photophobia (p=0.87), grittiness (p=0.27), watering (p=0.66) and blurring (p=0.18).	"We therefore assume our results to be a true reflection of the role of topical NSAIDs in the management of corneal abrasions. They may act as a substitute for oral analgesics in reducing pain levels."	Data suggest efficacy of topical NSAID in reducing oral analgesic intake. Although no differences in outcomes.

Szucs 2000 (score = 5.5)		RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 49 with corneal abrasions who presented to a community- based ED Mean age was 38 years (diclofenac group), 41 years (control group).	1 drop of 0.1% diclofenac sodium plus 2 drops of topical antibiotic (gentamicin 0.3% solution) (N=25) vs. 1 drop of natural tears as control plus 2 drops of topical antibiotic (N=24).	Follow up conducted by phone interview rather than ophthalmic examination.	At 2-hour mean Numeric Pain Intensity Score comparing diclofenac vs. control (3.1 (95% CI 2.3 to 4.0) vs. 1.0 (95% CI 0.1 to 2.0; p=0.002. No further significant differences were found.	"In summary, diclofenac ophthalmic solution appears to be safe and effective analgesic in the treatment of traumatic corneal abrasions in the ED."	Data suggest diclofenac plus gentamicin superior to natural tears plus gentamicin.
-----------------------------	--	-----	-----------------------------------	---	---	--	---	--	--

Topical anesthetics are used during eye examinations and procedures to aide in hindering pain signals from nerve endings. Pain can be induced from the presence of foreign bodies, corneal abrasions and many other conditions [440].

Use of Topical Anesthetics for Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies Moderately Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

The selective use of topical anesthetics as a patient treatment option is recommended for short-term analgesia for corneal abrasion, rust rings and foreign bodies. However, self-treatment by the patient at home is not recommended.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B) Level of Confidence – Moderate								
☐ Chronic operative	□ Postoperative							
two days is recon Immediate relief	of corneal and conjunctiva irritation and pain emic toxicity, mask retained foreign body or							
=	r's recommendations							
There is one high demonstrating ar [440, 441]. The p with concerns for overtreatment of anesthetic is not	quality trial and one moderate quality trial nalgesic efficacy over the first 24 hours after injury rolonged use of topical anesthetics is controversial, toxicity from overuse, or complications from pain such as retained foreign body. Topical invasive, has low but potentially important adverse terally low cost. Topical anesthetics are							
Scopus, CINAHL a following terms: scratches, abrasic antibiotic prophy intravitrial injecti anti-inflammator ophthalmic soluti flushing, controlled trial, random*, random systematic review epidemiological sononexperimental	Iliterature search was conducted using PubMed, and Cochrane Library without date limits using the cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, on, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, laxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, ons, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal y agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, ed clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized andomized controlled trials, random allocation, nized, randomization, randomly; systematic, y, retrospective studies, prospective studies, tudies, epidemiological research, and Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in							
	Chronic operative Particularly large two days is recon Immediate relief Potential for system nonhealing defect Per manufacturer. Resolution of the There is one high demonstrating ar [440, 441]. The pwith concerns for overtreatment of anesthetic is not effects and is genrecommended for A comprehensive Scopus, CINAHL af following terms: scratches, abrasic antibiotic prophy intravitrial injecti anti-inflammator ophthalmic solutiflushing, controlled trial, random*, random systematic review epidemiological services.							

in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles considered for inclusion, 3 randomized trials and 2 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Topical Anesthetics

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Waldman 2014 (score = 9.0)		RCT	No industry sponsorship. No COI.	N= 122 patients with corneal abrasion from mechanical trauma or from removal of foreign body by a physician. Mean age was 37.5 years.	Saline Group- (N=61) vs. Tetracaine Group- 1.5 mL of undiluted 1% tetracaine hydrochloride (N=61)	Follow-up at 48 h and 1 week.	At 48 h, there was no significant difference in healing as identified by fluorescein uptake which was seen in 11 patients in the tetracaine group vs. 10 patients in the saline group (p=0.761). 10 patients in each group showed persistent symptoms at 48 h follow up (p=0.957). There was no significant difference in VAS pain score at 48 h; between group difference of 0.53 mm (p=0.149).	"The researchers recommend that the short-term use of tetracaine eye drops for 24 hours for pain relief from simple corneal abrasions should become routine practice."	Data suggest no differences in clinical outcomes including healing, no increase in compliance. However, pain scores significantly lower with tetracaine while under treatment.

Ball 2009 (scc = 7.0)	ire .	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = with corneal abrasions. Mean age 38.0 years for proparacaine and 38.3 years for placebo.	0.05% proparacaine (N = 15) vs. Color and smell matching placebo (N = 18). Patients: 2 to 4 drops on an asneeded basis for the next 7 days; pain log; topical fluoroquinolone and tablets of 325mg acetaminophen with 30 mg of codeine for breakthrough pain; topical gatifloxacin, 1-2 drops every 2 hours to the affected eye while awake for the duration of the study period; they were told to take 1 to 2 tablets with codeine every four hours if needed.	Follow up on days 1, 3 and 5 after enrollment.	Pain reduction 5 minutes after administration of study drug: proparacaine vs placebo: 3.9 cm vs 0.6 cm, (p=0.007). Satisfaction: proparacaine vs placebo: 8.0 vs 2.6, (p=0.027).	"Dilute topical anesthetic is an efficacious analgesic in patients with corneal injuries discharged from the emergency department. Treatment with dilute topical anesthetics may be effective and safe when prescribed for 1 to 2 days. Larger studies powered for safety are necessary before widespread adoption of this practice."	Small sample size limits conclusion. Numbers enrolled in study not mentioned. Data suggest pain reduction with proparacaine.
-----------------------	-------	-----	------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--

Topical opioids provide analgesic effects for severe ophthalmic pain on a short-term basis. Extended chronic use can result in adverse effects to the corneal tissues reportedly including alteration of lacrimation, corneal sensitivity loss, increased corneal permeability, disruption of corneal cell motility, swelling and corneal re-epithelialization inhibition [442]. See also Work-related Asthma Guideline.

Topical Opioids for Analgesia of Corneal Abrasions, Rust Rings, and Foreign Bodies Not Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

The use of topical fentanyl and opioids for analgesia of corneal abrasions, rust rings, and foreign bodies is not recommended.

Strength of Evidence – Not Rec Level of Confidence – Moderate					
☐ Acute ☐ Subacute ☐ Preoperative ☐ Period	☐ Chronic ☐ Postoperative				
Indications: Benefits: Harms:	N/A N/A Decreased lacrimation, corneal sensitivity loss, increased corneal permeability, disruption of corneal cell motility, swelling and inhibition of corneal re-epithelialization.				
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	N/A				
Indications for Discontinuation: Rationale:	N/A There is one quality trial comparing the use of topical fentanyl with no fentanyl that demonstrated no improved in analgesia at the dose tested. [442] There are no commercially available topical opioids approved for use in the eye in the U.S. These medications are not invasive, have reported adverse effects, and have no demonstrated efficacy and are thus not recommended.				
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: cornea, corneal, corneas, eye injuries, scratch, scratches, abrasion, abrasions, defect, defects, anti-bacterial agents, antibiotic prophylaxis, contact lenses, anesthetics, injections, intravitrial injections, intraocular injections, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, narcotics, mydriatics, ointments, ophthalmic solutions, patch, patches, capping, rubbing, everting, flushing, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 163 articles in PubMed, 100 in Scopus, 78 in CINAHL, 143 in Cochrane Library and 12 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 29 from PubMed, 3 from Scopus, 2 from CINAHL, 3 from Cochrane Library and 1 from other sources. Of the 38 articles				

met the inclusion criteria.

Evidence for Topical Opioids

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Zöllner 2008 (score = 6.5)		RCT	Sponsored by "Klinische Forschergruppe Grant" KFO 100 from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). No mention of COI.	N = 40 with corneal damage, or corneal erosion; mean age 68±15 years for group A, and 66±12 years for group B. Mean±SD age: Group A 68±15 years. Group B: 66±12 years.	Group A: 0.02 g dexpathenol ointment (N = 20) vs. Group B: 0.02 g fentanyl plus 10 mg dexpanthenol ointment (N = 20). Paracetamol tablets (500/2000) were given upon request in a sealed envelope.	Follow-up at 24 hours.	Pain scores did not differ between groups: Group A vs. Group B: 6.8±0.5 vs. 6.5±0.6, (p>0.05). Pain scores decreased over time and were significantly different at 24 hours after surgical treatment compared with before (p<0.05).	"Both μ and δ-receptors are localized on nerve fibers within the cornea, which are accessible for topical opioid treatment. However, our formulation and dose of topical fentanyl in combination with dexpanthenol did not show any benefit in relieving pain from corneal erosion. Future studies are planned to determine the optimal protocol and dose of topical opioid treatment."	No details for compliance, dropout. Data suggest no benefit of topical fentanyl.

FOLLOW-UP VISITS

There are no quality studies on the frequencies of following up patients with these injuries, thus guidance is by expert consensus. Patients with minor abrasions may require no follow-up other than if symptoms persist and fail to resolve in one to two days. Patients with more extensive abrasions, abrasions from vegetative matter, large foreign body removals and/or large rust ring removals may require followups every 1-3 days until healed. The primary purposes of frequent followup appointments are to assess healing, detect complications and address work limitations all of which may change quickly.

Traumatic Injuries

OVERVIEW

Penetrating trauma and rupture of the globe are rare injuries, although work is an occasional cause of those injuries, particularly high impact or motor vehicle crashes [44, 90, 443-446]. These are diverse and complex injuries that include a range of injuries from simple corneal lacerations to deep structural injuries. Complications of these injuries include visual impairments, astigmatisms, endophthalmitis, infections, sympathetic ophthalmia, cataracts, blindness, and enucleation [371, 447, 448].

Corneal Lacerations

Corneal lacerations are deeper wounds than abrasions and include flap wounds. More extensive wounds may include injury to intraocular structures such as the lens. Retinoic acid has been used for adjunctive treatment of corneal lacerations [449], however, there are no quality studies and it is **Recommended**, **Insufficient Evidence (I)**. Rigid gas-permeable contact lenses have been used to attempt to provide better healing [450-453]. There are no quality studies of contact lenses for this purpose, and they are **Recommended**, **Insufficient Evidence (I)**. Injuries with significantly impaired vision, e.g. due to uncorrectable astigmatisms or opacities may need corneal transplantation (see Corneal Transplantation below) [452].

Penetrating trauma and intraocular foreign bodies are **Recommended**, **Insufficient Evidence (I)** to be initially treated with stabilization of the intraocular foreign body without removal to avoid further trauma, and prompt, emergent referral for definitive treatment. Many small intraocular foreign bodies, particularly metallic, do not require removal, and instead can be conservatively managed [454-456].

This guideline does not address these penetrating eye injuries in detail that require referral for highly individualized, definitive care [367, 455, 457-470].

Blunt Trauma and Traumatic Hyphema

Blunt ocular trauma is most commonly due to transportation crashes, sports injuries and altercations [84, 471, 472]. Other occupational causes occur beyond those due to work-related vehicular crashes [84, 473]. Predictors of worse outcomes reportedly include afferent or nonreactive pupil, fracture, and inability to open the eye [474].

Blunt trauma injures are highly diverse and include contusions, fractures, hyphema, retinal detachments, anterior chamber angle recession, ocular hypertension, and other complications [72, 475, 476]. As multiple other injuries are potentially present, a comprehensive evaluation of the patient and his/her neighboring tissues/organ systems is required. Orbital blowout fractures most commonly involve the medial wall followed by the orbital floor [473]. Associated nasal fractures have been reported in 16% [473]. While x-rays are often performed for initial evaluations and are **Recommended**, **Insufficient Evidence (I)**, CT scans are considered the main imaging procedure [396] and are **Recommended**, **Insufficient Evidence (I)**.

Traumatic hyphema is susceptible to recurrent bleeding in approximately 10-40% of patients. [477-483]. Prevention of re-bleeding is believed to be important to prevent worse outcomes and prednisone and aminocaproic acid have been utilized.

This guideline does not address those blunt trauma eye injuries that are complex, particularly those with pupillary defects, impairments and/or require definitive surgical care. Surgical approaches and techniques are diverse that are used for treating orbital fractures [211, 315, 484-492].

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Topical Aminocaproic Acid for Traumatic Hyphema Moderately Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Topical aminocaproic acid is recommended for treatment of traumatic hyphema [493].

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B) Level of Confidence – Moderate

□ Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative	□ Perio	operative l	☐ Postoperative
Indications:			raumatic hyphema.
Benefits:		Improved visual ad glaucoma,	cuity, reduced risk of corneal blood staining,
Harms:		Negligible.	
Frequency/Dose/	'Duration:	applied in the infe quality trial were a	30% in 2% carboxypolymethylene gel, 0.2mL rior fornix Q6hrs for 5 days. Patients in the highest also treated with 30° of head elevation, metal eye ambulation. [493, 494]
Indications for D	iscontinuation:	Completion of the	treatment course.
Rationale:		aminocaproic acid with either aminoc have also suggeste another underpow Another trial foun- and prednisone [4 glucocorticosteroi has low adverse et	y trial compared controls with oral or topical and found markedly superior visual acuity results caproic acid treatment arm [493]. Other studies ed efficacy compared with placebo [494-496] with evered study also trending towards efficacy [497]. d comparable results between aminocaproic acid [98], while another trial failed to find efficacy of d [499]. Topical aminocaproic acid is not invasive, ffects, is moderately costly, but is efficacious for recover visual acuity and thus is moderately
Evidence:		Scopus, CINAHL ar	literature search was conducted using PubMed, and Cochrane Library without date limits using the raumatic hyphemia, hyphema, hyphaema, eye, eyes,

topical glucocorticoid eye drops, topical beta adrenergic blocker eye drops, patching, ophthalmic solutions, prednisone,, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random, randomized,

randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. We found and reviewed 17 articles in PubMed, 2 in Scopus, 70 in CINAHL, one in Cochrane Library and 0 in other sources. We considered for inclusion 5 from PubMed, zero from Scopus, zero from CINAHL, zero from Cochrane Library and zero from other sources. Of the 13 articles considered for inclusion, 11 randomized trials and 2 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria. N/A

Comments:

Evidence for Topical Aminocaproic Acid

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Crouch 1997 (score = 8.0)	Aminocaproic acid vs. Topical aminocaproic acid	RCT	Supported by the Lions Medical Eye Bank and Research Center. No mention of COI.	N = 64 with nonpenetrating traumatic hyphema; mean ages not reported.	Systemic aminocaproic acid 50 mg/kg every 4 hours with a maximum dose of 30 g/day, plus placebo topical gel, (N = 35) vs. Topical aminocaproic acid 30% aminocaproic acid in 2% carboxypolymethylene gel, 0.2 mL applied in the inferior fornix of the involved eye every 6 hours and an oral placebo (N = 29) vs. Control (N = 54). Both groups with + 30° of head elevation, metal eye shield and moderate ambulation.	Follow-ups were everyday for the first 5 days and then up to 6 years.	Final visual acuity ≥20/40: topical group: 30 patients (86%) vs. 23 patients (43%) in the control group (p<0.001). Final	"Topical aminocaproic acid appears to be a safe, effective treatment to prevent secondary hemorrhage in traumatic hyphema."	Variable follow- ups. Data suggest strong efficacy of topical aminocaproic acid.
Farber 1991[116] (score = 8.0)	Aminocaproic acid vs. Prednisone		Supported by a grant from the National Eye Institute and an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness.	N = 112 who sustained hyphema after blunt trauma. Mean±SD age: Aminocaproic acid 23.8±13.8 years. Prednisone group 23.3±13.4 years.	Aminocaproic acid 50 mg/kg every 4 hours for 5 days with maximum dosage at 30 g daily (N = 56) vs. Prednisone, 40 mg daily (N = 56). Both groups with head elevated to 30°, no reading, a patch/shield applied to the involved eye, topical application of 1% atropine sulfate 4x/day to the involved eye, oral administration of	Follow-up over 5 days.	Visual acuity after 5 and 10 days / IOP at admission and discharge / rebleeds / initial hyphemas size: (21 vs. 26 in placebo, and 10 vs. 7 who had visual acuity of 20/200 or worse) / (17.8 vs. 17.7 mmHg, and 13.1 vs. 13.3 mmHg) / (4 in each group	"Although it is not possible to determine whether aminocaproic acid or prednisone is the preferred treatment of traumatic hyphemas, our study suggests that both drugs are successful in reducing the	Data suggest oral aminocaproic acid is equivalent to prednisone for prevention of rebleed.

					acetaminophen as needed, no aspirin.		had rebleeds) / (43% vs. 75%, p=0.001).	incidence of rebleeds."	
Pieramici 2003[114] (score = 7.0)	Aminocaproic acid vs. placebo	RCT	Sponsored by Orphan Medical Inc., Covance Inc., National Eye Institute, and an unrestricted research grant from Research to Prevent Blindness. No COI.	N = 51 with traumatic hyphema. Mean±SD age for topical aminocaproic acid was 24±4 years and 23±3 years for placebo.	Topical, 30% in 2% gel, aminocaproic acid (ACA) (N = 24) vs. Placebo gel that looked like the ACA gel (N = 27). All patients received 1 drop of proparacaine hydrochloride (0.05%) in the involved eye and then the gel was given every 6 hours for 5 days and 1 drop of homatropine 2% was given topically 3 times a day.	Follow-ups were daily for 7 days.	Rebleeding occurred in 30% of the placebo group 8 of 27; 95% CI = 14-50% vs. 8% of the treatment group (2 of 24; 95% CI = 1-27%) (95% CI = -3-38%, (p=0.08). Median days to rebleeding was 6 in the ACA gel group and 3.5 in the placebo group, (p=0.02). At the last follow-up a higher percentage of patients in the ACA gel group (46%) than in the placebo group (33%) showed improved visual acuity (p=0.03).	"[T]opical aminocaproic acid is safe and demonstrates trends towards reducing the rebleeding rate in the management of traumatic hyphema."	Study terminated due to slow enrollment. Suggest trend toward efficacy.
McGetrick 1983[117] (score = 6.0)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	Sponsored by grants from the National Eye Institute and by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent	N = 49 with non-perforating traumatic hyphema; mean ages not reported.	Aminocaproic acid 100 mg/kg po every 4 hours up to a maximum dose of 30 g/day for 5 days (N = 28) vs. Oral placebo (N = 21).	Follow-up ranged from 0 to 9 months.	Drug related complications / clotted blood / rebelling / mean duration hospitalization: (6 vs. no complications in placebo, (p<0.05) /	"Aminocaproic acid, when used in a dosage of 100 mg/kg orally every four hours, up to a maximum dose of 30 g/24 hr,	Patients not well described. Variable follow-up. Data suggest efficacy.

Spoor 1980[119] (score = 5.5)	Prednisone vs. placebo	RCT	Blindness. No mention of COI. No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 43 with traumatic hyphema. Average age of prednisone group: 20.1, and 21.2 years for placebo group.	Prednisone (40 mg/day for adults and children older than 10 years; 15mg/day for children aged 4 to 10 years; and 10mg/day for those aged 18mos to 4 years) (N = 23) vs. Placebo (N = 20).	Patients with intraocular pressure greater than 24 mmHg were treated with 30 mg/kg of oral sodium acetazolamide in divided doses.	(mean of 4.5 days vs. 6.3 in placebo) / (1 vs. 7 rebelled in placebo, (p>0.01) / (5.7 vs. 7.3 in placebo). Final visual acuity were very similar between groups, (p=0.85). Secondary hemorrhage occurred in 23	dramatically and significantly (p<.01) reduces the incidence of secondary hemorrhage." "[P]rednisone given for systemic effect is of no significant value in the treatment of traumatic hyphema."	Follow up period unclear. Larger hyphema not associated with worse outcome. Data suggest lack of
		-					vs. 20 placebo patients, (p=0.85).		efficacy.
Crouch 1976 (score = 5.0)	Aminocaproic acic vs Aromatic clixir vs Placebo	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 59 with traumatic hyphemas. Mean ages not reported.	Aminocaproic acid 100 mg/kg of body weight) every four hours orally, for five days (N = 32) vs. Placebo. 200 ml of aromatic clixir per 1,000 ml of solution also given every four hours for five days (N = 27).	Follow-ups were at 1 week, 1/2/3/6/12/18/24 months.	Rebleed / clots: (9 placebo vs. 1 in ACA group. At the last follow-up 79% of the patients in the aminocaproic acid had 20/40 or better vision vs. 67% in the placebo group.	"Based on the statistically significant reduction (P < .01) in the incidence of rebleeding of traumatic hyphemas in our patients treated with aminocaproic acid, we think that aminocaproic acid can prevent secondary hemorrhage."	Variable follow-up. Patients not well described. Placebo somewhat better visual acuity at baseline. Data suggest efficacy.
Kutner 1987[113]	Aminocaproic acid (Amicar) vs Placebo	RCT	No mention of industry	N = 34 with nonperforating ocular injury	Aminocaproic acid Amicar, 100 mg/kg every four hours,	Not specified.	Rebleeding / residual blood present/	"Our findings confirm and strongly	Computer randomization but group size

(score = 5.0) sponso or COI.	and traumatic hyphema. Mean age for aminocarproic acid group 18.9±7.7, and 22.8±7.6 for placebo group.	maximum dose 30 g/d, for five days (N = 21) vs. Placebo, identical taste and appearance to aminocaproic acid. (N = 13).		intraocular pressure elevation and visual acuity at the time of discharge / complications: (23% vs. none in aminocaproic acid group, p<0.05) / (12 vs. non in placebo group, p<0.001) / (similar between groups, p>0.3) / (aminocaproic acid group had a significant amount of complications vs. placebo, p<0.02).	suggest that aminocaproic acid significantly reduces (p<0.05) reduces the incidence of secondary hemorrhage following traumatic hyphema."	of 21 vs. 13. Data suggest efficacy of oral ACA.	
------------------------------	--	---	--	--	---	--	--

Tranexamic Acid for Traumatic Hyphema Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Tranexamic acid is recommended for treatment of traumatic hyphema [500].

☐ Preoperative	☐ Perioperative ☐ Postoperative
Indications:	Non-penetrating traumatic hyphema.
Benefits:	Reduced risk of re-bleeding
Harms:	Negligible.
Frequency/Dose/Duration	Tranexamic acid 25mg/kg orally three times a day [500].
Indications for Discontinu	ation: When visual acuity is restored.
Rationale:	One moderate quality trial suggested efficacy of oral tranexamic acid for treatment of hyphema and further suggested superiority to steroic [500]. Tranexamic acid is not invasive, has some adverse effects, is moderately costly, but is highly efficacious to preserve and/or recover visual acuity and thus is moderately recommended.
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple
	search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane
	Library without date limits using the following terms: nonpenetrating,
	superficial, ocular, corneal, penetrating, foreign body, eye foreign
	bodies, "rust ring, eye, eyes, removal, extraction, leaving in the eye,
	mydriatics, cycloplegic, meidiatric effect, extraction size, extraction
	location, woods lamp, slit lamp, fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin sealant,
	autologous fibrin tissue adhesive, fibrin klebe system immune,
	transglutine, crosseal, tisseel, tissel, tussucol, beriplast, seal fibrin, eye
	irrigation, irrigation, morgan lens, morgan lenses, patching, patch,
	treatment, eye magnet, eye burr, diamond burr, alger brush,
	ophthalmic burr, aaron burr, burr, contusion, Acuvail, acular LS, acular
	PF, acuvil, bromday, bromfenac ophthalmic, diclofenac ophthalmic,
	flurbiprophen ophthalmic, llevro, ketorolac ophthalmic, phenylephrine
	ophthalmic, nepafenac ophthalmic, nevanac, ocufen, omidria,
	prolensa, voltaren ophthalmic, ketoroloac tromethamine, topical
	NSAID, "Anti-Inflammatory Agents, Non-Steroidal", Gentamicin,
	tobramycin, besifloxacin, ciproflaxin, gatifloxacin, levofloxacin,
	moxifloxacin, ofloxacin, azithromycin, erythromycin, bacitracin,
	polymyxin, natamycin, neomycin, gramicidin, trimethoprim,
	sulfacetamide, Neosporin, polytrim, natacyn, romycin, Azasite,
	ocuflox, vigamox, Iquix, quixin, Zymar, Ciloxan, besivance, tobrex, Anti
	Bacterial Agents, Anti-Bacterial, Agents, antibiotic ointment,
	antibacterial ointment, anesthetics, lidocaine, tetracaine,

proparacaine, fluress, topical anesthetic, prednisolone, fluorometholone, steroids, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 85 articles, and considered 13 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 10,342 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 137 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 173 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 4 articles from other sources. Of the 18 articles considered for inclusion, 1 randomized trial and 1 systematic study met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

N/A

Evidence for Tranexamic Acid

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Rahmani 1999[120] (score = 5.5)	Tranexamic vs. other treatments	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 238 who developed hyphema after blunt trauma. Mean±SD age: Acid group: 14.9±12.6 years. Prednisole 12.5±8.5 years. Placebo 14.8±1.7 years.	Oral tranexamic acid (TA) 75 mg/kg TID (N = 80) Vs. Placebo (N = 80) TID Vs. Oral prednisolone 0.375 mg/kg BID (N = 78). Each medication was prescribed for 5 days, and if no rebleeding occurred, then the medication was discontinued.	Follow-up for 15 days.	N (%) rebleeding Acid vs. Prednisole vs. Placebo: 8(80) vs. 14(78) vs. 21(26) p=0.028.	"[T]A is more effective than oral prednisolone or no oral treatment in preventing rebleeding among patients with traumatic hyphema."	Data suggest efficacy of Tranexamic Acid over prednisolone over placebo for secondary bleeding.

Evidence for Stabilization of the Intraocular Foreign Body Without Removal

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Azad 2004[104] (score = 4.5)	[Previous table header, if any]	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 28 men with retained intraocular foreign bodies. Age mean: 22.5 years (range: 17-30 years).	Placement of encircling 360° scleral buckle in addition to pars plana vitrectomy and foreign body removal (group I; N = 15) vs. Pars plana vitrectomy and foreign body removal (group II; N = 13).	Follow-up for 6-24 months (mean: 11.8 months).	Retinal detachment rate of group I vs. group II: 6.6% vs. 30.8% (p=0.24). Retinal detachment was reduced to 24% due to prophylactic scleral buckle.	"Based on our results we propose that prophylactic scleral buckle placement is an important additional manoeuvre during pars plana vitreous surgery for RIOFB removal and helps prevent subsequent retinal detachment."	Prophylactic scleral buckling may decrease retinal detachment (6.6%) vs. patients not receiving a scleral buckle (30.8%).

Evidence for Glucocorticosteroids for Treatment of Traumatic Hyphema

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size/Population:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Crouch 1997 (score = 8.0)	Aminocaproic acid vs. Topical aminocaproic acid	RCT	Supported by the Lions Medical Eye Bank and Research Center. No mention of COI.	N = 64 with nonpenetrating traumatic hyphema; mean ages not reported.	Systemic aminocaproic acid 50 mg/kg every 4 hours with a maximum dose of 30 g/day, plus placebo topical gel, (N = 35) vs. Topical aminocaproic acid 30% aminocaproic acid in 2% carboxypolymethylene gel, 0.2 mL applied in the inferior fornix of the involved eye every 6 hours and an oral placebo (N = 29) vs. Control (N = 54). Both groups with + 30° of head elevation, metal eye shield and moderate ambulation.	Follow-ups were everyday for the first 5 days and then up to 6 years.	Final visual acuity ≥20/40: topical group: 30 patients (86%) vs. 23 patients (43%) in the control group (p<0.001). Final	"Topical aminocaproic acid appears to be a safe, effective treatment to prevent secondary hemorrhage in traumatic hyphema."	Variable follow- ups. Data suggest strong efficacy of topical aminocaproic acid.
Farber 1991[116] (score = 8.0)	Aminocaproic acid vs. Prednisone	[RCT, prospective, etc.]	Supported by a grant from the National Eye Institute and an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness.	N = 112 who sustained hyphema after blunt trauma. Mean±SD age: Aminocaproic acid 23.8±13.8 years. Prednisone group 23.3±13.4 years.	Aminocaproic acid 50 mg/kg every 4 hours for 5 days with maximum dosage at 30 g daily (N = 56) vs. Prednisone, 40 mg daily (N = 56). Both groups with head elevated to 30°, no reading, a patch/shield applied to the involved eye, topical application of 1% atropine sulfate 4x/day to the involved eye, oral administration of	Follow-up over 5 days.	Visual acuity after 5 and 10 days / IOP at admission and discharge / rebleeds / initial hyphemas size: (21 vs. 26 in placebo, and 10 vs. 7 who had visual acuity of 20/200 or worse) / (17.8 vs. 17.7 mmHg, and 13.1 vs.	"Although it is not possible to determine whether aminocaproic acid or prednisone is the preferred treatment of traumatic hyphemas, our study suggests that both drugs are successful in reducing the incidence of rebleeds."	Data suggest oral aminocaproic acid is equivalent to prednisone for prevention of rebleed.

					acetaminophen as needed, no aspirin.		13.3 mmHg) / (4 in each group had rebleeds) / (43% vs. 75%, p=0.001).		
Pieramici 2003[114] (score = 7.0)	Aminocaproic acid vs. placebo	RCT	Sponsored by Orphan Medical Inc., Covance Inc., National Eye Institute, and an unrestricted research grant from Research to Prevent Blindness. No COI.	N = 51 with traumatic hyphema. Mean±SD age for topical aminocaproic acid was 24±4 years and 23±3 years for placebo.	Topical, 30% in 2% gel, aminocaproic acid (ACA) (N = 24) vs. Placebo gel that looked like the ACA gel (N = 27). All patients received 1 drop of proparacaine hydrochloride (0.05%) in the involved eye and then the gel was given every 6 hours for 5 days and 1 drop of homatropine 2% was given topically 3 times a day.	Follow-ups were daily for 7 days.	Rebleeding occurred in 30% of the placebo group 8 of 27; 95% CI = 14-50% vs. 8% of the treatment group (2 of 24; 95% CI = 1-27%) (95% CI = -3-38%, (p=0.08). Median days to rebleeding was 6 in the ACA gel group and 3.5 in the placebo group, (p=0.02). At the last follow-up a higher percentage of patients in the ACA gel group (46%) than in the placebo group (33%) showed improved visual acuity (p=0.03).	"[T]opical aminocaproic acid is safe and demonstrates trends towards reducing the rebleeding rate in the management of traumatic hyphema."	Study terminated due to slow enrollment. Suggest trend toward efficacy.
Karkhaneh	Cycloplegic	RCT	Study was	N = 132 with	Group 1: received	Follow-up was at	Rebleeding /	"Topical 25% ACA	Somewhat
2003[118]	drops		conducted with	traumatic	cycloplegic drops only	2 weeks.	clot	is not effective in	different
(score =			the	hyphema;	(N = 52) vs. Group 2:		absorption: (8	reducing the	group sizes.
6.5)			cooperation of		received cycloplegic		vs. 7 vs. 5	incidence of	Data suggest

		Sina Darou (an ophthalmic pharmaceutical company in Iran). No mention of COI.	mean ages not reported.	drops and 2% carboxy polymethylene (N = 39) Vs. Group 3: who was treated with cycloplegic drops and 25% aminocaproic acid (ACA) in CPM gel (N = 41).		patients in group 1, 2 and 3, respectively) / (11.1 vs. 9.3 vs. 9.5 days in groups 1, 2, and 3, respectively). Clots in the anterior chamber absorbed on average 2 days later in the group 3 (p<0.04).	rebleeding and lengthens the time needed for clot absorption."	lack of efficacy
Palmer 1986[115] (score = 6.0)	RCT	Sponsored by grants from the National Eye Institute, Sickle Cell Center, Heart and Lug Institute, and by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness.	N = 59 with hyphema sustained after blunt trauma. Mean age for the 50mg dose group was 20 years (range of 4-46), and 22.8 (rage 3-50) for 100mg dose group.	Aminocaproic acid 50 mg/kg (N = 26) vs. 100 mg/kg every 4 hours for 5 days, up to a maximum of 30 g/day,	Follow-up for 1 week.	Rebleeding / dizziness and hypotension / mean serum concentration: (statistically significant with hyphema level or p = 0.18 or visual acuity of less than 6/15 (20 / 50; p = 0.12) or injury to initial dose time interval, p = 0.19) / (0 vs. 5 patients in full dose group, p = 0.063) / (7.27 mg / 100 ml vs. 12.7 mg / 100 ml in full dose group, p = 0.0001).	"In a dose of 50 mg/kg for four hours, up to 30 g/day Amicar significantly reduces serious side effects, has no adverse consequence on recurrent hemorrhages, and is safer and more cost-effective when compared to the maximum dose recommended in the Physicians' Desk Reference."	No placebo control. Variable doses. Less rebleeding with ½ doses (4% v. 15.6%). Higher rebleed in black patients.

McGetrick 1983[117] (score = 6.0)		RCT	Sponsored by grants from the National Eye Institute and by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness. No mention of COI.	N = 49 with non-perforating traumatic hyphema; mean ages not reported.	Aminocaproic acid 100 mg/kg po every 4 hours up to a maximum dose of 30 g/day for 5 days (N = 28) vs. Oral placebo (N = 21).	Follow-up ranged from 0 to 9 months.	Drug related complications / clotted blood / rebelling / mean duration hospitalization: (6 vs. no complications in placebo, (p<0.05) / (mean of 4.5 days vs. 6.3 in placebo) / (1 vs. 7 rebelled in placebo, (p>0.01) / (5.7 vs. 7.3 in placebo).	"Aminocaproic acid, when used in a dosage of 100 mg/kg orally every four hours, up to a maximum dose of 30 g/24 hr, dramatically and significantly (p<.01) reduces the incidence of secondary hemorrhage."	Patients not well described. Variable follow-up. Data suggest efficacy.
Spoor 1980[119] (score = 5.5)	Prednisone vs. placebo	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 43 with traumatic hyphema. Average age of prednisone group: 20.1, and 21.2 years for placebo group.	Prednisone (40 mg/day for adults and children older than 10 years; 15mg/day for children aged 4 to 10 years; and 10mg/day for those aged 18mos to 4 years) (N = 23) vs. Placebo (N = 20).	Patients with intraocular pressure greater than 24 mmHg were treated with 30 mg/kg of oral sodium acetazolamide in divided doses.	Final visual acuity were very similar between groups, (p=0.85). Secondary hemorrhage occurred in 23 vs. 20 placebo patients, (p=0.85).	"[P]rednisone given for systemic effect is of no significant value in the treatment of traumatic hyphema."	Follow up period unclear. Larger hyphema not associated with worse outcome. Data suggest lack of efficacy.
Rahmani 1999[120] (score = 5.5)	Tranexamic vs. other treatments	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 238 who developed hyphema after blunt trauma. Mean±SD age: Acid group: 14.9±12.6 years. Prednisole 12.5±8.5 years. Placebo 14.8±1.7 years.	Oral tranexamic acid (TA) 25 mg/kg TID (N = 80) Vs. Placebo (N = 80) Vs. Oral prednisolone 0.375 mg/kg BID (N = 78).	Follow-up for 15 days.	N (%) rebleeding Acid vs. Prednisole vs. Placebo: 8(80) vs. 14(78) vs. 21(26) p=0.028.	"[T]A is more effective than oral prednisolone or no oral treatment in preventing rebleeding among patients with traumatic hyphema."	Data suggest efficacy of Tranexamic Acid over prednisolone over placebo for secondary bleeding.

Crouch 1976 (score = 5.0)	Aminocaproic acic vs Aromatic clixir vs Placebo	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 59 with traumatic hyphemas. Mean ages not reported.	Aminocaproic acid 100 mg/kg of body weight) every four hours orally, for five days (N = 32) vs. Placebo. 200 ml of aromatic clixir per 1,000 ml of solution also given every four hours for five days (N = 27).	Follow-ups were at 1 week, 1/2/3/6/12/18/24 months.	Rebleed / clots: (9 placebo vs. 1 in ACA group. At the last follow-up 79% of the patients in the aminocaproic acid had 20/40 or better vision vs. 67% in the placebo group.	"Based on the statistically significant reduction (P < .01) in the incidence of rebleeding of traumatic hyphemas in our patients treated with aminocaproic acid, we think that aminocaproic acid can prevent secondary hemorrhage."	Variable follow-up. Patients not well described. Placebo somewhat better visual acuity at baseline. Data suggest efficacy.
Kutner 1987[113] (score = 5.0)	Aminocaproic acid (Amicar) vs Placebo	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 34 with nonperforating ocular injury and traumatic hyphema. Mean age for aminocarproic acid group 18.9±7.7, and 22.8±7.6 for placebo group.	Aminocaproic acid Amicar, 100 mg/kg every four hours, maximum dose 30 g/d, for five days (N = 21) vs. Placebo, identical taste and appearance to aminocaproic acid. (N = 13).	Not specified.	Rebleeding / residual blood present/ intraocular pressure elevation and visual acuity at the time of discharge / complications: (23% vs. none in aminocaproic acid group, p<0.05) / (12 vs. non in placebo group, p<0.001) / (similar between groups, p>0.3) / (aminocaproic acid group had a significant amount of	"Our findings confirm and strongly suggest that aminocaproic acid significantly reduces (p<0.05) reduces the incidence of secondary hemorrhage following traumatic hyphema."	Computer randomization but group size of 21 vs. 13. Data suggest efficacy of oral ACA.

Vangsted 1983[121] (score = 4.0)	Tranexamic vs. other treatments	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 112 with traumatic hyphema; mean age for the bed rest group was 23.5 years and for the tranexamic acid group was 23.5 years.	Bed rest 6 days, atropine (N = 53) vs. Peroral Tranexamic acid (Cyclokapron), 25 mg.kg, 3 times daily for 7 days (N = 59). All received 1% Atropine twice a day and Dexamethasone 3 times a day and monocular patching	Follow-up at weeks 1 and 2.	complications vs. placebo, p<0.02). No patients had a secondary hemorrhage. Tranexamic: average length of stay in the hospital and period time off work were 6 and 17 days, respectively. Bed rest group: average length of hospitalization was 7 vs. 20 days.	"[A]ntifibrinolytics should replace the traditional treatment with bed rest."	Data suggest modest delayed resorption with tranexamic acid without sign of adverse effect. Data suggest equal efficacy in rebleed rate but with quicker return to work rates.
Marcus 1988[122] (score = 3.0)	Aspirin vs other nonaspirin treatments for traumatic hyphema	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI	N = 51 patients with traumatic hyphema. Average age: 20	All patients received 1% atropine, .1% drops dexamycin, and bedrest. Group A 500 mg aspirin three times a day for 5 days. (N = 23) Vs. Group B Control group (N = 28)	Follow up: 3 times daily for 5 days.	3 of 23 eyes in Group A and 2 of 28 eyes in Group B experienced rebleeding. The difference between groups was not statistically significant.	No significant findings in the relationship between aspirin and non-aspirin treatments in treatment of traumatic hyphema.	Data suggest comparable (in)efficacy.

Viral, Bacterial, and Fungal Infections and Corneal Ulcers

RELATED TERMS

- Viral conjunctivitis
- Bacterial conjunctivitis
- Fungal conjunctivitis
- Fungal keratitis
- Corneal ulcer
- Epithelial keratitis
- Nummular keratitis
- Interstitial keratitis
- Ulcerative keratitis

OVERVIEW

Most eye infections are diagnosed as viral conjunctivitis [501-507]. These infections are highly contagious [508-511]. Viral conjunctivitis normally does not require treatment other than instructions on careful handwashing, potentially isolating the patient/worker from others, avoiding touching the eye and any other object (contact precautions) [512]. Conjunctivitis caused by herpes simplex or herpes zoster may be resolved faster with treatments [513] [503-506, 514-516]. Herpetic and zoster corneal infections are considerably more complex than conjunctivitis caused by, e.g., adenovirus. Herpetic and zoster corneal infections may be vision-threatening and require prolonged treatment with anti-viral medications.

Bacterial infections are the second most common cause [501-503, 506, 507]. Bacterial infections may be self-limited and thus not require treatment [508], but they can also be more serious. Fungal infections are more serious and require treatment. One of the more serious conditions is ulcer(s) complicated by bacterial and fungal infection; these require treatment and more vigilant follow-up care. Fungal infections typically take at least a month to resolve [517]. Contact-lens related infections are caused by bacterial, fungal and Acanthamoeba infections and are beyond the scope of this guideline [518]. Simple infections are mostly treated by primary care, urgent care and other non-ophthalmological and non-optometric specialists [509].

Corneal ulcers are considered an ophthalmologic emergency. They may result in permanent visual impairment. They may be bacterial, viral, fungal, or parasitic in origin and may occur following corneal lacerations, abrasions, and intrusion of foreign bodies. They may result from poorly fitted or inadequately cleaned contact lenses. Patients with corneal ulcers present with complaints of changes in visual acuity, photophobia and/or eye pain, tearing, and a sensation that a foreign body is in the eye. The presence of corneal ulcers can be determined by direct visualization, but magnified viewing with fluorescein staining is needed to completely rule out their presence.

RISK AND CAUSATION

Risk Factors

Viral conjunctivitis is highly contagious. Thus in some circumstances, the source or index case may be apparent. In most cases, the case appears spontaneously and thus the source and location of the source is unknown.

Bacterial and fungal infections most commonly occur as complications of either acute injuries or contact lens use [519, 520]. Other cases may occur without apparent cause. Risk factors include poor hygiene, poor contact lens hygiene, immunocompromised states, dry eyes, rheumatological disorders with ocular effects, recent eye surgery, crowded living conditions, dry eyes, blepharitis, contaminated cosmetics, use of topical medications, and sexually transmitted disease (especially Neisseria).

Causation

Work-relatedness of ocular infections as direct complications of acute injury (e.g., work-related corneal abrasion with subsequent fungal infection) is not difficult as the mechanism of injury and acuity of symptom onset generally begets a straightforward determination of work-relatedness. Causation of infections that occur without a work-related injury is also relatively simple, as the lack of an association is usually apparent and in most jurisdictions simplifies a determination of non-work relatedness.

Prevalence/Incidence

Infections are estimated to cause approximately 6 million infections in the US annually [521]. The incidence of culture-proven microbial infection has been estimated as 0.26/10,000 overall with a rate of 1.8/10,000 among those using contact lenses [522]. Those estimates compare with presumed incidence rates of 0.36/10,000 and 2.44/10,000 respectfully [522]. The incidence of fungal eye infections is unknown (CDC).

Work Relatedness

A determination of work-relatedness is usually determined in most juridictions based on the presence of a work-related acute injury that precedes the infection. In some unusual cases, an epidemic of viral conjunctivitis may occur in an occupational setting and the probability of the acquisition of a case in that setting exceeds 50% making a case work-related.

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS

Medical History

Symptoms of corneal infections commonly include:

- Red or pink eye
- Tearing
- Purulence
- Crusty eyelids, especially on awakening
- Mild pruritis is sometimes present
- Photophobia, especially if more severe

- Visual acuity is usually preserved unless visual axis affected, e.g., by corneal ulcer or corneal abrasion
- Corneal ulcers typically include a foreign body sensation

Onset

- Symptom onset is usually gradual. However, as onset is most often noticed on awakening with mattering of the eyelids, some patients may report this as sudden onset.
- Some infectious cases occur after acute onset of trauma to the cornea, e.g., corneal abrasion.
- Onset of corneal ulcers are similarly gradual, although the inciting event may have been an acute injury.

Current treatments used

- Usually none, although may have included flushing of the eye.
- Some cases will occur on a delayed basis after acute injury. Thus, some cases will have had prior corneal foreign body(ies) removed.

RED FLAGS

Corneal ulcers are considered ophthalmological emergencies and thus are red flags.

Other red flags for potentially more serious infections include:

- Reduced visual acuity
- Periocular swelling and inflammation
- History of penetrating trauma or high impact metalworking without eye protection
- Suspected penetration of the globe
- Impaired extraocular eye movements
- Photophobia
- Systemic symptoms or diseases, especially rheumatological
- Copious purulence

DIAGNOSIS

Initial Assessment

The most important clinical assessment is whether the infection is vision-threatening or not. In general, vision threatening infections involve corneal ulcers and/or corneal infections.

The patient evaluation should include assessment of temperature, visual acuity, observation, extraocular movements, type of discharge, corneal opacity, eyelid swelling, proptosis, shape and size of the pupil, and sensitivity to light [512]. Lymphadenopathy is more commonly associated with viral as compared to bacterial conjunctivitis [523].

Diagnostic Criteria

Infections are among the differential diagnoses for a red eye (See Table 1) and eye infections may be acute, subacute or chronic. Infections of the conjunctive or cornea are generally accompanied by mattering of the eyelids on awakening as well as either an absence of or minimal pruritis [523, 524]. Thus, a symptom of mattering is somewhat helpful to narrow the differential diagnosis to be more likely

an infectious etiology. Bilateral mattering is thought to be more likely bacterial [512]. However, mattering is not particularly helpful to distinguish the type of infection. Mattering also is a symptom of blepharitis (low level infection along the lid margins), as well as a few other conditions.

The diagnostic criteria for viral conjunctivitis are: (i) watery discharge (although it may also be mucopurulent), (ii) minimal or no purulent discharge, (iii) in an erythematous eye, (iv) with preserved visual acuity and (v) with no corneal opacities.

Diagnostic criteria for corneal viral infections (e.g., herpes simplex or zoster) are: (i) watery discharge, (ii) minimal or no purulent discharge, (iii) in an erythematous eye, (iv) with impaired visual acuity (or preserved visual acuity but impaired visual fields if the infected corneal area is out of the visual axis) and (v) with corneal opacities.

Diagnostic criteria for bacterial and fungal eye infections are: (i) the presence of purulent discharge [525, 526], (ii) in an erythematous eye [527, 528], (iii) with preserved visual acuity, (iv) lack of pruritis, (v) no history of conjunctivitis, and (vi) that may or may not be confirmed by culture [529, 530]. Bacterial and fungal Infections may be confirmed with gram stain, KOH (potassium hydroxide) preparation and bacterial and fungal cultures. Cultures are often not performed especially in milder cases where the condition may be self-limited and thus resolve with no or limited empiric treatment [512]. Cultures are necessary for cases with neonatal conjunctivitis, severe infections, recurrent infections, Neisserial infections, chlamydia infections, and cases that are difficulty to treat [512].

Particularly with acute infections, there usually is marked conjunctival injection. The main infectious etiologies in the differential diagnosis among immunocompetent individuals in the developed world are viral conjunctivitis, bacterial and fungal infection. In other parts of the world or elsewhere among select populations, other etiologies include mycobacterium, parasites, and trachoma. Infections due to chlamydia trachomatis or Neisseria gonorrhea are beyond the scope of this guideline, yet for completeness are noted to require treatment with a systemic antibiotic plus an ophthalmologic antibiotic preparation.

Bacterial or fungal infections may also accompany and/or complicate corneal ulcers. Diagnostic criteria for bacterial or fungal ulcers are the same as those for infection with the added finding of corneal defect(s) or ulcer(s) on slit lamp examination.

Table 1: Selected Differential Diagnosis of Red Eve (Adapted from Cronau 2010)

	O O	7 \ 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Condition	Signs	Symptoms	Causes
	C	Conjunctivitis	
Viral	Normal vision, normal	Mild to no pain, diffuse	Adenovirus (most
	pupil size and reaction to	hyperemia, occasional gritty	common),
	light, diffuse	discomfort with mild itching,	enterovirus,
	conjunctival injections	watery to	coxsackievirus, VZV,
	(redness), preauricular	serous discharge,	Epstein-Barr virus, HSV,
	lymphadenopathy,	photophobia	influenza

	lumphoid fallisla an tha	(uncommon) often unilateral	
	lymphoid follicle on the undersurface of the eyelid	(uncommon), often unilateral at onset with second eye involved within one or two days, severe cases may cause	
		subepithelial corneal opacities and	
Herpes zoster ophthalmicus	Vesicular rash, keratitis, uveitis	pseudomembranes Pain and tingling sensation precedes rash and conjunctivitis,	Herpes zoster
		typically unilateral with dermatomal involvement (periocular vesicles)	
Bacterial (acute and chronic)	Eyelid edema, preserved visual acuity, conjunctival injection, normal pupil reaction, no corneal involvement	Mild to moderate pain with stinging sensation, red eye with foreign body sensation, mild to moderate purulent discharge, mucopurulent secretions with bilateral glued eyes upon awakening (best predictor)	Common pathogens in children: Streptococcus pneumoniae, nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae Common pathogen in adults: Staphylococcus aureus Other pathogens: Staphylococcus species, Moraxella species, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, gramnegative organisms (e.g., Escherichia coli), Pseudomonas species
Bacterial (hyperacute)	Chemosis with possible corneal involvement	Severe pain; copious, purulent discharge; diminished vision	N. gonorrhoeae
Chlamydial (inclusion conjunctivitis)	Vision usually preserved, pupils reactive to light, conjunctival injections, no corneal involvement, preauricular lymph node swelling is sometimes present	Red, irritated eye; mucopurulent or purulent discharge; glued eyes upon awakening; blurred vision	Chlamydia trachomatis (serotypes D to K)
Allergic	Visual acuity preserved, pupils reactive to light, conjunctival injection, no corneal involvement, large cobblestone papillae under upper eyelid, chemosis	Bilateral eye involvement; painless tearing; intense itching; diffuse redness; stringy or ropy, watery discharge	Airborne pollens, dust mites, animal dander, feathers, other environmental antigens

Classification

Viral, bacterial and fungal eye infections are not commonly classified other than by the inciting organism when known.

History

Symptoms usually begin gradually. Mattering of the eyelid(s) and a red eye on awakening is often the first sign of an eye infection. Common symptoms of corneal infections include: red/pink eye, tearing, purulence, crusty eyelids, mild pruritis, photophobia (if more severe), and potentially a mild foreign body or irritation sensation. Visual acuity is generally preserved, although some viral infections, especially herpes or zoster, may involve the visual axis and reduce visual acuity.

DIAGNOSTIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Viral Screening

Adenovirus screening has been performed in clinical settings to diagnose viral conjunctivitis [531] as most cases of viral conjunctivitis are caused by adenovirus [523].

Adenovirus Screening, Select Patients

Recommended.

Adenovirus screening is selectively recommended for evaluation of infectious conjunctivitis where there is diagnostic uncertainty and a significant consideration for bacterial conjunctivitis. It is not recommended for routine evaluation of typical viral conjunctivitis cases.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – Low

Indications: Adenovirus screening is highly selectively recommended for

evaluation of eye infections where there is diagnostic uncertainty and a significant consideration for bacterial conjunctivitis and the condition is more serious, thus there is contemplation of other treatment(s). The main purpose of this screening is to determine the cause and prevent unnecessary antibiotic use. Screening is not recommended for routine evaluation of typical viral

conjunctivitis cases.

Indications for Discontinuation: N/A

Benefits: Potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and reduce use of antibiotics.

Harms: May mislead especially with negative test results as assumptions may

be incorrect that the agent is a bacterium. False positive results are

also possible.

Comments:

Rationale: There is 1 high-quality study showing 89% sensitivity and 94%

specificity [531]. The primary purpose of adenovirus screening is to rule out other infections and prevent excessive antibiotic usage for a condition that is usually self-limited. Yet, there are other viral causes, thus it is an imperfect test. As most cases resolve readily without treatment, routine screening is not recommended. Adenovirus

screening is not invasive, has negligible adverse effects, is low cost, has demonstrated efficacy and is thus indicated for selectively diagnosing viral conjunctivitis.

Adenovirus Screening, Routine

Not Recommended.

Routine adenovirus screening is not recommended for evaluation of infectious conjunctivitis.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High

Indications:

Indications for Discontinuation:

Benefits: Harms: Comments: Rationale:

There is 1 high-quality study showing 89% sensitivity and 94% specificity [531]. The primary purpose of adenovirus screening is to rule out other infections and prevent excessive antibiotic usage for a condition that is usually self-limited. Yet, there are other viral causes, thus it is an imperfect test. As most cases resolve readily without treatment, routine screening is not recommended. Adenovirus screening is not invasive, has negligible adverse effects, is low cost, has demonstrated efficacy and is thus indicated for selectively

diagnosing viral conjunctivitis.

Evidence:

Culture and Sensitivity

Gram Stain, Potassium Iodide (KOH) preparation, Culture and Sensitivity of Eye Infections (Select Patients)

Recommended.

Gram Stain, KOH preparation, culture and sensitivity of eye infections are selectively recommended, especially for moderate to severe and/or poorly responding and/or recurrent cases.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – High

Indications: Gram Stain, potassium iodide (KOH) preparation, culture and

sensitivity of eye infections are selectively recommended, especially for evaluation of eye infections where there is a moderate to severe infection [532, 533]. These are also recommended if there is either poor clinical response to empiric treatment and/or a recurrent infection. The main purpose of this screening is to determine the most

appropriate treatment.

Indications for Discontinuation:

Benefits: Potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and reduce use of

inappropriate antibiotics.

Harms:	Negligible. There is potential	for misinterpretation is	f current antibiotic

use produces a false negative test result.

Comments:

Gram Stain, Potassium Iodide (KOH) preparation, Culture and Sensitivity of Eye Infections (Routine)

Not Recommended.

Routine Gram Stain, KOH preparation, culture and sensitivity of eye infections is not recommended as many cases are able to be treated empirically.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Moderate

Indications:

Indications for Discontinuation:

Benefits: Harms: Comments: Rationale:

There is evidence suggesting antibiotic anti-fungal resistance correlates with worse outcomes [532, 533]. The primary purpose of Gram Stain, potassium iodide (KOH) preparation, culture and sensitivity of eye infections is to secure a diagnosis that allows for a specific, focused treatment regimen. This also helps prevent excessive antibiotic use and/or excessively broad spectrum use that may foster the development of resistant organisms. age for a condition that is usually self-limited. Yet, there are other viral causes, thus it is an imperfect test. As many cases of milder conjunctivitis resolve readily without treatment and others resolve readily with empiric treatment, routine Gram Stain, potassium iodide (KOH) preparation, culture and sensitivity of eye infections is not recommended. Gram Stain, potassium iodide (KOH) preparation, culture and sensitivity of eye infections is not invasive, have negligible adverse effects, are low cost, have demonstrated clinical efficacy and are thus indicated for selectively diagnosing bacterial and fungal eye infections.

Evidence:

Other Diagnostic Testing

Generally, other diagnostic testing is not needed for evaluating eye infections. Occasionally, there may be a need for other tests based on any other accompanying symptoms and/or injuries (e.g., sinus x-ray, sinus CT scan, CT of orbits, MRI of orbits).

TREATMENT

Initial Care

For presumptive viral conjunctivitis and mild bacterial conjunctivitis, there is no medication necessary. However, careful instructions about vigilant hand-eye hygiene is important to reduce risks of further

spread. For moderate to severe bacterial conjunctivitis, closer follow-up is required for progress and recovery. For corneal infections or corneal ulcers, medication(s) are necessary and close follow-up is required to minimize risk of visual loss.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Medications

No antibiotic treatment is required for common causes of viral conjunctivitis [534]. Herpes simplex and herpes zoster corneal infections require anti-viral treatment, but are beyond the scope of this guideline as they are not considered occupational conditions. In adults, the most common causes of bacterial conjunctivitis are *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (51%), *Pseudomonas* (23%), *Staphylococcus sp* and *Hemophilus influenzae* [535, 536]. Treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis shortens the clinical course [512, 537-540]. Yet, mild mucopurulent infections are not improved faster with antibiotics [541]. Ulcer severity is strongly correlated with outcome [542]. Fungal infections are generally more severe and require longer treatment times to resolve [543].

Antibiotics for Bacterial Conjunctivitis and Bacterial Infections Complicating Corneal Ulcers Moderately Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Antibiotics are recommended for select treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis and bacterial infections complicating corneal ulcers.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B) Level of Confidence – Moderate

⊠ Subacute	⊠ Chronic	

 \boxtimes Preoperative \boxtimes Perioperative \boxtimes Postoperative

Indications: Moderate to severe bacterial conjunctivitis to shorten the clinical

course. May not be necessary for mild cases, as mild mucopurulent infections are not improved faster with antibiotics (Reitveld 05). Cases of Neisseria require both topical and systemic treatment and are beyond the scope of this guideline. Bacterial infections complicating corneal ulcers also require treatment with the additional indication of treatment until the corneal defect has also resolved. Baseline visual

acuity is predictive of visual recovery [544].

Frequency/Dose/Duration: There is quality evidence of comparable efficacy among all of the following on the longic antibiotic preparations: ciprofloyacin 0.3%

following ophthalmologic antibiotic preparations: ciprofloxacin 0.3%, gatifloxacin 0.3%, levofloxacin 0.5%, lomefloxacin 0.3%, moxifloxacin

0.5-1.0%, ofloxacin 0.3%, ofloxacin- benzalkonium chloride,

tobramycin-cefazolin 1.33-1.5%/5-10%, cefazolin-amikacin, cefazolin-gentamicin, and thimerosal 0.005%. Thimerosal is not recommended due to a 5-fold rate of toxicity [545]. Tailoring the antibiotic selection to the estimated bacteria genus and specie as well as incorporating local antibiotic resistance profiles is advisable. Gram stain is not commonly performed but may assist in preliminary antibiotic tailoring,

Copyright © 2017 Reed Group, Ltd.

and further adjustments of the selected antibiotic may be necessary based on culture and sensitivity results, if obtained, as there is evidence suggesting antibiotic resistance correlates with worse outcomes [533]. Length of treatment is for the duration of symptoms and for ulcers is typically for the duration of the ulcer until the corneal defect is resolved.

Antibiotic regimens used in the highest quality studies include:

- Amikacin/Cefazolin eye drops every 10 minutes during first 30 minutes of treatment and later decreased to hourly every 3 days [546]
- Ciprofloxacin 0.3% eye drops every 15 minutes for 1st 6 hours, 1 drop every hour 1st day, then hourly [547],
- Gatifloxacin 0.3% eye drops hourly [548]
- Levofloxacin 0.5% eye drops every 10 minutes during first 30 minutes of treatment and later decreased to hourly every 3 days [546]
- Lomefloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.3% 1 drop every 15 minutes for 1st 6 hours, 1 drop every hour 1st day, then hourly the following days [547]
- Moxifloxacin 1 drop every hour for 48 hours, day 3 every hour by day and 2 hours by night, days 4 and 5, 1 drop every 2 hours and 4 by night, days 6 and 7, 1 drop every 4 hours and after every 6 hours [549]
- Ofloxacin 0.3% every ½ hr on study day 1, every hour on days 2 4, and every 2 hours on days 5 – 21 [550]
- Ofloxacin 0.3% eye drops every 30 minutes for 6 hours, hourly on days 1-3, 2-hourly on days 4-5 and 4 hours until 1 week [551]
- Azithromycin 1% 1 drop twice daily for 3 days [552-554]
- Tobramycin 1.33% / Cefazolin 5% group received 1 drop every hour for 48 hours, day 3 every hour by day and 2 hours by night, days 4 and 5, 1 drop every 2 hours and 4 by night, days 6 and 7, 1 drop every 4 hours and after every 6 hours [549]
- Tobramycin/Cefazolin 1.5%/5% solution 0.3% 1 drop every 30 minutes for 6 hours, hourly on days 1-3, 2-hourly on days 4-5 and 4 hours until 1 week [551]

Indications for Discontinuation:

Resolution of infection, resolution of all corneal defects. In case of allergy, discontinuation of an antibiotic and initiation of a second from a different antibiotic class is indicated.

Benefits:

Shortened clinical course. Likely improved visual acuity compared with non-treatment in those with baseline visual field defects. Improve ulcer healing if bacterial infection complicating an ulcer.

Harms:

Risks of antibiotic use, mostly allergies and increased bacterial resistance.

Comments: Rationale:

There are many quality comparative trials evaluating treatment of bacterial infections with keratitis or complicating corneal ulcers. There are several placebo-controlled trials, all showing earlier clinical resolution with antibiotic treatment [537-540]. There is no quality evidence that any antibiotic is superior to another for treatment of these infections and all of the following have quality evidence of comparable efficacy: besifloxacin [537, 538, 555, 556], ciprofloxacin [547, 548, 550, 557-559], gatifloxacin [548, 560-563], levofloxacin [546, 564] Iomefloxacin [547, 565, 566], moxifloxacin [549, 560, 562, 567,

568] [569], ofloxacin [549-551, 564, 570, 571], ofloxacin-benzalkonium chloride [545], tobramycin-cefazolin [549, 551, 557, 560, 570-572], cefazolin-amikacin [546], cefazolin-gentamicin [558, 565], azithromycin [552-554], and thimerosal [545]. However, thimerosal is not recommended due to a 5-fold rate of adverse effects [545]. Topical ophthalmological antibiotic preparations are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are low cost, and are effective for treatment of moderate to severe bacterial eye infections and ulcers complicated by bacterial infections. Thus, they are recommended.

Evidence:

Adjuvant Glucocorticosteroids for Bacterial Conjunctivitis and Bacterial Infections Complicating Corneal Ulcers

Strength of Evidence - Not Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

Not Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Adjuvant glucocorticosteroids are not recommended for treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis and bacterial Infections complicating corneal ulcers.

Level of Confide	ence – Low		
☐ Acute ☐ Preoperative	□ Subacute e □ F	e □ Chronic Perioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications: Frequency/Dose, Indications for D Benefits: Harms: Comments: Rationale:		Adjuvant gluco widespread w outcomes [573 glucocorticost treatment with in outcomes of Another trial st glucocorticost suggested ster 567, 574-576], glucocorticost medications d 567, 574, 575]	ocorticosteroid use for bacterial corneal ulcers has been ith a strong belief in efficacy at improving visual 3]. There are quality trials evaluating adjuvant eroid use for treatment of bacterial keratitis after initial h an antibiotic and failing to show significant differences ever intermediate to longer terms [544, 567, 574, 575]. Suggested delayed epithelialization with eroid compared with placebo [574]. It has also been roids may not be helpful for nocardial infections [544, . Topical ophthalmological preparations of eroids are not invasive, and are low cost. These o not have significant demonstrated efficacy [544, 561,], appear to have the adverse effect of delaying healing, not recommended.

Evidence:

Antibiotics for Viral Conjunctivitis

Not Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Antibiotics are not recommended for routine t	reatment of viral conjunctivitis.
Strength of Evidence – Not Recommen Level of Confidence – Moderate	ded, Insufficient Evidence (I)
☐ Acute ☐ Subacute ☐ Chr ☐ Preoperative ☐ Perioperati	
Indications: Frequency/Dose/Duration: Indications for Discontinuation: Benefits: Harms:	
viral co duration ophthat effects conjunt threshot rates of some vare tree bacteria	ire is one moderate quality trial of antibiotics for treatment of injunctivitis that showed minimal shortening of symptom in with empiric antibiotic treatment [534]. Topical Imological antibiotics are not invasive, have few adverse are low cost, but do not have a sound rationale for use in viral ctivitis and are thus generally not recommended. However, the old for treatment with antibiotics is fairly low as they have low adverse effects. Additionally, it can be difficult to separate iral from bacterial infections, thus there are many cases that atted with antibiotics. Severe infections or those thought to be all are obvious candidates for treatment. Herpes simplex and zoster corneal infections do require anti-viral treatment but your the scope of this guideline.
Evidence: Comments:	
Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs fo Not Recommended.	r Symptoms of Viral Conjunctivitis
Medications (including topical creams)	
NSAIDs are not recommended for treatment o	f viral conjunctivitis.
Strength of Evidence – Not Recomme n Level of Confidence – High	ded, Evidence (C)
☑ Acute☐ Subacute☐ Chr☐ Preoperative☐ Perioperation	
Indications: Frequency/Dose/Duration: Indications for Discontinuation: Benefits:	

Harms: Comments: Rationale: Evidence:	Two quality articles failed to find superiority of an NSAID to artificial tears [577] [578], thus there is no demonstrable efficacy. NSAIDs are not invasive, have low adverse effects especially for short-term use, are low cost, but are not effective and thus are not recommended.
Glucocorticosteroids for Symptoms No Recommendation.	of Viral Conjunctivitis
Medications (including topical creams)	
There is no recommendation for or again	inst glucocorticosteroid for treatment of viral conjunctivitis.
Strength of Evidence – No Reco Level of Confidence – High	mmendation, Insufficient Evidence (I)
☑ Acute☐ Preoperative☐ Period	☐ Chronic ☐ Postoperative ☐ Postoperative
Indications: Frequency/Dose/Duration: Indications for Discontinuation: Benefits: Harms: Comments: Rationale:	There is one trial that had methodological issues including protocol deviation which was interpreted as suggesting reduced symptoms [579]. Glucocorticosteroids are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are low cost, but effectiveness is unclear and thus there is no recommendation.
Evidence:	
Antifungal Medications for Fungal C Ulcers Recommended.	Conjunctivitis and Fungal Infections Complicating Corneal
Medications (including topical creams)	
Antifungal medications are recommend complicating corneal ulcers.	ed for treatment of fungal conjunctivitis and fungal infections
Strength of Evidence – Recomm Level of Confidence – Low	ended, Evidence (C)
☒ Acute☒ Preoperative☒ Perioperative	⊠ Chronic operative ⊠ Postoperative

Indications:

Frequency/Dose/Duration:

Fungal conjunctivitis. Fungal infections complicating corneal ulcers also require treatment with the additional indication of treatment until the corneal defect has also resolved.

There is quality evidence of comparable efficacy among most of the following ophthalmologic antibiotic preparations: econazole 2%, natamycin 5%, voriconazole 1%, and Amphotericin B. Metanalysis of multiple trials suggests natamycin is superior to voriconazole [543], thus voriconazole is not recommended. One trial suggested superiority of chlorhexidine gluconate compared with natamycin 5% [580]. One trial found superiority of Amphotericin B drops plus subconjunctival injections of fluconazole to topical treatment alone [581]. Potassium iodide (KOH) is not always performed but may assist in preliminary antifungal regimen tailoring, and further adjustments in the medication(s) used may be necessary based on culture and sensitivity results. Length of treatment is until resolution of the ulcers, which varies widely and is commonly 4-6 weeks.

Antifungal regimens used in the highest quality studies include:

- Econazole 2% drops on hourly basis between 7 am to 9 pm [582].
- Natamycin 5% every hour while awake until reepithelialization, then 4 times daily for at least 3 weeks [542, 580, 582-584].
- Amphotericin B 0.2 mg/ml Q2hrs for 21 days [581]
- Amphotericin B 0.2 mg/ml Q2hrs for 21 days plus subconjunctival injections of fluconazole 2mg/mL daily for 10 days [585]
- Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.2%, 1/2-hourly to 2-hourly for up to 5 days, then with reduced frequency, and all patients re-assessed at 21 days.
 [580]

Indications for Discontinuation:

Resolution of infection, resolution of all corneal defects. In case of allergy, discontinuation of an antifungal and initiation of a second may be indicated.

Benefits:

Improve ulcer healing if fungal infection complicating an ulcer. Likely improved visual acuity compared with non-treatment in those with baseline visual field defects.

Harms:

Risks of antifungal use, mostly allergies and increased fungal resistance.

Rationale:

There are multiple quality comparative trials evaluating treatment of fungal infections with keratitis or complicating corneal ulcers. There are no placebo-controlled trials. There is limited quality evidence that one antifungal may be superior to another, as multiple trials suggest natamycin is superior to voriconazole [543]. One moderate quality trial found Amphotericin B drops plus subconjunctival injections of fluconazole superior to topical treatment alone [585]. There is also limited evidence the chlorhexidine gluconate may be superior to natamycin drops [580]. All of the following have been assessed in quality trials: Amphotericin B [581], econazole [582], natamycin [542, 580, 582-584, 586], voriconazole [542, 580, 582-584, 586]. Topical ophthalmological antifungal preparations are not invasive, have low

adverse effects, are low cost and are likely effective for treatment of fungal eye infections and ulcers complicated by fungal infections. Thus, they are recommended. Adjuvant antifungal injections in addition to topical treatment may be effective and may be best for severe cases, but evidence is currently insufficient to conclude an evidence-based recommendation [581].

Evidence for Glucocorticosteroids

Author	Catego	Stud	Conflict of	Sample	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Year	ry:	У	Interest:	size:						
(Score):		type:		N 50 W		0 0 1151 1			// 0.450/ L	0 11 50
Lyra 2014 (Score = 7.5)	Glucoc orticos teroids	RCT	No sponsorsh ip or COI.	N = 50 with acute viral conjunctiviti s;	mean age of 31.6±10.7 years.	Group 0: artificial tears (N = 26) vs. Group 1: 0.45% ketorolac tromethamine + carboxymethylcellul ose (N = 24). In both the groups, The patients were instructed to use the medication 4 times daily.	Follow-up on 3rd and 7th days of treatment.	There was no significant difference in symptom and sign scores between Group 0 and Group 1 in the study visits (p>0.05). The frequency of side effects during treatment was similar between	"0.45% ketorolac tromethamine was not superior to artificial tears in relieving the signs and symptoms of viral conjunctivitis. Further research studies to evaluate safe and effective therapies for this common eye disease	Comparable efficacy between the 2 treatment groups.
Shiuey 2000 (Score = 7.0)	Glucoc orticos teroids	RCT	Sponsored by an unrestricte d grant from Allergan Pharmeceu ticals, Irvine, California. No COI.	N = 117 with unilateral or bilateral conjunctiviti s of less than 2 weeks;	mean age of 31 for both groups.	Ketorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution 1 drop in each symptomatic eye 4 times / day for 7 days (N = 57) vs. Artificial tears 1 drop in each symptomatic eye 4 times / day for 7 days (N = 48).	Follow up at 3 to 4 days.	groups (p>0.05). Redness classified as worse / no change / better for artificial tears was 0 (0.0%) / 5 (10.4%) / 43 (89.6%) vs. ketorolac group 6 (10.5%) / 12 (21.1%) / 39 (68.4%), (p=0.012). Adverse events at stinging / headache / photophobia for artificial tears 9 (18.8%) / 0 (0%) / 0 (0%) vs. ketorolac group 34 (59.6%) / 1 (1.7%) /1 (1.7%), (p<0.001).	are required." "Topical ketorolac 0.5% used four times daily is no better than artificial tears at relieving the symptoms or signs of viral conjunctivitis and produces more stinging than artificial tears."	Data suggest lack of efficacy.

Everitt 2006 (Score = 6.5)	Glucoc orticos teroids	RCT	Sponsored by the Medical Research Council of a clinical training fellowship awarded to Dr. Everitt. No COI.	N = 307 with acute infective conjunctiviti s adults and children;	mean age 27.2±27.6 for no antibiotics, 27.2±25.1 for immediate antibiotics and 28.2±25.9 for delayed antibiotics.	Immediate antibiotics for 3.3 days (N = 104) vs. Delayed antibiotics for 3.9 days (N = 109) vs. No antibiotic or controls for 4.8 days (N = 94).	Follow up?	Antibiotic use / belief in antibiotic effectiveness / intention to reattend for eye infections: (99% vs. 53% vs. 30% in control group / (47% vs. 55% vs. 47% in controls) / (68% vs. 41% vs. 40% in controls).	"Compared with no initial offer of antibiotics delayed prescribing had the advantage of reduced antibiotic use (almost 50%), no evidence of medicalisation, similar symptom control to immediate prescribing, and reduced attendance for eye infections."	No blinding. Intervention process poorly described.
Wilkins 2011 (Score = 6.0)	Glucoc orticos teroids	RCT	Sponsore d by the UK departme nt of Health's NIHR BRC at Moorfield s Eye Hospital and the UCL Institute of Ophthalm ology. No COI.	N = 111 with acute follicular conjunctiviti s, presumed viral in origin;	mean age for group 1 was 39 years and group 2 was 38 years.	Group 1: dexamethasone drops, 0.1% (N=56) vs. Group 2: hypromellose lubricant drops, 0.3% (N= 55). Both groups were prescribed those drops for four times daily for 1 week.	No follow- up time reported.	Most patients (39/45 (87%) receiving dexamethasone and most of those receiving hypromellose 30/43 (70%) felt that the treatment helped. Analysis of all responses showed a significant difference between treatments (p=0.0248).	"[T]his trial provides evidence to support the use of a short course of topical dexamethasone for patients presenting with acute follicular conjunctivitis without keratitis signs or pseudomembrane. Where topical dexamethasone is prescribed we have not found it to be harmful, although it is important to remember that the trial was not powered to find a difference in side effects between the two arms. The lack of harm matches previous experience where topical steroids have been used for this condition."	Protocol states deviation to achieve statistical significance after recruitment failure. Data suggest some efficacy for use of topical steroid.

	Glucoc orticos teroids	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 62 with measles conjunctiviti s;	age range of 20 to 22.	Ketorolac 0.5% in the right eye, artificial tears in the left eye (N = 31) vs. Indomethacin 0.1% in the right eye, artificial tears in the left eye (N = 31).	Follow up at baseline, 7 and 14 days.	Conjunctival injection score at days 7 and 14 was significantly lower in ketorolac treated group compared to indomethacin treated eye (p<0.05).	"In patients with measles during the first two weeks of infection, ketorolac and indomethacin were more effective than artificial tears in decreasing conjunctival hyperemia, but burning sensations, foreign body sensation, and photophobia were unaffected."	Study labeled double masked but all left eyes placebo. Most measures did not differ.
Srinivasan a 2012	Steroid	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind	Sponsored by National Eye Institute grant, Dr. Acharya is supported by National Eye Institute grant, and a Research to Prevent Blindness Award, and a core grand from the National Eye Institute. No COI.	N = 500 with bacterial keratitis.	The median age was 53.0 (40.0 – 61.0).	Entry criteria were at least 48 hours of moxifloxacin treatment. Then either: Topical prednisolone sodium phosphate 1.0% 1 drop 4 times daily for 1 week, then 2 a day for 1 week (N = 250) vs Placebo adjunctive Therapy the same dosing as topical prednisolone sodium group (N = 250).	Follow-up at 3 months.	Significantly different infiltrate/scar size at 3 weeks, 0.05 mm; 95% CI, -0.09 to 0.15, (p = 0.60) or 3 months, 0.06 mm; -0.07 to 0.17, (p = 0.40). At 3-month BSCVA (-0.009 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; 95% CI, -0.085-0.068, (p = 0.82) / infiltrate /scar size (p = 0.40) / time to reepithelialization, (p = 0.44) / or corneal perforation (p > 0.99). Significant effect of corticosteroids seen in subgroups of baseline BSCVA,	"[N]o overall difference in 3-month BSCVA and no safety concerns with adjunctive corticosteroid therapy for bacterial corneal ulcers."	All treated with moxifloxacin for at least 2 days prior to RCT with steroid. Comparable efficacy at 3 months, but at 3 weeks, data suggest poorer healing with steroid.

								(p = 0.03) / ulcer location, (p = 0.04).		
Srinivasan b 2012 (Score = 6.0)	Steroid	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind	Sponsored by the National Eye Institute grant, Dr. Acharya is supported by National Eye Institute grant, and a Research to Prevent Blindness Award.	N = 500 with bacterial keratitis.	The median age was 53 (40-61).	Topical moxifloxacin 0.5% drop 4 times daily for 1 week, then twice a day for 1 week, and then once per day for 1 week (N = NA) vs Topical prednisolone phosphate 1% or placebo drops were given according the same schedule as treatment group (N = NA).	Follow-up at 3 months.	Median baseline visual acuity was 0.84 logMAR, IQ range 0.36-1.7, (p = 0.55). Baseline visual acuity was not significantly different between the United States and India. Ulcers in India had larger infiltrate/scar sizes, (p = 0.04) and deeper infiltrates, (p = 0.04) and were more likely to be localized centrally, (p = 0.002) than ulcers	"The Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial will compare the use of a topical corticosteroid with placebo as adjunctive therapy for bacterial corneal ulcers."	Methods paper for SCUT studies. Some baseline comparability differences between the study and placebo groups.

				enrolled in the United States.	

Evidence for Topical Glucocorticosteroids

Blair 2011	Topical	RCT,	Supported	N = 30 with	mean age of	Gatifloxacin (Zymar)	Mean residual	"No benefit was	Very small sample sizes.
(Score = 8.5) glucocor	pros	by The	bilateral	40.7±21.12	and a masked	ulcer size at 10	demonstrated in our	Some baseline
	ticoster	pecti	Physicians'	corneal ulcer	for antibiotic	placebo (N = 15) vs	weeks compared	primary outcome for	comparability
	oids	ve	Services	confirmed	only group,	Gatiflozacin and	with baseline:	using steroids in	discrepancies. Data
			Incorporati	by culture;	and	masked	antibiotic only vs.	combination with	suggest no benefit of
			on		48.7±19.88	dexamethasone,	antibiotic plus	antibiotic therapy in	adjuvant steroid to
			Foundation		for antibiotic	0.1% Maxidex (N =	steroid: -0.789mm	treatment of corneal	antimicrobial versus
			. No COI.		and steroid	15). Patients were	squared vs	ulcers. This study	antimicrobial alone for
					group.	instructed to take	4.206mm squared,	suggests that the	corneal ulcers. Likely
						the antibiotic every	(p = 0.05).	early addition of	underpowered for either
						hour they were		steroids to the	efficacy or adverse
						awake for days 1		antibiotic treatment	effects.
						and 2; reduce dose		of corneal ulcers	
						to every 2 hours and		does not seem to be	
						begin		harmful when	
						steroid/placebo 4		employed in a closely	
						times a day; on day		monitored clinical	
						7, patients reduced		setting."	
						the antibiotic to 4			
						times a day.			

Srinivasan 2009 (Score = 7.0)	Topical glucocor ticoster oids	RCT Doub le- blind ed	Sponsored from That Man May See and the South Asia Research Fund, a core grant from the National Eye Institute, Eye Institute Grant, and T M Lietman is supported by a National Eye Institute grant. No COI.	N = 42 with bacterial keratitis.	The mean age for steroid / placebo was: 44.1 (17.0) / 49.9 (13.0).	Topical prednisolone phosphate 1% 4 times a week for 1 week, then every 2 hours and 4 times a day until 3 weeks (N = 20) vs Placebo 0.9% sodium chloride 4 times a day for 1 week, every 2 hours and 4 times a day until 3 weeks (N = 22).	Follow-up at 3 months.	Compared with placebo treatment, steroid treatment was associated with 0.19 lower (better) logMAR acuity at 3 weeks or 95% CI 20.52-0.15, (p = 0.26) / 0.09 lower logMAR acuity at 3 months, 95% CI 20.41-0.24, (p = 0.60). At 3 months, steroid treatment was associated with 0.33 mm smaller infiltrate / scar size diameter or 95% CI 1.4 mm smaller to 0.75 mm larger vs placebo, (p = 0.53).	"In this trial, although the steroid-treated group had a significant delay in reepithelialisation, steroids were not associated with a statistically significant difference in BSCVA or infiltrate/scar size."	Pilot study of steroid versus placebo suggesting slower re-epithelialisation but visual acuity similar in both groups.
-------------------------------------	---	-----------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	--	------------------------	---	--	--

Srinivasan a	Topical	RCT	Sponsored	N = 500 with	The median	Topical	Follow-up at	Significantly	"[N]o overall	Comparable efficacy at 3
2012 (Score	glucocor	Multi	by National	bacterial	age was 53.0	prednisolone	3 months.	different	difference in 3-month	months. However, data at
= 6.5)	ticoster	cente	Eye	keratitis.	(40.0 - 61.0).	sodium phosphate		infiltrate/scar size	BSCVA and no safety	3 weeks suggest delay
	oids	r	Institute			1.0% 1 drop 4 times		at 3 weeks, 0.05	concerns with	
		Doub	grant, Dr.			daily for 1 week,		mm; 95% CI, -0.09	adjunctive	
		le-	Acharya is			then 2 a day for 1		to 0.15, (p = 0.60)	corticosteroid	
		blind	supported			week, then once a		or 3 months, 0.06	therapy for bacterial	
			by National			day for 1 week (N =		mm; -0.07 to 0.17,	corneal ulcers."	
			Eye			250) vs Placebo		(p = 0.40). At 3-		
			Institute			adjunctive Therapy		month BSCVA		
			grant, and			the same dosing as		(-0.009 logarithm		
			a Research			topical prednisolone		of the minimum		
			to Prevent			sodium group (N =		angle of resolution;		
			Blindness			250).		95% CI, -0.085-		
			Award, and					0.068, (p = 0.82) /		
			a core					infiltrate /scar size		
			grand from					(p = 0.40) / time to		
			the					reepithelialization,		
			National					(p = 0.44) / or		
			Eye					corneal		
			Institute.					perforation (p >		
			No COI.					0.99). A significant		
								effect of		
								corticosteroids was		
								observed in		
								subgroups of		
								baseline BSCVA, (p		
								= 0.03) / ulcer		
								location, (p = 0.04).		

(Score = 6.0) glu	ucocor Notes to the coster of	Multi lente Doub e- plind	Sponsored by Grant from the National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health. The Departmen t of Ophthalmol ogy U.C. sponsored by Core Grant from the National Eye	N = 55 with bacterial corneal ulcers or Nocardia corneal ulcer.	The median age was 48 years or age range, 40 – 60 years.	Topical prednisolone phosphate 1 drop topically 4 times daily for 1 week, then twice daily for 1 week, and then once daily for 1 week (N = NA) vs Placebo received at least 48 hours of topical moxifloxacin 0.9% 1 drop applied topically every hour while awake for the first 48 hours, then 1 drop every 2 hours until reepithelialization and then 4 times	Follow-up at 3 months.	Best spectacle corrected visual acuity (BSCVA) / infiltrate or scar size at 3 months: median BSCVA was worse in patients receiving amikacin 0.54 logMAR vs 0.09 log- MAR, (p = 0.01) / on average 0.40-mm larger infiltrate or scar size in Nocardia keratitis cases, with enrollment scar size and addition of amikacin as covariates, 0.40	"Nocardia ulcers responded well to treatment. They showed less overall improvement in visual acuity than non-Nocardia ulcers, but had better presentation acuity."	Post-hoc subset study from original SCUT to look at Nocardia Keratitis versus other bacterial keratitis and how these respond to steroids showed less improvement but may be due to Nocardia patients having better baseline visual acuity.
			National			reepithelialization		amikacin as		

			Blindness Award, N.Y.							
Srinivasan 2014 (Score = 6.0)	Topical glucocor ticoster oids	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind	Sponsored by the National Eye Institute, Dr. Lietman is also supported by a Research to Prevent Blindness Physician Scientist Award. Dr. Acharya is supported by a National Eye Institute and a Research to Prevent Blindness	N = 500 with bacterial corneal ulcers.	The mean age for placebo / steroid group; 50 (40-60) / 52 (40-61).	Moxifloxacin 0.5% 1 drop every hour for the first 48 hours, then every 2 hours until reepithelialization, and then 4 times a day until 3 weeks (N = 250) vs Topical prednisolone Phosphate 1.0% or topical placebo 1 drop 4 times per day for 1 week, then twice a day for 1 week, and then once per day for 1 week (N = 250).	Follow-up at 12 months.	No significant differences in BSCVA or scar size between treatment arms, (p = 0.39 or 0.69) or at 12 months among Nocardia ulcer, (p = 0.16) or scar size, (p = 0.02). No statistical difference for non-Nocardia ulcers, (p = 0.46).	"Adjunctive topical corticosteroid therapy may be associated with improved long term clinical outcomes in bacterial corneal ulcers not caused by Nocardia species."	12 month SCUT follow-up study. Topical steroids may be beneficial from non Nocardia ulcers.

			Award. NO COI.							
McClintic 2014 (Score = 6.0)	Topical glucocor ticoster oids	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind	Sponsored by 3 National Eye Institute Grants, a Research to Prevent Blindness Award (NRA), Alcon/Nova rtis AG, and Core Grant. No COI.	N = 50 with bacterial keratitis.	The median age was 45 years (38-60).	Topical prednisolone phosphate (1%) tapered over 3 weeks (N = 24) vs Topical placebo tapered over 3 weeks (N = 26).	Follow-up at 3 weeks, 3 months, 12 months and 4 years.	Visual acuity or VA (logMAR) at 4 year visit: 28 or 59.6% had VA better than 20/40, 15 or 31.9% had VA from 20/200, 1 or 2.1% had VA from 20/ 200 to 20/800, and 3 (or 6.4% had VA of counting fingers or worse. Best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA) at 4 years was not statistically different between groups, (p = 0.53).	"Cases of bacterial keratitis may continue to demonstrate improvements in visual acuity up to 12 months following diagnosis, but further improvements are unlikely."	4 year post-hoc subset analyses of original SCUT study. Visual acuity did not improve after 12 months although 60% of the 4 year subset population still had 20/20 vision and the remainder of vision problems was largely attributable to corneal scaring and cataracts.

Ray 2013 (Score = 6.0)	Topical glucocor ticoster oids	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind	Sponsored by grant from the National Eye Institute (Dr. Lietman). Dr. Acharya is supported by grant from the National Eye Institute and a Research to Prevent Blindness Award. Alcon provided moxifloxaci n (Vigamox) for the trial.	N = 480 with bacterial keratitis.	The median age was 50 years, ranging from 39 – 60.	Prednisolone phosphate 1% (N = NA) vs Topical placebo group of sodium chloride 0.9%, and preservative (N = NA).	Follow-up not specified.	Patients reporting fluoroquinolone were 2.01-fold—higher minimum inhibitory concentration (MICs) at (95% CI, 1.39-fold to 2.91-fold; P <.001). Patients reported using different fluoroquinolones, including ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (N=26), ofloxacin (N=24), gatifloxacin (N=18), and moxifloxacin (N=16). No significant results when comparing patients reporting 3 rd generation fluoroquinolone (with levofloxacin) baseline at (95% CI, 0.35-fold to 8.11-fold; P = .51)	"This study provides evidence that prior use of fluoroquinolones is associated with antibiotic resistance."	Subset SCUT study to demonstrate prior fluoroquinolones treatment and how the MIC increased (i.e. antimicrobial resistance was induced).
---------------------------	---	--	--	-----------------------------------	--	---	--------------------------	--	---	--

Sy 2012 (Score = 6.0)	Topical glucocor ticoster oids	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind ed	Sponsored by National Eye Institute Grants and Core Grant: a Research to Prevent Blindness Award, The Proctor Foundation , A Dean's Research Fellow-ship from the UCSF School of Medicine, a Pathways to Careers in Clinical and Translation al Research Fellowship; an restricted gran from Research to Prevent Blindness; and That Man May See. No COI.	N = 500 with bacterial keratitis.	The age median, for those with P. aeruginosa / all other bacteria: 43 (30-54) / 55 (42.5-63).	Those with P. aeruginosa Corneal Ulcers randomized to: Topical prednisolone phosphate 1% (N = 59) vs Topical placebo NaCl 0.9% and preservative (N = 51).	Follow-up at 3 months.	At baseline, those with P. aeruginosa (N = 110) ulcers presented with significantly worse visual acuities than did patients with other bacterial ulcers, (p = 0.001). At 3 months, P. aeruginosa ulcers to show significantly greater improvement in visual acuity than other bacterial ulcers (N = 384) of similar presentation severity, (p = 0.004). The median visual acuity, 1.12 (0.46-1.7) in treatment vs 1.50 (0.46-1.8) in placebo group, (p = 0.10). The median infiltrate/scar size in mm, 3.75 (2.4-5.5) vs 3.75 (2.7-5.5) control group, (p = 0.29).	"Although P. aeruginosa corneal ulcers have a more severe presentation, they appear to respond better to treatment than other bacterial ulcers. The authors did not find a significant benefit with corticosteroid treatment, but they also did not find any increase in adverse events."	Post-hoc, subset of SCUT study for pseudomonas aeruginosa Keratitis showed this group showed greater improvement at 3 months than other types of bacterial ulcers. This may have been due to baseline acuity being greater in pseudomonas patients.
--------------------------	---	--	---	-----------------------------------	---	---	------------------------	--	---	---

Srinivasan b 2012 (Score = 6.0)	Topical glucocor ticoster oids	RCT Multi cente r Doub le- blind	Sponsored by the National Eye Institute grant, Dr. Acharya is supported by National Eye Institute grant, and a Research to Prevent Blindness Award.	N = 500 with bacterial keratitis.	The median age was 53 (40-61).	Topical moxifloxacin 0.5% drop 4 times daily for 1 week, then twice a day for 1 week, and then once per day for 1 week (N = NA) vs Topical prednisolone phosphate 1% or placebo drops were given according the same schedule as treatment group (N = NA).	Follow-up at 3 months.	Median baseline visual acuity was 0.84 logMAR, IQ range 0.36-1.7, (p = 0.55). Baseline visual acuity was not significantly different between the United States and India. Ulcers in India had larger infiltrate/scar sizes, (p = 0.04) and deeper infiltrates, (p = 0.04) and were more likely to be localized centrally, (p = 0.002) than ulcers enrolled in the United States.	"The Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial will compare the use of a topical corticosteroid with placebo as adjunctive therapy for bacterial corneal ulcers."	Methods paper for SCUT studies. Some baseline comparability differences between the study and lacebo groups.
Ray 2014 (Score = 6.0)	Corticos teroids	RCT	Sponsored by Grants from the National Eye Institute, and a Research to Prevent Blindness Award (Dr. Acharya). The Departmen t of Ophthalmo logy at the U.C., is supported by core grant from	N = 492 with bacterial keratitis.	The mean age and range in Earlier Addition / Later Addition Corticosteroid s; 54.5 and 40-62 / 51 and 40-61.	Earlier Addition of Corticosteroids or Placebo 2 to 3 days (N = 340) vs Later Addition of Corticosteroids or Placebo 4 or more days of topical antibiotics (N = 152).	Follow-up for 3 months.	At 3 months, antibiotic therapy for 2-3 days had approximately 1-line better visual acuity, (p = 0.01). At 3 months, antibiotic therapy for 4 or more days had approximately 1-line worse visual acuity, (p = 0.14).	"There may be a benefit with adjunctive topical corticosteroids if application occurs earlier in the course of bacterial corneal ulcers."	Original SCUT study at 3 months suggest possible benefit of addition of topical steroids if added early to other treatments.

the			
National			
Eye			
Institute.			
Alcon			
provided			
moxifloxaci			
n			
(Vigamox).			

Evidence for Ciprofloxacin

Author Year	Categor	Study	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
(Score):	<i>y:</i>	type:	Interest:							
Booranapong	Ciproflo	RCT	No mention	N = 46 eyes	The mean	Lomefloxacin	Follow-up every	Clinical efficacy /	"Lomefloxacin	Equivalent efficacy. Sparse
2004 (Score =	xacin	Double-	of	with	age for	ophthalmic	3 days until	time to cure /	ophthalmic	methodological details.
7.0)		blind	sponsorship	bacterial	Lomefloxaci	solution 0.3% 1	recovery, 17.22	clinical symptoms	solution (0.3%) is	Small sample size.
			or COI.	corneal	n/	drop every 15	± 3.97 vs 18.67	and signs / safety	equivalent	
				ulcers.	Ciprofloxaci	minutes for 1st 6	± 6.05 days in	and adverse	clinically and	
					n; 26.74 ±	hours, 1 drop	Ciprofloxacin	events: Epithelial	statistically to	
					10.86 /	every hour 1st	group.	defect and stromal	ciprofloxacin	
					29.72 ±	day, then hourly		inflammations, (p	ophthalmic	
					11.01.	the following		= 0.716 and 0.922)	solution (0.3%) for	
						days (N = 24) vs		/ 17.22 ± 3.97 vs	the treatment of	
						Ciprofloxacin		18.67 ± 6.05 days,	mild severity of	
						ophthalmic		(p < 0.05) / no	bacterial corneal	
						solution 0.3%,		statistically	ulcers without	
						dosing frequency		significant	statistically	
						the same as		differences, (p >	significant	
						Lomefloxacin		0.05).	differences in the	
						group (N = 22).			adverse effects	
									and discomfort."	

Parmar 2006 RCT	Ciproflo xacin	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 104 with bacterial keratitis.	The mean age for Gatifloxacin / Ciprofloxaci n; 41.5 ± 18.3 / 41.5 ± 16.3.	Gatifloxacin 0.3% eye drops or GAT group hourly until the ulcer had begun to heal (N = 50) vs Ciprofloxacin 0.3% eye drops or CIP group hourly (N = 54).	Follow-up until healing reported at 13.9 ± 10.2 mean days in Gatifloxacin and 16.8 ± 15.3.	GAT group exhibited complete healing vs the CIP group; 39 eyes or 95.1% vs 38 or 80.9%, (p = 0.042).	"Gatifloxacin had a significantly better action against gram-positive cocci both in vitro and in vivo when compared with ciprofloxacin."	Comparable efficacy between groups in terms of healing but Gatifloxacin showed better activity against gram positive organisms.
Prajna 2001 (Score = 7.0)	Ciproflo xacin	RCT Double- blinded	Sponsored by an unrestricted educational grant from Allergan Labs, Inc., Irvine, CA. No mention of COI.	N = 217 with bacterial keratitis.	Age ranging from ≤ 29 – ≥ 60.	Ofloxacin 0.3% every ½ hr on study day 1, every hour on days 2 - 4, and every 2 hours on days 5 - 21 (N = 112) vs Ciprofloxacin 0.3% every 1/2 on study day 1, every hour on days 2 - 4, and every 2 hours on days 5 - 21 (N = 105).	Follow-up for 21 days.	Corneal healing rates was observed in 6% (7 of 112) of ofloxacin- and 10% (10 of 105) of ciprofloxacin-treated patients, (p not reported). The average time to corneal healing in ofloxacin or ciprofloxacin, 13.7 ± 0.7 days and 14.4 ± 0.8 days, respectively, (p = 0.80). Time to corneal ulcer healing was 13.7 days in those treated with ofloxacin and 14.4 days in those treated with ciprofloxacin.	"Ofloxacin 0.3% and ciprofloxacin 0.3% ophthalmic solutions are effective and safe in the treatment of patients with culture-positive bacterial keratitis."	Comparable efficacy.

Hyndiuk 1996 (Score = 6.5)	Ciproflo xacin	RCT Parallel group Double- blind Multice nter	Sponsored in part by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, New York, and by Alcon Laboratories , Inc, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 324 with bacterial keratitis, (2 children).	The mean ages of the Ciprofloxaci n / standard therapy were; 45.8 ± 18.9 / 44.6 ± 21.4.	Ciprofloxacin group for 1 to 2 drops of the first medication every 30 minutes for 6 hours then hourly, days 2 and 3 for 1 to 2 drops hourly, days 4 and 5 for 1 to 2 drops every 2 hours, days 6 and 14 for 1-2 drops every 4 hours (N = 82) vs Standard therapy or fortified tobramycincefazolin, dosing schedule the	Follow-up at days 2, 4, 7, 14, and >16.	No statistical differences between treatments in times of overall clinical efficacy / resolution of clinical signs and symptoms / or timing to cure: (p = 0.034) / (p > 0.08) or / (p = 0.55). Fewer patients experienced discomfort in Ciprofloxacin group, (p = 0.01).	"Ciprofloxacin solution is equivalent clinically and statistically to standard therapy (fortified tobramycincefazolin) for treatment of bacterial corneal ulcers and procedures significantly less ocular discomfort."	Comparable efficacy between treatments although Ciprofloxacin group experienced less discomfort. Unclear baseline comparability.
						tobramycin-		•		

	hour while awake till midnight until complete recovery, plus atropine sulfate 1% twice daily (N = 17) vs Control group received topical cefazolin (50 mg/ml) and fortified gentamicin 14 mg/ml, plus atropine sulfate 1% twice daily (N = 24).	therapeutically successful vs 62.5% patients in control group showed similar outcome, (p = 0.839) / 14.6 ± 5.8 compared to 15.6 ± 8.6 control group, (p = 0.726). Visual improvements in ciprofloxacin was 66.7% vs 46.7% in control group, (p = 0.516). No statistical differences at	
		differences at baseline or demographics.	

Weyenberg 2004 (Score = 3.5)	Ciproflo xacin	RCT Crossov er	Sponsored by a grant from the Funds for Research in Ophthalmol ogy (FRO), Belgium. No COI.	N = 6 with bacterial keratitis.	The age range between 20 and 30 years.	1 drop of a 0.3% (wt/vol) ciprofloxacin solution (N = NA) vs A sterilized minitablet containing 3% (wt/wt) ciprofloxacin (N = NA).	Follow-up for 5 days.	The mean tear concentration of ciprofloxacin was 33.0, 135.2, and 33.7 µg/g at 30, 300, and 480 minutes after application of the minitablet. Mean tear levels of 84.7, 45.6, and 8.4 µg/g were obtained at 5, 30, and 60	"Due to their prolonged drug release properties, the ocular minitablets containing ciprofloxacin can be considered as a promising drug delivery system to be used in the treatment of ulcerative bacterial	Pilot study only with small sample size. Sparse methodological details. Two way crossover trial.

Evidence for Gatifloxacin

Parmar 2006 (Score = 7.0)	Gatifloxa cin	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 104 with bacterial keratitis.	The mean age for Gatifloxacin / Ciprofloxaci n; 41.5 ± 18.3 / 41.5 ± 16.3.	Gatifloxacin 0.3% eye drops or GAT group hourly until the ulcer had begun to heal (N = 50) vs Ciprofloxacin 0.3% eye drops or CIP group hourly (N = 54).	Follow-up until healing reported at 13.9 ± 10.2 mean days in Gatifloxacin and 16.8 ± 15.3.	GAT group exhibited complete healing vs the CIP group; 39 eyes or 95.1% vs 38 or 80.9%, (p = 0.042).	"Gatifloxacin had a significantly better action against gram-positive cocci both in vitro and in vivo when compared with ciprofloxacin."	Comparable efficacy between groups in terms of healing but Gatifloxacin showed better activity against gram positive organisms.
Price 2005	Gatiflox	RCT,	Supported	N = 44	mean age of	Gatifloxacin 0.3%	No follow up.	Mean±SD for	"This study	Comparable efficacy and
(Score = 5.0)	acin	prospec	by an	healthy	40±9.7	ophthalmic		increase in	suggests that 4	toxicity in both groups.
		tive	unrestricted	subjects	years with a	solution in one		hyperemia:	times a day/7-day	
			educational	who	range of 24	eye and		gatifloxacin hourly	dosing or	
			grant from	followed	to 59 years,	moxiflaxcin 0.5%		for 10 hrs vs.	hourly/10-hour	
			Allergan,	distinct	and 35±11	ophthalmic		gatifloxacin 4	dosing regimens	
			Inc., and by	antibiotic	years with a	solution in the		times daily for 7	with 2	
			the Cornea	dosing	range of 23	other eye, 4		days: .28±.58, (p =	commercially	
			Research	regimens;	to 61 years	times a day for 10		0.029) vs	available fourth-	
			Foundation			days (N = 20) vs		.025±.30, (p =	generation	
			of America.			Gatifloxacin 0.3%		0.72).	fluoroquinolone	
			COI, Dr.			in one eye and			ophthalmic	
			Maclellan is			moxifloxacin the			solutions causes	
			employed by			other eye, hourly			little toxicity to	

Nidel, which sells the Confoscan 3 confocal microscope.	for 10 hours (N = 24). Pre and post testing.	healthy human corneas with intact epithelium and no active surface disease."

Evidence for Moxifloxacin

Constantinou 2007 (Score = 5.0)	Moxiflox acin	RCT	Sponsored by an unrestricted grant from Alcon Australia, Frenchs Forest, Australia. No COI.	N = 229 with bacterial keratitis.	The mean age for Fortified Tobramycin / Moxifloxaci n / Moxifloxaci n; 64.9 ± 20.5 / 65.9 ± 19.6 / 66.0 ± 20.8.	Fortified Tobramycin 1.33% / Cefazolin 5% group received 1 drop every hour for 48 hours, day 3 every hour by day and 2 hours by night, days 4 and 5, 1 drop every 2 hours and 4 by night, days 6 and 7, 1 drop every 4 hours and after every 6 hours (N = 78) vs Moxifloxacin 1.0%, intervention the same as fortified Tobramycin group (N = 77) vs Ofloxacin 0.3%, intervention the same as fortified tobramycin group	Final follow-up scheduled for between 2 and 3 months.	Primary objective to assess treatment failure: healing of ulcer in 175 or 94% of nonexiting patients, with no differences between 3 treatment groups, (p = 0.25). Second objective: total duration to cure and mean time discharge without any statistical difference, (p = 0.27 and 0.25, respectively). No statistical differences at baseline or demographics.	"[N]o significant difference in healing rate, cure rate, or complications between traditional fortified Cephazolin and tobramycin, ofloxacin alone, or moxifloxacin alone was seen in this study."	
						same as fortified				

|--|

Evidence for Ofloxacin Solution

Khokhar 2000	Ofloxaci	RCT	No mention	N = 30 eyes	and with	Group 1 or	Follow-up (until	The mean	"Both Ofloxacin	Small sample size.
(Score = 7.0)	n		of	with	age ranging	Ofloxacin solution	relief)	duration of	0.3% and	Comparable efficacy.
	solution		sponsorship	bacterial	for	0.3% 1 drop every	maximum	symptomatic relief	combined fortified	Monotherapeutic advantage
			or COI.	corneal	Ofloxacin /	30 minutes for 6	reported at 26	and / epithelial	Tobramycin 1.5%	of Ofloxacin over
				ulcers	Tobramycin	hours, hourly on	days.	healing; 7.8 ± 1.54	and Cefazolin 5%	combination therapy.
					and	days 1-3, 2-hourly		in Group 1 vs 8.33	topical drops were	
					Cefazolin	on days 4-5 and 4		± 1.44 Group 2, (p	comparable for	
					group; 15 –	hours until 1		= 0.13) / 15.0 ±	treating cases of	
					70 / 14 – 72.	week (N = 15) vs		3.86 in Group 1 vs	bacterial corneal	
						Group 2 or		15.46 ± 3.86 days	ulcer of moderate	
						Tobramycin 1.5%		in Group 2, (p =	severity."	
						and Cefazolin 5%		0.46).		
						group, the same				
						dosing as Group 1				
						(N = 15).				

O'Brien 1995 (Score = 7.0)	Ofloxaci n solution	RCT Multice nter Double- blind	Sponsored by Pharmaceuti cal Sciences Operations, Allergan Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 140 with suspected bacterial acute keratitis.	Age range in years from ≤ 29 – 90.	Ofloxacin 0.3% solution 2 bottles 1 drop from bottle 1 and 2 on the hour, plus 2 times during the night at 2 and 4 AM until second follow-up at days 3 and 5, then from bottle 1 and 2 every 2 hours, after 4 times daily (N = 73) vs Combination of the fortified antibiotics tobramycin 1.5% 1 bottle and 1 bottle of cefazolin solutions 10.0% dosing the same as Ofloxacin group (N = 67).	Follow-up examinations occurred on days 2, 3, 6, 7, to 11, 12, 18, and 19 to 28.	At 7 days after study entry, the keratitis in 37% of the ofloxacin group vs 38% of the fortified antibiotics group had healed, (p not provided). At 28 days, keratitis in 89% of the ofloxacin vs 86% of the fortified antibiotics group had healed, (p not provided). Those receiving ofloxacin reported substantially less burning/stinging on instillation than those receiving fortified antibiotics, (p < 0.001).	"The efficacy of ofloxacin solution in treating bacterial keratitis is equivalent to that of the fortified cefazolin and tobramycin solutions."	Comparable efficacy.
Prajna 2001 (Score = 7.0)	Ofloxaci n solution	RCT Double- blinded	Sponsored by an unrestricted educational grant from Allergan Labs, Inc., Irvine, CA. No mention of COI.	N = 217 with bacterial keratitis.	Age ranging from ≤ 29 – ≥ 60.	Ofloxacin 0.3% every 1/2 on study day 1, every hour on days 2 - 4, and every 2 hours on days 5 - 21 (N = 112) vs Ciprofloxacin 0.3% every 1/2 on study day 1, every hour on days 2 - 4, and every 2 hours on days 5 - 21 (N = 105).	Follow-up for 21 days.	Corneal healing rates was observed in 6% (7 of 112) of ofloxacin- and 10% (10 of 105) of ciprofloxacintreated patients, (p not reported). The average time to corneal healing in ofloxacin or ciprofloxacin, 13.7 ± 0.7 days and 14.4 ± 0.8 days, respectively, (p = 0.80). Time to	"Ofloxacin 0.3% and ciprofloxacin 0.3% ophthalmic solutions are effective and safe in the treatment of patients with culture-positive bacterial keratitis."	Comparable efficacy.

								corneal ulcer healing was 13.7 days in those treated with ofloxacin and 14.4 days in those treated with ciprofloxacin.		
Panda 1999 (Score = 6.5)	Ofloxaci n solution	RCT Multice nter Double- blind	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 30 eyes with bacterial keratitis.	Age range for Ofloxacin / Control group: 15 – 70 / 14 – 72.	Ofloxacin 0.3% 1 bottle 1 drop of every 30 minutes, 1 hour on days 2-3, 2 drops hourly on days 4-5, and 4 hourly until 1 week (N = 15) vs Control group received 1 bottle of normal saline solution (1+2) or 1 bottle of 1.5% tobramycin solution ad 5% cefazolin solution (3+4) 1 drop of each every 30 minutes, 1 hour on days 2-3, 2 drops hourly on days 4-5, and 4 hourly until 1 week (N = 15).	Follow-up for up to 10 days.	Time required for symptomatic relief was 7.8 ± 1.54 or range 6-10 days in the ofloxacin vs 8.33 ± 1.54 or range 5-10 days in the control group, (p = 0.05). The duration of healing in the ofloxacin was 15.0 ± 3.86 or range 10-26 days vs 15.46 ± 3.86 or range 11-26 days in the control group, (p = 0.46).	"In summary, monotherapy with 0.3% ofloxacin drops for treating bacterial keratitis should be encouraged and can be tried as a first-line drug for all cases of bacterial keratitis."	Small sample size. Comparable efficacy.

Pavesio 1997	Ofloxaci	RCT	122	Mean±SD	Ofloxacin drops	14 day follow	No difference in	"[T]reatment	Some patients blinded,
(Ofloxacin	n		patients	age:	(3mg/ml,	up.	the treatment	outcomes with	some not. Similar efficacy
Study Group)	solution		with a	48.53±21.0	benzalkonium		success between	ofloxacin	between both treatments
(Score = 4.5)			clinical	years.	chloride 0.005%)		both groups.	monotherapy	but more toxicity in
			diagnosis of		vs. conventional		Toxicity	compared	conventional treatment
			microbial		treatment group		encountered:	favorably with	group.
			keratitis.		(sodium chloride		conventional	their conventional	
					0.43%, thimerosal		treatment group	therapy and were	
					0.005%)		vs ofloxacin group:	associated with	
							50.8% vs. 10.2%;	less toxicity."	
							p<0.0001.		

Evidence for Tobramycin-Cefazolin

Khokhar 2000	Tobram	RCT	No mention	N = 30 eyes	and with	Group 1 or	Follow-up (until	The mean	"Both Ofloxacin	Small sample size.	
(Score = 7.0)	ycin=-		of	with	age ranging	Ofloxacin solution	relief)	duration of	0.3% and	Comparable efficacy.	
	Cefazoli		sponsorship	bacterial	for	0.3% 1 drop every	maximum	symptomatic relief	combined fortified	Monotherapeutic advantage	
	n		or COI.	corneal	Ofloxacin /	30 minutes for 6	reported at 26	and / epithelial	Tobramycin 1.5%	of Ofloxacin over	
				ulcers	Tobramycin	hours, hourly on	days.	healing; 7.8 ± 1.54	and Cefazolin 5%	combination therapy.	
					and	days 1-3, 2-hourly		in Group 1 vs 8.33	topical drops were		
					Cefazolin	on days 4-5 and 4		± 1.44 Group 2, (p	comparable for		
					group; 15 –	hours until 1		= 0.13) / 15.0 ±	treating cases of		
					70 / 14 – 72.	week ($N = 15$) vs		3.86 in Group 1 vs	bacterial corneal		
						Group 2 or		15.46 ± 3.86 days	ulcer of moderate		
						Tobramycin 1.5%		in Group 2, (p =	severity."		
						and Cefazolin 5%		0.46).			
						group, the same					
						dosing as Group 1				1	
						(N = 15).					

O'Brien 1995 (Score = 7.0)	Tobram ycin=- Cefazoli n		Multicenter Double-blind Sponsored by Pharmaceuti cal Sciences Operations, Allergan Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 140 with suspected bacterial acute keratitis.	Age range in years from ≤ 29 – 90.	Ofloxacin 0.3% solution 2 bottles 1 drop from bottle 1 and 2 on the hour, plus 2 times during the night at 2 and 4 AM until second follow-up at days 3 and 5, then from bottle 1 and 2 every 2 hours, after 4 times daily (N = 73) vs Combination of the fortified antibiotics tobramycin 1.5% 1 bottle and 1 bottle of cefazolin solutions 10.0% dosing the same as Ofloxacin group (N = 67).	Follow-up on days 2, 3, 6, 7, to 11, 12, 18, and 19 to 28.	At 7 days after study entry, the keratitis in 37% of the ofloxacin group vs 38% of the fortified antibiotics group had healed, (p not provided). At 28 days, keratitis in 89% of the ofloxacin vs 86% of the fortified antibiotics group had healed, (p not provided). Those receiving ofloxacin reported substantially less burning/stinging on instillation than those receiving fortified antibiotics, (p < 0.001).	"The efficacy of ofloxacin solution in treating bacterial keratitis is equivalent to that of the fortified cefazolin and tobramycin solutions."	Comparable efficacy.
Hyndiuk 1996 (Score = 6.5)	Tobram ycin=- Cefazoli n	RCT	Parallel group Double-blind Multicenter Sponsored in part by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, New York, and by Alcon Laboratories , Inc, Fort Worth, Texas. No	N = 324 with bacterial keratitis, (2 children).	The mean ages of the Ciprofloxaci n / standard therapy were; 45.8 ± 18.9 / 44.6 ± 21.4.	Ciprofloxacin group for 1 to 2 drops of the first medication every 30 minutes for 6 hours then hourly, days 2 and 3 for 1 to 2 drops hourly, days 4 and 5 for 1 to 2 drops every 2 hours, days 6 and 14 for 1-2 drops every 4 hours (N = 82) vs Standard therapy or fortified	Follow-up at days 2, 4, 7, 14, and >16.	No statistical differences between treatments in times of overall clinical efficacy / resolution of clinical signs and symptoms / or timing to cure: (p = 0.034) / (p > 0.08) or / (p = 0.55). Fewer patients experienced discomfort in	"Ciprofloxacin solution is equivalent clinically and statistically to standard therapy (fortified tobramycincefazolin) for treatment of bacterial corneal ulcers and procedures significantly less ocular discomfort."	Comparable efficacy between treatments although Ciprofloxacin group experienced less discomfort. Unclear baseline comparability.

			mention of COI.			tobramycin- cefazolin, dosing schedule the same as Ciprofloxacin group (N = 94).		Ciprofloxacin group, (p = 0.01).		
Panda 1999 (Score = 6.5)	Tobram ycin=- Cefazoli n	RCT	Multicenter Double-blind No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 30 eyes with bacterial keratitis.	Age range for Ofloxacin / Control group: 15 – 70 / 14 – 72.	Ofloxacin 0.3% 1 bottle 1 drop of every 30 minutes, 1 hour on days 2-3, 2 drops hourly on days 4-5, and 4 hourly until 1 week (N = 15) vs Control group received 1 bottle of normal saline solution (1+2) or 1 bottle of 1.5% tobramycin solution ad 5% cefazolin solution (3+4) 1 drop of each every 30 minutes, 1 hour on days 2-3, 2 drops hourly on days 4-5, and 4 hourly until 1 week (N = 15).	Follow-up for up to 10 days.	Time required for symptomatic relief was 7.8 ± 1.54 or range 6-10 days in the ofloxacin vs 8.33 ± 1.54 or range 5-10 days in the control group, (p = 0.05). The duration of healing in the ofloxacin was 15.0 ± 3.86 or range 10-26 days vs 15.46 ± 3.86 or range 11-26 days in the control group, (p = 0.46).	"In summary, monotherapy with 0.3% ofloxacin drops for treating bacterial keratitis should be encouraged and can be tried as a first-line drug for all cases of bacterial keratitis."	Small sample size. Comparable efficacy.

(Score = 6.0)	Tobram ycin=- Cefazoli n	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 61 with bacterial keratitis.	The median age or range for Cef + Tob / Gat / and Mox groups: 33 or 12-36 / 40 or 13-70 / and 46 or 11-68.	Group A received combination therapy with fortified antibiotics with Cefazolin 5% + Tobramycin 1.3% (N = 20) vs Group B received monotherapy with Gatifloxacin 0.3% (N = 21) vs Group C received monotherapy with moxifloxacin 0.5% (N = 20).	Follow-up at least 3 weeks.	57 healed on treatment there were no significant differences among the treatment groups for the mean time to heal, (p = 0.98) / final vision acuity, (p = 0.97) / or final corneal opacity size, (p = 0.85).	The study failed to find a difference in the efficacy of monotherapy with fourth-generation fluoroquinolones in the treatment of bacterial corneal ulcers of 2–8 mm size when compared with combination therapy of fortified antibiotics."	Relatively small sample size in each group. Comparable efficacy.
2007 (Score = 5.0)	Tobram ycin=- Cefazoli n	RCT	Sponsored by an unrestricted grant from Alcon Australia, Frenchs Forest, Australia. No COI.	N = 229 with bacterial keratitis.	The mean age for Fortified Tobramycin / Moxifloxaci n / Moxifloxaci n; 64.9 ± 20.5 / 65.9 ± 19.6 / 66.0 ± 20.8.	Fortified Tobramycin 1.33% / Cefazolin 5% group received 1 drop every hour for 48 hours, day 3 every hour by day and 2 hours by night, days 4 and 5, 1 drop every 2 hours and 4 by night, days 6 and 7, 1 drop every 4 hours and after every 6 hours (N = 78) vs Moxifloxacin 1.0%, intervention the same as fortified Tobramycin group (N = 77) vs Ofloxacin 0.3%, intervention the same as fortified	Final follow-up scheduled for between 2 and 3 months.	Primary objective to assess treatment failure: healing of ulcer in 175 or 94% of nonexiting patients, with no differences between 3 treatment groups, (p = 0.25). Second objective: total duration to cure and mean time discharge without any statistical difference, (p = 0.27 and 0.25, respectively). No statistical differences at baseline or demographics.	"In conclusion, no significant difference in healing rate, cure rate, or complications between traditional fortified Cephazolin and tobramycin, ofloxacin alone, or moxifloxacin alone was seen in this study."	No significant differences between 3 treatments in terms of healing rate, cure rate or adverse events.

						tobramycin group (N = 74).				
Sharma 2013a (Score =)	Tobram ycin=- Cefazoli n	RCT	Equivalence clinical trial Double- blinded Sponsored by the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India. No COI.	N = 225 with bacterial keratitis.	Age ranged from < 29 – 90.	Group A received fortified cefazolin sodium 5% and tobramycin sulfate) for 72 hours hourly, and every 2 hours for next 7 days (N = 110) vs Group B received Moxifloxacin for 72 hours hourly, and every 2 hours for next 7 days (N = 108).	Follow-up at 3 months.	Healing of ulcer occurred in 178 or 81.6%, of those 90 or 81.8% vs 88 or 81.4%. Percentage healing difference was 0.33, 95% CI, -10.04 to 10.7 and adjusted for socioeconomic status, pre-study pathologic features, and presence of systemic factor was found to be 1.58, 95% CI, -9.66 to 12.83, at 3 months.	"Corneal healing using 0.5% moxifloxacin monotherapy is equivalent to that of combination therapy using fortified cefazolin and tobramycin in the treatment of moderate bacterial corneal ulcers."	

Evidence for Lomefloxacin Ophthalmic Solution

2004 (Score = 7.0)	Lomeflo xacin ophthal mic solution	RCT Double- blind	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 46 eyes with bacterial corneal ulcers.	The mean age for Lomefloxaci n / Ciprofloxaci n; 26.74 ± 10.86 / 29.72 ± 11.01.	Lomefloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.3% 1 drop every 15 minutes for 1st 6 hours, 1 drop every hour 1st day, then hourly the following days (N = 24) vs	Follow-up every 3 days until recovery, 17.22 ± 3.97 vs 18.67 ± 6.05 days in Ciprofloxacin group.	Clinical efficacy / time to cure / clinical symptoms and signs / safety and adverse events: Epithelial defect and stromal inflammations, (p = 0.716 and 0.922) / 17.22 ± 3.97 vs	"Lomefloxacin ophthalmic solution (0.3%) is equivalent clinically and statistically to ciprofloxacin ophthalmic solution (0.3%) for the treatment of	Equivalent efficacy. Sparse methodological details. Small sample size.
						the following		= 0.716 and 0.922)	solution (0.3%) for	

Erjongmanee S 2004 (Score = 6.0)	Lomeflo xacin ophthal mic solution	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N= 40 with acute bacterial keratitis.	The mean age of lomefloxaci n and standard therapy treated patients were 25.95 years and 28.0 years respectively .	Lomefloxacin group received lomefloxacin 0.3% solution and one placebo (0.9% normal saline) (N=20) vs. Standard therapy group received one bottle of fortified cefazolin solution (50 mg/ml) and one bottle of fortified gentamicin (14mg/ml)	Follow up examinations are scheduled on days 2, 4, 7, 14, 21 and 28.	Positive results of bacterial corneal cultures were obtained in 27.5%. there was no statistically significant difference in time to complete re epitheliazation in all types of bacterial keratitis (p=0.251) By day 7, keratitis was healed: 44% in lomefloxacin group and 33% in fortified antibiotic	"[I]n conclusion, ophthalmic lomefloxacin 0.3% may be recommended as initial monotherapy in the treatment of all grades of severity of acute bacterial keratitis at a dose of one drop, once every hour, in order to maximize the therapeutic effect until the corneal ulcer starts to	Comparative efficacy with some benefit of lomofloxacin group in terms of clinical improvement. Small sample size.
								group.	improve."	

Evidence for Levofloxacin

Kasetsuwan	Levoflox	RCT	Sponsored in	N = 71 eyes	The mean	Levofloxacin 0.5%	Follow-up on	61 out of 71 eyes	"[T]opical	Comparable efficacy but	l
2011 (Score =	acin	Double-	part by	with mild or	ages of	eye drops every	days 2, 7, 14,	completely healed	Levofloxacin	patient compliance may be	
6.0)		blind	Daiichi,	moderate	Levofloxacin	10 minutes	and 21.	and mean time to	monotherapy can	increased due to	
			Thailand. No	bacterial	/ Fortified	during the first 30		heal, (p = 0.81)	be used for the	monotherapy of	
			COI.	keratitis.	Cefazolin &	minutes of and		and (p = 0.92). No	treatment of mild	Levofloxacin.	
					Amikacin;	later decreased in		statistical	to moderate		
					34.6 ± 18.1 /	increments of 1		differences	bacterial corneal		
					34.4 ± 15.4.	hour every 3 days		between both	ulcers as an		
						(N = 34) vs		groups for clinical	alternative		
						Fortified		signs and	treatment without		
						Cefazolin and		symptom score, (p	developing any		
						Amikacin, dosing		= 0.99) and (p =	serious		
						schedule the		0.85	complications."		
						same as					
						levofloxacin					ĺ
						group (N = 37).					ĺ

Evidence for Tarsorrhaphy

Khokhar 2005	Tarsorrh	RCT	N = 30 with	Group 1, N = 15	No significant	"We conclude that	Data sparse.
(Score = 3.5)	aphy		neurotrophi	Received	difference	both the	
			c corneal	conventional	between groups	conventional	
			ulcers of	management	with respect to	management and	
			varying	with tarsorrhaphy	complete	amniotic	
			etiology,	(N=11) or	epithelialization	membrane	
			which failed	bandage contact	(p=0.96) and	transplantation are	
			to respond	lens (N=3). Group	healing of corneal	effective for the	
			to medical	2, N = 15 were	ulcer,	treatment of	
			manageme	treated with a	epithelialization	neurotrophic	
			nt for at	single or	time, and visual	corneal ulcers	
			least 4	multilayer	improvement.	refractory to	
			weeks and	Amniotic		medical	
			which were	Membrane		management. "	
			sterile on	Transplantation			
			microbiolog	(AMT).			
			ic				
			examinatio				
			n.				

Evidence for Cefazolin

Carmichael	Cefazoli	RCT,	No mention	N = 40	mean age of	Kerfzol eye drops	Follow up at	No statistically	"No adverse	Small sample size. Baseline
1990 (Score =	n	prospec	of	patients	51.6 for	(cefazolin,	baseline and 4	significant	effects were	comparability unclear.
2.5)		tive	sponsorship	with	steroid	fortified, 32 g/l),	weeks.	differences to	encountered with	Comparable efficacy.
			or COI.	bacterial	group and	and gentamicin		report between	topical steroids in	
				corneal	51.4 for	eye drops		groups.	the dosage shown	
				ulcers;	non-	(fortified, 14 g/l)			above. To	
					steroidal	hourly, Atropine			demonstrate	
					group.	eye drops 1%			benefits from	
						twice daily,			steroids a larger	
						chloromycetin			study would be	
						eye ointment at			needed and	
						night and twice			perhaps some	
						daily multivitamin			refinements in	
						tablets, plus sub-			assessment	
						conjunctival			techniques."	
						cefazolin, 125 mg				
						and gentamicin,				
						20 mg. (N = 21) vs				
						Sub-conjunctival				
						cefazolin, 125 mg				
						and gentamicin,				
						20 mg only (N =				
						19). Maxidex eye				
						drops (0.1%				
						dexamethasone)				
						were also added				
						to both groups,				
						four times a day,				
						minimum of two				
						weeks.				

Evidence for PACK-CXL

Evidence for Neomycin

Reddy 1988 (Score = 1.5)	Neomyci n	RCT	No mention of	N = 82 adult patients	age ranged between 10	Framycetin sulphate 0.5% (N	Follow ups at pre-treatment,	Mean±SD score progress: pre-	"It can thus be concluded that	Sparse methodological details.
			sponsorship	suffering	and 60	= N/A) vs	and days 2, 7,	treatment vs. 14 th	framycetin has a	
			or COI.	from	years.	Gentamicin	and 14.	day: framycetin:	better profile of	
				corneal		3mg/ml (N = N/A)		2.43±0.2 vs.	antibacterial	
				ulcer;		VS		0.29±0.04, (p <	activity and clinical	
						Chloramphenicol		0.05); gentamicin:	efficacy than some	
						0.4% (N = N/A) vs		2.41±0.2 vs.	other commonly	
						Neomycin		0.73±0.05, (p <	used topical	
						combination		0.05);	antibiotics in the	
						containing		chloramphenicol:	treatment of	
						polymixin B		2.36±0.2 vs.	corneal ulcer."	
						sulphate 1700u		0.97±0.08, (p <		
						and gramicidin		0.05); neomycin+:		
						0.02 5 mg/ml (N =		2.38±0.2 vs.		
						N/A)		0.84±0.07, (p <		
								0.05).		

Evidence for Chlorhexidine Gluconate

Ge	ffen 2009	Chlorhe	RCT	No mention	N = 28 with	with age	Group A or	Follow-up at	No significant	"Chlorhexidine	Differences in baseline
(Sc	ore = 3.5)	xidine	Double-	of	corneal	ranging	treatment group	days 2, 5, 11, 18	differences	gluconate 0.02%	comparability potentially
		gluconat	blind	sponsorship	ulcers,	from 22 –	received	and 28.	between the 2	may improve the	leading to randomization
		е		or COI.	clinically	70.	chlorhexidine		groups were	clinical course of	failure. Study group had
					diagnosed		gluconate 0.02%		found in the risk	corneal ulcers."	higher baseline ulcer
							diluted in sterile		factors for corneal		severity compared to
							buffered diluent		infections, (p =		control group (p=0.033).
							for injection, 6		0.391). No		
							times a day for 7		statistical		
							days and after		differences of		
							stopped at once		corneal infection /		
							(N = 14) vs Group		risk factors for		
							B or control		corneal infections		
							group had		/ lens-related		
							placebo drops,		ulcers: (p = 1.000)		
							the same sterile		/ (p = 0.391) / (p =		
							buffered diluent,		1.000).		
							6 times a day for				
							7 days and after				
							stopped at once				
							(N = 14).				

Evidence for Acanthamoeba Keratitis

Lim 2008 (Score = 5.5)	Acantha moeba keratitis	RCT Double- blind	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 56 eyes with a clinical diagnosis of Acanthamo eba keratitis.	The median age was 31 years.	Chlorhexidine 0.02% hourly day and night for the first 2 days, then reduced hourly for the next 5 days, then for 4 times daily until recovery (N = 30) vs Polyhexamethyle ne biguanide or PHMB 0.02% dosing schedule the same as	Follow-up until recovery, the median 83 days vs 92 days in PHMB group.	Treatment was successful in 18 or 78.3% those receiving PHMB vs 85.7%, (p = 0.49). The secondary outcome was improvement in visual acuity (VA) in 13 eyes or 56.5% receiving PHMB vs 20 eyes or 71.4%, (p = 0.91)	"Outcomes were similar when using PHMB and chlorhexidine as monotherapy agents in treating Acanthamoeba keratitis."	Baseline comparability differences in duration of diagnosis and treatment duration. Comparable efficacy.
						the same as Chlorhexidine group (N = 26).				

Evidence for Fungal Keratitis

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Agarwal 2001 (Score = 2.0)	Itraconazole	RCT Two- period Crosso ver	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 54 with fungal corneal ulcer;	age range was 21-40 years old.	Patients were divided into Group I: new patients (N = 22) and Group II: patients who had already received treatment with another agent (N = 32). Topical itraconazole (1%) (N = 27) vs. Oral Itraconazole (100 mg twice daily for 3 weeks) and topical	Follow-up for 6 months.	85.2% of patients came from rural areas and 72.2% had history of trauma or a corneal foreign body. Culture was positive on 81.5% cases and half of them showed Aspergillus species. Of 54 patients treated with topical itraconazole or both systematic and topical	"Itraconazole, given either topically or systemically, is effective in treating mycotic corneal ulcers."	Crossover study. Sparse methodological details.

						iatraconazole every hour (N = 27). After three weeks, oral itraconazole was discontinued, but topical 1% itraconazole was continued for 6 weeks after keratitis was resolved.		itraconazole, 42 (77.78%) responded to the treatment, 16 (29.63) in Group-I and 26 (48.15) in Group-II. 12 (22.22%) patients did not respond.		
Arora 2011 (Score = 7.0)	Natamycin	RCT Doubl e- maske d	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 30 with fungal keratitis;	mean age was 37.93 ± 15.14 years in group A and 48.47 ± 13.53 years in group B.	Group A: topical 5% Natamycin (N = 15). vs. Group B: topical 1% voriconazole (N = 15).	Follow-up for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks.	21 (70%) patients had Hypopyon ranging from 0.5 to 4 mm (p = 0.465). All ulcers healed completely in group A. In group B, one patient did not respond to the treatment. In group A, average time of complete resolution of corneal infiltrate was 24.33 days vs. 27.42 days in group B. In the last follow-up, the mean LogMAR visual acuity in group A was 1.368 ± 0.887 vs. 1.775 ± 1.036 in group B (p = 0.227).	"Topical 1% voriconazole was found to be safe and effective drug in primary management of fungal keratitis, its efficacy matching conventional natamycin. There was no added advantage of using topical 1% voriconazole over topical natamycin as primary treatment in fungal keratitis."	Pilot study showing comparable efficacy between groups.

Prajna 2010 (Score = 6.5)	Natamycin	RCT	Sponsored by That Man May See and the South Asia Research Fund, the National Eye Institute (Department of Ophthalmolo gy at University of California, San Francisco), That Man May See Foundation at University of California, Alcon Inc, and Pfizer Inc. No COI.	N = 120 with fungal keratitis; age mean (SD) of Natamycin group was 49.8 (11.9) in scraping and 45.9 (13.1) in no scraping.	Age mean (SD) of Voriconaz ole 47.0 (14.5) in scraping and 45.0 (14.5) in no scraping.	Topical natamycin (N = 60). vs. Topical voriconazole (N = 60). Each group received scraping or no scraping.	Follow-up for 3 months.	Visual acuity improved in both groups. The mean (SD) BSCVA in natamycin and voriconazole at baseline/ 3 weeks/ 3 months was: 0.91 (0.63)/ 0.73 (0.72)/ 0.69 (0.80) and 0.95 (0.65)/ 0.73 (0.75)/ 0.63 (0.76) logMAR, (p<0.001).	"Overall, there were no significant differences in visual acuity, scar size, and perforations between voriconazole-andnatamycintreated patients. There was a trend toward scraping being associated with worse outcomes."	Comparable efficacy.
Prajna 2013 (Score = 6.5)	Natamycin	RCT compa rator— contro lled, doubl e- maske d, multic enter	Sponsored by National Eye Institute, That Man May See, the Harper/Inglis Trust, the South Asia Research Foundation, and Research to Prevent Blindness. No COI.	N = 323 with filamentous fungal keratitis;	Age median 47 (38–56).	Topical 1% Voriconazole (N = 161). vs Topical 5% Natamycin (N = 162). Treatments were applied every hour while awake until reepithelializatio n, then 4 times daily for at least 3 weeks.	Follow-up for 3 weeks and 3 months.	The most common microorganisms were Fusarium species (128 patients [40%]) and Aspergillus species (54 patients [17%]). The median treatment of treatment was 31 days in the natamycin group vs. 39	"Natamycin treatment was associated with significantly better clinical and microbiological outcomes than voriconazole treatment for smearpositive filamentous fungal keratitis, with much of the difference attributable to	Phase III trial natamycin group had improved visual acuity at 3 months while Voriconazole group experienced fewer perforations or required keratoplasty.

ı	1		Ī	II	,	ı			,
							days in the	improved results in	
							voriconazole	Fusarium cases."	
							group (p =		
							0.006). At 3		
							weeks, the		
							mean BSCVA in		
							the voriconazole		
							group was		
							poorer vs. the		
							natamycin		
							group		
							(regression		
							coefficient =		
							-0.11 logMAR;		
							95% CI: -0.21 to		
							-0.01), (p =		
							0.03). At 3		
							months, the		
							mean BSCVA in		
							the voriconazole		
							group was		
							worse vs.		
							natamycin		
							group		
							(regression coefficient =		
							-0.18 logMAR;		
							95% CI: -0.30 to		
							-0.05), (p =		
							0.006). Patients		
							with <i>Fusarium</i>		
							species in the		
							natamycin		
							group, the mean		
							BSCVA was		
							better vs. the		
							voriconazole		
							group		
							(regression		
							coefficient =		
							-0.41 logMAR;		
							95% CI: -0.61 to		

Prajna 2012 (Score = 6.5)	Natamycin	Subgr oup analysi s of RCT	Sponsored by That Man May See and the South Asia Research Fund, the National Eye Institute (Department of Ophthalmolo gy at University of	N = 120 with smear- positive fungal keratitis.		Topical voriconazole 1% (N = 60). vs. Topical natamycin 5% (N = 60). Each group received scraping or no scraping.	Follow-up for 3 months.	-0.20) (p<0.001). 101 cases were found to have a positive growth on culture (84%). There was found 44(44%) cases of <i>Fusarium</i> species: 21 were randomized to natamycin (48%) and 23 to voriconazole (52%). There	"This study found no difference in 3-month BSCVA or scar size between voriconazole- and natamycin-treated patients in Fusarium or Aspergillus keratitis."	Subgroup analyses from previous RCT. No differences between treatments at 3 months.
Rahman	Natamycin	RCT	University of California, San Francisco), That Man May See Foundation at University of California, Alcon Inc, and Pfizer Inc. No COI.	N = 58 with	mean age	Natamycin 5%	Follow-up for	was found 17(17%) cases of Aspergillus species: 10 were randomised to natamycin (59%) and 7 to voriconazole (41%). Voriconazole was associated with an increase in perforation in Fusarium cases [OR 33.4 (95% CI: 1.16 to 962.9)], (p = 0.041). At 5 days, 0.2%	"This preliminary	At 3 weeks twice as
1997 (Score = 5.5)	·		the British Council for Prevention of Blindness. No mention of COI.	fungal corneal ulcers;	of 44.3 ± 17.3.	drops (N = 16). vs. 0.05% chlorhexidine gluconate (N = 17). vs. 0.1% chlorhexidine gluconate (N =	5 and 21 days.	chlorhexidine group had more favorable response vs. natamycin 5% group (p = 0.043) after	study justifies further trials of chlorhexidine as a primary treatment for fungal corneal ulcers in circumstances where	many non-severe ulcers were healed in CHG group compared to natamycin.

						17). vs. 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate (N = 8).		excluding any patient that had prior antifungal treatment. At 21 days, 0.2% chlorhexidine group appeared to have more favorable outcomes in contrast to the other groups; however, there was no statistically significant differences.	specific antifungal are not available."	
Prajna 2003 (Score = 4.0)	Natamycin	RCT	Sponsored by Aravind Medical Research Foundation, Madurai. No COI.	N = 116 with fungal keratitis with ulcer areas of at least 2 mm ² and no more than 60 mm ² ;	age range was 7-84 years (mean age 37.0 ± 13.8 years).	2% econazole eye drops (N = 61). vs. 5% natamycin eye drops (N = 55). Eye drops were applied on hourly basis between 7 am to 9 pm. 4 patients were lost in the follow-ups.	Follow-up for week 2, 3, and 4.	There was no significant difference between the two groups for improvement (log rank 0.52, p = 0.47). There was no significant difference in the time to heal based on baseline size of epithelial defects (log rank 0.82, p = 0.37).	"2% Econazole appears to be as effective as 5% natamycin for the management of fungal keratitis."	Comparable efficacy between study groups.
Rahman 1998 (Score = 3.5)	Natamycin	RCT Maske d	Sponsored by the British Council for the Prevention of Blindness. No	N = 71 with fungal keratitis;	age group: 10–39 (31.4%), 40–49 (42.9%),	0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate drops (N = 35). vs. 2.5% natamycin drops (N = 36).	Follow-up for 5 days and 21 days.	At 5 days, the chlorhexidine group had more favorable response with 31/35 (88.6%) efficacy vs. 18/35 (51.4%) in	"Chlorhexidine may have potential as an inexpensive topical agent for fungal keratitis and warrants further assessment as a first line treatment in	Baseline characteristics unequally distributed. Patients were allowed to crossover if treatment failed.

Sharma 2013b the American Academy of Ophthalm ology pages 677–681 (Score = 3.5)	Natamycin	RCT	Sponsored by the Dr. Rajendra Prasad Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences, New Delhi, India. No COI	N = 40 with fungal keratitis;	mean age was 40.85 ± 14.6 in group I and 47.7 ± 16.62 in group II.	Group I: topical 1% voriconazole therapy (N = 20). vs. Group II: intrastromal injections of voriconazole 50 µg/0.1 ml (N = 20). Both groups continued topical natamycin 5% every 4 hours until the ulcer healed. Group 1:	Follow-up for 3, 7, 14, and 28 days after 2 months and 3 months.	the natamycin group. The relative efficacy (RE) was 1.72 (95% CL: 1.24–2.63), (p <0.001). At 21 days, 14/21 (66.7%) patients in chlorhexidine group had more favorable response vs. 9/25 (36.0%) in natamycin group, the RE was 1.85 (95% CL: 1.01–3.39), (p = 0.04). The mean BSCVA was 1.295 ± 0.5 logMAR in group I vs. 1.692 ± 0.29 logMAR in group II. The visual acuity after treatment was significantly better in group I (p = 0.008).	situations where microbiological facilities and a range of antifungal agents are not available." "Topical voriconazole seems to be a useful adjunct to natamycin in fungal keratitis not responding to topical natamycin. Intrastromal injections did not offer any beneficial effect over topical therapy."	Intrastromal delivery not superior to topical voriconazole at 3 months.
Journal of ocular pharmacol ogy and therapeuti	Amphotericin B	Prospe ctive	of sponsorship.	N = 48 with fungal keratitis;	age range was 15 to 69 years (mean age, 44 years).	combination therapy of topical amphotericin B (0.5 mg/mL) eye drops (used every 2 hours)	Follow-up weekly for 3 months.	statically significant healing of corneal ulcers in 20 eyes (83%) (p<0.05). Also, the mean	therapy of topical amphotericin B eye drops with subconjunctival injection of fluconazole was more efficient (according	was more effective than topical therapy alone.

cs (Score = 4.0)						with subconjunctival injection of fluconazole (2 mg/mL) (used every 48 hours) (N = 24). vs. Group 2: topical amphotericin B (0.5 mg/mL) eye drops only (N = 24).		duration of healing was 31 ± 3 days (p<0.05). Group 2 showed healing of corneal ulcers in 16 eyes (67%), the mean duration of healing was 37 ± 2 days.	to the percentage and the duration of healing of the ulcers) than the use of topical amphotericin B eye drops alone in dealing with cases of fungal keratitis—it may be contributed to the broad spectrum of the antifungal agents of the combination therapy than the monotherapy."	
Mahdy 2010 Cutaneous and Ocular Toxicology (Score = 3.5)	Amphotericin B	RCT Prospe ctive	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 12 with fungal keratitis;	age range was 17 to 66 years (mean age of 49 years).	Combination therapy of topical amphotericin B (0.2 mg/mL) eye drops (applied every 2 hrs. for 21 days) together with subconjunctival injections of fluconazole (2 mg/mL) (injected daily for 10 injections).	Follow-up weekly for 3 months.	After treatment, the study showed that corneal healing occurred in 9 patients (75%) (p<0.05). Seven of these patients had positive cultures: 5 Candida (100%) cases, and one case each of Aspergillus and Penicillium. Three cases (25%) showed no improvement. The duration of healing ranged from 4 to 6 weeks.	"The use of a combination of topical amphotericin B eye drops at a concentration of 0.2 mg/mL in dextrose 5% with subconjunctival injection of fluconazole 2 mg/mL had the advantage of a lower incidence of the complications of local use of amphotericin B and a broader spectrum of antifungal coverage. This study reports a relatively high success rate of healing of fungal keratitis, with a significant reduction of the potential side effects of the local use of antifungal agents."	Small sample size. Pilot study.

Mohan 1988 (Score = 3.5)	Miconazole ointment	RCT Doubl e- maske d	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 fungal corneal ulcers;	age range was 14 to 68 years.	Group I: 1% miconazole ointment (N = 20). vs. Group II: 1% silver sulphadiazine ointment (N = 20). Patients applied the ointment 5 times a day.		1% silver sulphadiazine showed to be effective in 16 eyes (80%) vs. 11 (55%) eyes in 1% miconazole (p<0.05).	"[S]ilver sulphadiazine is a safe and effective broad spectrum antifungal agent which can be used for the treatment of human keratomycosis."	Study allowed for some crossover. Sparse methodological details.
-----------------------------------	------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--	--	--	--

Evidence for Bacterial Conjunctivitis

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
McDonald 2009 (Score = 8.5)	Bacterial Conjunctiv itis: Besifloxaci n ophthalmi c suspensio n	RCT	Sponsored by Baush & Lomb, Inc. COI, McDonald is consultant for Allergan, Bausch & Lomb, Santen, and AMO; Protzko is consultant for Ista Vision, Inspire, and Santen, Brunner, Morris, Haas, Paterno, Comstock, and Usner are employees	N = 1161 with clinical manifesta tions or culture- confirmed bacterial conjunctiv itis,	mean age, besifloxacin 31.6±26.2 years, Moxifloxaci n 38.3±27.7 years.	Besifloxacin suspension 0.6% one drop in the infected eye 3 times daily for 5 days (N = 555) vs. Moxifloxacin solution instilled in the infected eye(s) 3 times daily for 5 days + participation in study visits on days (N = 579). Assessments on days 1, 5, and 8.		There were no significant differences between groups for clinical (p=0.6520) or microbial eradication (0.1238) at day 5 or day 8 (p=0.5014 and p=0.0608 respectively).	"[T]reatment of bacterial conjunctivitis with besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% produces safety and efficacy outcomes that are clinically similar to those seen with Moxifloxacin ophthalmic solution."	Minimal differences observed between groups. No assessment of blinding success. Selected patient's eye to include in study to assess maximal difference between treatments.

			of Bausch & Lomb, Inc.						
2009 Cor (Score = itis: 7.5) Bes n oph c	njunctiv	RCT	Sponsored by Bausch & Lomb Global Clinical Programs which also designed and conducted the study. COI, Karpecki is consultant for Bausch & Lomb and received consulting fees/payme nt for advisory board participatio n from Bausch & Lomb Advanced Medical Optics, Inc, OCusOFT,	N = 269 with diagnosed with acute bacterial conjunctiv itis.	Mean age 32.4 years	Besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% TID for 5 days (N = 137) vs. Control vehicle administere d TID for 5 days (N = 132). Assessments at day 1 (visit 1), day 4, (visit 2) and day 8 or 9 (visit 3).	Clinical resolution (%): day 4 besifloxacin 33.3% vs. vehicle 17.2% (p=0.069); day 8, 73.3% vs. 43.1% (p<0.001). Eradication of bacterial infection (%): day 4 besifloxacin 90.0% vs. vehicle 46.6% (p<0.001); day 8, 88.3% vs. 60.3% (p<0.001).	"In these patients with bacterial conjunctivitis, treatment with besifloxacin opthalmic suspension 0.6% administered 3 times daily for 5 days was both efficacious and well tolerated compared with vehicle."	Besifloxacin superior to vehicle for resolution of infection and was well tolerated.

			Inc, Odyssey Medical, Inc, Rapid Pathogen Screening Inc, and Allergan, Inc; Dr. DePaolis has received consulting fees/payme nt for							
Silverstein 2011 (Score = 7.0)	Bacterial Conjunctiv itis: Besifloxaci n ophthalmi c suspensio n	RCT	lecture fees from Bausch & Lomb. Sponsored by Bausch & Lomb. COI, one or more of the authors have received or will receive benefits for personal or professional use.	N = 202 with a clinical diagnosis of acute bacterial conjunctiv itis;	mean age of 25.2±24.3 years.	Besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% (N = 97) vs. Vehicle, the solution without besifloxacin (N = 105). All patients: one drop in infected eye(s) twice daily at 8 hour	Follow up at baseline, visit 1 (day 1), visit 2 (day 4 or 5) and visit 3 (day 7±1).	Rate of Clinical Resolution of conjunctivitis: visit 2: besifloxacin ophthalmic vs vehicle: 37/53(69.8%) vs 21/56(37.5%), (p<0.001); visit 3: 46/53(86.8%) vs 39/56(69.6), (p=0.038); eradication of bacterial infection:	"In this study in adults and children with bacterial conjunctivitis, besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% administered twice daily for 3 days was associated with significantly higher rates of clinical resolution and bacterial eradication compared with vehicle and was well	Only 54% had positive culture. Of these, data suggest more clinically improved at day 3. No differences on day 7.
						intervals during waking hour for 3 days.		besifloxacin vs vehicle: visit 2: 46/53(86.8%) vs 32/56(57.1%),	tolerated."	

Day 8 or 9 and 88.4% vs.	Tepedino 2009 (Score = 3.5)	Bacterial Conjunctiv itis: Besifloxaci n ophthalmi c suspensio n	RCT	Sponsored by Bausch & Lomb.	N = 957 with clinical symptoms of acute bacterial conjunctiv itis in at least one eye;	mean age of 27.3 years	Besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension, 0.6% (N = 473) vs. Vehicle, applied topically three times daily for 5 days. (N = 484). (**There were misrandomiz ations) Patients presented for Day 1 (Visit 1), Day 5 (1 day; Visit 2), and		(p<0.001); visit 3: 39/53(73.6%) vs 37/56(66.1%), not significant, no p-value to report. 390 patients had Culture-confirmed bacterial conjunctivitis. Clinical resolution and microbial eradication were significantly greater with Besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension than with vehicle at Visit 2 (45.2% vs. 33.0%, p = 0.0084; and 91.5% vs. 59.7%, p<0.0001, respectively) and Visit 3 (84.4% vs. 69.1%, p=0.0011;	"Besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension produces clinical resolution and microbial eradication rates significantly better than vehicle and is safe for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis."	Phase III clinical trial. Lack of study details for allocation, blinding, control of cointervention, sparse baseline comparisons. Sixty percent of randomized patients based on clinical diagnosis were dropped after baseline cultures were negative. Data insufficient to recommend use of study drug.
--------------------------	-----------------------------	--	-----	-----------------------------------	---	------------------------	--	--	---	--	--

					71.7%, p<0.0001,		
					respectively).		
					respectively).		
Rietveld	Fusidic	RCT	N = 181	Fusidic acid	Primary outcome,	"[A]t 7 days, cure	No meaningful differences
2005	acid gel		with red	gel one drop	difference in	rates in both the	between groups.
(Score =			eye and	four times	recovery rate:	fusidic acid gel and	Intervention had
7.5)			either	daily + daily	62% vs. 59% in	placebo group were	significantly more adverse
			(muco)-	diary (N =	the placebo	similar, although the	events than control arm.
			purulent	81) vs.	group. Secondary	trial lacked power to	
			discharge	Placebo ne	outcome,	demonstrate	
			or sticking	drop four	difference in	equivalence	
			of the	times daily +	bacterial	conclusively."	
			eyelids.	daily diary (N	eradication rates:		
				= 100).	after 7 days, 76%		
					vs. 41%.		

Tauber	MOXI AF	RCT	Chancarad	N = 1179	200 1222	Treated with	In the MBITT	"These	Phase III clinical trial. Lack
2010	IVIUAI AF	KCI	Sponsored	N = 1179 with a	age range of 30 days			microbiological	
(Score =			by by Alcon	clinical	to 92 years.	MOXI-AF, one drop in	dataset, 74.5% of	eradication data	of details for allocation, compliance, control for
7.0)			Research, Ltd.	diagnosis	to 92 years.	each eye (N	the patients treated BID for 3	demonstrated that	compliance, control for cointerventions. Age span
7.0)			Shachar	of		= 593) vs.	days with MOXI-	MOXI-AF provided	of population was 30 days
							AF were	•	
			Tauber's	bacterial		Vehicle, one		effective eradication	to 90 years. Data suggest
			wife is an	conjunctiv		drop in each	microbiological	of bacterial	microbial eradication of
			employee	itis in one		eye (N =	successes,	pathogens following	drug superior to vehicle.
			of Alcon	or both		586).	compared with	3 days of treatment	
			Laboratorie	eyes;			56.0% for	for bacterial	
			s, Inc. Gale				patients treated	conjunctivitis. The	
			Cupp,				with vehicle	convenience of the	
			Richard				(p<0.0001).	simplified BID dosing	
			Garber,				MOXI-AF was	regimen and the	
			Firoz Vohra,				significantly more	rapid eradication of	
			John Bartell				effective than	the most common	
			and David				vehicle in	causative pathogens	
			Stroman				eradicating the	may be expected to	
			are				three principle	allow earlier return	
			employees				conjunctivitis	to daycare or school	
			of Alcon				pathogens, H.	for children as young	
			Research,				influenzae (98.5%	as 1 month old,	
			Ltd. Alcon				vs. 59.6%,	without risk of	
			Research,				respectively), S.	spreading the	
			Ltd.				pneumoniae	infection to others."	
			designed				(86.4% vs. 50.0%,		
			the study				respectively), and		
			and				S. aureus (94.1%		
			performed				vs. 80.0%,		
			the data				respectively)		
			analysis.				(p<0.001).		
Schwab	Levofloxac	RCT	Sponsored	N = 423	mean age	0.5%	Microbial	Although clinical cure	Details sparse or absent for
2002	in		by Santen,	with	not	levofloxacin	eradication rates	rates in the 0.5%	allocation method,
(Score =			Inc. No COI.	bacterial	reported.	(N = 211) vs.	were significantly	levofloxacin and 0.3%	baseline comparability,
6.0)				conjunctiv		0.3%	greater in the	ofloxacin treatment	compliance, cointervention
,				itis;		ofloxacin (N	0.5% levofloxacin	groups were similar,	control. Fifty percent of
				,		= 212). Both	treatment group	a 5-day treatment	randomized patients based
						the drops	compared with	regimen with 0.5%	on clinical diagnosis were
						were	the 0.3%	levofloxacin achieved	dropped after baseline
						assigned for	ofloxacin group at	microbial eradication	cultures were negative.
						5 days (every	both the final visit	rates that were	Data suggest clinical
						2 hours on	(89% vs. 80%,	statistically superior	equivalency in cure rates.
L	L	l	<u> </u>	L	L	2 HOULD OIL	(05/0 v3. 00/0,	statistically superior	equivalency in cute rates.

					ı	1			
						days 1 and 2	p=0.034) and at	to those attained	0.5% solution significantly
						and every 4	end point (90%	with 0.3% ofloxacin.	better in children.
						hours on	vs. 81%;	Despite the higher	However, no other
						days 3–5)	p=0.038).	concentration of	differences were reported.
						Ocular signs	Treatment with	active drug in 0.5%	
						and	0.5% levofloxacin	levofloxacin versus	
						symptoms	was significantly	0.3% ofloxacin, there	
						were	more effective in	was no difference	
						evaluated on	resolving	between treatment	
						day 1	photophobia than	groups in the	
						(baseline),	was 0.3%	incidence of	
						days 3 to 5	ofloxacin	treatment-related	
						(interim),	treatment (94%	adverse events.	
						and days 6	vs. 73%,		
						to 10 (final).	p=0.006).		
Szaflik,	Levofloxac	RCT	Sponsored	N = 120	mean age	Group A	No difference	"There was no	Lack of study details for
2009	in		by Santen	with	of	(experiment	between the	statistically significant	allocation, blinding,
(Score =			Oy,	bacterial	43.3±15.1	al dosage	groups in	difference in the	randomization efficacy.
3.5)			Niittyhaank	conjunctiv	years.	group) 1-2	frequency of	efficacy or safety	Twenty-two percent of
			atu. No	itis		eye drops of	patients with	between the two	patients enrolled on
			mention of	symptoms		levofloxacin	clinical outcome	methods of drug	clinical diagnosis were
			COI.	;		0.5% to each	resolved (85.4%	administration.	dropped after negative
						infected eye	in experimental	Analysis of the results	baseline culture. Data
						three times	vs 93.3% in classic	of compliance	suggest similar outcomes
						daily for 5	dosage group,	supported our	between dosing schedules.
						days. (N =	p=0.3). The	conclusion that the	Lack of study details and
						41) vs.	microbial	less frequent method	high dropout limit
						Group B	eradication rates	of dosing of 0.5%	conclusions. Possible failed
						(classic	did not differ	levofloxacin eye	randomization.
						dosage	statistically	drops was more	
						group) 1-2	between the	convenient for	
						eye drops of	groups (92.7% vs	patients and resulted	
						levofloxacin	95.6%,	in better adherence	
						0.5% to each	respectively,	to the drug-dosing	
						infected eye	p=0.67).	scheme."	
						every 2			
						hours (up to			
						8 times			
						daily) for the			
						first 2 days			
						and every 4			
1						hours (up to			

						four times daily) for the next 3 days. (N = 45). The second visit was performed 3 to 4 days after; the final visit (V3) took place 7 ± 1 days from visit 1.				
Hwang 2003 (Score = 3.5)	Levofloxac	RCT	Sponsored by Santen Inc that also designed the protocol. No mention of COI.	N = 249 with bacterial conjunctiv itis.	Mean age levofloxaci n 31.4±22.3 years, placebo 31.6±23.0 years.	0.5% levofloxacin (N = 126) vs. Placebo (N = 123). One to 2 drips into affected eye every 2 hours while awake on days 1 and 2 and then every 4 hours on days 3-5.	Follow-up at days 3-5 and 6-10.	Efficacy, microbial eradication / clinical efficacy or cure rates / ocular signs of conjunctival discharge, bulbar and palpebral conjunctival injection, burning, itching, and photophobia: (p < 0.001, in favor of treatment group at all visits; and for subgroups microbial eradication rates in children 88% vs. 24 in placebo group and in adults 90% vs. 65% in placebo)	"In summary, the present study demonstrates that a 5 day treatment regimen with 0.5% levofloxacin ophthalmic solution is safe and effective for treatment group of bacterial conjunctivitis in both children and adults."	No ITT analysis. Data suggest levofloxacin better than placebo for treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis.

							/(in favor of treatment group, p = 0.020; and subgroup analysis rates were 88% vs. 53%, p = 0.034) / (p = 0.027, p = 0.029 and 0.018, p = 0.008, p = 0.037 and p = 0.023).		
Azithromy	RCT	No mention of sponsorship . COI, Bowman and Abelson affiliated with the Insite Vision.	N = 743 with a clinical diagnosis of bacterial conjunctiv itis < 3 days.	Mean age azithromyci n 26.2±21.48 years, tobramycin 27.9±21.73 years.	1% azithromycin twice a day on days 1 & 2 and daily on 3 to 5 + masked medication four time a day for 5 days (N = 365) vs. 0.3% tobramycin + masked medication four times a day for 5 days (N = 378).	No mention of follow-up time.	Adverse events / visual acuity / biomicroscopy and ophthalmoscopy: (no statistical significance in frequency of adverse events between the groups) / (96% of patients had no change in visual acuity) / (most treatmentemergent outcome was swelling of the eyelid, 3.3% in each group).	"Azithromycin 1% in DuraSite is safe and can be administrated in a regimen of less frequent doses than can tobramycin, while producing an equivalent clinical outcome."	Similar efficacy but azithromycin can be given less frequently to achieve similar results when compared to tobramycin. Blinding success questionable. No ITT analysis. Intervention poorly described.

Abelson	Azithromy	RCT	Sponsored	N = 685	mean age	1%	Both follow-	Clinical resolution	"[A]zithromycin 1%	Phase III trial. Sparse or
2008	cin	I.C.I	by Insite	with	of 31.0	azithromycin	up visits	with azithromycin	ophthalmic solution	absent details for
(Score =	CIII		Vision. No	positive	years.	in DuraSite	occurred at	ophthalmic	in DuraSite showed	randomization method,
4.5)			COI.	clinical	years.	(active drug)	least 12	solution was	statistically significant	baseline comparability,
4.3)			COI.	diagnosis		for five days	hours after	statistically	differences in clinical	compliance, ITT analysis.
				of acute		(N = 335) vs.	the previous	significant	resolution and	Sixty percent of
				bacterial		Vehicle, for	dose of	compared with	bacterial eradication	randomized patients based
				conjunctiv		five days (N	study	that of vehicle	rates when compared	on clinical diagnosis were
				-		= 350). Signs	•	(p=0.030) at visit	with vehicle in	dropped after baseline
				itis;		of bacterial	medication.	3. Bacterial	children and adults.	cultures were negative.
						conjunctiviti		eradication rates	Because it was well	Data suggest superiority of
						s were		with azithromycin	tolerated in this	clinical cure of drug vs.
						measured at		ophthalmic		vehicle.
						each visit:		solution reached	population, it may be a viable treatment	venicie.
						visit 1 (day 1,		88.5% at visit 3	option for bacterial	
						study entry),		(p<0.001) and	conjunctivitis."	
						visit 2 (day 3		included some	conjunctivitis.	
						or 4), and		pathogens		
						visit 3 (day 6		resistant to		
						or 7).		azithromycin in		
						01 7).		vitro.		
Denis	Azithromy	RCT	RCT	N = 1043	Mean age	Azithromycin	Follow-up at	There were no	"The microbiologic	Short follow-up. Data
2008	cin	I III	Sponsored	with	39.0±20.7	1.5% (AZT) 1	day 3, day 9,	significant	findings support the	suggest comparable
(Score =	CIT		by	purulent	years.	gtt BID for 3	and optional	differences	conclusion that	efficacy.
4.5)			Laboratorei	bacterial	years.	days (N =	at day 28.	between groups	topical therapy with	emedey.
4.5)			es Théa,	conjunctiv		524) vs.	at day 20.	for bacteriologic	azithromycin 1.5%	
			Clermont-	itis.		Tobramycin		resolution on	BID 3 days effectively	
			Ferrand,	1013.		0.3% (TOB) 1		days 3 (exacted 2-	eradicates most	
			France. No			gtt hourly		sided 5% CI on	pathogenic bacteria	
			COI.			while awake		difference, -5.3%;	associated with	
						NTE 8xD for		8.3%) and 9	bacterial	
									Bucterial	
1								,	conjunctivitis "	
						2D + 1 gtt		(exacted 2-sided	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D.		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at baseline + 3		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at baseline + 3 (except		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at baseline + 3 (except those > 3		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at baseline + 3 (except those > 3 years), and 9		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at baseline + 3 (except those > 3 years), and 9 days post -		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	
						2D + 1 gtt QID for 5D. Conjunctival testing at baseline + 3 (except those > 3 years), and 9		(exacted 2-sided 5% CI on difference, -6.6%;	conjunctivitis."	

	<u> </u>			swabbing at			
				28 days post			
				treatment (N			
				= 519)			
				Bacteriologic			
				control			
				specimens			
				were			
				randomized			
				into lab			
				analysis,			
				under			
				blinded			
				conditions.			
				Presence of			
				pathogenic			
				bacteria was			
				determined			
				via Cagle's			
				microbiologi			
				c criteria.			
Gallenga	Lomefloxa	RCT	N = 99	Lomefloxaci	Total score of all	"Both lomefloxacin	Blinding success
1999	cin		with	n 0.3% eye	signs and	0.3% twice daily and	questionable. Intervention
(Score =			conjunctiv	drops twice	symptoms	tobramycin 0.3%	procedure poorly
5.0)			al	daily $(N = 50)$	decreased	administered 4 times	described.
			hyperemia	VS.	significanlty in	daily were well	
				Tobramycin	both groups on	tolerated and	
				0.3% 4 times	day 3-4 as	showed a high	
				daily (N =	compared to base	degree of clinical and	
				49).	line, p < 0.0001.	microbiological	
					No differences	efficacy in the	
					were found	treatment of acute	
					between groups	bacterial	
					for bacterial	conjunctivitis."	
					count.		

Yee 2005 (Score = 5.0)	Gatifloxaci n	RCT	RCT Sponsored by Allergan, Inc. COI, Bernstein, Jensen, Schiffmaan, and Whitcup affiliated	N = 104 with acute bacterial conjunctiv itis.	Mean age 42.4 years.	Gatifloxacin 0.3% BID twice daily for 5 days (N = 52) vs. Gatifloxacin 0.3% QID four times daily for 5 days (N =	Follow-up at day 3 and day 5.	No statistical differences between groups for adverse events / age / sex / race: (p > 0.999) / (p = 0.727) / (p = 0.840) / (p = 0.407). On day 5 86.5 % vs. 71.2%	"[Gatifloxacin] 0.3% administered BID was as effective and as safe as gatifloxacin 0.3% administrated QID for 5 days for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis."	Intervention process poorly described. No statistical significant difference between groups observed. Investigator blinding questionable.
			with Allergan,			52).		in QID group achieved clinical		
Kernt 2005 (Score = 2.5)	Tobramyci	RCT	Inc. No mention of sponsorship . No COI	N = 276 with bacterial conjunctiv itis based on clinical observatio n,	min. age of 1 year and max. of 91.	One drop of tobramycin 0.3% (3 mg/mL) enhanced viscosity ophthalmic solution BID instructed to dose 4 times daily for the first day and twice daily for the rest of the treatment (N = 137) vs. Tobramycin 0.3% (3 mg/mL) ophthalmic solution QID in the affected eye for (± 1) 7 days (N = 139). Study duration, 12 days.	Study duration, 12 days.	cure. Efficacy / safety / microbiological susceptibility testing: (no statistical difference between treatments for the final clinical judgment at the test-of-cure visit, p = 0.6037) / (spectrum of bacteria isolated from severe case was similar to that in non-severe cases p value=not reported) / (no clinical relevant, treatment related change in visual acuity or statistical significance between groups p value= not reported).	"In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that tobramycin 0.3% (3 mg/mL) enhanced viscosity ophthalmic solution provides an alternative treatment for acute bacterial conjunctivitis that may help to improve patient compliance and satisfaction with therapy."	Failed randomization. Methodological details sparse. No difference observed between treatment arms.

Papa 2002	Netilmicin	RCT	Sponsored	N = 209	Mean age	0.3%	Follow-up at	Percentage of	"In conclusion, the	Methodological details
(Score =			by SIFI Spa,	with	49±19	netilmicin	days 3, 5,	eradicated	current study	sparse. Blinding success
1.5)			Catania,	bacterial	years.	one to two	and 10.	infections over	indicates that	questionable. Study
			Italy.Netilmi	conjunctiv		drops		time / clinical	netilmicin is safe,	suggests netilmicin better
			cin	itis.		applied to		results / safety	effective, and well	than gentamicin in
			ophthalmic			the affected		and tolerance:	tolerated in the	treatment of acute
			solution Is			eyes 4 times		(day 5 and 10; p =	treatment of acute	bacterial conjunctivitis and
			manufactur			daily (N =		0.001 and 0.037)	bacterial	had better efficacy in gram
			ed by SIFI			106) vs.		/ (amelioration of	conjunctivitis."	positive organism
			SpA. No			0.3%		clinical symptoms		eradication.
			mention of			gentamicin		favors netilmicin		
			COI.			one to two		at day 3, 5 and 10		
						drops		statistically		
						applied to		significant		
						the affected		difference, p =		
						eyes 4 times		0.037, 0.001 and		
						daily (N =		0.001,		
						103).		respectively) /		
						Treatment		(96.6% vs. 70.9%		
						for up to 10		in gentamicin		
						days.		group).		

PROGNOSIS

The prognosis of most eye infections is quite good, as most resolve with minimal difficulty. The prognosis may be more guarded for those with immunodeficiencies, severe infections, certain types of infections, or complicating ulcers.

Corneal ulcers are ophthalmological emergencies. The clinical results are dependent on many factors including age, immunocompetence, extent, involvement of visual axis, speed of diagnosis and treatment.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

A list of potential differential diagnoses of a red eye is found in Table 1.

COMPLICATIONS / COMORBIDITIES

Complications and comorbidities include:

- Increasing age
- Retained foreign body(ies)
- Dry eyes
- Rheumatological disorders (e.g., Sicca syndrome, Reiter's syndrome)
- Immunodeficiency states

FOLLOW-UP CARE

There are no quality studies comparing the frequency and/or intensiveness of follow-up of patients with eye infections with or without ulcers. There are also no quality studies evaluating education in conjunction with care for these infections. In general, follow-up is every few days for more severe infections and then less frequently until complete resolution. Follow-up intensity initially may also be more frequent for concerns about retained foreign bodies complicating the condition, as additional treatment may be required to remove foreign matter that is otherwise delaying recovery [587].

For bacterial or fungal infections, different frequencies of follow-up visits have been utilized in the randomized controlled clinical trials with most starting follow-up visits at least twice a week. Follow-up may be more or less frequently depending on the patient's age, severity of the infection, compliance with treatment, immunocompetency of the patient, and the clinical judgment as to the risk(s) of complications. Bacterial infections are expected to resolve in 1 to 2 weeks [512, 526]. Ulcers can take longer to heal and are recovery time is proportional to the size and depth of the ulcer. Examples of specific follow-up visit frequencies include visits: (i) every 3 days [547, 548]; (ii) days 2, 4, 7, 14 and then longer if needed [557]; and (iii) days 2-3, 6-7, 11-12, 18-19 and 28, [570]. Fungal infections usually require longer follow-up due to longer healing times that have averaged 4-5 weeks in clinical trials [585].

JOB ANALYSIS

Generally not indicated. If the inciting event was an acute traumatic event, then protective eye programs, eye gear, engineering, and education may be indicated (see above)

MULTIMEDIA

Blepharoconjunctivitis

OVERVIEW

Blepharoconjunctivitis is a chronic inflammation of the eyelid along the base of the eyelashes. This results in irritation, itchy eyes, watery eyes, mattering, frequent blinking and may result in photophobia. It may be caused by insufficient oil gland production, bacterial infection, allergies, rosacea and other conditions. Staphylococcal infection is a common cause of blepharoconjunctivitis. Overall quality of the literature on this subject is notably poor [588]. Although It is generally considered a non-occupational condition, it is commonly identified on clinical evaluation, and is included in the guideline for completeness.

The most common treatment is lid hygiene, which involves daily washing of the eyelid with a cotton tip applicator, baby shampoo and water. Lid hygiene suffices for the majority of people. Artificial tears and warm compresses may be helpful. Thus treatment is also nearly always non-prescription self-care.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Daily Lid Hygiene for Blepharoconjunctivitis Recommended.

Activity Modification and Exercise

Daily Lid Hygiene is recommended for treatment of blepharoconjunctivitis.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High											
☑ Acute☑ Subacute☑ Preoperative☐ Period	☑ Chronicoperative☐ Postoperative										
Indications: Frequency/Dose/Duration:	Nearly all cases of blepharoconjunctivitis Daily eyelid and eyelash scrubbing with tepid water, baby shampoo and using a cotton tip applicator.										
Indications for Discontinuation:	Resolution of the symptoms. Reduction in scrubbing frequency may be possible when the condition is under control.										
Benefits:	Self-management of the condition and symptoms, but with negligible cost.										
Harms:	Negligible										
Comments:											
Rationale:	There are a few trials of various disorders, especially for dry eyes that suggest efficacy of is evidence to suggest lid hygiene is helpful for										

managing lipid deficient dry eyes [589]. A thermodynamic lipid device has also been reportedly successful for Meibomian gland dysfunction [590]. Lid hygiene is not invasive, has few adverse effects, is low cost,

appears clinically effective and thus is recommended.

Evidence:

Antibiotics for Blepharoconjunctivitis

Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Topical antibiotics are recommended for treatment of anterior blepharoconjunctivitis.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High

□ Acute	Subacute	□ Chronic	
	⊠ Peric	perative	

Indications: Anterior blepharoconjunctivitis. Generally, lid hygiene is instituted and

antibiotics are used for clinical failures. Initial prescriptions of topical antibiotics may be particularly prescribed for treatment of more

severe presentations.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendation

Indications for Discontinuation: Completion of a clinical course or sufficient management of symptoms

without need of further antibiotic treatment.

Benefits: May help eradicate bacteria from lid margin. Symptom reduction

Harms: Antibiotic resistance. Adverse reactions.

Comments: Evidence:

Rationale: There are trials of topical antibiotics for treatment of anterior

blepharitis. Some trials do not clearly specify anterior blepharitis, providing a potential confounder. Most trials appear to show efficacy for reductions in symptoms. Topical antibiotics are not invasive, have few adverse effects, are low cost for short courses, appear effective

and are thus recommended for anterior blepharitis.

Evidence:

Evidence for Antibiotics for Blepharoconjunctivitis

Author	Category:	Study	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Year		type:	Interest:							
(Score):										
Yactayo-	Levofloxacin	RCT		N = 60 with chronic		No treatment group		94% of patients	"CBC eyes have a	Failed
Miranda				blepharoconjunctivitis		received no		with CBC had	significantly higher	randomization.
2009				or CBC.		antibiotics (N = 20)		positive	number of positive	Methodological
						vs. Levofloxacin		thioglycolate	cultures than eyes	details sparse.
						only group treated		broth cultures vs.	without CBC."	
						with 0.5% topical		58% in patients		
						levofloxacin in both		without CBC, p <		
						eyes four times a		0.0001. Treated		
						day for seven days		eyes resulted in		
						(N = 20) vs.		significant		
						Combined group		reduction p <		
						received		0.05, in number		
						levofloxacin + scrub		of thioglycolate		
						eyelid margins with		compared to non-		
						a moistened cotton		treated eyes, ≥		
						tip in (N = 20).		88%.		
Rhee	Tobramycin	RCT		N = 40 eyes of 40		Group 1:		Treatment	"Overall,	Methodological
2007				patients with		Tobramycin 0.3% +		outcome for	Tobramycin 0.3% /	details sparse.
(Score =				blepharo -		dexamethasone		group 1 were	dexamethasone	Patient blinding
3.0)				keratoconjunctivitis.		0.1% + ophthalmic		statistically	0.1% significantly	questionable.
						solution of one drop		significant in post	decreased clinical	
						twice daily for 3 to 5		treatment signs	signs of ocular	
						days (N = 20) vs.		of blepharitis /	inflammation (i.e.,	
						Group 2:		conjunctivitis /	blepharitis,	
						Tobramycin 0.3% +		ocular discharge:	discharge,	
						loteprednol 0.5%		(p = 0.017) / (p =	conjunctivitis) and	
						ophthalmic solution		0.013) / (p =	total ocular	
						one drop twice daily		0.025). Mean	inflammation	
						for 3 to 5 days (N =		keratitis scores	scores when	
						20).		with group one	compared with	
								were lower in	Tobramycin 0.3% /	
								comparison to	loteprednol 0.5%	
								group 2, but not	in patients with	
								statistically	moderate BKC."	
								significant, p =		
								0.065.		

Allergic Disorders: Seasonal Allergic Conjunctivitis, Perennial Allergic Conjunctivitis, and Vernal Conjunctivitis

RELATED TERMS

- Itchy eye
- Seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (SAC)
- Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis
- Perennial allergic conjunctivitis (PAC)
- Vernal keratoconjunctivitis (VKC)
- Contact dermatoconjunctivitis
- Giant papillary conjunctivitis
- Pink eye (often this infectious not allergic conjunctivitis)

OVERVIEW

Allergic conjunctivitis (the inflammatory response of the conjunctiva to allergens) is estimated to affect up to 40% of the general population [591]. It encompasses a spectrum of severity and chronicity including seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (SAC), perennial allergic conjunctivitis (PAC), vernal keratoconjunctivitis (VKC), and atopic keratoconjunctivitis (AKC) [592]. SAC and PAC are considered the most common forms of ocular allergies and affect 15-20% of the population [592] [593]. Some cases of allergic eye disease are largely confined to the eyes, while most also involve the upper respiratory tract. More severe cases usually involve asthma (see Occupational/Work-Related Asthma Guideline).

RISK AND CAUSATION

Risk Factors

While allergies may occur at any age, children and young adults are at greatest risk. A past history of atopy, whether upper respiratory tract or asthma, is a risk for subsequent development of additional allergies, including those to workplace allergens. There are many studies supporting a lower risk of atopy if the person is raised in a building and in close proximity with animals (Hygiene Hypothesis) [594-598] and more recent data support relationships with microflora [599-603]. A family history of allergies is also a risk factor. Among those with pre-existing allergies, high exposures to allergens (e.g., dust mites, tree pollen, mold) are risks for allergy exacerbations. Allergic conjunctivitis may also develop in response to various occupational exposures (e.g., flour) and chemicals (e.g., thimerosal, specific perfumes). Work-related cases general involve exposure(s) to airborne allergens. See also Work-related Asthma Guideline.

Causation

Determinations of causation range from relatively simple with a high degree of certainty to those with a high degree of complexity and low certainty. Simpler causal associations involve limited or no non-occupational symptoms, exposure to a well-known sensitizer, symptoms occurring at work and complete resolution on nights and weekends. More complex cases have pre-existing atopic problems, perennial, largely unremitting symptoms that are worse at work and exposure to a known or potential allergen(s). Because more severe cases tend to involve asthma, see also Work-related Asthma Guideline.

Prevalence/Incidence

The prevalence of allergic conjunctivitis is steadily increasing with estimates approximating 40% of the U.S. population being affected. Seasonal allergic conjunctivitis (SAC) constitutes 90% of all allergic conjunctivitis. [591] Typically, all allergies are more common in younger persons and it is substantially less common for serious allergies to develop in an older adult.

Work Relatedness

A determination of work-relatedness is usually determined in most jurisdictions based on the presence of a work-related exposure to a known allergen, which precedes the allergic response. Generally, it is helpful for the causal assessment that there should be complete recovery from symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis after prolonged removal from exposure. Exceptions to complete recovery most commonly include those with ongoing exposure(s) and/or those susceptible to non-occupational allergens.

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS

Symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis may include:

- Bilateral itchy eyes (pruritis)
- Bilateral watery eyes
- Bilateral swollen eyelids (ocular edema)
- Bilateral erythematous eyes
- Bilateral eye pain (usually not severe)
- Bilateral eye inflammation
- Rhinorrhea (runny nose)
- Itchy nose, itchy roof of mouth
- Sneezing

Symptom onset in an occupational setting may be rapid or gradual. In general, the higher the dose of exposure, the faster and more intense the symptom development tends to be. Still there is a wide range. Subsequent symptom experiences tend to parallel frequency, intensity and duration of the exposure(s). Typically, both eyes are equally affected in allergic conjunctivitis. Eyes may be unequally affected if there is differential introduction of the allergen into the eyes (e.g., flour dust rubbed into one eye).

RED FLAGS

If symptoms worsen or persist (swelling, inflammation, etc.) there may be something more serious than allergic conjunctivitis.

If visual acuity worsens, it is probably not allergic in etiology.

- Acquired abnormal visual fields
- Purulence
- Systemic diseases, especially auto-immune

DIAGNOSIS

Initial Assessment

The initial assessment consists of a careful history and limited testing to rule out other conditions. The history focuses on symptoms, patterns of symptoms and probable allergens.

Diagnostic Criteria

Proposed criteria from the American Optometric Association for allergic conjunctivitis include symptoms, signs and limited testing [604]. A clinical history and assessment of environmental factors are considered to be the first step in diagnosing allergic conjunctivitis [604]. Following the initial assessment, an allergy workup based on skin tests and determination of serum specific IgE is generally recommended. Occasionally, a conjunctival challenge is performed. [604, 605]. Increased conjunctival sickle cells, frequent eosinophils in corneal scrapings and a high total serum IgE are indicators of allergic conjunctivitis [604].

Allergic eye diseases present with episodic bilateral pruritic, watery, erythematous eyes, and photophobia [604]. Symptoms most often wax and wane based on exposure, although persistent symptoms may be present if exposures are ongoing. For those with intermittent symptoms, a pattern of symptom development, or aggravation after exposures is present that is often quite helpful in assessing the causative allergen(s). The degree of pruritis is highly helpful diagnostically to increase the probability of allergic disease, although infectious diseases may present with some pruritis. Confirmatory testing of atopy is possible for some specific allergens (see Occupational/Work-Related Asthma Guideline).

Some patients also have systemic symptoms, such as asthma. All patients with allergic eye disease should be assessed for systemic manifestations as those with asthma and ongoing exposure may incur progressive pulmonary impairments that may become permanent (See Occupational/Work-Related Asthma Guideline). Occupational asthma also increases the potential for a fatal outcome (See Occupational/Work-Related Asthma Guideline).).

Classification

The consensus classification for allergic conjunctivitis (AC) takes into account the frequency and severity of ocular signs and symptoms [604]. AC generally affects both eyes and is considered *intermittent* when it involves ocular signs and symptoms (conjunctival pruritus, tearing, a burning sensation, blurred vision, photophobia, and hyperemia) for up to 4 days a week or up to 4 consecutive days. AC is considered

persistent when the ocular signs and symptoms have been present more than 4 days per week or more than 4 consecutive days [604].

The severity of AC is classified as *mild* when signs and symptoms are 1) not bothersome, 2) do not effect vision, 3) there are no interferences with activities of daily living, and 4) no interferences with school or work tasks. It is considered *moderate* when 1-3 items are met and *severe* when all conditions are met. [604].

History

The history consists of a search for both positive responses to identify a probable allergic disease process. The history also consists of a search for pertinent negatives, e.g., to rule out other conditions such as other immunological disorders. Exposure to likely allergens is of critical interest in a history for allergic conjunctivitis. A search through occupational exposures to identify potential allergens is another important part of the history. Timing of both the onset of symptoms and relief of symptoms is key in ascertaining the probability of allergic conjunctivitis.

Medical History Questionnaire

- Do you have a history of allergies? If so, which ones? At what age of onset?
- Do you have itchy eyes (pruritis)? Bilateral?
- Are your eyes watery or teary?
- Do you get pink or red eyes? Bilateral?
- Do you have any eye pain? Bilateral? How severe?
- Is there any eye inflammation?
- Does your nose run (rhinorrhea)?
- Do you have an itchy nose, itchy roof of mouth?
- Do you have sneezing?
- Do these symptoms come on during spring or fall pollen seasons?
- Are the symptoms timed with anything you do or are exposed to at work?
- Are symptoms perennial (year round)?
- Are both eyes affected equally?
- Have you ever been diagnosed with pink eye?
- Are you allergic to certain animals like cats?
- Do you have any known food allergies?
- Do your eyes tear when wearing certain perfumes, or cosmetics?
- Do you need to use decongestants or antihistamines to control sneezing coughing and congestion?
- Has your visual acuity been affected?
- Is your peripheral vision normal?
- Have you had discharge from your eyes? Mucous? Purulence?
- Do you have systemic diseases, especially auto-immune such as Reumatoid arthritis, Lupus, Reiter's Sicca Syndrome?
- Do you have glaucoma?

Physical Exam

The physical examination includes testing of visual acuity and vision fields. Slit lamp examination is often performed. Tonometry is helpful to rule out glaucoma. Other physical examination components may

include evaluations of joints and mucous membranes, particularly if there are symptoms suggestive of autoimmune diseases.

For initial evaluations, slit lamp examination is not always required, as a preliminary diagnosis and treatment plan is possible in some situations, such as mild cases.

DIAGNOSTIC RECOMMENDATIONS

High Molecular Weight Specific Antigens

Strongly Recommended.

Specific immunological testing (IgE) is strongly recommended for workers with symptoms consistent with occupational asthma to certain high molecular weight specific allergens and when standardized antigens and assay protocols exist. The specificity and sensitivity of the allergens should have been evaluated in quality studies using validated test methods that are commercially available. High molecular weight allergens for which there is sufficient evidence in quality studies include flour dusts, bovine danders, laboratory, and other animal allergens. Natural rubber latex (NRL) allergy can be confirmed by serum IgE testing, but the assay does not include all potential NRL allergens, such that a negative result does not necessarily exclude the diagnosis of NRL allergy.

Strength of Evidence – Strongly Recommended, Evidence (A)

Level of Confidence – High

IgG Specific Immunological Testing for High Molecular Weight Specific Antigens Not Recommended.

Specific immunological testing (IgG) is not recommended as a diagnostic tool for select workers with symptoms consistent with occupational asthma to high molecular weight specific allergens. It can be used for a marker of exposure to certain allergens, but in and of itself does not diagnose disease.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Evidence (C)
Level of Confidence – High

Low Molecular Weight Specific Antigens

Not Recommended.

Specific immunological testing (IgE) is not recommended for workers with symptoms consistent with occupational asthma to low molecular weight specific allergens due to low sensitivity and specificity and lack of method validation.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I)

Level of Confidence – Moderate

TREATMENT

Initial Care

Initial treatment generally consists of identification of the probable allergen. Subsequently, reduction or elimination of exposure is the preferred initial management. Many cases involve environmental exposures that may not be readily reduced or controlled. In such cases, hygiene to reduce exposure, medications are implemented. Immunotherapy may be attempted for select cases with moderate to severe disease and inability to sufficiently modify exposures.

All of the following are common treatments used:

- Avoidance of known antigen
- Antihistamines
- Eye drops
- Decongestants (vasoconstrictors)
- Mast cell stabilizers
- NSAIDS
- Steroids
- Immunotherapy if severe (consult an allergist)

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Medical removal is usually based on pulmonary symptoms and development of asthma, particularly if progressive loss is determined by spirometry (see above). Medical removal solely for ocular symptoms is relatively rare, and typically only occurs after education, institution of exposure reduction, exposure controls, and persistence of symptoms beyond a tolerable level.

Management of Allergic Eye Symptoms without Asthma (Reduction of Exposure) Recommended.

Activity Modification and Exercise

For allergic eye symptoms, it is recommended that exposure reduction and medical monitoring to assess the presence or worsening of asthma should be performed to ensure ocular symptoms are acceptably reduced as well as to provide early identification of asthma.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)									
Level of Confidence – Moderate									
	□ Chronic								
☐ Preoperative ☐ Per	rioperative								
Indications:	All patients with moderate to severe symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis. Exposure reduction is also indicated for mild allergic conjunctivitis cases where feasible.								
Frequency/Dose/Duration:									

Indicatio	ons for Discontinuation:	
Benefits	:	Potential to eliminate the need for medical treatment. Otherwise, potential to reduce the intensity of other medical treatment(s) required.
Harms:		May be problematic in some settings. May not be possible and worker may need to accept the symptoms due to economic issues. As noted in the Work-related Asthma guideline, "The clinical benefit of removal from exposure or exposure reduction should be balanced against the increased risk of unemployment." [606]"
Comme	nts:	
Rationa	le:	There are quality studies for evaluation of removal from work exposures in the settings of occupational asthma.
		This approach is not always effective, and from the Work-Related Asthma guideline, "The guidelines of the BOHRF and ACCP stated that reduction of exposure "is not always effective" [607] and that "there is little evidence for using this approach." [608]" Still there are patients who appear to benefit significantly from reductions in exposure. Exposure reduction is not invasive, has low to high adverse effects, could be high cost and thus selective removal from exposure is indicated, especially for those with severe symptoms.
Evidence	<u>:</u> :	
Education for Recommended	Allergic Conditions	
Activity Modific	cation and Exercise	
Education is red	commended for assisting	g patients to better manage their allergic condition.
Strengt	h of Evidence – Recomn	mended, Insufficient Evidence (I)
Level o	<i>f Confidence</i> – High	
⊠ Acu	e 🗵 Subacute	⊠ Chronic
□ Preo	perative \square Peri	ioperative Postoperative
Indicatio	ons:	All patients with ocular eye manifestations, particularly those without the ability to avoid future exposure. Education includes exposure reduction, exposure elimination, hand hygiene to avoid contaminating the eyes, and medication management.
Frequen	cy/Dose/Duration:	One appointment for education may suffice. An occasional, additional visit may be indicated, especially for reinforcement, complex cases, or

if the disease substantially worsens.

Indications for Discontinuation:

Benefits: Better ability to avoid symptoms from introducing allergens from the

hands to the eyes. More informed medical removal decision-making

for severe cases.

Harms: Negligible

Comments:

Rationale: There are no quality studies evaluating efficacy of education for ocular

allergic diseases. However, clinically, education is helpful in improving management of the patient's condition and for avoiding and/or reducing exposures to allergens. Education is not invasive, has no adverse effects, is low cost, is clinically effective and is thus

recommended.

Evidence:

Medications for Ocular Allergies

There are multiple medications in several medication classes that are used for allergic ocular symptoms. These different classes of medications have different strengths and weaknesses that may be utilized to optimize treatment and/or treatment compliance. Classes of medications include non-selective histamine receptor blockers, selective histamine receptor blockers, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs), mast cell stabilizers, glucocorticosteroids, oral anti-histamines, and others. Normally, one medication suffices. Occasionally, moderate to severe symptoms may be addressed with combinations of agents, usually utilizing one medication from each of two different classes with different mechanisms of action.

Medications administered by ocular drops are cleared via the lacrimal ducts. These medications also tend to treat allergic nasal symptoms. Some evidence suggests ocular drops treat nasal symptoms better than ocular symptoms [609].

Antihistamine and/or Mast Cell Stabilization Medications for Allergic Diseases Strongly Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Antihistamine and/or mast cell stabilization medications are strongly recommended for treatment of ocular symptoms from allergic diseases.

Strength of Evidence - Strongly Recommended, Evidence (A)

Level of Confidence - High

 \boxtimes Acute \boxtimes Subacute \boxtimes Chronic

oximes Preoperative oximes Perioperative oximes Postoperative

Indications: Ocular eye symptoms from presumptive or proven allergic disease.

Exposure elimination is the preferred initial treatment before medication. However, many cases benefit from prompt medical

treatment.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Medications used follow. Dose, Frequency, Duration is as per

manufacturer's recommendations.

Histamine blockers:

- Alcaftadine 0.25% 1 drop QD
- Azelastine 0.05% 1 drop BID
- Emadastine 0.05% 1 drop up to QID

Anti-histamine/mast cell stabilizer

- Bepotastine 1.5% 1 drop BID
- Epinastine 0.05% 1 drop BID
- Olopatadine 0.1% 1 drop BID (or longer preparation QD use)

Mast Cell Stabilizer

- Cromolyn 1 drop 4-6 times/day
- Ketotifen 1 drop Q8-12 hrs
- Lodoxamine 1-2 drops QID
- Nedocromil 1-2 drops BID
- Pemirolast 1-2 drops QID

Indications for Discontinuation:

Resolution of symptoms, removal from exposure, intolerance, adverse effects.

Benefits:

Reduction in pruritus, watering eyes. May also reduce allergic nasal symptoms.

Harms:

May briefly burn, sting and/or cause dry eyes.

Comments:

Rationale:

Antihistamines are typically used as the first line medication. Both antihistamines and mast cell stabilizers have strong evidence of efficacy. While there is efficacy, there is less evidence of efficacy for ketorolac.

Antihistamine eye drops and/or mast cell stabilizing medication eye drops are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are low to moderate cost depending on length of treatment, have proven efficacy and are thus recommended for treatment of allergic eye diseases.

There are dozens of moderate and high-quality RCTs. Nearly all have documented efficacy. All of the following medications have been assessed in quality studies: Bepotastine esilate 1.0-1.5% [609-613]; Alcaftadine [614, 615]; Epinastine HCl [616-620]; Emedastine HCl [621-626], Ketotifen fumorate [622, 627-634], Azelastine HCl [627, 635-643], Olopatadine HCl [614, 617, 619, 621, 628, 631, 632, 634, 644-656], Fluorometholone [621, 656, 657], Levobastine [618, 649], Levocabastine [630] [658] [659] [660] [661] [624], Cromolyn sodium [633, 649, 662-664], Sodium cromoglycate [638, 658, 660] [665-668], Nedocromil [650, 661, 665, 669-675], Pranoprofen [657] Ketorolac [651, 654, 676]; [677-679], Diclofenac [677], [680], Loteprednol etabonate [652, 681], Pentigetide [682], Oxymetazoline [683], and Mequitazine [684].

Oral medications assessed in trials for eye symptoms include Loratadine [620, 655], desloratadine [685] Cyclosporin A has been shown to be ineffective [686]. Comparative trials have mostly found comparable efficacy among more recent medications. For example, more trials suggested Olopatadine is superior to Ketotifen [634, 651, 655] but one found the opposite [632].

NSAID Eye Drops for Allergic Diseases

Moderately Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

NSAID eye drops are moderately recommended for treatment of ocular symptoms from allergic diseases.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B) Level of Confidence – Moderate

⋈ Acute
⋈ Subacute
⋈ Chronic

 \boxtimes Preoperative \boxtimes Perioperative \boxtimes Postoperative

Indications: Ocular eye symptoms from presumptive or proven allergic disease.

Exposure elimination is the preferred initial treatment before medication. However, many cases benefit from prompt medical

treatment.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Medications used follow. Dose, Frequency, Duration is as per

 $manufacturer's\ recommendations.$

Ketorolac 0.5% 1 drop QID

Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution of symptoms, removal from exposure, intolerance, adverse

effects.

Benefits: Reduction in pruritus, watering eyes. May also reduce allergic nasal

symptoms.

Harms: May briefly burn, sting and/or cause dry eyes.

Rationale: NSAIDs drops are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are low to

moderate cost depending on length of treatment, have proven efficacy and are thus recommended for treatment of allergic eye

diseases.

There are dozens of moderate and high-quality RCTs. Nearly all have documented efficacy. All of the following medications have been assessed in quality studies: Bepotastine esilate 1.0-1.5% [609-613]; Alcaftadine [614, 615]; Epinastine HCl [615]; Abelsopn 04 [618-620, 687]; Emedastine HCl [621, 622, 624-626, 659], Ketotifen fumorate [622, 627-634], Azelastine HCl [627, 635-643], Olopatadine HCl [614, 617, 619, 621, 628, 631, 632, 634, 644-656], Fluorometholone [621, 656, 657] Levobastine [618, 649], Levocabastine [624, 630, 658-661], Cromolyn sodium [633, 649, 662-664], Sodium cromoglycate [638,

658] [660, 665-668], Nedocromil [650, 661, 665, 669-675],

Pranoprofen [657] Ketorolac [651, 654, 676-679], Diclofenac [677,

680], Loteprednol etabonate [652, 681], Pentigetide [682],

Oxymetazoline [683], and Mequitazine [684].

Glucocorticosteroid Eye Drops

Sometimes Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Glucocorticosteroid eye drops are selectively recommended for short term treatment of severe ocular symptoms from allergic diseases.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – Low											
☐ Acute ☐ Subacute	e 🗆 Chronic										
□ Preoperative □ F	Perioperative Postoperative										
Indications:	Acute, severe ocular eye symptoms from presumptive or proven allergic disease. Exposure elimination is the preferred initial treatment before medication. However, many cases benefit from prompt medical treatment. Not indicated for mild to moderate disease due to adverse effects potentially outweighing potential benefits.										
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	Medications used follow. Dose, Frequency, Duration is as per manufacturer's recommendations. Loteprednol 0.2% 1 drop up to QID Loteprednol 0.5% 1-2 drops QID										
Indications for Discontinuation.	Resolution of symptoms, removal from exposure, intolerance, adverse effects.										
Benefits:	Reduction in pruritus, watering eyes. May also reduce allergic nasal symptoms.										
Harms:	May briefly burn, sting and/or cause dry eyes.										
Rationale:	Glucocorticosteroid drops have concerns about significant adverse effects, including cataracts and aggravating glaucoma. Thus, they are recommended for more limited use to treat short courses of severe symptoms.										
	There are dozens of moderate and high-quality RCTs. Nearly all have documented efficacy. All of the following medications have been assessed in quality studies: Bepotastine esilate 1.0-1.5% [609-613]; Alcaftadine [614, 615]; Epinastine HCl [616-620] Emedastine HCl [621, 622, 624-626, 659], Ketotifen fumorate [622, 627, 629-634, 688], Azelastine HCl [616, 635-643], Olopatadine HCl [621] [614, 617, 619, 631, 632, 634, 644-656, 688], Fluorometholone [621, 656, 657]), Levobastine [618, 649], Levocabastine [624, 630, 658-661], Cromolyn sodium [633, 649, 662-664], Sodium cromoglycate [638, 658, 660, 665-668], Nedocromil [650, 661, 665, 669-675], Pranoprofen [657]										

Mequitazine [684].

Ketorolac [651, 654, 676-679], Diclofenac [677, 680], Loteprednol etabonate [652, 681], Pentigetide [682], Oxymetazoline [683], and

Evidence for Antihistamine and/or Mast Cell Stabilization Medications

Author Year (Score):	Categor y:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow -up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:			
	Glucocorticosteroid Eye Drops — Bepatastine												
Meier 2012 (Score = 8.5	Bepota stine Besilate Solutio n vs. Placebo	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 157 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 37.5±11. 9 years.	Conjunctival allergen challenge (CAC): Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution (BBOS), one drop per eye (N = 78) vs. Placebo, one drop per eye (N = 79).	Follow -up at baseli ne, 15 min and 8 hours.	Mean±SD o\cular itching scores: BBOS vs placebo: onset of action (15 minutes): 3 min: 0.46±0.70 vs 1.87±0.93, (p<0.0001); 5 min: 0.60±0.75 vs 2.08±0.95, (p<0.0001), 7 min: 0.61±0.78 vs 1.95±1.00, (p<0.0001); duration of action (8 hours): 3 min: 0.85±0.87 vs 2.11±0.89, (p<0.0001), 5 min: 0.93±0.87 vs. 2.29±0.92, (p<0.0001), 7 min: 0.90±0.96 vs 2.16±0.98, (p<0.0001).	"BBOS 1.5% is safe and effective in the treatment of ocular itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis within 3 minutes of a CAC and with a sustained duration of action of at least 8 hours."	2 integrated Phase II trials comparing Bepotastine besilate to placebo suggests BBOS significantly better in reducing ocular itching.			
Torkildse n 2010 (Score = 8.0)	Bepota stine Besilate Solutio n vs. Placebo	RCT Single - Cente r Doubl e- Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip. COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for	N = 71 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age in placebo group 40.9±11. 4 years and 44.3±16. 0 years in the bepotast ine	Bepotastine besilate 1.5%, one drop per eye (N = 35) vs. Placebo, one drop per eye (N = 36).	Follow -up at visit 1 (day 0), visit 2 (day 7), visit 3 (day 21), visit 4 (day 35),	No statistically significant differences between the two groups in any of the primary outcomes. Differences were seen in nonocular symptoms at all timepoints (reduced rhinorrhea, nasal congestion; p<0.05).	"The 1.5% bepotastine besilate formulation produced statistically significant reductions after a CAC in individual nonocular symptoms and NOCS scores at onset of allergic response and for at least 8 hours after instillation, with the greatest reduction seen for nasal	Symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis were significantly reduced in treatment group compared to placebo at 8 hours in both rhinorrhea and nasal congestion.			

			personal or professio nal use.		besilate group.		and visit 5 (day 49).		congestion and rhinorrhea."	
Abelson 2009 (Score = 7.5)	Bepota stine Besilate Solutio n vs. Placebo	RCT Single - cente r Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by ISTA Pharmec euticals, Inc. No COI.	N = 107 with a positive skin test reaction to a common allergen.	Mean age for bepotasi ne besilate 1.0% was 39.9±15. 2 years and 44.3±16. 0 years for bepotast ine besilate 1.5%, and 40.9±11. 4 years for placebo.	Bepotastine besilate 1.0% (N = 36) vs. Bepotastine besilate 1.5% (N = 35) vs. Placebo, inactive vehicle (N = 36). All participants: one drop per eye. 7 week treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and visit 1 (- 21±3), visit 2 (- 14±3), day 0 and 1 (3A and 3B), 14 and 28.	Mean ocular itching scores: bepotastine besilate 1.0%: 15 minute onset of action challenge: 3min vs. 5min vs. 7min: 1.4 vs 1.5 vs 1.4, (p<0.001); 8 hour duration of action challenge: 1.0 vs 1.2 vs. 1.1, (p<0.001); bepotastine besilate 1.5%: 15 minute: 1.5 vs 1.6 vs 1.4, (p<0.001); 8 hour: 1.3 vs 1.6 vs 1.4, (p<0.001). All results are comparing bepotastine to placebo.	"In this CAC model of allergic conjunctivitis in adults and children, bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solutions 1.0% and 1.5% were associated with clinically and statistically significant reductions in ocular itching, but not in conjunctival hyperemia, within 15 minutes and maintained for ≥8 hours after administration. Both solutions were well tolerated."	Data suggest treatment superior to placebo.

Macejko 2010 (Score = 7.5)	Bepota stine Besilate Solutio n various doses	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by ISTA Pharamac euticals Inc. COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for personal or professio nal use.	N = 130 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 32±14.3 years.	Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.0%, one droop per eye (N = 44) vs. Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.5%, one drop per eye (N = 43) vs. Placebo one drop per eye (N = 43).	Follow -up at baseli ne, visit 1 (day 21), visit 2 (day 14), visit 3 (day 0), visit 4 (day 14±3), and visit 5 (day	Mean ocular itching scores: bepotastine besilate solution 1.0% vs. 1.5%: onset of action: 3 min: 1.4 vs 1.5, 5 min: 1.5 vs 1.6, 7 min: 1.3 vs 1.4, (p < 0.001); 16 hour duration of action: 3 min: 0.6 vs. 0.6, 5 min: 0.7 vs 0.7, 7 min: 0.8 vs 0.8, (p<0.001).	"Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solutions 1.0% and 1.5% both substantially decreased CAC induced ocular itching for at least 8 hours after dosing. Reductions in conjunctival hyperemia after a CAC, although statistically significant for bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solutions 1.0% and 1.5% compared with placebo when assessed at 15 minutes after dosing, were modest."	3 arms to study including placebo. At 8 hours, both solutions decreased ocular itching compared to placebo.
			nal use.						modest."	

Williams 2011 (Score = 6.0)	Bepota stine Besilate Solutio n various doses	RCT Single - Cente r	Sponsore d by a grant from ISTA Pharmec euticals, Inc. COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for	N = 107 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age 39.9±15. 2 years for bepotast ine besilate 1.0%; 44.3±16. 0 years for bepotast ine besilate 1.5% and	Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.0%, one drop (N = 36) vs. Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.5%, one drop (N = 35) vs. Placebo, one drop (N = 36).	Follow -up at baseli ne, visit 1 (day - 21±3), visit 2 (day - 14±3), visit 3A (day 0), visit 3B	Mean itching scores: bepotastine besilate 1.0 vs. bepotastine besilate 1.5%: PP (per protocol) population: 3 min: 0.7 vs. 1.0, (p<0.001); 5 min: 0.9 vs 1.1, (p<0.001); 7 min: 0.9 vs. 1.1, (p<0.001); ITT (intention to treat) with LOCF (last observation carried forward): 3 min: 0.7 vs 0.9, (p<0.001); 5 min: 0.8 vs 0.9, (p<0.001); 7 min: 0.9 vs 0.8, (p<0.01).	"Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.5% produced predefined clinically meaningful reduction in CAC-induced ocular itching and tearing in a single-site trial and was more effective than bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.0% and placebo for reducing ocular itching in a CAC test 16 h after dosing."	Bepotastine is superior to placebo. However, there were minimal differences between bepotastine 1.0% and 1.5% solutions.
			personal or		40.9±11. 4 years		(day 1),			
			professio		for		visit 4			
			nal use.		placebo.		(day			
					'		14±3),			
							and			
							visit 5			
							(day			
							28).			
						 Alcaf	tadine			
Curi	A1 C	D.C.		N 470 '''		Alastradia 0.050/		Manage and a state of the	((T) = = 1 = = = 1 = 1 = 1	Farmer to the P. A.
Greiner	Alcafta	RCT	Sponsore	N = 170 with	Mean	Alcaftadine 0.05%,	Follow	Mean ocular itching score:	"Treatment with	5 groups including 1
2011 (Score =	dine various	Single	d by Vistakon	a history of	age of 41.5±11.	one drop per eye (N = 34) Alcaftadine	-up at	15 min onset action:	alcaftadine 0.25%	placebo showed
(Score = 7.0)	doses	- Cente	Pharmec	allergic conjunctiviti	41.5±11. 5 years.	0.1%, one drop per	visit 1 (day -	placebo vs alca 0.05% vs alca 0.1% vs alca 0.25%vs	ophthalmic solution resulted in mean	Alcaftadine 0.25%, significantly decreased
7.07	uoses	r	euticals	s (AC).	J years.	eye (N = 34) vs.	21),	olopatadine: 3 min: 2.22	differences of 0.1 unit	redness and itching
		Doubl	LLC. No	3 (AC).		Alcaftadine 0.25%,	visit 2	vs 0.53 vs 0.56 vs 0.27 vs	(ocular itching) and	compared to placebo.
		e-	mention			one drop per eye (N	(day -	0.33, (p<0.05); 5 min: 2.33	approximately .1 unit	compared to pideebo.
		Mask	of COI.			= 34) vs.	14±3),	vs 0.72 vs 0.60 vs 0.41 vs	(conjunctival redness),	
		ed				Olopatadine 0.1%,	visit 3	0.49, (p<0.05); 7 min: 2.14	which was significant	
						one drop per eye (N	(day	vs 0.69 vs 0.55 vs 0.37 vs	(p<0.001) compared with	
						= 34) vs. Placebo,	0±3),	048, (p<0.05); 16 hour	placebo treatment. All	

					vehicle of the alcaftadine ophthalmic solutions, one drop per eye (N = 34).	and visit 4 (day 14±3)	duration: 3 min: 1.75 vs 0.40 vs 0.31 vs 0.27 vs 0.63, (p<0.05); 5 min: 1.88 vs 0.52 vs 0.47 vs 0.40 vs 0.79, (p<0.05); 7 min: 1.83 vs 0.56 vs 0.48 vs 0.43 vs 0.85, (p<0.05). Conjunctival redness: 15 min onset of action challenge: alcaftadine 0.05 vs placebo: 7 min: 1.13 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); alcaftadine 0.1 vs placebo: 1.14 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); alcaftadine 0.25 vs placebo: 0.50 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); olopatadine 0.1 vs placebo: 1.15 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.09 vs 1.96, (p<0.05); 20 min: 1.15 vs 1.80, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.09 vs 1.96, (p<0.05); 20 min: 1.15 vs 1.80, (p<0.05); 16 hour duration of action: alcaftadine 0.05 vs placebo: 1.22 vs 1.77, (p<0.05), alcaftadine 0.1 vs placebo: 1.18 vs 1.77, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.44 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); alcaftadine 0.1 vs placebo: 7 min: 0.77 vs 1.77, (p<0.05), 15 min: 1.44 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); olopatadine 0.1 vs placebo: 7 min: 0.77 vs 1.77, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.01 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); olopatadine 0.1 vs placebo: 7 min: 0.77 vs 1.77, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.01 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); olopatadine 0.1 vs placebo: 7 min: 0.89 vs 1.77, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.01 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); 15 min: 0.99 vs 1.91, (p<0.05).	doses of alcaftadine were safe and well tolerated in the population studied."	
--	--	--	--	--	---	------------------------	--	---	--

Torkildse n 2011 (Score = 3.5)	Alcafta dine vs. placebo	RCT 2- Arm Single - Cente r Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by Johnson & Johnson Vision Care, Inc., the parent of Vistakon Pharmace uticals, LLC. COI, Dr. Shedden is an employee of Vistakon Division of Johnson & Johnson Vision Car Inc.	N = 60 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 35.9±14. 9 years.	Vehicle, placebo (N = 30) vs. Alcaftadine 0, 25% ophthalmic solution bilaterally (N = 30).	Follow -up at visit 1 (day 21), visit 2 (day 14), visit 3 (day 0), and visit 4 (day 15).	Difference of >1 unit in mean ocular itching score: alcaftadine-treated eyes vs vehicle: visit 3: 16 hours: 3 min vs. 5 min vs. 7 min: -1.731 vs1.687 vs1.576, (p<0.001); visit 4: 15 min: 3 min vs 5 min vs 7 min: -1.500 vs1.491 vs1.474, (p<0.001). Differences are mean vehicle score subtracted from the mean alcaftadine score. Differences in mean conjunctival redness scores: visit 3: duration of action: visit 3: 7 min vs. 15 min vs 20 min: -0.952 vs0.542 vs0.542, (p<0.001); visit 4: onset of action: -0.875 vs, -0.612 vs0.578, (p<0.001).	"With an onset of action within 3 minutes and a duration of action of at least 16 hours, the statistically and clinically significant effect of alcaftadine 0.25% on itching makes it an important addition to therapy for ocular allergy. Additional studies are warranted to better understand the mechanisms affording a fast onset and prolonged duration of action."	Methodological details sparse. Data suggest Alcaftadine superior to placebo.
						Epin	astine			
Torkildse n 2008 (Score = 8.5)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT/C rosso ver	Sponsore d by Inspire Pharmec euticals, Inc., and ORA Clinical Research &	N = 40 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	mean age of 39.58.	Epinastine HCl 0.05% ophthalmic solution in one eye (N = 40 eyes) vs. Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% in second eye (N = 20 eyes) vs. Azelastine HCl 0.05% 1 single drops one drug per	Follow up at baseli ne, weeks 1, 2 and 3.	Mean comfort scores between treatment scores (0.5/1/2/5 min): epinastine vs. azelastine (2.90/1.85/1.35/0.63), (p<0.001, 0.001, p=0.001, and p=0.014); epinastine vs. ketotifen right after instillation (1.2), p=0.014; Ketotifen vs. azelastine	"[E]pinastine was rated as more comfortable than azelastine and ketotifen. None of the tested medications were associated with statistically significant ocular drying effects."	Suggest very short term, advantage but only 1-2 minutes. Otherwise equal efficacy. Lack of placebo control limits conclusions of efficacy.

			Develop ment. No mention of COI.			eye then switching after 7 days in second eye (N = 20 eyes).		(0.5: 2.35 /1: 1.35 / 2: 1.10), (p=0.001, p=0.023, and p=0.028). NS between groups for ocular drying and tear-film stability.		
Borazan 2009 (Score = 6.5)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 100 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) for at least 2 years, a history of active allergic conjunctiviti s, and a positive diagnostic test for allergic hypersensiti vity;	mean age of 26.9±10 6 for olopatad ine group, 26.1±7.9 for ketotifen group, 29.3±12. 8 for epinastin e group and 22.05±8. 7 for fluorome tholone group.	Group 1: Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% or Patanol, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 2: Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% or Zaditen, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 3: Epinastine hydrochloride 0.05% or Relestat, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 4: Emedastine Difumarate 0.05% or Emadine, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 5: Fluorometholone acetate 0.1% or Flarex BID for 14 days, in one eye (N = 20). Placebo (vehicle ophthalmic solution) in the other eye.	Follow up at baseli ne, and weeks 1 and 2.	At all visits and all groups scores for ocular itching / conjunctival redness / tearing / chemosis and eyelid swelling were significant with placebo treated eye, (p<0.001). At the end of treatment conjunctival impression cytology scores were significantly lower for drug-treated eyes than for placebo-treated eyes, (p<0.01).	"In patients with SAC, olopatadine, ketotifen, epinastine, and emedastine are more efficacious than fluorometholone acetate in preventing itching and redness. All the antiallergic agents gave similar results in terms of reducing tearing, chemosis and eyelid swelling. Our data showed that impression cytology parameters improved after treatment with antiallergic agents in patients with SAC."	Many treatment groups (N=5) and many outcomes. Data suggest all treatments superior to placebo.

Abelson 2004 (Score = 6.0)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT Single - cente r Doubl e- Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 67 patients who had a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) with ≥1 allergy to cat hair, cat dander; dust mites; or ragweed, tree, or grass pollens.	Mean age of 38.4 and range from 12 to 67 years.	Epinastine hydrochloride 0.05% ophthalmic solution, (N = n/a) vs. Vehicle of epinastine (sodium phosphate monobasic, sodium chloride, edetate sodium, benzalkonium chloride and purified water) (N = n/a). All patients: one drop per eye on two separate occasions, weeks 3 and 5.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and weeks 1, 3, and 5.	Mean±SD for ocular itching score: 3 min after onset challenge: epinastine vs vehicle: 0.45±0.77 vs. 1.99±1.03, (p<0.001). Mean±SD for ocular itching score: 3 min after duration challenge: epinastine vs vehicle: 0.92±0.93 vs. 1.86±0.93, (p<0.001). Mean±SD for conjunctival hyperemia score: 5 min after onset challenge: epinastine vs. vehicle: 1.28±0.86 vs. 2.03±0.78, (p<0.001). Mean±SD for hyperemia score: 5 min after duration challenge: epinastine vs. vehicle: 1.37±0.78 vs. 1.93±0.77, (p<0.001).	"In this CAC model, multiple signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis were significantly reduced by topical administration of epinastine compared with vehicle. Epinastine showed prompt onset (3 minutes) and long duration of action (28 hours). The tolerability of epinastine was similar to that of vehicle."	Missing group populations groups. Patient data sparse. Data suggest Epinastine superior to placebo for antigen challenge.
Whitcup 2004 (Score = 6.0)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 298 with allergen sensitive and history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjun ctivitis	Mean age of 33.6±15. 3 for epinastin e, 32.5±13. 6 for levocaba stine and 31.5±15. 2 for vehicle.	Epinastine Hydrochloride 0.05% (N = 118) vs. Levocabastine Hydrochloride 0.05% (N = 118) vs. Vehicle of Epinastine 1 drop/eye BID (morning and afternoon) for 8 weeks. (N = 62).	Follow ups at week 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8.	Worst daily ocular itching scores mean: epinastine 0.77±0.86 vs. levocabastine 0.86±0.86 vs. vehicle 0.93±0.76, (p=0.045) (epinastine vs. vehicle). No significance between group for mean worst daily ocular hyperemia, ciliary, conjunctival, episcleral hyperemia, chemosis, ocular mucous discharge, eyelid swelling, or tearing throughout the study.	"[O]phthalmic epinastine instilled twice daily was more effective than vehicle for the control of ocular itching and was similar in efficacy to levocabastine for control of ocular itching and hyperemia."	Sparse on blinding. Data with modest efficacy vs. Placebo.

Mah 2007 (Score = 6.0)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc. COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for personal or professio nal use.	N = 92 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 40.9±12. 8 years.	Olopatadine 0.2% in one eye (left or right) and epinastine 0.05% in the contralateral eye (N = 28) vs. Olopatadine 0.2% in one eye and placebo in the fellow eye (N = 27) vs. Epinastine 0.05% in one eye and placebo in the fellow eye (N= 28) vs. Placebo in both eyes (N = 9). 7 week treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, visit 2 (day - 28±3), visit 3 (day 0), and visit 4 (day 14).	Olopatadine 0.2% treated eye exhibited significantly lower mean ocular itching scores compared to epinastine 0.05% treated eyes at 5 min (p=0.024), and 7min (p=0.003). Mean redness scores: olopatadine vs epinastine: 7 min: 0.94 vs 1.50, (p=0.0010), 15 min: 1.23 vs. 1.68, (p=0.0150), 20 min: 1.25 vs. 1.68, (p=0.0125)	"Olopatadine 0.2% was superior to epinastine 0.05% in preventing ocular itching and redness at onset when induced by the CAC model."	Likely unequal control size (N=9). Probable randomization failure.
Ousler 2007 (Score = 4.0)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT Invest igator - mask ed Cross over	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed grant from Inspire Pharmace uticals, Inc., Durham, North Carolina. No COI.	N = 18 healthy individuals with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Aged >18 years.	Topical epinastine 0.05% administered as 1 drop per eye twice daily (N = NA) vs. Systemic loratadine 10 mg 4 days once daily, with a 10-day washout between treatments. (N = NA).	Follow -up for 4 days.	After week 4 systematic loratadine was associated with the mean decrease in tear volume / tear flow / and increase in global fluorescein straining, (all, p<0.05).	"In this small study in healthy adult volunteers with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis, 4 days of twice-daily treatment with topical epinastine was associated with no clinical signs of ocular drying, whereas 4 days of once-daily dosing with systemic loratadine was associated with signs of ocular dryness that included decreased tear volume and tear flow."	Missing group populations. Open label crossover study. Loratadine associated with increased drying effects vs. Epinastine.

Lanier 2004 (Score = 3.0)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT	Sponsore d by unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 66 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 44.4 years.	Olopatadine eye drops, 1 drop each eye. (N = N/A) vs. Epinastine eye drops, 1 drop each eye (N = N/A).	Follow up on (day 7±2) and (day 21±3).	Olopatadine treated eyes exhibited significantly lower mean itching and conjunctival redness scores than the contralateral Epinastine treated eyes, –0.19 (p=0.003) and –0.52 (p<0.001), respectively. Olopatadine treated eyes also exhibited significantly less chemosis: –0.24 (<i>p</i> < 0.001), ciliary redness: –0.55 (p<0.001), and episcleral redness: -0.58 (p<0.001) than Epinastine treated eyes.	"In this study it was demonstrated that Olopatadine, with its antihistaminic and mast cell stabilizing effects against a broad range of pro-inflammatory mediators, is more effective than Epinastine in controlling itching, redness and chemosis associated with allergic conjunctivitis."	Missing group population. Methodological details sparse. Data suggest Epinastine may be superior to Olopatadine.
Nichols 2009 (Score = 2.5)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT	Sponsore d by Inspire Pharmace uticals, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 146 with symptomatic during allergy season, used daily-wear soft contacts for at least 1 month, and currently complaining of contact lens discomfort due to allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	mean age 34.3.	Epinastine 0.05% ophthalmic solution (Elestat) twice a day + rewetting drops as needed (N = 75) vs. Rewetting drops alone, as needed, at least twice a day for 5-7 days (N = 71).		The epinastine group has significant increases from baseline in comfortable wearing time vs. the control group, day 2 (epinastine 1.35 ± 4.11 vs. control 0.26 ± 3.49, p=0.042) day 7 (2.31±4.57 vs. 0.50±3.25, p=0.020). Average increase in comfortable wear time over study period was greater for epinastine group (1.33±2.89 hr) vs. control (0.43±2.28 hr), (p=0.012). Mean increase from baseline in total contact lens wearing time or duration of study: epinastine 0.35±1.87 hr	"Epinastine 0.05% may be useful for the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis in contact lens wearers."	Methodological details sparse.

			Rewetting drop usage was less in the epinastine group vs. control on day 5 (p=0.007), day 6	
			(p=0.015), and for mean usage over treatment period (epinastine -	
			0.55±1.32 vs. control 0.06±1.38), (p=0.012). Epinastine had	
			significantly greater improvement in overall	
			eye comfort from baseline (1.43±0.82) vs. control (1.87±0.92), (p=0.001).	
		Keto	totifen	

Abelson 2003 (Score = 8.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. placebo	RCT	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 89 with a history of allergic hypersensiti vity to animal dander, grass, or tree, or ragweed pollen;	mean age ?	At visit 1 and 2 participants received Ketotifen 0.025% in one eye (N = N/A) vs. Placebo. (N = N/A) At visit 3, 4 and 5 participants received either placebo in the contralateral eye 1 drop 15 minutes, 6 hours, and 8 hours before allergen challenge or , allergen concentration eliciting in the other eye at each visit (N = 89, 83, 72).	Follow up?	Ocular itching / Hyperemia / Safety: (between group differences favoring ketotifen-treated eyes at all-time points, p<0.001, and eyes with no itching compared to placebo was also significantly higher, (p<0.001) / (ketotifen- treated eyes had significantly lower mean scores compared to placebo, (p<0.05) / (no statistical significant differences between groups).	"Ketotifen 0.025% ophthalmic solution had a statistically significant effect in reducing ocular itching and hyperemia related to allergic conjunctivitis."	Experimental study. Suggest efficacy.
Greiner 2003 (Score= 6.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. placebo	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 87 and 85 with a history of type I hypersensiti vity to selected environment al allergens and a positive diagnostic test for allergic disease or a positive conjunctival allergen	mean age of 38.7 years.	Study 1: single dose Ketotifen Fumarate, 0.025% in one eye (N = 87) vs. Placebo in the other eye with a conjunctival provocation test (CPT) 15 minutes, 6 hours, and 8 hours later (N = 87). Study 2: Multiple dose (N = 85) vs. Ketotifen Fumarate, 0.025% in one eye vs. Placebo in the other eye twice daily for 4 weeks (N = 85).	Follow up?	Study 1: Ketotifen superior to placebo for reducing ocular itching (p<0.0001) and ocular injection in all vessel beds, (p<0.001) at all-time points. Study 2: all between treatment differences were statistically significant in favor of ketotifen, mean itching at all-time points, (p<0.001).	"[K]etotifen fumarate 0.025% ophthalmic solution was safe, well- tolerated, and statistically effective in preventing the signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis at 15 minutes, 6 hours, and 8 hours after the first dose and 8 hours after the final dose of a 4-week treatment regimen in the allergen challenge model of allergic conjunctivitis."	Experimental study. Data suggest efficacy.

Torkildse n 2008 (Score = 5.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	challenge in the past 2 years; N = 108 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age 41.45 years for test + test, 44.42 years for test + placebo, 40.83 for referenc e + referenc e, and 42.86 for referenc e +	Test + Test, ketotifen fumarate ophthalmic solution 0.025% (N = 33) vs. Test + Placebo, inactive vehicle (N = 24) vs. Reference + Reference, Zatidor (N = 30) vs. Reference + Placebo, inactive vehicle (N = 21). Follow-up at baseline, vist1 (day - 21±3), visit 2 (day - 14±3), visit 3 (day 0±3), and visit 4 (day 14±3). The	The study lasted 2 weeks	Mean (95% CI) for itching scores: test vs reference: 3 min: -1.2 (-1.5 to -0.9) vs1.2 (-1.5 to -0.8), (p<0.001); 5 min: -1.3 (-1.6 to -0.9), (p<0.001); 7 min: -1.3 (-1.6 to -1.0) vs1.30(-1.6 to -1.0), (p<0.001). Onset of action: 3 min: -1.6 (-1.9 to 1.4) vs1.5 (-1.7 to -1.2), (p<0.001); 5 min: -1.7 (-1.9 to -1.4) vs1.6 (-1.9 to -1.4), (p<0.001); 7 min: -1.6 (-1.9 to 1.3) vs1.6 (-1.9 to 1.3) vs1.6 (-1.8 to -1.3), (p<0.001).	"In this population of patients with AC, the test formulation of ketotifen fumarate ophthalmic solution 0.025% met criteria for bioequivalence to the reference formulation, as established by the protocol. The test and reference formulations were well tolerated in the population studied."	Ketotifen better than placebo for itching but no difference between test and reference ketotifen dosage.
					e + placebo.	(day 14±3). The study lasted 2 weeks				

Horak 2003 (Score = 9.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. Other solution	RCT/ Cross over	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics. No mention of COI.	N = 37 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) of at least 2 years with no current symptom;	mean age of 27.30±4. 8, range of 20 to 43.	Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025%, first eye (N = 37) vs. Emedastine Difumarate 0.05% eye drops single dose 1 drop in each eye with a 6 day washout period before crossover (N = 37).	Follow up a baseli ne, and visits one and two.	Ketotifen was significantly superior to emedastine for time to onset for 15 vs. 30 minutes, p=0.048. Ocular and nasal symptom scores 0-2 hours post dose for redness / ocular symptoms / total symptom complex: (1.97±1.10 vs. 2.25±0.87, (p=0.046) / (8.06±2.46 vs. 6.97±3.19, (p=0.026) / (10.93±3.53 vs. 9.18, (p=0.014).	"[K]etotifen fumarate 0.025% and emedastine difumarate 0.05% both effectively alleviated ocular symptoms of SAC for a period of at least 8 hours after single-dose administration."	Crossover. Experimental study across aerosol chamber. Data suggest comparable efficacy with modestly faster onset with ketotifen.
Torkildse n 2008 (Score = 8.5)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. Other solution	RCT/ Cross over	Sponsore d by Inspire Pharmec euticals, Inc., and ORA Clinical Research & Develop ment. No mention of COI.	N = 40 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age of 39.58.	Epinastine HCI 0.05% ophthalmic solution in one eye (N = 40 eyes) vs. Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% in second eye (N = 20 eyes) vs. Azelastine HCI 0.05% 1 single drops one drug per eye then switching after 7 days in second eye (N = 20 eyes).	Follow up at baseli ne, weeks 1, 2 and 3.	Mean comfort scores between treatment scores (0.5/1/2/5 min): epinastine vs. azelastine (2.90/1.85/1.35/0.63), (p<0.001, 0.001, p=0.001, and p=0.014); epinastine vs. ketotifen right after instillation (1.2), p=0.014; Ketotifen vs. azelastine (0.5: 2.35 /1: 1.35 / 2: 1.10), (p=0.001, p=0.023, and p=0.028). NS between groups for ocular drying and tear-film stability.	"[E]pinastine was rated as more comfortable than azelastine and ketotifen. None of the tested medications were associated with statistically significant ocular drying effects."	Suggest very short term, advantage but only 1-2 minutes. Otherwise equal efficacy. Lack of placebo control limits conclusions of efficacy.

Abelson 2003 (Score = 8.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. Other solution	RCT	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 89 with a history of allergic hypersensiti vity to animal dander, grass, or tree, or ragweed pollen;	mean age ?	At visit 1 and 2 participants received Ketotifen 0.025% in one eye (N = N/A) vs. Placebo. (N = N/A) At visit 3, 4 and 5 participants received either placebo in the contralateral eye 1 drop 15 minutes, 6 hours, and 8 hours before allergen challenge or , allergen concentration eliciting in the other eye at each visit (N = 89, 83, 72).	Follow up?	Ocular itching / Hyperemia / Safety: (between group differences favoring ketotifen-treated eyes at all-time points, p<0.001, and eyes with no itching compared to placebo was also significantly higher, (p<0.001) / (ketotifen- treated eyes had significantly lower mean scores compared to placebo, (p<0.05) / (no statistical significant differences between groups).	"Ketotifen 0.025% ophthalmic solution had a statistically significant effect in reducing ocular itching and hyperemia related to allergic conjunctivitis."	Experimental study. Suggest efficacy.
Kidd 2003 (Score = 7.5)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. Other solution	RCT	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics AG, Bülach, Switzerla nd. No mention of COI.	N = 519 suffering from seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age for Ketotifen group 46.3±17. 0, for placebo 47.9±16. 5, and for Levocab astine was 49.5±17. 4.	Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% ophthalmic solution (N = 172) vs. Placebo, vehicle ophthalmic solution (N = 173) vs. Levocabastine ophthalmic suspension HCl 0.05% (N = 174). Twice daily in each eye for 4 weeks.	Follow up at baseli ne, and days 5-8 and 25-31.	Redness/ itching / tearing / chemosis, lid swelling, discharge: (0.08 vs. 0.93 vs. 0.92 in levocabastine group, p=0.03, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.04) / (0.64 vs. 0.84 vs. 0.89, p=0.02, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.02) / (0.64 vs. 0.84 vs. 0.89, p=0.02, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.02) / (3.54 vs. 4.15 vs. 4.18, p=0.03, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.03).	"[K]etotifen fumarate 0.025% ophthalmic solution is effective in reducing the signs and symptoms of SAC, and in preventing their recurrence."	Data suggest modest efficacy. High dropouts.

Borazan	Ketotife	RCT	No	N = 100 with	mean	Group 1:	Follow	At all visits and all groups	"In patients with SAC,	Many treatment groups
2009	n		mention	seasonal	age of	Olopatadine	up at	scores for ocular itching /	olopatadine, ketotifen,	(N=5) and many outcomes.
(Score =	Fumara		of	allergic	26.9±10	hydrochloride 0.1%	baseli	conjunctival redness /	epinastine, and	Data suggest all treatments
6.5)	te vs.		sponsors	conjunctiviti	6 for	or Patanol, in one	ne,	tearing / chemosis and	emedastine are more	superior to placebo.
	Other		hip or	s (SAC) for at	olopatad	eye (N = 20) vs.	and	eyelid swelling were	efficacious than	
	solution		COI.	least 2 years,	ine	Group 2: Ketotifen	weeks	significant with placebo	fluorometholone acetate	
				a history of	group,	Fumarate 0.025% or	1 and	treated eye, (p<0.001). At	in preventing itching and	
				active	26.1±7.9	Zaditen, in one eye	2.	the end of treatment	redness. All the	
				allergic	for	(N = 20) vs. Group		conjunctival impression	antiallergic agents gave	
				conjunctiviti	ketotifen	3: Epinastine		cytology scores were	similar results in terms of	
				s, and a	group,	hydrochloride		significantly lower for	reducing tearing,	
				positive	29.3±12.	0.05% or Relestat,		drug-treated eyes than for	chemosis and eyelid	
				diagnostic	8 for	in one eye (N = 20)		placebo-treated eyes,	swelling. Our data	
				test for	epinastin	vs. Group 4:		(p<0.01).	showed that impression	
				allergic	e group	Emedastine			cytology parameters	
				hypersensiti	and	Difumarate 0.05%			improved after	
				vity;	22.05±8.	or Emadine, in one			treatment with	
					7 for	eye (N = 20) vs.			antiallergic agents in	
					fluorome	Group 5:			patients with SAC."	
					tholone	Fluorometholone				
					group.	acetate 0.1% or				
						Flarex BID for 14				
						days, in one eye (N				
						= 20). Placebo				
						(vehicle ophthalmic				
						solution) in the				
						other eye.				
	l		1	I						

Avunduk 2005 (Score = 6.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. Other solution	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 49 with signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC), at least 18 years old, and had a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) in the last 2	ages range from 18 to 61.	Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% solution (N = 12) vs. Olopatadine HCl 0.1% solution (N = 13) vs. Preservative free artificial tear substitute or ATS control group, 2 drops in each eye BID for 30 days (N = 14). 30-day treatment period.	Follow up?	Mean itching scores (day 0 / day 15 / day 30): ketotifen (2.08 / 1.08 / 0.75), olopatadine (1.84 / 1.08 / 0.76), ATS (2.00 / 1.85 / 1.71).	"[K]etotifen and olopatadine were associated with effective decreases in the expression of CAMs an inflammatory markers on the conjunctival surface cells. Both active treatments were found to be more efficacious compared with ATS. We did not find significant differences between the 2 active treatments."	Patients not well described. Data suggest active treatment of comparable efficacy and superior to placebo. 1 month study.
				, ,						

Greiner 2002 (Score = 4.0)	Ketotife n Fumara te vs. Other solution	RCT Single - Mask ed	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics. No mention of COI.	N = 47 with a history of allergy to environment al allergens not currently in season.	Mean age of 40 years.	Ketotifen fumarate vehicle solution, placebo (glycerol, sodium hydroxide/hydrochl oric acid, and purified water) 0.025% ophthalmic solution, one dose only (N = 47 eyes, l/r) vs. Cromolyn sodium 4% ophthalmic solution, 4 times daily (N = 47 eyes, l/r). 2 week treatment period. Follow-up at baseline, and visits 1 through 3. This study lasted 2 weeks.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and visits 1 throug h 3. This study lasted 2 weeks .	Mean efficacy scores for itching: ketotifen vs cromolyn: 15 min: - 2.09±0.87 vs0.43±1.20, (p<0.001); 4 hours: - 2.26±0.61 vs1.43±1.08, (p<0.001); Conjunctival redness: 15 min: - 1.05±0.75 vs0.45±0.64, (p<0.001).	"A single dose of ketotifen was superior to a 2-week four-timesdaily regimen of cromolyn in alleviating symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis in the conjunctival allergenchallenge model."	Data suggest Ketotifen superior to Cromolyn. Methodological details sparse.
	l					Azel	astine			
	1	T	T -	T			1		I	
Torkildse	Azelasti	RCT/C	Sponsore	N = 40 with	mean	Epinastine HCl	Follow	Mean comfort scores	"[E]pinastine was rated	Suggest very short term,
n 2008	ne	rosso	d by	a history of	age of 39.58.	0.05% ophthalmic	up at baseli	between treatment scores	as more comfortable than azelastine and	advantage but only 1-2
(Score = 8.5)	drops vs.	ver	Inspire Pharmec	allergic conjunctiviti	39.58.	solution in one eye (N = 40 eyes) vs.	ne,	(0.5/1/2/5 min): epinastine vs. azelastine	ketotifen. None of the	minutes. Otherwise equal efficacy. Lack of placebo
0.57	placebo		euticals,	s (AC);		Ketotifen Fumarate	weeks	(2.90/1.85/1.35/0.63),	tested medications were	control limits conclusions
	`		Inc., and	. ,		0.025% in second	1, 2	(p<0.001, 0.001, p=0.001,	associated with	of efficacy.
			ORA			eye (N = 20 eyes) vs.	and 3.	and p=0.014); epinastine	statistically significant	
			Clinical			Azelastine HCl		vs. ketotifen right after	ocular drying effects."	
			Research			0.05% 1 single		instillation (1.2), p=0.014;		
			& Develop			drops one drug per eye then switching		Ketotifen vs. azelastine		
			ment. No			after 7 days in		(0.5: 2.35 /1: 1.35 / 2: 1.10), (p=0.001, p=0.023,		
			mention			second eye (N = 20		and p=0.028). NS between		
			of COI.			eyes).		p 0.020/110000000000		
						. ,				

								groups for ocular drying and tear-film stability.		
Horak 1998 (Score = 8.0)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT/C rosso ver	Sponsore d by ASTA Medica AG, Frankfurt /Main, Germany. No mention of COI.	N = 24 with history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC)/rhinoc onjunctivitis for at least 1 year;	mean age of 13.8 years.	Single dose of Azelastine eye drops 0.025% + 0.05% + 0.1% in one eye (N = 23, 22) vs. Placebo, each separated with a 14 day washout period in the following eye (N = 24).	No follow up time report ed.	VAS for itching at each time point before or 15 minutes after conjunctival allergen provocation / lacrimation at each time point before or 15 minutes after provocation: (51, 32.0, 47.5, (p<0.01), 0.05, and 0.05 for azelastine 0.025/0.05/0.1%, or 15 min after, 19.0, 4.5, 6.5, (p<0.01) for all vs. placebo 107.0 or 15 min after 24.0, not significant) / (19.0, 19.0, 18.5, p < 0.01, (p<0.05), 0.05, and 2.0, 1.0, 1.0, p = not significant, (p<0.05), 0.05 vs. 28.5 and 2.5, p = not significant).	"Azelastine eye drops extend the spectrum of effective topical anti-inflammatory agents for the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis and can be recommended at a dose of 0.05%."	Crossover. Dose ranging. Data suggest efficacy and little differences between. Experimental study using challenge chamber.

Friedlaen der 2000 (Score = 7.0)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by a grant from Muro Pharmec eutical an ASTA Medica Company . No COI	N = 80 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) (≥ 2 years).	Mean age of 37 years.	AZE (0.03 ml containing 0.015mg of azelastine hydrochloride) in one eye (N = 40 eyes, I/r) vs. one drop of placebo (0.03ml of vehicle) in the other eye (N = 40 eyes, I/r).	Follow -up at visits 1 throug h 4.	Mean itching scores: azelastine vs. placebo: 3min: 0.55 vs. 1.50, (p<0.001); 5 min: 0.60 vs. 1.80, (p<0.001); 10 min: 0.60 vs. 2.0, (p<0.01). Mean redness scores: azelastine vs. placebo: 3 min: 1.50 vs. 2.00, (p < 0.001); 5 min: 1.60 vs.2.10, (p<0.001); 10 min: 1.90 vs. 1.50, (p<0.001).	"Therapy of experimentally induced allergic conjunctivitis with AZE was highly effective, with an onset of action seen within 3 minutes and a duration of effect of at least 8 to 10 hours."	Compared to placebo, ocular itching and redness were significantly lower in azelastine group from 3 min to 10 hours.
Sabbah	Azelasti	RCT	Sponsore	N = 107	mean	Azelastine 0.05%	Follow	Responder rates (%) for	"In conclusion, azelastine	Study non-specific to
1998	ne	Doubl	d by ASTA	children	age of	(0.015mg), one	-up at	three main eye	eye drops are effective in	working population.
(Score =	drops	e-	Medica.	suffering	8.3±2.4	drop per eye twice	baseli	symptoms: itching,	the rapid relief of	
6.0)	VS.	Blind	No	from	years for	daily (N = 47) vs.	ne,	lacrimation, and	symptoms in young	
	placebo		mention	seasonal	placebo,	Levocabastine	and	conjunctival redness: day	children with seasonal	
			of COI.	allergic	8.6±2.3	0.05% (0.015mg),	after 3	3: yes vs no: azelastine:	allergic	
				conjunctiviti	years for	one drop per eye	and 14	74% vs 26%, (p<0.01).	conjunctivitis/rhinoconju	
				s (SAC) or	azelastin	twice daily (N = 32)	days	Compared with placebo	nctivitis and show	
				rhinoconjun	e, and	vs. Placebo,	of	group: yes vs no: 39 vs.	comparable safety to	
				ctivitis;	8.2±2.5	identical to the	treatm	61.	that of levocabastine eye	
					years for	azelastine eye drops	ent.		drops. Azelastine eye	
					levocaba	except for the			drops offer an effective	
					stine.	active drug, one			and safe alternative to	
						drop per eye twice			levocabastine eye drops	
						daily (N = 28). 14			in the treatment of	
						day treatment			pediatric allergic	
						period.			conjunctivitis."	

James 2003 (Score = 6.0)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Supporte d by ASTA Medica AG. No mention of COI.	N = 144 participants with a two- season history of conjunctiviti s/ rhinoconjun ctivitis;	mean age for azelastin e 0.05% 37.1, 35.5 years for sodium cromogly cate 2% and 36.1 years for placebo.	Azelastine 0.05% (N = 45) vs. Sodium Cromoglycate (SCG) 2% (N = 50) vs. Placebo (N = 49). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily.	Follow -up at baseli ne and after 3, 7 and 14 days of treatm ent.	Responder rates (%) for three main eye symptoms: itching, tearing and conjunctival redness: day 3: no vs yes: azelastine: 14.6% vs. 85.4%, (p=0.005); SCG: 17.0% vs. 83.0, (p=0.007)	"The results of this study indicate that the therapeutic use of azelastine eye drops in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis can be recommended."	Lack of study details for randomization, allocation and compliance.
Nazarov 2003 (Score = 5.5)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Blind	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 116 with perennial conjunctiviti s for at least one year.	Mean age of 33.7±11. 3 years.	Azelastine drops (approximately 0.03ml solution to each eye twice daily) (N = 58) vs. Placebo (approximately 0.03ml solution to each eye twice daily) (N = 58) **Patients could increase the dose to 3 to 4 administrations per day if symptoms were severe during both the baseline and the 6-week treatment period.	Follow -up on day 7, 21, and 42.	Azelastine significantly improved itching and redness compared to placebo treatment. Main eye symptom score (range 0-6) mean values ± SD (Day 0: absolute 3.9±0.7, Azelastine); placebo (Day 0: absolute 3.9±0.7) Day 7, p<0.001.	"Azelastine eye drops are well- tolerated and effectively relieve the hallmark symptoms of itching and conjunctival redness in patients suffering from perennial allergic conjunctivitis."	Data suggest Azelastine drops superior to placebo.

Lenhard 1997 (Score = 5.5)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by ASTA Medica. No mention of COI.	N = 278 participants suffering from seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjun ctivitis;	mean age for azelastin e 0.025% group 31.6±10. 6 years, 31.7±11. 7 years for azelastin e 0.05%, and 33.9±11. 9 years for placebo.	Azelastine 0.025% (0.008mg) (N = 92) vs. Azelastine 0.05% (0.015mg) (N = 92) vs. Placebo, identical composition of azelastine without the active substance (N = 94). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily at an interval of 10 to 12 hours in the morning and evening. 14 day treatment period. This study lasted 14 days.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and days 7 and 14.	Responder rates (%) for three main eye symptoms: itching, lacrimation, and conjunctival redness: day 7: responders vs. non-responders: 98% vs. 2%, (p=0.0015).	"The results of this present study show that azelastine eye drops are well tolerated and exert a concentration-dependent therapeutic effect in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. For further investigations, the high concentration of 0.05% azelastine eye drops is recommended."	Sparse details for randomization, allocation blinding and compliance. Data suggest no immediate efficacy until 7 days compared with placebo.
Giede- Tuch 1998 (Score = 5.5)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by ASTA Medica. No mention of COI.	N = 151 patients suffering from seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjun ctivitis;	mean age of 35.4±11. 4 years for azelastin e 0.025%, 35.2±10 7 years for azelastin e 0.05%, and 35.9±11. 5 years for placebo.	Azelastine 0.025% (0.008 mg) (N = 47) vs. Azelastine 0.05% (0.015 mg) (N = 52) vs. Placebo, Benzalkonium chloride and sodium Edetate (N = 52). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily at intervals of 10 to 12 hours in the morning and evening.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and after 3, 7, and 14 days of treatm ent.	Responder rate (%) for main eye symptoms itching, lacrimation, and conjunctival redness: day 3: no vs. yes: 18% vs 82%, (p=0.011).	"The results of this double-blind study show that azelastine eye-drops provide rapid, dose-dependent relief from ocular symptoms in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis."	Author conclusion not supported by statistical presentation as neither treatment reached statistical significance.

Giede 2000 (Score = 5.0)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT	Sponsore d by ASTA Medica AG, Frankfurt / Main, Germany. No mention of COI.	N = 307 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC), for at least 1 year.	Aged 17 to 69 years.	Azelastine 0.05% eye drops twice daily (N = 101) vs Levocabastine 0.05% eye drops twice daily (N = 103) vs. Placebo eye drops identical to the treatment eye drops except for the active ingredient twice daily (N = 103).	Follow -up after 3, 7, and 14 days.	68.2% defined as responders in azelastine group vs 59.1% of levocabastine vs 51.1% in placebo. Only those in azelastine group had higher the responder rate vs placebo, (p=0.022). In terms of soreness / swollen eyelids / azelastine treatment was superior to levocabastine, 60.2% and 58.4% improvement, by day 3.	"[The results of this study confirms the therapeutic potential of 0.05% azelastine eye drops in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis / rhino conjunctivitis and indicate that the product possesses a more rapid onset of action and a slightly superior extent of efficacy as compared to levocabastine eye drops."	Poor response rate and variable response rates. Study cannot be double blinded as packaging was different between treatment groups. Also, Azelastine is known for causing significant taste changes.
Sodhi 2003 (Score = 2.5)	Azelasti ne drops vs. placebo	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 63 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 34.8±17. 3 years.	Azelastine 0.02%, four times daily (N = 32) vs. Mitomycin C (MMC) 0.02 mg/ml, four times daily (N = 31). 3 month treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and weeks 2 and 4. This study lasted 3 month s.	N (%) for Outcome measure: redness: MMC vs. azelastine: 25 (80.7%) vs. 19 (55.9%), (p=0.033); follicles: 31 (100.0%) vs 6 (17.7), (p=0.0001); papillae: 29 (93.6%) vs. 4 (11.8), (p=0.0001); changes in agent: 0 (0%) vs. 30 (88.2), (p=0.0001).	"Though this was a short-term study, we found topical MMC to be more effective than topical azelastine in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis both in terms of relief of symptoms and resolution of signs. The use of topical MMC in low doses does not cause any significant adverse effect."	Methodological details sparse.
						Levoca	abastine			
Kidd 2003 (Score = 7.5)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	RCT	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics AG, Bülach,	N = 519 suffering from seasonal allergic	mean age for Ketotifen group 46.3±17. 0, for	Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% ophthalmic solution (N = 172) vs. Placebo, vehicle ophthalmic solution (N = 173) vs.	Follow up at baseli ne, and days	Redness/ itching / tearing / chemosis, lid swelling, discharge: (0.08 vs. 0.93 vs. 0.92 in levocabastine group, p=0.03, and ketotifen vs. placebo,	"[K]etotifen fumarate 0.025% ophthalmic solution is effective in reducing the signs and symptoms of SAC, and in	Data suggest modest efficacy. High dropouts.

			Switzerla nd. No mention of COI.	conjunctiviti s (SAC);	placebo 47.9±16. 5, and for Levocab astine was 49.5±17. 4.	Levocabastine ophthalmic suspension HCl 0.05% (N = 174). Twice daily in each eye for 4 weeks.	5-8 and 25-31.	(p=0.04) / (0.64 vs. 0.84 vs. 0.89, p=0.02, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.02) / (0.64 vs. 0.84 vs. 0.89, p=0.02, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.02) / (3.54 vs. 4.15 vs. 4.18, p=0.03, and ketotifen vs. placebo, (p=0.03).	preventing their recurrence."	
Donshik 2000 (Score = 7.5)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	RCT	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed education al grant from Allergan Labs, Inc., Irvine, California . No mention of COI.	N = 224 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) during ragweed season and a positive skin test for ragweed in the last 2 years;	mean of 37 years, range from 14 to 73 years.	Acular, 5 ml Ketorolac Tromethamine 0.5% eye drops (N = 73) vs. Livostin, Levocabastine hydrochloride 0.05% eye drops (N = 75) vs. Placebo, 1 drop in each eye 4 times daily for 6 weeks (N = 75).	Follow up at baseli ne, and weeks 1 and 3.	Ketorolac more effective than vehicle reducing itching scores, palpebral hyperemia, bulbar hyperemia, and edema, (p<0.05). Levocabastine treated eye showed significant reduction in bulbar hyperemia, (p=0.008). No significant differences among treatment groups in safety or tolerability.	"[K]etorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution is well tolerated and effective in relieving the signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Data suggest modest efficacy.
Davies 1993 (Score = 6.5)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 95 patients over 5 years of age with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) during a previous hay fever season with ≥ typical symptom of	age range 5 to 69 years.	Topical levocabastine 0.5 mg/ml (N = 28) vs. Topical sodium cromoglycate 20 mg/ml (N = 32) vs. Matching placebo eye-drops (N = 29) one in each eye four times daily for 28 days. Oral terfenadine and beclomethasone or	No follow -up time.	NS between sodium cromoglycate group and placebo for treatment efficacy (no p-value reported). End of study intergroup differences: levocabastine superior to sodium cromoglycate for severest ocular symptom (p<0.05), lacrimation (p<0.01), and red eyes (p<0.05); sodium cromoglycate vs. placebo,	"[T]opical levocabastine is more effective than sodium cromoglycate and placebo for the prophylaxis and treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis,"	Therapeutic efficacy at 4 weeks was 87% in Levocabastine and 68% in sodium cromoglycate and placebo groups respectively.

				allergic conjunctiviti s (ocular irritation, burning sensation, itch, redness, photophobia , lacrimation, lid oedemia, conjunctival oedema) needing treatment;		budesonide nasal spray were allowed as rescue medications. Assessments at baseline, 2 weeks, and 4 weeks.		NS for same outcomes. Pain free for at least 75% of study: levocabastine 37% vs. sodium cromoglycate 6% (p<0.01) vs. placebo 4% (p<0.01).		
Verin 2001 (Score = 6.5)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	RCT	Sponsore d by Alcon Research, Ltd, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 202 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) and signs and symptoms characteristi c of the disease;	mean age of 30 years, range of 4 to 76 years.	Emedastine 0.05% eye drops (N = 97) vs. Levocabastine 0.05% eye drops one drop in each eye twice daily (morning and evening) for 6 weeks (N =105).	Follow ups on days 3, 7 14, 30, 42, and 7 to 10 days after the cessati on of therap y.	Primary outcome itching / redness at days 3, 7, 14, 30, and 42: (p=0.245, 0.0016, 0.0002, 0.0001 and p=0.0001) / (p=0.145, 0.0009, 0.0002, 0.0002, and 0.0001). Secondary; Chemosis / swelling at days 3, 7, 14, 30, and 42: (p=0.0559, p=0.0050, 0.0005, 0.0046, and 0.0001) / (p=0.0672, 0.0023, 0.0001, 0.0061, and 0.0009).	"[E]medastine 0.05% eye drops administered twice daily were more efficacious than levocabastine 0.05% eye drops in the prevention and treatment of the signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis in adults and children of 4 years and above."	Baseline comparability not well described. Both groups showed improvements in symptom relief at 6 weeks but at 7 days, Emedastine was significantly better than Levocabastine in symptom alleviation.

Azevedo 1991 (Score = 6.0)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	RCT Doubl e- blind Parall el- group s	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 60 with symptoms of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) during the previous hayfever season, skin and/or RAST tests that were positive for pollen, and presented with at least one typical symptom of allergic conjunctiviti s evaluated as moderate or severe;	median age; 27 years / 26 years/ 34 years.	Levocabastine 0.5 mg/ml 1 drop in each eye (N = 18) vs. Cromoglycate 20 mg/ml 1 drop in each eye (N = 21) vs. Placebo received eye drops 1 drop in each eye (N = 21).	Follow -up at baseli ne, 2 and 4 weeks .	Levocabastine-treated patients responded better vs both the cromoglycate, (p=0.03) und the placebo, (p=0.007). There was no significant difference between cromoglycate vs placebo, (p=0.42). Levocabastine have a faster onset of action than 77% of the previous medications taken in this group vs 44%, and 33% in the cromoglyeate and placebo group, (p<0.005).	"[L]evocabastine is efficacious in the management of allergic conjunctivitis, producing better symptomatic relief than cromoglycate."	4 week arms parallel design. High dropout rate in 2 of 3 groups.
Hamman n 1996 (Score = 5.5)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	Cross over trial, rando mized , Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by a grant from Janssen Research Foundati on. No mention of COI.	N = 24 volunteers with a history of grass pollen conjunctiviti s.	Mean age of 25.4±4.8 years.	Topical levocabastine, 0.5 mg/ml, one drop per eye (N = n/a) vs. Topical Nedocromil, 20 mg/ml, one drop per eye (N = n/a). Erythma and severity of pruritus were recorded before provocation, 15 minutes after instillation of medication 10 minutes after the instillation of the		Both drugs allowed a significant increase in the tolerated dose of allergen expressed as shift in allergen concentration, (p<0.001). The number of shifts in allergen concentration was significantly greater after levocabastine treatment than after nedocromil treatment, (p=0.019).	"In a provocation test with allergen, levocabastine and nedocromil were both effective in increasing the conjunctival tolerance to allergen, with better protection provided by levocabastine."	Missing group populations. Small sample size. Data suggest levocabastine superior to nedocromil.

					dilutent and 10 minutes after provocation with each allergen concentration.				
Secchi 2000 (Score = 4.5)	Levoca bastine vs. Other solution	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 202 with redness of the eye graded at least a 2 and an itching score of at least 4.	Emedastine 0.05% BID solution (N = 97) vs. Levocabastine 0.05% BID in both eyes for 42 days with follow-up 7-10 after therapy (N = 105).	Follow -up at days 0, 3, 7, 14, 30 and 42. 7- 10 days post therap y.	Chemosis / eyelid swelling at baseline and follow-up / itching, redness at days 7, 14, 30, 42: (1.27±1.13 and 0.36 ± 0.56 vs. levocabastine, 1.29±1.10 and 0.68±0.89, (p=0.0064) / (1.26±1.11 and 0.28±0.47 vs. 1.28±1.09 and 0.61±0.84, (p=0.0014) / (p<0.05).	"Emedastine is more efficacious than levocabastine in reducing chemosis, eyelid swelling and other efficacy variable associated with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Groups not well described. No placebo group. Fig 2.
					Olop	atadine			

Leonardi 2003 (Score = 5.5)	Olopata dine vs. placebo	RCT	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborator ies. No mention of COI.	N = 10 with a clinical history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age of 31.5±11. 3 years.	Olopatadine, one drop (left or right eye) vs. placebo (artificial tears) in the contralateral eye. Symptoms were evaluated 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 minutes and 5 hours after CAC.		Itching and redness were significantly reduced in the olopatadine group compared with the placebo group (p<0.01 and p<0.03, respectively).	"In the present study, olopatadine significantly reduced the levels of histamine, cellular infiltrate, and ICAM expression compared with placebo after CAC, suggesting that it reduced the release of mast cell–derived mediators in humans. This inhibition of mediator release correlated with reduction of itching and redness."	Small sample size (n=10). Results suggest Olopatadine decreased mast cell mediators resulting in decreased itching and redness.
Mah 2007 (Score = 5.0)	Olopata dine various doses	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc. COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for personal or professio nal use.	N = 92 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 40.9±12. 8 years.	Olopatadine 0.2% in one eye (left or right) and epinastine 0.05% in the contralateral eye (N = 28) vs. Olopatadine 0.2% in one eye and placebo in the fellow eye (N = 27) vs. Epinastine 0.05% in one eye and placebo in the fellow eye (N= 28) vs. Placebo in both eyes (N = 9). 7 week treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, visit 2 (day - 28±3), visit 3 (day 0), and visit 4 (day 14).	Olopatadine 0.2% treated eye exhibited significantly lower mean ocular itching scores compared to epinastine 0.05% treated eyes at 5 min (p=0.024), and 7min (p=0.003). Mean redness scores: olopatadine vs epinastine: 7 min: 0.94 vs 1.50, (p=0.0010), 15 min: 1.23 vs. 1.68, (p=0.0150), 20 min: 1.25 vs. 1.68, (p=0.0125)	"Olopatadine 0.2% was superior to epinastine 0.05% in preventing ocular itching and redness at onset when induced by the CAC model."	Likely unequal control size (N=9). Probable randomization failure.

Mah 2008 (Score = 5.0)	Olopata dine various doses	RCT	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborato ories. COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for personal or professio nal use.	N = 52 with a history of conjunctiviti s and dry eye.	Mean age of 55.5 years.	Olopatadine 0.2%, one drop per eye (N = 25) vs. Tear saline, one drop per eye (N = 27). 1 week treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, visit 1 (day - 3±1), visit 2 (day 0), visit 3 (day 7±1). This study lasted 1 week.	There were no statistically significant values to report between the two groups in any of the outcomes. No p-values to report.	"As there were no significant changes in the signs and symptoms of dry eye, olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2% is safe to use in ocular allergy patients with mild-to-moderate dry eye."	Sparse baseline comparability. Similar efficacy between groups.
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----	--	---	----------------------------------	---	---	--	--	---

Abelson 2003 (Score = 8.5)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by a grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas.	N = 56 with a positive skin test, history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) or rhinoconjun ctivitis with eyelid swelling, and prior conjunctival allergen challenge (CAC) titration within the past year;	mean age of 44.7 years, age range of 19 to 72.	1 drop of Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% into one eye (N = 56) vs. 1 drop of placebo into the contralateral eye for a one time visit (N = 56).	Follow up?	The olopatadine group had significantly less eyelid swelling at both 15 and 30 minutes, (p<0.001 and 0.017) minutes vs. placebo. Olopatadine group show significantly greater relief from itching / prevention of ocular redness / chemosis / vessel beds / mean conjunctival redness scores / mean episcleral redness scores / mean chemosis score vs. placebo, (p<0.001).	"[E]yelid swelling - an indicator of allergic changes to the tissues surrounding the eyes - was quantifiably measured with 3D imaging technology as well as subjective rating scales."	Experimental study. High dropout rate. Data suggest efficacy.
Katelaris 2002 (Score = 8.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 188 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) for at least 1 allergy season, reacted positively to 21 common local pollen on a skin test at screening or in the previous 12 months.	Ages ranged from 4 to 77 years.	One group instilled olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution in the morning and afternoon and placebo BID at noon and afternoon (N = 91) vs. Instilled cromolyn 2% ophthalmic solution QID the same 4 time dosing as group one (N = 94).	Follow -up for 42 days.	Days 14-42 (itching) and on day 42 (redness), the upper 95% CI was 10 unit, olopatadine was statistically superior to cromolyn for both variables, (p<0.05). Days 30 and 42 for itching and on day 42 for redness, (all, p<0.05).	"The signs and symptoms of SAC improved progressively with 6 weeks' instillation of olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution BID and cromolyn 2% ophthalmic solution QID."	At 6 weeks, olopatadine significantly reduced itchiness and redness as compared to cromolyn although both treatments produced significant reductions in SAC symptoms from baseline.

Ciprandi 2004 (Score = 7.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 30 children with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) (study I). N = 22 children with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) (study II).	aged 4 to 11 years.	Study I Cromolyn sodium ophthalmic solution 2% and levocabastine ophthalmic solution 0.05% 4 times daily (N = 13) vs. Placebo or Olopatadine ophthalmic solution 0.1% at noon and afternoon (N = 17). Study II Levocabastine ophthalmic suspension twice daily (N = 10) vs. Placebo or Olopatadine ophthalmic solution 0.1% at noon and afternoon (N = 12).	Follow -up for 6 weeks .	Study I: Ocular itching and conjunctival redness were significantly less with olopatadine than with cromolyn sodium, (p=0.010 and p=0.003, respectively). All symptoms decreased significantly relative to baseline values with both treatments during both the peak and declining pollen periods, (all, p<0.05). Study II: During the peak pollen period, conjunctival redness was significantly lower with olopatadine vs levocabastine 0.05%, (p=0.040). All symptoms except eyelid swelling decreased significantly from baseline values during both the peak and declining pollen periods, (all, p<0.05).	"Olopatadine hydrochloride ophthalmic solution 0.1% was more effective than both cromolyn sodium 2% and levocabastine 0.05% ophthalmic preparations in controlling ocular signs and symptoms of SAC in children and was well tolerated when administered twice daily for 6 weeks."	In children, Olopatadine appears more effective than either Cromolyn or levocabastine in decreasing ocular SAC changes. Nasal symptoms did not change.
Abelson 1998 (Score = 7.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by Alcon Laborator ies, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 169 with a history of active allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) within the previous 2 seasons and not receiving current treatment;	mean age of 39 for olopatad ine 0.05% and 38 for olopatad ine 0.10%.	Olopatadine 0.05% in one eye + Olopatadine 0.1% (N = 84) vs. 0.1% Olopatadine in one eye placebo in contralateral eye for 3 visits total; at days 1, 14, and 28 (N = 85). Assessments were completed 3, 10,	Assess ments were compl eted 3, 10, and 20 minut es after conjun ctival	Both 0.5% and 0.1% treated eyes were significantly more effective than placebo, (p<0.05). Mean itching and redness significantly lower in treated eyes compared to placebo, (p<0.05) (at 3, 10, and 20 minutes, after the 27-minute and 8-hours challenges).	"[O]lopatadine is an effective ocular antiallergic agent with a rapid onset and prolonged duration of action with excellent tolerability. A 0.05% of 0.1% concentration of olopatadine administered twice daily was shown to be	2 RCTs. Experimental study. Suggest efficacy.

						and 20 minutes after conjunctival allergen challenge.	allerge n challe nge.		effective for treatment of allergic conjunctivitis."	
Greiner 2011 (Score = 7.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT Single - Cente r Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by Vistakon Pharmec euticals LLC. No mention of COI.	N = 170 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 41.5±11. 5 years.	Alcaftadine 0.05%, one drop per eye (N = 34) vs. Alcaftadine 0.1%, one drop per eye (N = 34) vs. Alcaftadine 0.25%, one drop per eye (N = 34) vs. Olopatadine 0.1%, one drop per eye (N = 34) vs. Placebo, vehicle of the alcaftadine ophthalmic solutions, one drop per eye (N = 34). Follow-up at visit 1 (day -21), visit 2 (day -14±3), visit 3 (day 0±3), and visit 4 (day 14±3)	Follow -up at visit 1 (day - 21), visit 2 (day - 14±3), visit 3 (day 0±3), and visit 4 (day 14±3)	Mean ocular itching score: 15 min onset action: placebo vs alca 0.05% vs alca 0.1% vs alca 0.25%vs olopatadine: 3 min: 2.22 vs 0.53 vs 0.56 vs 0.27 vs 0.33, (p<0.05); 5 min: 2.33 vs 0.72 vs 0.60 vs 0.41 vs 0.49, (p<0.05); 7 min: 2.14 vs 0.69 vs 0.55 vs 0.37 vs 0.48, (p<0.05); 16 hour duration: 3 min: 1.75 vs 0.40 vs 0.31 vs 0.27 vs 0.63, (p<0.05); 5 min: 1.88 vs 0.52 vs 0.47 vs 0.40 vs 0.79, (p<0.05); 7 min: 1.83 vs 0.56 vs 0.48 vs 0.43 vs 0.79, (p<0.05); 7 min: 1.83 vs 0.56 vs 0.48 vs 0.43 vs 0.85, (p<0.05). Conjunctival redness: 15 min onset of action challenge: alcaftadine 0.05 vs placebo: 7 min: 1.13 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); alcaftadine 0.1 vs placebo: 1.14 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); alcaftadine 0.25 vs placebo: 0.50 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); olopatadine 0.1 vs placebo: 1.15 vs 1.85, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.09 vs 1.96, (p<0.05); 20 min: 1.15 vs 1.80, (p<0.05); 16 hour duration of action: alcaftadine 0.05 vs	"Treatment with alcaftadine 0.25% ophthalmic solution resulted in mean differences of 0.1 unit (ocular itching) and approximately .1 unit (conjunctival redness), which was significant (p<0.001) compared with placebo treatment. All doses of alcaftadine were safe and well tolerated in the population studied."	5 groups including 1 placebo showed Alcaftadine 0.25%, significantly decreased redness and itching compared to placebo.

Butrus Olog 2000 dine (Score = hydr 6.5) hlor vs. othe solu s	Doubl e- blind	bl d by a grant	N = 49 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age of 44.2 years / 42.0 years / 47.5 years.	Olopatadine included baseline screening, confirmatory visit and at visit 3, efficiency and comfort assessment 1 drop from the left-bottle in left eye and from the right-bottle in right eye (N = 20) vs. Nedocromil the same 3 visits and scheduling as Olopatadine group (N = 18) vs. Placebo the same 3 visits and scheduling as Olopatadine group (N = 11).	Follow -up for 14 days.	placebo: 1.22 vs 1.77, (p<0.05), alcaftadine 0.1 vs placebo: 1.18 vs 1.77, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.44 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); alcaftadine 0.25 vs placebo: 7 min: 0.77 vs 1.77, (p<0.05), 15 min: 1.01 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); olopatadine 0.1 vs placebo: 7 min: 0.89 vs 1.77, (p<0.05); 15 min: 1.12 vs 2.02, (p<0.05); 20 min: 0.99 vs 1.91, (p<0.05). Olopatadine-treated eyes or 40 eyes had itching scores >2 units lower than placebo or 22 eyes, a clinically/statistically significant difference, (p<0.001). The comparison between nedocromil treated 36 eyes or vs 22 placebo exhibited a much smaller treatment effect vs the olopatadine placebo comparison. There was statistically significant difference in favor of nedocromil group in relief of itching at 3 minutes, (p=0.045).	"In the conjunctival allergen challenge model, olopatadine was more efficacious and comfortable than nedocromil in reducing the itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis."	One drop of Olopatadine was more effective than Nedocromil bid in decreasing itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis.
--	----------------------	-----------------	---	--	---	----------------------------------	---	---	---

Borazan 2009 (Score = 6.5)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT Doubl e- blind	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 100 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) for at least 2 years, a history of active allergic conjunctiviti s, and a positive diagnostic test for allergic hypersensiti vity;	mean age of 26.9±10 6 for olopatad ine group, 26.1±7.9 for ketotifen group, 29.3±12. 8 for epinastin e group and 22.05±8. 7 for fluorome tholone group.	Group 1: Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% or Patanol, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 2: Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% or Zaditen, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 3: Epinastine hydrochloride 0.05% or Relestat, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 4: Emedastine Difumarate 0.05% or Emadine, in one eye (N = 20) vs. Group 5: Fluorometholone acetate 0.1% or Flarex BID for 14 days, in one eye (N = 20). Placebo (vehicle ophthalmic solution) in the other eye.	Follow up at baseli ne, and weeks 1 and 2.	At all visits and all groups scores for ocular itching / conjunctival redness / tearing / chemosis and eyelid swelling were significant with placebo treated eye, (p<0.001). At the end of treatment conjunctival impression cytology scores were significantly lower for drug-treated eyes than for placebo-treated eyes, (p<0.01).	"In patients with SAC, olopatadine, ketotifen, epinastine, and emedastine are more efficacious than fluorometholone acetate in preventing itching and redness. All the antiallergic agents gave similar results in terms of reducing tearing, chemosis and eyelid swelling. Our data showed that impression cytology parameters improved after treatment with antiallergic agents in patients with SAC."	Many treatment groups (N=5) and many outcomes. Data suggest all treatments superior to placebo.
Deschen es 1999 (Score = 6.5)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT/ cross over	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 36 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) within 2 seasons and a positive diagnostic test for	mean age of 36 years, age range of 19 to 68.	Olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution in one eye and placebo in the contralateral eye (N = 36) vs. Ketorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution in one eye and placebo in the contralateral eye (N = 36). Patients		Itching mean difference olopatadine vs. placebo (3 min / 10 min / 20 min): - 1.47 / -1.51 / -1.18, (p<0.0001). Olopatadine vs. ketorolac: NS. Olopatadine was significantly different for reduction in hyperemia scores compared to placebo redness scores at	"[O]lopatadine is effective and safe in preventing and treating ocular itching and hyperemia associated with acute allergic conjunctivitis and is more effective and more comfortable than ketorolac."	Patients not well described. Crossover. Experimental model. Data suggest olopatadine is superior to ketorolac. No long term results.

		allergic	received an allergen	3, 10, and 20 minutes	
		disease	challenge 27	after challenge,	
		within the	minutes after	(p<0.0001). Olopatadine	
		past 24	treatment.	was more comforatable	
		months;	Crossover at least	vs. ketorolac (p<0.05).	
			14 days in between.		
			Evaluation 3, 10,		
			and 20 minutes		
			after challenge.		

Sponsore d by Pfizer Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI.	N = 83 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years,	mean age of 42.5 years.	Pheniramine maleate 0.3%/naphazoline hydrochloride 0.025% and olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% (N = n/a) vs. Pheniramine maleate 0.3% /naphazoline hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was completed.		Mean±SD for ocular allergy index scores for itching: pheniramine/naphazoline and placebo vs olopatadine and placebo vs pheniramine/naphazoline and olopatadine: 7 min: -1.39±60.3 vs1.69±73.4 vs 0.30±49.3, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.029, respectively); 20 min: -1.08±-70.4 vs -1.17±-76.1 vs 0.09±23.9, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.437, respectively); chemosis: 7 min: -0.63±-71.5 vs -0.48±-54.6 vs -0.15±-36.4, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.005, respectively); 20 min: -0.72±-64.3 vs -0.48±-43.1 vs -0.24±-37.2, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.001, p=0.001, respectively); eyelid swelling: 7 min: -0.47±-71.5 vs -0.49±-73.6, (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively); 20 min: -0.51±-70.0 vs -0.42±-57.6, (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively).	"In this patient sample, studied in a CAC model of onset of action, prophylactic pheniramine/ naphazoline was more effective than olopatadine and placebo in alleviating the signs and symptoms of the acute ocular allergic reaction, as measured by the OAI."	Missing group population. Both groups better than placebo in reducing OAI scores with Pheniramine group better than olopatadine group.
r Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	dine d by hydroc hloride Consume vs. r other solution s lnc. No	dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s d by Pfizer consume conjunctiviti r s (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer s Inc. No	dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s large of large o	dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s COI. d by Pfizer allergic allergic conjunctiviti years. r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, COI. d by Pfizer allergic conjunctiviti years. r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, COI. maleate 0.3%/naphazoline hydrochloride 0.1% (N = n/a) vs. Pheniramine maleate 0.3% /naphazoline hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was	dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s Transport	dine hydroc hloride vs. consume vs. conjunctivial s length conjunctival s length conjunc	dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, linc. No COI. Solution Soluti
RCT	d by Pfizer Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No	d by Pfizer Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years,	d by a history of age of Pfizer consume conjunctiviti r s (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No age of allergic 42.5 years. s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years,	d by Pfizer Consume r Conjunctiviti s (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctiviti years. A history of allergic decomposed age of decomposed and plop and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Pheniramine hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was	d by Pfizer allergic Consume r s (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. Age of A2.5 years. Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. Age of Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. Age of A2.5 years. D.3%/naphazoline hydrochloride 0.025% and olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% (N = n/a) vs. Pheniramine maleate 0.3% /naphazoline hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was	d by Pfizer allergic Consume conjunctiviti r s (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. COI. Maleate 0.025% and olopatadine hydrochloride olopatadine and placebo vs olopatadine hydrochloride and placebo vs olopatadine and olopatadine	d by Pfizer Consume r S (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctivitie r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctivitie r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctivitie r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctivitie r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic conjunctivitie pyears. A history of allergic conjunctivitie pyears. A history of allergic conjunctivitie pyears. A history of allergic conjunctivitie pyears. A history of allergic conjunctivitie pyears. A history of allergic conjunctivitie pyears. A history of allergic pheniramine/naphazoline and placebo vs olopatadine and placebo vs olopatadine and olopatadine: 7 min: - 1.39±60.3 vs1.69±73.4 vs. 0.30±493. (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.029, respectively); 20 min: - 1.08±-70.4 vs1.17±-76.1 vs. 0.09±23.9, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.037, respectively); 20 min: - 0.47±-71.5 vs 0.48±-34.3 vs 0.24±-37.2, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.009, respectively); 20 min: - 0.47±-71.5 vs 0.49±-73.6, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p
	d by Pfizer Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No	d by Pfizer Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years,	d by Pfizer Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No a history of alge of 42.5 conjunctiviti s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No	d by Pfizer Consume Consume r S (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. age of allergic conjunctiviti r s (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, Inc. No COI. age of 42.5 years. bydrochloride 0.025% and olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% (N = n/a) vs. Pheniramine maleate 0.3% /naphazoline hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was	d by Pfizer Consume Consume r S (AC); age Healthcar e, Pfizer Inc. No COI. A history of allergic conjunctiviti r S (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, COI. A history of allergic S (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, COI. A history of allergic S (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, COI. A history of allergic S (AC); age range of 20 to 70 years, COI. A hydrochloride O.025% and Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% (N = n/a) vs. Pheniramine maleate 0.3% /naphazoline hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was	d by Pfizer allergic Consume Conjunctiviti Consume Conjunctiviti Consume Conjunctiviti Conjunctivi Conjuncti	d by Pitzer Consume (Consume of allergic Conjunctivities of (AC); age Healthcar e, Pitzer (Inc. No COI. COI. A history of allergic (Consume of Pitzer of Titzer (Conjunctivities) (N = 7.0) years, (N = 7.0) yea

Berdy 2000 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by a grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 32 with symptoms of ocular allergy;	mean age not reported	Group A: one drop of olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution in the right eye, one drop of ketotifen fumigate 0.025% ophthalmic solution in the left eye (N = n/a) vs. Group B: one drop of olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% in the left eye, and one drop of ketotifen fumarate 0.025% in the right eye (N = n/a).	Follow -up at visit 1 (day 0), visit 2 (day 7±2), and visit 3 (day 21±3).	Mean efficacy scores: olopatadine vs ketotifen: 3 min: 1.84 vs 1.25, (p<0.05); 5 min: 1.75 vs 1.34, (p<0.05). Mean comfort scores: olopatadine vs ketotifen: 1.25 vs 2.09, (p<0.05)	"Both olopatadine and ketotifen are approved for the relief of ocular itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis. In this study, olopatadine was shown to be more effective and cause less ocular discomfort than ketotifen in the conjunctival antigen challenge model of allergic conjunctivitis, as measured by subjective ratings of efficacy and comfort."	Missing group populations. Baseline comparability sparse. At 12 hours, olopatadine was better than ketotifen in reducing ocular discomfort.
Brodsky 2003 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by Alcon Laborator ies, Fort Worth, Texas No mention of COI.	N = 20 wearing contacts participating in a conjunctival allergen challenge with no active allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age of 35.3 for olopatad ine and 32.3 for placebo.	Olopatadine Hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution (N = 10) vs. Placebo received 1 drop bilaterally + contacts 15 minutes later + conjunctival allergen challenge was performed bilaterally 10 minutes after (N = 10). Follow up immediately after challenge, every minute up to and including 10 minutes, and every 5 minutes up and	Follow up imme diately after challe nge, every minut e up to and including 10 minut es, and every 5 minut es up	Olopatadine was superior to placebo for improvement in itching at 3 and 7 minutes (p<0.05) and for reduction in redness at 5 and 10 minutes for ciliary, conjunctival, and episcleral vessel beds (p<0.05).	"Olopatadine was clinically and significantly superior to placebo in improving the ocular comfort of contact lens wearers suffering from the signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis, as induced by the conjunctival allergen-challenge model."	Small sample size. Data suggest efficacy.

						including 60 minutes.	and includi ng 60 minut es.			
Abelson 2007 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborator ies. No COI.	N = 23 participating in a conjunctival allergen challenge with no active allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age of 41.	Olopatadine 0.2% vs. Olopatadine 0.1% + a 2nd dose of medication 8 hours + conjunctival allergen 24 hours after first dose (N = n/a) vs. Placebo each eye randomized separately + a 2nd dose of medication 8 hours after the first + conjunctival allergen challenged 24 hours after first dose (N = n/a). Assessments were completed 3, 5, 7, minutes following allergen challenge; and 7, 15, and 20		At 24 hours, olopatadine 0.1% reduced itching scores vs. placebo (p=0.002) and 1 dose of olopatadine 0.2% reduced itching scores vs. placebo, (p=0.0007). NS between the olopatadine 0.1% and 0.2% for itching scores.	"[A]t the end of a 24-hour period, one dose of olopatadine 0.2% was comparable to two doses (separated by 8 hours) of olopatadine 0.1% in the prevention of ocular itching. Olopatadine 0.2% has therefore demonstrated once-daily efficacy in the prevention of ocular itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis."	Small sample size. Contralateral Control either placebo or active treatment. Experimental challenge study suggests efficacy.

						minutes post-challenge.				
Avunduk 2005 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 49 with signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC), at least 18 years old, and had a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) in the last 2 years;	ages range from 18 to 61.	Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025% solution (N = 12) vs. Olopatadine HCl 0.1% solution (N = 13) vs. Preservative free artificial tear substitute or ATS control group, 2 drops in each eye BID for 30 days (N = 14). 30-day treatment period.	Follow up?	Mean itching scores (day 0 / day 15 / day 30): ketotifen (2.08 / 1.08 / 0.75), olopatadine (1.84 / 1.08 / 0.76), ATS (2.00 / 1.85 / 1.71).	"[K]etotifen and olopatadine were associated with effective decreases in the expression of CAMs an inflammatory markers on the conjunctival surface cells. Both active treatments were found to be more efficacious compared with ATS. We did not find significant differences between the 2 active treatments."	Patients not well described. Data suggest active treatment of comparable efficacy and superior to placebo. 1 month study.

Yaylali 2003 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	2 RCTs	No mention of sponsors hip. No COI.	N = 40 with signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC); average age of 19 years,	age range of 15 to 25 years.	Group 1: 0.1% Olopatadine in one eye and placebo in the other twice daily (N = 20) vs. Group 2: 0.5% Ketorolac in one eye and placebo in the other 4 times daily (N = 20).	Follow -up for 15 days.	Itching, hyperemia improved in the olopatadine eyes vs. placebo eyes, (p<0.05). Ketorolac eyes showed a reduction in signs, symptoms compared to placebo eyes, (p<0.05). Itching scores lower in olopatadine group vs. ketorolac at 2,7, and 15 days: (p=0.018), (p=0.007), and (p=0.036).	"[B]oth olopatadine and ketorolac ophthalmic solutions were found to be effective in alleviating the clinical signs and symptoms of SAC compared to placebo."	2 RCTs. Patients not well described. Analysis comparing drugs seem questionable as patients did not crossover to other drug. Suggest both effective.
Abelson 2007 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 92 with a history allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	at least 18 years of age.	oliopatadine 0.2% bilaterally (N = 23) vs. Oliopatadine 0.2% in right eye and placebo in left eye (N = 23) vs. Placebo in right eye and Oliopatadine 0.2% in left eye (N = 23) vs. Placebo bilaterally (N = 23). Instillation of mediation followed 16 hours later by conjunctival allergen challenge with assessment at 3, 5, and 7 minutes post challenge. Assessment again 14 days later with gap between medication and challenge of 27 minutes.	Follow up?	Ocular itching / conjunctival redness / chemosis / eyelid swelling; (0.2% vs. placebo at all-time points, (p<0.001) / (0.2% significant efficacy in olopatadine group at all times, (p<0.01) / (significant improvement in eye swelling in olopatadine vs. placebo group, (p<0.01).	"The use of the olopatadine molecule as a safe, effective, and well-tolerated once-daily antiallergy eye drop is supported by the data from this population of ocular allergy subjects."	Patients not well described between groups. Experimental study. Equal efficacy and superiority to placebo.

Abelson 2004 (Score = 6.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 260 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjun ctivitis;	mean age of 36.8±14. 8 years for olopatad ine group and 36.0±13. 2 years for placebo.	Self-administer olopatadine 0.2%, one drop per day (N = 129) vs. Placebo, Olopatadine 0.2% vehicle (dibasic sodium phosphate, sodium chloride, disodium EDTA, Povidone and BAC), one drop per day (N = 131).	Follow -up at baseli ne, weeks 1 throug h 9, and exit (week 10).	Mean frequency scores for ocular itching and redness were significantly lower in the opolatadine group compared with the placebo group (p<0.05). Mean severity scores for itching and redness was statistically significant for opolatadine 0.2% compared to placebo on 57 of 70 study days, (p<0.05).	"In the patients enrolled in this trial, olopatadine 0.2% appeared to be effective and well tolerated when administered once daily for the treatment of the ocular signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis."	Baseline data for outcome not well described. Lack of details for blinding, control of co-interventions and compliance.
Berdy 2002 (Score = 5.5)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by a grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 50 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	age range of 21 to 71 years.	Olopatadine Hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution (N = 20) vs. Loteprednol Etabonate 0.2% ophthalmic suspension (N = 20) vs. Placebo 56 drops, plus Olopatadine 1 drop (N = 10). Assessments were completed at 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20 minutes after allergen challenge.		Itching relief at 3, 5, and 10 min / and redness at 10,15 and 20 mins was significantly greater in olopatadine compared to loteprednol: (1.875 vs. 0.388, (p=0.001); (2.275 vs. 0.425, (p<0.001); and (2.263 vs. 0.588, (p<0.001) / (1.300 vs. 0.638, (p=0.003), and (1.075 vs. 0.525, (p=0.011), (1.00 vs. 0.550, (p=0.027).	"In the population studied, the efficacy and tolerability of olopatadine were significantly superior to those of loteprednol in treating the acute-phase signs and symptoms of the ocular allergic reaction."	Short trial. Experimental study. Experimental study on challenge testing.

Lanier 2001 (Score = 5.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by Alcon Laborator ies. No mention of COI.	N = 94 with moderate to severe signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Mean age of 38, range from 9 to 74 years.	Olopatadine ophthalmic solution0.1%, one drop per eye twice daily, plus loratadine 10 mg, once daily (N = 45) vs. Control drug, loratadine 10 mg, once daily (N = 49).	Follow -up at baseli ne, day 3 and 7.	Mean itching score: olopatadine+loratadine vs loratadine: day 0: 3.96 vs 4.0, not significant; day 7: 2.21 vs 2.74, (p<0.05). Mean patient impression: day 3: 1.82 vs 2.17, not significant; day 7: 1.49 vs 2.15, (p=0.0022). The improvement in overall quality of life was significantly greater in the olopatadine plus loratadine group versus the loratadine only group (p<0.05).	"Compared with loratadine alone, olopatadine adjunctive to loratadine provides greater relief of ocular itching and redness, a better quality of life, and is well tolerated in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Olopatadine better than loratadine for SAC symptoms alleviation, faster action in relieving symptoms and improvement in quality of life scores.
Abelson 2003 (Score = 5.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT Doubl e- Blind Multi- Cente r	Sponsore d by Alcon Laborator ies, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 131 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjun ctivitis;	mean age of 38.53±1 1.61 years for olopatad ine and 38.16±1 1.31 years for placebo.	Olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution (N = 64) vs. Placebo eye drops, over-the-counter artificial tear product (N = 67). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily, for 10 weeks.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and days 7, 14, 28, 35, 42, 56, and 70.	Mean scores for ocular itching: day 7: olopatadine vs. placebo: 1.06 vs. 1.58, (p<0.04); day 14: 1.19 vs. 1.60, (p<0.04); day 35: 0.88 vs. 1.43, (p<0.006); day 63: 0.69 vs. 1.15), (p<0.021); day 70: 0.55 vs. 1.00, (p<0.024). Mean scores for ocular hyperemia: day 14: 0.75 vs 1.22, p<0.011); day 28: 0.67 vs. 1.07, (p<0.030); day 42: 0.63 vs. 1.16, (p<0.004); day 63: 0.42 vs. 0.82, (p<0.03). Mean scores for tearing (rated): day 14: 0.61 vs. 1.01, (p<0.020).	"In the population studied, olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution controlled ocular and nasal symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis and rhinoconjunctivitis and was well tolerated when administered twice daily for 10 weeks."	Lack of study details for allocation, blinding, control for co-interventions, and compliance. Data suggest efficacy of treatment.

Ganz	Olopata	RCT	No	N = 66 were	Mean	Ketotifen Fumarate	Follow	Responder rate (%):	"In a 3-week study under	Data suggest Ketotifen	l
2003	dine	Doubl	mention	suffering	age of	0.025% (N = 32) vs.	-up at	ketotifen vs. control: 88%	actual-use conditions	superior to Olopatadin.	l
(Score =	hydroc	e-	of	from	37.47±1	Olopatadin	baseli	vs. 55%, (p<0.0001).	during fall allergy		l
5.0)	hloride	Mask	sponsors	seasonal	6.8 years	hydrochloride 0.1%	ne,	Mean±SD for conjunctival	season, ketotifen		l
	VS.	ed	hip or	allergic	for	as an active control	days 5	hyperemia: ketotifen vs.	fumarate 0.025%		l
	other		COI.	conjunctiviti	ketotifen	(N = 34). All	throug	olopatadine: day 5: right:	ophthalmic solution was		l
	solution			s (SAC).	and	patients: one drop	h 8,	0.016±0.88 vs.	superior to olopatadine		l
	S				35.2±14.	per eye twice daily	and 21	0.227±0.397, (p=0.048);	hydrochloride 0.1%		l
					4 years.	(8 hours between	to 24.	left 0.016±0.88 vs.	ophthalmic solution in		l
						doses). 3 week	This	0.273±0.435, (p=0.032);	relieving the signs and		l
						treatment period.	study	day 21: right: 0.016±0.088	symptoms of allergic		l
							lasted	vs. 0.339±0.651,	conjunctivitis. No		l
							3	(p=0.003); left:	differences in comfort,		l
							weeks	0.016±0.088 vs.	tolerability, or safety		l
								0.387±0.715, (p=0.003).	were noted between		l
								Itching: day 5: right:	groups over the course		l
								0.234±0.458 vs.	of the study. The		l
								0.652±0.897, (p=0.007);	superior efficacy and		l
								left: 0.219±0.457 vs.	sustained inhibition of		l
								0.621±0.884, (p=0.008);	the allergic response		l
								day 21: right: 0.156±0.296	make ketotifen an ideal		l
								vs. 0.823±0.909,	treatment option for		l
								(p<0.0001); left:	allergic conjunctivitis."		l
								0.156±0.296 vs.			l
								0.839±0.916, (p<0.0001).			l
											ı

r 2000 dine omize d in part symp (Score = hydroc d, by an allerg 3.5) hloride Cross- unrestrict conju vs. over ed grant s (AC other from each	mptoms of ergic age of 33, range of 14 to 58 years. Mean age of 33, range of 14 to 58 years. Ophthalmic solutions of nedocromil sodium 2%, for minimum of 5 days of Olopatadine therapy prior to baseline visit (N = 27) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% for 150 days 6 months prior to study (N = 1).	After 1 week of treatment, there was a trend for greater patient acceptance of nedocromil, although the differences between medications were not statistically significant 16 of the 28 patients (57.1%) would request a prescription for nedocromil, while 10 (35.7%) reported that they would request a prescription for Olopatadine (p=0.157). Similarly, 22 patients (78.6%) would recommend nedocromil to other allergy sufferers, while 18 (64.3%) would recommend olopatadine (p=0.480). Fifteen patients (53.6%) would be willing to use nedocromil for the entire allergy season, and 12 (42.9%) would be willing to use olopatadine (p=0.617)	Methodological details sparse. Study included some pediatric participants. Minimal differences between treatment arms.
---	---	---	--

Celik 2014 (Score = 3.5)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip. No COI.	N = 104 eyes of 52 patients with the signs and the symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age of 30.1 years/ 32.3 years.	Olopatadine 0.01% And Fluorometholone 0.1% Treatment in one eye (N = NA) vs. Placebo or Olopatadine 0.01% Combined Ketorolac 0.4% in the second eye (N = NA).	Follow -up for 10 days.	Both drugs were similar in alleviating the: symptoms itching / burning / and tearing, (p=0.074) / (p=0.064) / and (p=0.072). Fluorometholone was superior to ketorolac in: reducing redness / mucus secretion / chemosis and / eyelid edema: (p=0.032) / (p=0.028) / (p=0.030) / and (p=0.042).	"Fluorometholone was better than ketorolac in relieving redness, chemosis, mucus secretion and eyelid edema when concomitantly used with olopatadine, however, these two drugs were found equal in attenuating the symptoms itching, burning and tearing."	Missing group population. Sparse methodological details. Two drugs equal in efficacy for itching, burning and tearing but Fluorometholone was better than Olopatadinefor decreasing redness, chemosis, edema and mucus secretion. Effects most significant on 10 th day.
Rosenwa sser 2008 (Score = 3.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT Single - Cente r	Sponsore d by Alcon Laborator ies and Ophthal mic Research Associate s. COI, one or more authors received of will receive benefits for personal or professio nal use.	N = 60 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean 45.75±1 1.60 years for olopatad ine, 46.35±1 2.68 years for fluticaso ne fumarate , 43.60±9. 85 years for tears natural, and 41.10±1 1.29 years for saline nasal spray.	Olopatadine 0.2% ophthalmic solution in both eyes, one drop (N = 20) vs. Fluticasone furoate nasal spray in both nostrils, one spray (N = 20) vs. Tears Naturale II in both eyes, one drop (N = 10) vs. Saline nasal spray in both nostrils, one spray (N = 10).	Follow -up at baseli ne, visit 1 (day 14±3), visit 2 (day 7±3), visit 3 (day 0), and visit 4 (day 7±3)	Olopatadine showed a greater reduction in ocular itching compared to all other treatment groups (p<0.0001) for both visits 3 and 4.	"This study showed the importance of treating topical disease topically. Specifically, when selecting the appropriate treatment option for allergic conjunctivitis, a topical eye drop would appear to provide the most efficacy. The ophthalmic solution, olopatadine 0.2%, was able to more effectively treat the signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis compared with the nasal spray fluticasone furoate."	Methodological details sparse. Data suggest Olopatadine superior to Fluticasone and placebo.

Lanier 2004 (Score = 3.0)	Olopata dine hydroc hloride vs. other solution s	RCT	Sponsore d by unrestrict ed grant from Alcon Laborator ies, Inc, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 66 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age of 44.4 years.	Olopatadine eye drops, 1 drop each eye. (N = N/A) vs. Epinastine eye drops, 1 drop each eye (N = N/A).	Follow up on (day 7±2) and (day 21±3).	Olopatadine treated eyes exhibited significantly lower mean itching and conjunctival redness scores than the contralateral Epinastine treated eyes, –0.19 (p=0.003) and –0.52 (p<0.001), respectively. Olopatadine treated eyes also exhibited significantly less chemosis: –0.24 (<i>p</i> < 0.001), ciliary redness: –0.55 (p<0.001), and episcleral redness: -0.58 (p<0.001) than Epinastine treated eyes.	"In this study it was demonstrated that Olopatadine, with its antihistaminic and mast cell stabilizing effects against a broad range of pro-inflammatory mediators, is more effective than Epinastine in controlling itching, redness and chemosis associated with allergic conjunctivitis."	Missing group population. Methodological details sparse. Data suggest Epinastine may be superior to Olopatadine.
						Cromoly	n Sodiun	1		
Liu 2011	Cromol	RCT	Sponsore	N = 33	Mean	Cromolyn sodium	Follow	There were no statistically	"Cromolyn 2 %	No difference between
(Score =	yn	Doubl	d by the	patients	age of	2% ophthalmic	-up at	significant values to	ophthalmic solution was	groups.
8.0)	Sodium	e-	Chi Fu	who had	39.2±13.	solution, one drop	baseli	report in any of the	effective and safe to	
	VS.	Mask	Trading	seasonal or	5 years.	with 0.01%	ne,	primary variables.	treat allergic	
	Other	ed	Co., Ltd. No	perennial allergic		benzalkonium chloride (BAK) (right	visits 1, 2	Conjunctival redness: visit 2: treatment vs control:	conjunctivitis. A short- term use of cromolyn 2	
			mention	conjunctiviti		or left eye) (N = 33	and 3.	(p=0.743); visit 3:	% ophthalmic solution	
			of COI.	s (AC).		eyes) vs. Cromolyn	4.1.4.5.	(p=0.676); visit 4:	with 0.01% BAK would	
				` ′		sodium 2%		(p=0.343)	not cause any significant	
						ophthalmic			toxicity in patients with	
						solution, one drop			allergic conjunctivitis.	
						without 0.01%			Preservative-free	
						benzalkonium			cromolyn may be	
						chloride (BAK) one			beneficial to the	
						drop (right or left			compromised eyes or	

						eye) (N = 33 eyes). 4 week treatment period.			eyes required of long- term medication."	
Nizami 1981 (Score = 7.0)	Cromol yn Sodium vs. Other	RCT/ Cross over	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 26 with symptoms of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) induced by ragweed pollen;	mean age not reported	2% Cromolyn sodium (N = 13) vs. Those who preferred placebo received 1 tube 4 times a day (N = 13). Two 1 week periods with a 3 day washout before crossover.	Follow up?	84.6% of all patients preferred the active drug compared to placebo, (p<0.001).	"These drops were equally effective for those patients who could continue to wear their contact lenses through the ragweed season."	Data suggest efficacy.
Greiner 2002 (Score = 4.0)	Cromol yn Sodium vs. Other	RCT Single - Mask ed	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics. No mention of COI.	N = 47 with a history of allergy to environment al allergens not currently in season.	Mean age of 40 years.	Ketotifen fumarate vehicle solution, placebo (glycerol, sodium hydroxide/hydrochl oric acid, and purified water) 0.025% ophthalmic solution, one dose only (N = 47 eyes, I/r) vs. Cromolyn sodium 4% ophthalmic solution, 4 times daily (N = 47 eyes, I/r). 2 week treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and visits 1 throug h 3. This study lasted 2 weeks	Mean efficacy scores for itching: ketotifen vs cromolyn: 15 min: - 2.09±0.87 vs0.43±1.20, (p<0.001); 4 hours: - 2.26±0.61 vs1.43±1.08, (p<0.001); Conjunctival redness: 15 min: - 1.05±0.75 vs0.45±0.64, (p<0.001).	"A single dose of ketotifen was superior to a 2-week four-timesdaily regimen of cromolyn in alleviating symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis in the conjunctival allergenchallenge model."	Data suggest Ketotifen superior to Cromolyn. Methodological details sparse.
Kalpaxis 1990 (Score = 3.5)	Cromol yn Sodium vs. Other	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by a grant from Immunet ech Pharmace	N = 50 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age 35.0 years for pentigeti de and 33.6 years for	Pentigetide, 0.5% ophthalmic solution, one drop per eye four times daily (N = 25) vs. Cromolyn Sodium, 4% ophthalmic	Follow -up at days 1, 3, 8, and 15. This	Percent improvement: itching: pentigetide vs cromolyn sodium: day 3: 43 vs. 42; day 8: 43 vs 51; day 15: 49 vs 56, (p<0.05),	"[P]entigetide, 0.5%, ophthalmic solution is safe and effective in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis."	Data suggest Pentigetide superior to Cromolyn.

			uticals. No mention of COI.		cromoly n sodium.	solution, one drop per eye four times daily (N = 25).	study lasted 2 weeks	in favor of cromolyn sodium.		
Friday 1983 (Score = 3.0)	Cromol yn Sodium vs. placebo	RCT Doubl e- Mask ed	Sponsore d by grants to the Fight for Sight Children's Eye Clinic of the Eye and Ear Hospital from Fight of Sight Inc., and by a grant from the Fisons Corp. No mention of COI.	N = 34 with allergic ragweed allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) severe enough to require symptomatic medication for at least two years.	Mean age for active treatme nt 19.4 years and 25.6 years for placebo.	Active drug: cromolyn sodium 4%, EDTA 0.01%, and 2 phenylethanol 0.4% (N = 18) vs. Placebo: sodium chloride 0.3%, EDTA 0.01%, benzalkonium chloride 0.01%, 2 phenylethanol 0.4%, and sodium acid phosphate and sodium phosphate (N = 16). All participants: 2 drops in each eye four times daily, total dose of 25.6 mg of cromolyn sodium per day. 45 day treatment period.	Follow -up on baseli ne and days 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 44, 45, 50, 55, and 60.	Low Ragweed IgE subgroups shown statistically significant differences in favor of the active treatment group for itching eyes (p<0.01); ocular irritation (0.05 <p<0.10); (p<0.05).<="" and="" ocular="" symptoms="" td="" total=""><td>"Our double-masked, placebo-controlled, parallel-group prospective study demonstrated that prophylactic use of cromolyn sodium 4% solution is safe and effective means of controlling the symptoms of ragweed allergic conjunctivitis in patients with significant, but low (less than 100mg/ml), serum I gE levels specific for ragweed."</td><td>Methodological details sparse</td></p<0.10);>	"Our double-masked, placebo-controlled, parallel-group prospective study demonstrated that prophylactic use of cromolyn sodium 4% solution is safe and effective means of controlling the symptoms of ragweed allergic conjunctivitis in patients with significant, but low (less than 100mg/ml), serum I gE levels specific for ragweed."	Methodological details sparse
						Pheniram	ine malea	te		
Greiner 2005 (Score =	Phenira mine maleat	RCT	Sponsore d by Pfizer	N = 83 with a history of allergic	age range of 20 to 70	Pheniramine maleate 0.3%/naphazoline		Mean±SD for ocular allergy index scores for itching:	"In this patient sample, studied in a CAC model of onset of action,	Missing group population. Both groups better than placebo in reducing OAI
6.5)	e vs. placebo		Consume r Healthcar e, Pfizer	conjunctiviti s (AC);	years, mean age of	hydrochloride 0.025% and olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% (N = n/a) vs.		pheniramine/naphazoline and placebo vs olopatadine and placebo vs pheniramine/naphazoline	prophylactic pheniramine/ naphazoline was more effective than olopatadine and placebo	scores with Pheniramine group better than olopatadine group.

			Inc. No COI.		42.5 years.	Pheniramine maleate 0.3% /naphazoline hydrochloride and placebo (N = n/a) vs. Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% and placebo (N = n/a). Signs and symptoms were evaluated at 7, 12 and 20 minutes after the conjunctival allergen model was completed.		and olopatadine: 7 min: - 1.39±60.3 vs1.69±73.4 vs 0.30±49.3, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.029, respectively); 20 min: - 1.08±-70.4 vs -1.17±-76.1 vs 0.09±23.9, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.437, respectively); chemosis: 7 min: -0.63±-71.5 vs - 0.48±-54.6 vs -0.15±-36.4, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.065, respectively); 20 min: -0.72±-64.3 vs - 0.48±-43.1 vs -0.24±-37.2, (p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.009, respectively); eyelid swelling: 7 min: - 0.47±-71.5 vs -0.49±-73.6, (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively); 20 min: - 0.51±-70.0 vs -0.42±-57.6, (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively).	in alleviating the signs and symptoms of the acute ocular allergic reaction, as measured by the OAI."	
						Nedo	cromil			
Alexande r 1999 (Score = 7.5)	Nedocr omil	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	Sponsore d in part by Fisons Pharmace uticals, Rocheste r, New York. No mention of COI.	N = 268 with diagnosis of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC), a positive skin-prick test to ragweed pollen (wheal ≥ 3	Mean age was 33 years (12 to 68).	Group one received nedocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution and inert tables (N = 89) vs. Group two received 60-mg terfenadine tables plus inert ophthalmic solution (N = 89) vs. Group 3 or placebo received inert ophthalmic	Follow -up for 4 weeks	Onset of action / Tolerability; No significant difference in symptom relief between the first two groups / 90 patients experienced adverse events during the study; headache in 12 or 13.5% in nedocromil group / 12 or 13.5% terfenadine	"[A]II 3 groups have comparable improvements in all efficacy end points and that all treatments were well tolerated."	A double placebo comparative study. Results suggest nedocromil sodium acted faster than either terfenadine or placebo.

				mm), and a history of requiring treatment for moderate to severe conjunctiviti s after exposure to ragweed pollen.		solution and inert tablets (N = 90).		patients and / 18 or 20% placebo patients.		
Melame d 1994 (Score = 7.0)	Nedocr	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 86 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Age range from 12 to 60 years.	Nedocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution 1 drop 0.04 mL per eye bid twice daily (N = 43) vs. Placebo group 1 drop 0.04 mL per eye bid twice daily (N = 43).	Follow -up at 0, 1, 3, 5, and 8 weeks	Those treated with placebo showed statistically higher level of eye symptoms vs those treated with nedocromil sodium at the peak pollen period, (p≤0.004). Reduction of all symptom scores from baseline were statistically significant during the peak pollen period for itching eyes / tearing / and overall eye condition in favor of nedocromil group; (p≤0.001)/ (p≤0.01/ and (p≤0.002). Those in nedocromil group had significantly less tearing / conjunctival injection / and conjunctival edema: (p≤0.03)/ (p≤0.02)/ and (p≤0.02).	"[N]edocromil sodium, 2% ophthalmic solution, administrated twice daily was well tolerated and effective in treating the symptoms of patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Nedocromil sodium appears to have some efficacy over placebo. Both study groups report similar numbers of adverse events.

Blument hal 1992 (Score= 7.0)	Nedocr	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r Grou p- parall el	Supporte d by a grant from Fisons Pharmace uticals. No mention of COI.	N = 140 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Ages of 12 and 62 years.	Nedocromil sodium 2% of 1 drop 0.04 ml of solution per eye twice daily (N = 69) vs. Placebo of 1 drop 0.04 ml of solution per eye twice daily (N = 71).	Follow -up for 8 weeks	Those using nedocromil sodium had statistically significant reduction in conjunctival injection / overall disease sensitivity vs placebo group, (p≤0.001). 55% or 38 in nedocromil sodium group with symptoms mostly controlled vs 32% in placebo group statistically significant difference at, (p≤0.004). Between treatment groups; the mean placebo drops 1.27 per day, and 1.31 in sodium group, (p≤0.78).	"[N]edocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution administrated twice daily is effective in relieving major symptoms associated with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Nedocromil vs. placebo showed significant efficacy in reducing eye itching and severity of symptoms. However, 86% of Nedocromil and 82% of placebo group reported an adverse event during the trial.
Leino 1992 (Score = 7.0)	Nedocr	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 195 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) to birch pollen;	mean age of 20.8 years in the nedocro mil group, 19.3 years in the sodium cromolyc ate group, and 19.7 in the placebo group.	2% Nedocromil sodium twice a day (morning /late afternoon), plus placebo eye drops twice daily, noon/evening (N = 64) vs. 2% sodium Cromoglycate eye drops 4 times a day vs. placebo 4 times a day for 4 weeks (N = 62).	Follow ups after week 1 and 4 of treatm ent.	The treatment groups had less itching vs. placebo , (p<0.05) nedocromil and (p<0.001) sodium cromoglycate. There were no other significant differences between groups.	"Nedocromil sodium eye drops (b.d.) and sodium cromoglycate eye drops (q.i.d.) were both considered clinically more effective than placebo in controlling symptoms of SAC due to birch pollen."	Limited quantification of results. Data suggest strong placebo effect.

Shulman 2003 (Score = 6.5)	Nedocr omil	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 78 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC). Ages ranging from 18 to 60+ years.		Pemirolast potassium 0.1% four times daily (N = 40) vs. Nedocromil sodium 2% twice daily (N = 40). Follow-up for 8 weeks.		No clinical statistical difference visit 2 vs visit 1 mean difference / 3 vs 1 / and 4 vs 1: (p=0.470) / (p=0.011) / (p=0.004).	"Twice-daily administration of the new antiallergy agent Pemirolast was as efficacious and safe as nedocromil sodium twice daily in the 8-week treatment of ragweed allergic conjunctivitis."	Both treatments showed similar efficacy.
Miglior 1993 (Score = 65)	Nedocr	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 200 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Mean age of 24 years (6 to 70).	Nedocromil sodium 2% one drop four times daily (N = 51) vs. Astemizole 10 mg one tablet daily (N = 51) vs. Nedocromil sodium 2% + Astemizole (N = 50) vs. Placebo four times daily eye drops (N = 55).	Follow -up at 1, 2 and 4 weeks	Benefits of active therapy vs placebo, especially at week 2, (p=0.042). Overall opinion at the 2 nd week showed active treatment significantly improved symptoms vs to placebo, (p<0.01 vs 0.05). At week 2, ocular symptoms significantly improved in treatment group vs placebo for: itching / redness: (p<0.01) / (p<0.059).	"[W]e report the efficacy of nedocromil sodium eye drops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Results suggest Nedocromil may perform better than placebo or astemizole but results not significant.
Melame d 2000 (Score = 6.0)	Nedocr omil	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	Sponsore d in part by Fisons Pharmace uticals. No COI.	N = 189 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Age range from 12 to 65 years.	Nedocromil sodium 2% one drop (N = 94) vs. Vehicle b.i.d opaque bottle (placebo) (N = 95).	Follow -up for 8 weeks	Mean scores at baseline were 4.48 for nedocromil group and 4.56 for vehicle, and mean score at the peak pollen period was 3.95 or 11.8% vs 4.92 or 6.0%. Nedocromil group had significantly greater reduction in mean score for itch / tearing / and overall eye condition: (p=0.005)/ (p=0.044)/ and (p<0.001).	"[N]edocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution was found to be effective and sage in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Combination analysis. Nedocromil compared to placebo showed efficacy in treatment of SAC symptoms.

Leino 1990 (Score = 6.0)	Nedocr	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 126 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age of 38.7 years, and ranged from 11 to 67 years; mean age was 22.4 years in the nedocro mil sodium group, and 21.4 years in the placebo group.	Nedocromil sodium 2%, plus 0.01% benzalkonium chloride, plus 0.05% disodium edentate, plus 0.55% NaCl, plus purified water 100% (N = 64) vs. Placebo 0.01% also received benzalkonium chloride, plus 0.05% disodium edentate in isotonic solution (N = 62).	Follow up at 2 and 4 or 6 weeks	Clinical effectiveness for nedocromil was significantly different from placebo with totally, moderately, slight and no effectiveness; 18 vs. 6, 17 vs. 17, 8 vs. 9, and 12 vs. 18, Withdrawal duration to treatment failure and due to other reasons; 2 vs. 6 and 7 vs. 6, (p=0.0060).	"[N]edocromil sodium is beneficial in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Data suggest Nedocromil sodium superior to placebo. Blinding not well described or assessed.
Hamman n 1996 (Score = 5.5)	Nedocr omil	Cross over trial, rando mized , Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by a grant from Janssen Research Foundati on. No mention of COI.	N = 24 volunteers with a history of grass pollen conjunctiviti s.	Mean age of 25.4±4.8 years.	Topical levocabastine, 0.5 mg/ml, one drop per eye (N = n/a) vs. Topical Nedocromil, 20 mg/ml, one drop per eye (N = n/a). Erythma and severity of pruritus were recorded before provocation, 15 minutes after instillation of medication 10 minutes after the		Both drugs allowed a significant increase in the tolerated dose of allergen expressed as shift in allergen concentration, (p<0.001). The number of shifts in allergen concentration was significantly greater after levocabastine treatment than after nedocromil treatment, (p=0.019).	"In a provocation test with allergen, levocabastine and nedocromil were both effective in increasing the conjunctival tolerance to allergen, with better protection provided by levocabastine."	Missing group populations. Small sample size. Data suggest levocabastine superior to nedocromil.

Stockwel 1994 (Score = 4.5)	Nedocr	NON- RCT Doubl e- blind	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 64 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC).	Mean age not reported	instillation of the dilutent and 10 minutes after provocation with each allergen concentration. Nedocromil sodium 2%, benzalkonium chloride 0.01%, edetate sodium (EDTA) 0.05%, and sodium chloride 0.05% (N = NA) vs. Placebo with the same concentration with riboflavin concentration of 0.0005% as a yellow colourant (N = NA).	Follow -up for 4 weeks	During the period described as high pollen count, dairy card symptoms or clinical symptoms showed no significant difference, (p<0.05). Overall opinion showed nedocromil group 40% of patients symptoms were fully controlled vs 36% were moderately controlled, 8% slightly controlled vs 36% fully controlled, 23% moderately, 10% slightly and 37% not controlled in placebo group.	"During a longer period of less high pollen count, a significant difference in favor of nedocromil sodium was show only for the symptom of soreness."	Missing group populations. Baseline comparability no described. High placebo response. Timing variation.
						Emed	lastine			
Horak 2003 (Score = 9.0)	Emedas tine	RCT/ Cross over	Sponsore d by Novartis Ophthal mics. No mention of COI.	N = 37 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) of at least 2 years with no current symptom;	mean age of 27.30±4. 8, range of 20 to 43.	Ketotifen Fumarate 0.025%, first eye (N = 37) vs. Emedastine Difumarate 0.05% eye drops single dose 1 drop in each eye with a 6 day washout period before crossover (N = 37).	Follow up a baseli ne, and visits one and two.	Ketotifen was significantly superior to emedastine for time to onset for 15 vs. 30 minutes, p=0.048. Ocular and nasal symptom scores 0-2 hours post dose for redness / ocular symptoms / total symptom complex: (1.97±1.10 vs. 2.25±0.87, (p=0.046) / (8.06±2.46 vs. 6.97±3.19, (p=0.026) /	"[K]etotifen fumarate 0.025% and emedastine difumarate 0.05% both effectively alleviated ocular symptoms of SAC for a period of at least 8 hours after single-dose administration."	Crossover. Experimental study across aerosol chamber. Data suggest comparable efficacy with modestly faster onset with ketotifen.

Verin 2001 (Score = 6.5)	Emedas tine	RCT	Sponsore d by Alcon Research, Ltd, Fort Worth, Texas. No mention of COI.	N = 202 with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) and signs and symptoms characteristi c of the disease;	mean age of 30 years, range of 4 to 76 years.	Emedastine 0.05% eye drops (N = 97) vs. Levocabastine 0.05% eye drops one drop in each eye twice daily (morning and evening) for 6 weeks (N =105).	Follow ups on days 3, 7 14, 30, 42, and 7 to 10 days after the cessati on of therap y.	(10.93±3.53 vs. 9.18, (p=0.014). Primary outcome itching / redness at days 3, 7, 14, 30, and 42: (p=0.245, 0.0016, 0.0002, 0.0001 and p=0.0001) / (p=0.145, 0.0009, 0.0002, and 0.0001). Secondary; Chemosis / swelling at days 3, 7, 14, 30, and 42: (p=0.0559, p=0.0050, 0.0005, 0.0046, and 0.0001) / (p=0.0672, 0.0023, 0.0001, 0.0061, and 0.0009).	"[E]medastine 0.05% eye drops administered twice daily were more efficacious than levocabastine 0.05% eye drops in the prevention and treatment of the signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis in adults and children of 4 years and above."	Baseline comparability not well described. Both groups showed improvements in symptom relief at 6 weeks but at 7 days, Emedastine was significantly better than Levocabastine in symptom alleviation.
Orfeo 2002 (Score = 5.5)	Emedas	RCT/ Cross over	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 30 with a history of active allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age of 22 years, range of 7 to 38.	First visit: Emedastine 0.05% (2 drops) in one eye (N = 30) vs. Second visit: Nedocromil 2% (2 drops) in the second eye (N = 30) vs. Third visit: The same procedure as in previous two groups or placebo (2 drops) in the eye used as control during second visit with 1 week in between trials (N = 30).	Follow -up at 3, 10, and 20 minut es after instilla tion of allerge n in eye.	Both treatments were more effective than placebo throughout the study period, (p<0.01). Emedastine relieved redness better vs. nedocromil throughout the study, (p<0.01). Emedastine reduced itching more effectively vs. nedocromil during the first 10 minutes, (p<0.01).	"[B]oth emedastine 0.05% and nedocromil 2% eye drops are effective and well tolerated in controlling the ocular allergic reaction induced by conjunctival challenge, but emedastine shows significantly greater efficacy. These findings confirm the superiority of H1-selective topical antihistamines in producing immediate relief when subjects with allergic conjunctivitis are exposed to offending allergens."	Data suggest efficacy. Experimental challenge study.

Discepol a 1999 (Score = 4.5)	Emedas	RCT/ Cross over	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 36 with a positive diagnostic skin test and a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age not reported	Emedastine ophthalmic solution 0.05% in one eye and placebo in the contralateral eye (N = 36) vs. Ketorolac ophthalmic solution 0.5% in one eye and placebo in the contralateral eye. 2 drops in each eye followed by an allergen challenge 10 minutes after drops were administered (N = 36).	Follow up 3, 10 and 20 minut es after challe nge.	Itching scores emedastine vs. placebo eye, (p<0.05). Emedastine was superior to ketorolac for reducing ocular itching. Emedastine significantly reduced hyperemia, p < 0.5% (that's what the article presented). Ketorolac saw an increase in total redness score vs. placebo, (p<0.05). Emedastine was more comfortable vs. ketorolac, (p<0.05).	"Emedastine is superior to ketorolac in controlling itching and redness, the cardinal symptom and sign of allergic conjunctivitis."	Experimental crossover. Patients not well described.
Secchi 2000 (Score = 4.5)	Emedas tine	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 202 with redness of the eye graded at least a 2 and an itching score of at least 4.		Emedastine 0.05% BID solution (N = 97) vs. Levocabastine 0.05% BID in both eyes for 42 days with follow-up 7-10 after therapy (N = 105).	Follow -up at days 0, 3, 7, 14, 30 and 42. 7- 10 days post therap y.	Chemosis / eyelid swelling at baseline and follow-up / itching, redness at days 7, 14, 30, 42: (1.27±1.13 and 0.36 ± 0.56 vs. levocabastine, 1.29±1.10 and 0.68±0.89, (p=0.0064) / (1.26±1.11 and 0.28±0.47 vs. 1.28±1.09 and 0.61±0.84, (p=0.0014) / (p<0.05).	"Emedastine is more efficacious than levocabastine in reducing chemosis, eyelid swelling and other efficacy variable associated with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Groups not well described. No placebo group. Fig 2.
						Opt	icrom			
Lindsay- Miller 1979 (Score = 6.5)	Opticro m	RCT Doubl e- blind	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 50 with history of severe eye symptoms.	Age range from 10 to 39 / 6 to 57 in years.	Opticrom eye drops contained 2% sodium cromoglycate with benzalkonium chloride 001% vs. phenylethanol 0 4%	Follow -up for 4 weeks	90% receiving Opticrom found it successful, (p<0.02) vs of the 23 patients receiving placebo twelve or 52% found it successful, (p<0.02). 12 side effects complaints; 6	"The results of this trial indicate that Opticrom is an effective addition to the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Opticrom showed efficacy over placebo.

						(N = 20) vs. Placebo contained benzalkonium chloride 0 01% and phenylethanol 0 4% (N = 23).		from opticrom and 6 from placebo group.		
						Охуте	tazoline			
Duzman 1986 (Score = 5.5)	Oxymet azoline	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 39 with bilateral allergic or environment al conjunctiviti s;	mean age 33.6 for oxymeta zoline and 33.2 for vehicle.	Oxymetazoline 0.025% group one drop in each eye at 8 AM and 8 PM for 7 days (N = 21) vs. Placebo received 1 drop in each eye at 8 am and again at 8 pm for the next 7 days (N = 18).	Follow up on 3 and 7 days.	Improvement in the oxymetazoline group was greater for Conjunctival hyperemia compared to placebo on day 3, (p=0.06). Treatment effectiveness on days 3 and mean scores; 7, 2.0 vs. 1.3 and 1.9 vs. 0.8, significantly better rating for oxymetazoline, (p=0.03).	"[A] solution of oxymetazoline 0.025% is safe and significantly relieves the signs and symptoms of allergic or environmental conjunctivitis."	Methodological details sparse.
						Desloratadine (oral medi	cation)		
Torkildse n 2009 (Score = 7.0)	Deslora tadine	RCT/C rosso ver	Sponsore d by Schering- Plough. No mention of COI.	N = 41 with at least a 2 year history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) associated with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	mean age for placebo 39.1±12. 95, and 39.5±11. 31 for deslorat adine.	Desloratadine 5 mg daily (N = 20) vs. Placebo once daily for 7 days with a 2 week washout period (N = 21). There was a 2-week washout period.	Follow up at baseli ne, day 7±2, day 15±3, day 21±3, day 36±3, and	Chemosis Scores / eyelid swelling / tearing scores: at 10, 15, and 20 min. (68, 0.71, and 0.67 vs.0.93, 0.96, and 0.98 placebo, (p=0.020, p=0.026, and p=0.003) / (0.031, 0.42, and 0.39 vs. 0.80, 0.76, and 0.86, (p=0.002, p=0.026, and p=0.004) / (0.37, 0.47, and 0.43 vs. 0.79, 0.98, and 0.93, (p=0.003, p<0.001, p=0.001).	"The non-sedating second-generation antihistamine desloratadine administered 5 mg once daily for 7 days reduced ocular redness and pruritus, chemosis, eyelid swelling, and tearing following a CAC in subjects with a history of seasonal AC and demonstrated an AE profile similar to that of placebo."	Crossover study. Data suggest efficacy at 7 days vs. placebo.

1	1	I			l		day		1	
							42±3.			
						Megu	ıitazine			
Persi	Mequit	RCT	Sponsore	N = 20 with	age	0.05% Mequitazine	Follow	Mean scores during CPT	"[M]equitazine appears	Challenge study with each
1997 (Score = 7.0)	azine		d by Laboratoi re Chauvin- France. No mention of COI.	a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	range of 20 to 37.	in the first eye (N = 20) vs. Placebo in the other eye 4 times a day for 5 days (N = 20).	up?	after day 5 of treatment. Cumulative score: placebo 6.20±2.16 vs. treatment 1.37±1.34, (p=0.0001). Redness: 2.02±0.49 vs. 0.62±0.62, (p=0.0001). Itching: 2.10±0.59 vs. 0.37±0.64, (p=0.0001). Tearing: 0.87±0.55 vs. 0.20±0.37, (p=0.0001). Chemosis: 1.20±0.97 vs. 0.17±0.43, (p=0.0001).	to be an interesting alternative to existing topical antiallergic treatments and has to be fully evaluated."	eye. Data suggest efficacy.
						Patanol-system	ic Claritin	therapy		
Abelson 1999 (Score = 7.5)	Patanol - systemi c Claritin therapy	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 15 with a successful allergen challenge and history of symptoms of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age not reported	Patanol group received 1 - 2 drops in one eye + 10 mg Claritin in tablet form (N = 15) vs. Placebo received 1 - 2 drops in the following eye, 2 times 14 days apart + 10 mg Claritin in tablet form (N = 15).	Follow up at baseli ne, day 7, 14, and 28.	An hour and 8 hours after drugs were administered; ocular itching was lower in the Patanol-Claritin group, at 3, 7, and 10 minutes post-challenge, (p<0.0002) and after 8 hours at 3 and 7 minutes post-challenge, (p<0.05).	"[T]he combination of local Patanol-systemic Claritin therapy was shown to be significantly superior to Claritin alone for the control of ocular itching, the primary symptom of allergic conjunctivitis."	Experimental challenge study. Small sample size. Suggest additive benefit.
						Azelastine an	d Mitomy	vcin C		
Sodhi 2003	Azelasti ne and	RCT	No mention of sponsors	N = 63 with allergic	Mean age of	Azelastine 0.02%, four times daily (N = 32) vs. Mitomycin C (MMC) 0.02 mg/ml,	Follow -up at baseli ne,	N (%) for Outcome measure: redness: MMC vs. azelastine: 25 (80.7%) vs. 19 (55.9%), (p=0.033);	"Though this was a short- term study, we found topical MMC to be more effective than topical	Methodological details sparse.

(Score	= Mitomy	hip or	conjunctivitis	34.8±17.	four times daily (N =	and	follicles: 31 (100.0%) vs 6	azelastine in the	
2.5)	cin C	COI.	(AC).	3 years.	31). 3 month	weeks	(17.7), (p=0.0001);	treatment of allergic	
					treatment period.	2 and	papillae: 29 (93.6%) vs. 4	conjunctivitis both in	
						4. This	(11.8), (p=0.0001);	terms of relief of	
						study	changes in agent: 0 (0%)	symptoms and resolution	
						lasted	vs. 30 (88.2), (p=0.0001).	of signs. The use of	
						3		topical MMC in low	
						month		doses does not cause any	
						S.		significant adverse	
								effect."	

Evidence for Immunosuppressive Medications

Daniell	Cyclosp	RCT	Sponsore	N = 40 with	Mean	0.05% topical	Follow	Significant reductions	"Topical ciclosporin A	No difference between
2006	orian	Doubl	d by	allergic	age of	Ciclosporian A (CsA)	-up at	over time were seen in	0.05% was not shown to	groups suggest treatment
(Score =	VS.	e-	Allergan	conjunctiviti	26.2±18	(N = 20) vs. Placebo,	baseli	itching (p=0.04) and	be of any benefit over	not different from placebo.
4.5)	placebo	Mask	Australia.	s (AC).	years for	vehicle (N = 20). All	ne,	redness (p=0.01) for the	placebo as a steroid	Data suggest lack of
		ed	No		CsA	patients: one drop	and	CsA treatment group. The	sparing agent in steroid	efficacy.
			mention		group	per eye, four times	weeks	placebo group also	dependent allergic eye	
			of COI.		and	daily. This study	1 and	experienced significant	disease."	
					26.2±16.	lasted 3 months. 3	2, and	reduction over time in		
					3 years	month treatment	3	redness (p=0.01) and		
					for	period.	month	white discharge (p=0.01).		
					placebo		s of	There were no significant		
					group.		treatm	differences between		
							ent.	groups (p=0.6)		

Evidence for Glucocorticosteroid Eye Drops

Leino	Sodium	RCT	No	N = 195 with	mean	2% Nedocromil	Follow	The treatment groups had	"Nedocromil sodium eye	Limited quantification of
1992	Cromog		mention	seasonal	age of	sodium twice a day	ups	less itching vs. placebo,	drops (b.d.) and sodium	results. Data suggest strong
(Score =	lycate		of	allergic	20.8	(morning /late	after	(p<0.05) nedocromil and	cromoglycate eye drops	placebo effect.
7.0)			sponsors	conjunctiviti	years in	afternoon), plus	week	(p<0.001) sodium	(q.i.d.) were both	
			hip or	s (SAC) to	the	placebo eye drops	1 and	cromoglycate. There were	considered clinically	
			COI.	birch pollen;	nedocro	twice daily,	4 of	no other significant	more effective than	
					mil	noon/evening (N =	treatm	differences between	placebo in controlling	
					group,	64) vs. 2% sodium	ent.	groups.	symptoms of SAC due to	
					19.3	Cromoglycate eye			birch pollen."	
					years in	drops 4 times a day				
					the	vs. placebo 4 times				
					sodium	a day for 4 weeks (N				
					cromolyc	= 62).				
					ate					
					group,					
					and 19.7					
					in the					
					placebo					
					group.					

Davies 1993 (Score = 6.5)	Sodium Cromog lycate	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 95 patients over 5 years of age with a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) during a previous hay fever season with ≥ typical symptom of allergic conjunctiviti s (ocular irritation, burning sensation, itch, redness, photophobia , lacrimation, lid oedemia, conjunctival oedema) needing treatment;	age range 5 to 69 years.	Topical levocabastine 0.5 mg/ml (N = 28) vs. Topical sodium cromoglycate 20 mg/ml (N = 32) vs. Matching placebo eye-drops (N = 29) one in each eye four times daily for 28 days. Oral terfenadine and beclomethasone or budesonide nasal spray were allowed as rescue medications. Assessments at baseline, 2 weeks, and 4 weeks.	No follow -up time.	NS between sodium cromoglycate group and placebo for treatment efficacy (no p-value reported). End of study intergroup differences: levocabastine superior to sodium cromoglycate for severest ocular symptom (p<0.05), lacrimation (p<0.01), and red eyes (p<0.05); sodium cromoglycate vs. placebo, NS for same outcomes. Pain free for at least 75% of study: levocabastine 37% vs. sodium cromoglycate 6% (p<0.01) vs. placebo 4% (p<0.01).	"[T]opical levocabastine is more effective than sodium cromoglycate and placebo for the prophylaxis and treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis,"	Therapeutic efficacy at 4 weeks was 87% in Levocabastine and 68% in sodium cromoglycate and placebo groups respectively.
Leino 1994 (Score = 6.0)	Sodium Cromog lycate	RCT Doubl e- blind Multi cente r	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 339 with seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) birch pollen.	Aged 11 to 78 years.	Cromoglycate 2% four times daily (N = 169) vs. Cromoglycate 4% four times daily, plus placebo eye drops twice daily (N = 170).	Follow -up for 4 weeks	The only statistically significant treatment difference, (p<0.05) was for; soreness / pain in favor of 4% cromoglycate, after 2-3 weeks of treatment. Statistically significant treatment difference was for	"[T]he use of 4% sodium Cromoglycate eye-drops twice daily is as effective and well tolerated as 2% sodium Cromoglycate four times daily in the treatment of birch- pollen conjunctivitis."	Similar efficacy between the 2 treatments.

								chemosis after 4 weeks in favor of 4% group, (p=0.05). Overall, 60% rated treatment as "very effective", most of the remaining rated "moderately effective", at week 1, (p=0.67) and at week 4, (p=0.87).		
James 2003 (Score = 6.0)	Sodium Cromog lycate	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Supporte d by ASTA Medica AG. No mention of COI.	N = 144 participants with a two- season history of conjunctiviti s/ rhinoconjun ctivitis;	mean age for azelastin e 0.05% 37.1, 35.5 years for sodium cromogly cate 2% and 36.1	Azelastine 0.05% (N = 45) vs. Sodium Cromoglycate (SCG) 2% (N = 50) vs. Placebo (N = 49). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily.	Follow -up at baseli ne and after 3, 7 and 14 days of treatm ent.	Responder rates (%) for three main eye symptoms: itching, tearing and conjunctival redness: day 3: no vs yes: azelastine: 14.6% vs. 85.4%, (p=0.005); SCG: 17.0% vs. 83.0, (p=0.007)	"The results of this study indicate that the therapeutic use of azelastine eye drops in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis can be recommended."	Lack of study details for randomization, allocation and compliance.
					years for placebo.					

1	Abelson	Sodium	RCT	Supporte	N = 50 with	mean	4% sodium	Mean itching score after	"[A] single dose of	Data suggest levocabastine
	1995	Cromog		d by a	a positive	age not	Cromolyn 4 times	initial and 4 hour	levocabastine was	is superior to cromolyn.
	(Score =	lycate		grant	history of	reported	daily for 2 weeks,	challenge at 3, 5 and 10	significantly more	
	5.5)			from	allergic		plus at day 18, 2	mins: (0.41±0.67 vs.	effective in inhibiting the	
				Johnson	conjunctiviti		drops of 0.05%	1.91±1.05), (0.25±0.52 vs.	signs and symptoms of	
				and	s (AC) and a		Levocabastine (N =	1.84±0.93), and	allergen-induced	
				Johnson,	positive		50) vs. Placebo 2	(0.26±0.75 vs. 1.37±1.08),	conjunctivitis than	
				Skillman,	diagnostic		drops in each eye 4	(p<0.05), and (0.42±0.56	treatment with cromolyn	
				New	test;		times daily for 2	vs. 1.13±0.73), (0.33±0.58	give four times daily for	
				jersey,			weeks (N = 50).	vs. 0.96±0.79), and	14 days."	
				Iolab			Assessments were	(0.23±0.47 vs. 0.81±0.80),		
				Pharmace			completed 3, 5, and	(p<0.05).		
				utical,			10 minutes after			
				Claremon			allergen challenge,			
				t,			and 3, 5, and 10			
				California			minutes after drug			
				and from			administration.			
				the						
				Harry,						
				Evelyn,						
				and John						
				Axelsord						
				Charitabl						
				e Trust,						
				Andover,						
				Massachu						
				setts. No						
				mention						
				of COI.						
			1	1						

Fujishim a 2009 (Score = 5.5)	Sodium Cromog lycate	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 86 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) to Japanese cedar pollen with a positive skin prick, RAST, or MAST, and has itching and signs of ocular allergy	mean age 38.4±19. 8 years.	Disodium Cromoglycate or DSCG 2.0% ophthalmic solution 4 times daily in both eyes from beginning of study (N = 86) vs. Bromfenac sodium or BF 0.1% concomitantly twice daily in 1 eye (N = 86) vs. Fluorometholone or FML 0.02% ophthalmic suspension concomitantly 4/daily in contralateral eye (N = ?). For 1 week.	Follow up?	There were no significant differences between groups, (p<0.05). From day 1 or 2; conjunctival itching, (p<0.0001), lacrimation day 2, (p=0.0028), conjunctival discharge from day 2, (p=0.001), foreign body sensation from day 1, (p=0.0009), and conjunctival injection from day 1, (p=0.0009).	"Bromfenac sodium for allergic conjunctivitis was effective, with efficacy equivalent to that of FML when used with DSCG."	Patients not well described.
Ciprandi 1991 (Score = 4.0)	Sodium Cromog lycate	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 80 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) from pollinosis; mean age of 37,	age range of 10 to 60.	Group 1: 4% Cromoglycate plus Chlorphenamine anti-H1 antihistamine in 0.2% solution (N = 20) vs. Group 2: 4% Cromoglycate plus Tetrizoline decongestive- imidazoline derivate in 5% solution (N = 20) vs. Group 3: 0.1% Nafazoline (anti-H1 antihistamine) plus imidazoline (decongestive) in	Follow ups at 2 and 4 weeks	Score reductions after 2 and 4 weeks in groups 1, 2, and 3 were higher vs. group 4, (p<0.01).	"[C]romoglycate (preventive) associated with chlorphenamine (antihistamine) or tetrizoline (decongestive), as well as the association of nafazoline (antihistamine) plus imidazoline (decongestive), present effective treatments for allergic seasonal conjunctivitis, without side effects."	Data suggest all 3 active treatments efficacy.

						0.1% solution (N = 20) vs. Group 4: placebo 2 drops one in each eye for 4 weeks (N = 20).				
Collum 1992 (Score = 2.5)	Sodium Cromog lycate	RCT Multi- cente red Doubl e- blind	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 159 with a history of seasonal allergic eye disease.	Mean age of 32.4 years.	Sodium Cromoglycate (SCG), 2%, four times a day (N = n/a) vs. Sodium Cromoglycate (SCG), 4%, two alternating occasions with placebo twice daily (N = n/a). 4 week treatment period.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and weeks 1, 2, 3, and 4.	There were no statistically significant values to report in any of the primary variables. Mean for itching: week 1: SCG 2% vs SCG 4%: 1.16 vs 1.12, (p=0.91); week 4: 0.62 vs 0.70, (p=0.81). redness: week1: 0.78 vs 0.85, (p=0.60); week 4: 0.32 vs 0.59, (p=0.02)	"This study concludes that 4% Sodium Cromoglycate used twice daily is at least as effective as 2% Sodium Cromoglycate used 4 times daily in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Because of the problems of compliance, it is therefore suggested that the optimum treatment is 4% Sodium Cromoglycate used twice daily for seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Only minimal adverse side effects are likely to occur with this medication."	Missing group populations. Methodological details sparse.

Abelson 2004 (Score = 6.0)	Epinasti ne hydroc hloride	RCT Single - cente r Doubl e- Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 67 patients who had a history of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) with ≥1 allergy to cat hair, cat dander; dust mites; or ragweed, tree, or grass pollens.	Mean age of 38.4 and range from 12 to 67 years.	Epinastine hydrochloride 0.05% ophthalmic solution, (N = n/a) vs. Vehicle of epinastine (sodium phosphate monobasic, sodium chloride, edetate sodium, benzalkonium chloride and purified water) (N = n/a). All patients: one drop per eye on two separate occasions, weeks 3 and 5.	Follow -up at baseli ne, and weeks 1, 3, and 5.	Mean±SD for ocular itching score: 3 min after onset challenge: epinastine vs vehicle: 0.45±0.77 vs. 1.99±1.03, (p<0.001). Mean±SD for ocular itching score: 3 min after duration challenge: epinastine vs vehicle: 0.92±0.93 vs. 1.86±0.93, (p<0.001). Mean±SD for conjunctival hyperemia score: 5 min after onset challenge: epinastine vs. vehicle: 1.28±0.86 vs. 2.03±0.78, (p<0.001). Mean±SD for hyperemia score: 5 min after duration challenge: epinastine vs. vehicle: 1.37±0.78 vs. 1.93±0.77, (p<0.001).	"In this CAC model, multiple signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis were significantly reduced by topical administration of epinastine compared with vehicle. Epinastine showed prompt onset (3 minutes) and long duration of action (28 hours). The tolerability of epinastine was similar to that of vehicle."	Missing group populations groups. Patient data sparse. Data suggest Epinastine superior to placebo for antigen challenge.
Li 2013 (Score = 4.0)	Pranopr ofen vs. Fluoro methol one	RCT Invest igator - Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip. No COI.	N = 75 with symptoms of chronic allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) for more than six months.	Mean age not reported	Pranoprofen, 0.1%, four times daily (N = n/a) vs. Fluorometholone, 0.1%, four times daily (N = n/a).	Follow -up at baseli ne, and days 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 42 and 56.	The score ratio on day 3 was lower on day 3 in fluorometholone group compared to the pranoprofen group (p=0.005).	"Both fluorometholone and pranoprofen were effective for management of cases with chronic allergic conjunctivitis. Fluorometholone provided more rapid relief as compared with pranoprofen. The effect of fluorometholone was more pronounced in younger patients	Missing group populations. No meaningful differences between the groups were observed.

Donshik	Ketorol	RCT	Sponsore	N = 224 with	mean of	Acular, 5 ml	Follow	Ketorolac more effective	"[K]etorolac 0.5%	Data suggest modest	l
2000	ac		d by an	a history of	37 years,	Ketorolac	up at	than vehicle reducing	ophthalmic solution is	efficacy.	l
(Score =			unrestrict	seasonal	range	Tromethamine 0.5%	baseli	itching scores, palpebral	well tolerated and		l
7.5)			ed	allergic	from 14	eye drops (N = 73)	ne,	hyperemia, bulbar	effective in relieving the		l
			education	conjunctiviti	to 73	vs. Livostin,	and	hyperemia, and edema,	signs and symptoms of		l
			al grant	s (SAC)	years.	Levocabastine	weeks	(p<0.05). Levocabastine	seasonal allergic		l
			from	during		hydrochloride	1 and	treated eye showed	conjunctivitis."		l
			Allergan	ragweed		0.05% eye drops (N	3.	significant reduction in			Ì
			Labs, Inc.,	season and a		= 75) vs. Placebo, 1		bulbar hyperemia,			l
			Irvine,	positive skin		drop in each eye 4		(p=0.008). No significant			l
			California	test for		times daily for 6		differences among			l
			. No	ragweed in		weeks (N = 75).		treatment groups in			l
			mention	the last 2				safety or tolerability.			l
			of COI.	years;							l
											l

	Evidence	e for NS	SAID Eye D	rops						
Kalpaxis 1990 (Score = 3.5)	Pentige tide	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Sponsore d by a grant from Immunet ech Pharmace uticals. No mention of COI.	N = 50 with allergic conjunctiviti s (AC).	Mean age 35.0 years for pentigeti de and 33.6 years for cromoly n sodium.	Pentigetide, 0.5% ophthalmic solution, one drop per eye four times daily (N = 25) vs. Cromolyn Sodium, 4% ophthalmic solution, one drop per eye four times daily (N = 25).	Follow -up at days 1, 3, 8, and 15. This study lasted 2 weeks .	Percent improvement: itching: pentigetide vs cromolyn sodium: day 3: 43 vs. 42; day 8: 43 vs 51; day 15: 49 vs 56, (p<0.05), in favor of cromolyn sodium.	"[P]entigetide, 0.5%, ophthalmic solution is safe and effective in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis."	Data suggest Pentigetide superior to Cromolyn.
Li 2013 (Score = 4.0)	Pranopr ofen vs. Fluoro methol one	RCT Invest igator - Mask ed	No mention of sponsors hip. No COI.	N = 75 with symptoms of chronic allergic conjunctiviti s (AC) for more than six months.	Mean age not reported	Pranoprofen, 0.1%, four times daily (N = n/a) vs. Fluorometholone, 0.1%, four times daily (N = n/a).	Follow -up at baseli ne, and days 3, 7, 14, 21,	The score ratio on day 3 was lower on day 3 in fluorometholone group compared to the pranoprofen group (p=0.005).	"Both fluorometholone and pranoprofen were effective for management of cases with chronic allergic conjunctivitis. Fluorometholone provided more rapid	Missing group populations. No meaningful differences between the groups were observed.

							28, 42 and 56.		relief as compared with pranoprofen. The effect of fluorometholone was more pronounced in younger patients	
Tauber 1998 (Score = 7.5)	Ketorol ac	RCT	Sponsore d by CIBA Vision Ophthal mics. No mention of COI.	N = 60 with acute seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age of 39.8±12. 1 for diclofena c and 41.3 for ketorola c.	Diclofenac or DS (N = 29) vs. Ketorolac or KT 1 drop 4 times a day for 14 days (N = 31).	Follow ups at baseli ne, 30 minut es and days 7 and 14.	No significant differences between groups for primary and secondary composite scores, (p=0.804 and 0.382) and individual parameters of itching and bulbar conjunctival injection, (p=0.323 and 0.218).	"[T]he use of either diclofenac sodium (Voltaren Ophthalmic 0.1% Solution) or ketorolac tromethamine (Acular 0.5% Ophthalmic Solution) 4 times daily produces prompt relief of many of the ocular symptoms of SAC within 30 minutes and provides continued relief of ocular symptoms for at least 14 days."	Data suggest DS is superior to KT. Some baseline differences of unclear significance.
Donshik 2000 (Score = 7.5)	Ketorol ac	RCT	Sponsore d by an unrestrict ed education al grant from Allergan Labs, Inc., Irvine, California . No mention of COI.	N = 224 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) during ragweed season and a positive skin test for ragweed in the last 2 years;	mean of 37 years, range from 14 to 73 years.	Acular, 5 ml Ketorolac Tromethamine 0.5% eye drops (N = 73) vs. Livostin, Levocabastine hydrochloride 0.05% eye drops (N = 75) vs. Placebo, 1 drop in each eye 4 times daily for 6 weeks (N = 75).	Follow up at baseli ne, and weeks 1 and 3.	Ketorolac more effective than vehicle reducing itching scores, palpebral hyperemia, bulbar hyperemia, and edema, (p<0.05). Levocabastine treated eye showed significant reduction in bulbar hyperemia, (p=0.008). No significant differences among treatment groups in safety or tolerability.	"[K]etorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution is well tolerated and effective in relieving the signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Data suggest modest efficacy.

Tinkelma n 1993 (Score = 7.0)	Ketorol ac	RCT	Sponsore d in part by a grant from Syntex Research, Palo Alto, California . No mention of COI.	N = 93 with bilateral signs and symptoms of acute seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) and history of positive skin test to pollen;	mean age of 34.4.	Ketorolac 0.5% in one eye (N = 93) vs. Placebo in the fellow eye, one drop 4 times a day for 7 says (N = 93).	Follow up at 3-4 days and 7- 8 days.	Conjunctival inflammation (baseline, midweek, final): ketorolac 2.16, 1.58, 1.21 vs. placebo 2.16, 1.81, 1.57, (p=1.000 / 0.051 / 0.003). Ocular itching: 3.00, 1.45, 1.20 vs. 3.00, 1.75, 1.56, (p=1.00 / 0.074 / 0.020). Burning or stinging / Discharge or tearing / Foreign body sensation: (p=0.157, 0.486, 0.233) / (p=0.414, 0.380, 0.091) / (p=1.000, 0.484, 0.109). / 0.052.	"[K]etorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution is an effective and well-tolerated treatment in alleviating the signs and symptoms associated with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis."	Crossover. High dropouts. Suggest efficacy.
Ballas 1993 (Score = 6.5)	Ketorol	RCT/C rosso ver	Sponsore d by a grant from Syntex Research, Palo Alto, California . No COI.	N = 148 with bilateral ocular itching and a history or seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age of 32.9±9.6	Ketorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution four times / day for seven days (N = 58) vs. Placebo solution, 1 drop in eye 4 times a day for 7 days. One eye served as the placebo (N = 28).	Follow up at 3-4 days and after 7 days.	At baseline ketorolactreated eye showed statistically significant decrease in ocular itching / Conjunctival inflammation / allergic symptoms at mid-week and final visits: (p<0.001 and <0.001) / (p<0.001 vs. 0.005) / (allergies, p=0.004). At completion of the trial treated eye had significant treatment responses vs. vehicle for conjunctival inflammation / ocular itching / swollen eye / discharge - tearing / foreign body sensation: (p=0.010) / (p=0.006) / (p=0.002) / (p=0.021) / (p=0.035).	"[K]etorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution applied topically is an effective therapy for the alleviation of the signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis."	Crossover. Suggests efficacy.

Deschen es 1999 (Score = 6.5)	Ketorol	RCT/C rosso ver	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 36 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) within 2 seasons and a positive diagnostic test for allergic disease within the past 24 months;	mean age of 36 years, age range of 19 to 68.	Olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution in one eye and placebo in the contralateral eye (N = 36) vs. Ketorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution in one eye and placebo in the contralateral eye (N = 36). Patients received an allergen challenge 27 minutes after treatment. Crossover at least 14 days in between. Evaluation 3, 10, and 20 minutes after challenge.		Itching mean difference olopatadine vs. placebo (3 min / 10 min / 20 min): - 1.47 / -1.51 / -1.18, (p<0.0001). Olopatadine vs. ketorolac: NS. Olopatadine was significantly different for reduction in hyperemia scores compared to placebo redness scores at 3, 10, and 20 minutes after challenge, (p<0.0001). Olopatadine was more comforatable vs. ketorolac (p<0.05).	"[O]lopatadine is effective and safe in preventing and treating ocular itching and hyperemia associated with acute allergic conjunctivitis and is more effective and more comfortable than ketorolac."	Patients not well described. Crossover. Experimental model. Data suggest ophthalmic solution is superior to ketorolac. No long term results.
Tauber 1998 (Score = 7.5)	Diclofe nac Sodium	RCT	Sponsore d by CIBA Vision Ophthal mics. No mention of COI.	N = 60 with acute seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC);	mean age of 39.8±12. 1 for diclofena c and 41.3 for ketorola c.	Diclofenac or DS (N = 29) vs. Ketorolac or KT 1 drop 4 times a day for 14 days (N = 31).	Follow ups at baseli ne, 30 minut es and days 7 and 14.	No significant differences between groups for primary and secondary composite scores, (p=0.804 and 0.382) and individual parameters of itching and bulbar conjunctival injection, (p=0.323 and 0.218).	"[T]he use of either diclofenac sodium (Voltaren Ophthalmic 0.1% Solution) or ketorolac tromethamine (Acular 0.5% Ophthalmic Solution) 4 times daily produces prompt relief of many of the ocular symptoms of SAC within 30 minutes and provides continued relief of ocular symptoms for at least 14 days."	Data suggest DS is superior to KT. Some baseline changes of unclear significance.

|--|

Evidence for Other Medications

		• -	•			
A	rtifi	C	IOI	П	ea	rs

Bilkhu 2014 (Score = 4.0)	Artificia I tears	RCT Doubl e- blind	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 18 with positive skin prick test and conjunctival challenge test results and proven sensitivity to grass pollen.	Mean age of 29.5±11. 0 years (20 to 65 years).	Controlled exposure to grass pollen, followed, in random order by application of; Artificial tears, (ATs) (N = NA) vs. 5 minutes of cold compress (CC), or ATs combined with CC (N = NA) and Placebo or no treatment (N = NA).	Follow -up at baseli ne line and 1 hour.	Ocular symptom scores were similar at baseline at each visit, x = 6.091, (p=0.107), and post exposure effect, x = 2.729, (p=0.435). After treatment at 1 hour, ocular symptoms scores decreased: CC / ATs / ATS+CC, (p<0.001). A significant difference in ocular surface temperature between each of the treatments, and conjunctival hyperemia, (p<0.001).	"After controlled exposure to grass pollen, CC and AT treatment showed a therapeutic effect on the signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis."	Group total not provided. Sparse baseline comparability and methodology.
Gous 2004 (Score = 5.5)	Unkno wn	RCT	Sponsore d by Santen Oy, Finland. No mention of COI.	N = 169 children with a positive skin prick test, 12 itching and hyperemia.	Age range in years: 7 to 72 / 6 to 76 years.	2 times daily or BID group 1 drop according to the randomization schedule (N = 81) vs. 4 times daily or QID group 1 drop (N = 82).	Follow -up for 4 weeks	The mean b.i.d. minus q.i.d. treatment difference was 0.17 with the 95% CI. Itching: 0.03; 95% CI (-0.27; 0.34) / Hyperemia: 0.26 with a 95% CI (0.02; 0.5). Week 4 mean difference: Itching: 5 0.17; 95% CI (-0.13; 0.47) / Hyperemia: 0.27; 95% CI (0.01; 0.52), based upon 4-point scoring standard for itching and hyperemia per protocol.	"B.i.d. dosing was statistically noninferior to q.i.d. dosing with respect to itching and hyperemia. Both regimens were similarly well tolerated in allergic conjunctivitis patients."	Comparable adverse events in both groups. Data suggest BID vs. QID dosing results in similar efficacy.

Abelson 1999 (Score = 7.5)	Patanol - systemi c Claritin therapy	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 15 with a successful allergen challenge and history of symptoms of allergic conjunctiviti s (AC);	mean age not reported	Patanol group received 1 - 2 drops in one eye + 10 mg Claritin in tablet form (N = 15) vs. Placebo received 1 - 2 drops in the following eye, 2 times 14 days apart + 10 mg Claritin in tablet form (N = 15). Other – Azelastin	Follow up at baseli ne, day 7, 14, and 28.	An hour and 8 hours after drugs were administered; ocular itching was lower in the Patanol-Claritin group, at 3, 7, and 10 minutes post-challenge, (p<0.0002) and after 8 hours at 3 and 7 minutes post-challenge, (p<0.05).	"[T]he combination of local Patanol-systemic Claritin therapy was shown to be significantly superior to Claritin alone for the control of ocular itching, the primary symptom of allergic conjunctivitis."	Experimental challenge study. Small sample size. Suggest additive benefit.
Sodhi	Azelasti	RCT	No	N = 63 with	Mean	Azelastine 0.02%,	Follow	N (%) for Outcome	"Though this was a short-	Methodological details
2003	ne and	KCI	mention	allergic	age of	four times daily (N =		measure: redness: MMC	term study, we found	sparse.
(Score =			of	conjunctivitis	34.8±17.	32) vs. Mitomycin C	-up at baseli	vs. azelastine: 25 (80.7%)	topical MMC to be more	sparse.
2.5)	Mitomy cin C		sponsors	(AC).	34.6±17. 3 years.	(MMC) 0.02 mg/ml,	ne,	vs. 19 (55.9%), (p=0.033);	effective than topical	
2.5)	CITC		hip or	(AC).	J years.	four times daily (N =	and	follicles: 31 (100.0%) vs 6	azelastine in the	
			COI.			31). 3 month	weeks	(17.7), (p=0.0001);	treatment of allergic	
			CO1.			treatment period.	2 and	papillae: 29 (93.6%) vs. 4	conjunctivitis both in	
						I. Satirione poriodi	4. This	(11.8), (p=0.0001);	terms of relief of	
							study	changes in agent: 0 (0%)	symptoms and resolution	
							lasted	vs. 30 (88.2), (p=0.0001).	of signs. The use of	
							3	,	topical MMC in low	
							month		doses does not cause any	
							S.		significant adverse	
									effect."	
						Other – Naphazoline a	nd Antazo	l line nhosnhate		
						Other Huphuzoille u	TIG ATTUZUI	ine phosphate		

Miller 1975 (Score = 5.5)	Unkno wn	RCT	No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	N = 51 with allergic conjunctivitis (AC);	age range of 12 to 67.	Participants received study medication; either, Naphazoline hydrochloride 0.05%, or Antazoline phosphate 0.5% (N = 51) vs. Placebo single dose + 2 drops in one eye (N = 51).	Follow -up at 24-72 hours after allerge n challe nge.	The combination medication was significant at the post challenge evaluations for conjunctival inflammation (p<0.01) and photophobia (p<0.05).	"[T]he combination product offers a significant superiority over either of the components administered singly, thus supporting the rationale of the combination."	Patients not well described.
						Other – Lotepredr	ol Etabon	ate drops		
Dell 1998 (Score = 6.5)	Lotepre dnol Etabon ate	RCT	Sponsore d by Pharmos Corp and Bausch and Lomb Pharmace uticals. No mention of COI.	N = 133 with signs and symptoms of environment al seasonal allergic conjunctivitis	Mean age was 41 years.	Loteprednol Etabonate 0.2%, one drop bilaterally (N = 66) vs. Placebo, one drop bilaterally (N = 67).	Follow -up at baseli ne, and days 2, 3, 7, 14, 28, and 42.	Mean score for bulbar conjunctival injection: loteprednol etabonate vs placebo: first 2 hours: -0.78 vs -0.38, (p<0.001); first 2 weeks: -1.32 vs -0.79, (p<0.001); day 2-3: -1.1 vs -0.7, (p<0.001); day 7: -1.3 vs -0.7, (p<0.001); day 14: -1.3 vs -0.9, (p=0.006); day 28: -1.2 vs -0.7, (p=0.030). Mean score for itching: first two weeks: -3.36 vs -2.75, (p<0.001); day 2-3: -3.2 vs -2.6, (p<0.001); day 7: -3.4 vs -2.7, (p<0.001); day 14: -3.5 vs -3.1, (p=0.034).	"Loteprednol etabonate (0.2%) was more effective than placebo in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Loteprednol etabonate (0.2%) had a safety profile comparable to placebo during this 6-week trial."	Sparse baseline comparability. At 6 weeks loteprednol better than placebo in treatment of SAC symptoms.

PROGNOSIS

The prognosis of ocular allergies is generally good. The prognosis is progressively worse with increasingly worse symptoms, especially with systemic symptoms such as occupational asthma. If symptoms include anaphylactic symptoms, then complete removal from exposure is indicated (see Work-related Asthma Guideline).

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

While the diagnosis is generally straightforward, the differential diagnosis includes:

- Blepharitis
- Chemical irritation
- Mechanical irritation (e.g., small particulates)
- Infections, including viral and bacterial conjunctivitis
- Giant papillary conjunctivitis
- Angle closure glaucoma
- Superior limbic keratoconjunctivitis
- Dry eyes
- Auto-immune disorders
- Sicca syndrome
- Ocular rosacea
- Keratitis
- Episcleritis/scleritis
- Vernal keratoconjunctivitis
- Atopic keratoconjunctivitis

COMPLICATIONS / COMORBIDITIES

The main complication is systemic allergic diseases, particularly work-related asthma (see Work-Related Asthma guideline). Anaphylaxis is also a rare potential among those with severe allergies, especially when combined with a high exposure.

FOLLOW-UP CARE

Follow-up care is highly variable and based primarily on severity of the case and response(s) to treatment. In mild cases, infrequent followup is indicated. In others, work-up and evaluation for concomitant asthma and consideration of exposure modification and/or removal from work is indicated. In others, immunotherapy is indicated, in which case treatments every 1-2 weeks for a period of many months to up to approximately 2 years may be indicated.

JOB ANALYSIS

A review of the workplace chemicals, products and agents is indicated to help identify likely allergen(s). In some cases, measurements of those agent(s) may be indicated to help quantify the exposure and guide treatment. Occasionally, the exposures may be reduced and following the measured exposure levels may be of assistance. In others settings (e.g., ragweed or other environmental allergens), measurement of the agent is not indicated.

Evidence for Rhinoconjunctivitis

Author Year (Score):	Cate gory :	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Weiser 1999 (Score = 9.0)		RCT	Sponsored by Heel GmbH. No mention of COI.	N = 146 outpatients with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) as diagnosed by RAST, ages 18-60 years.	Mean age: homeopath ic group 36.8±9.6 years and cromolyn group 34.7±11.6 years.	Cromolyn sodium (one spray, ~0.14ml, administered 4 times daily/naris) (N = 74) vs. Homeopathic treatment sodium (one spray, ~0.14ml, administered 4 times daily/naris) (N = 72). Treatment duration was 6 weeks.	Follow-up at baseline (visit 1), and after 7 ± 1, 14 ± 2, 28 ± 3 and 42 ± 3 consecutiv e days of treatment (visits 2 to 5).	Mean±SD values for Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire comparing homeopathic vs. cromolyn: Visit 1: 1.87±1.50 vs. 2.12±1.53 (p=0.55). Visit 5: 1.26±1.34 vs. 1.10±0.98 (p=0.5).	"[T]he homeopathic nasal spray proved as effective, safe, and well-tolerated a therapy for seasonal allergic rhinitis as the conventional cromolyn sodium nasal spray in this study."	Similar efficacy between treatment groups.
Berger 2006 RCT		RCT	Sponsored by MedPointe Pharmaceu ticals. COI, Sacks affiliated with MedPointe Pharmaceu ticals.	N = 360 patients 12 years and older with a history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) for at least 2 years and a positive skin test reaction to ambient pollen aeroallergen in the past year.	Mean age 35 years.	Azelastine nasal spray 30 mL 2 sprays per nostril twice daily in morning and evening and placebo capsules filled with lactose for 2 weeks (N = 179) vs. 10 mg cetirizine tablets enclosed in placebo-matching capsule overfilled with lactose once a day in the morning and placebo nasal spray containing 30	No follow-up time.	Change from baseline to day 14 in Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire (RQLQ) scores: azelastine improved each domain (p≤0.05) and overall score (p=0.002) vs. cetirizine, no mean values reported.	"[A]zelastine nasal spray significantly improved QoL compared with cetirizine oral tablets in the overall RQLQ score and for each individual RQLQ domain."	Multicenter 2 week trial with similar efficacy in treatment groups.

					mL vehicle solution 2 sprays twice a day in the morning and evening for 2 weeks (N = 175). Assessments at baseline and 2 weeks.				
Corren 2005 (Score = 8.5)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p. COI, Sacks affiliated with MedPointe Pharmaceu ticals, Wheeler D'Andrea (neither authors) are employees of MedPointe Pharmaceu ticals. Wheeler contribute d to the design of the study and preparatio n of the manuscript and	N = 307 patients ≥12 years of age with ≥2 year history of SAR indicated by a positive allergy skin test during the previous year.	Age range 12 to 74 years.	Azelastine nasal spray 2 sprays per nostril twice daily (morning and evening) and placebo tablets once daily in the morning (N = 152) vs. cetirizine 10 mg tablets once daily (morning) and placebo saline nasal spray 2 sprays per nostril twice daily (morning and evening) (N = 155). 2 week study. Assessments at baseline and 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, and 240 minutes after first dose of study medication.	No follow- up time.	Least squares mean±SD change from baseline Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire (RQLQ): Overall – azelastine 1.41±1.25 vs. cetirizine 1.11±1.18 (p=0.049); eye symptoms – NS between groups (p=0.251).	"[A]zelastine nasal spray was well tolerated and produced significantly greater improvements in TNSS and total RQLQ scores compared with cetirizine over 2 weeks of treatment."	Azelastine led to significant improvement in TNSS compared to cetirizine at 2 weeks.

		D'Andrea contribute d to the clinical trial manageme nt.							
(Score = 7.5)	Blind RCT	by a research grant from Meda Pharmaceu ticals, Somerset, New	severe symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR).	years.	195) vs. Azelastine Nasal Spray (N = 194) vs. Fluticasone Nasal Spray (N = 189) vs. Placebo (N = 201)	and 14 days.	significantly in total ocular symptom score at 12 hours compared to placebo (p<0.05). MP29-02 showed significant improvement in mean change compared with	potentially valuable addition for pharmacotherapy of patients with moderate to severe SAR and addresses the unmet medical need for a more effective treatment for these patients.	improved allergic rhinitis symptoms compared to placebo. Significant number of patients in Azelastine group
		Jersey. COI, Drs. Meltzer, La Force, Ratner, and Carr have consulted for and received research					Fluticasone (-3.56 vs2.68, (p=0.009)) and approached significance compared with the Azelastine group (-3.56 vs2.96, (p=0.069)).		with distorted taste may have biased patient blinding.
		support from Meda Pharmaceu ticals Inc., Dr. Price has consulted for Meda Pharma, Dr. Ginsberg is							

		an employee of Meda Pharmaceu ticals Inc							
Meltzer 2013 (Score = 7.5)	RCT	Sponsored by MedaPhar ma. No mention of COI.	N = 610 with moderate to severe seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	age: ≥12 years old.	MP29-02 nasal spray, which is a novel intra nasal formulation of 137μg of azelastine hydrochloride (AZE) and 50μg fluticasone propionate (FP) for 14 days (N = 153) vs. 137μg of commercially available AZE nasal spray (N = 152) vs. 50μg of commercially available FP nasal spray (N = 151) vs. placebo nasal spray (N = 151).	Outcomes assessed on days 1, 7 and 14.	Mean±SD overall LS change from baseline to day 14 for reflective total ocular symptom score (rTOSS) for MP29-02 vs. AZE vs. FP vs. placebo: 12.31±4.03 vs. 11.80±4.21 vs. 11.77±4.27 vs. 12.16±4.35 (MP29-02 vs. FP: p=0.0022; MP29-02 vs. AZE: p<0.0706; MP29-02 vs. placebo: p<0.0001). Mean±SD overall LS change from baseline to day 14 for ocular itching MP29-02 vs. AZE vs. FP vs. placebo: 4.48±1.36 vs. 4.42±1.28 vs. 4.31±1.40 vs. 4.46±1.42 (MP29-02 vs. FP: p=0.0001; MP29-02 vs. AZE: p=0.0127; MP29-02 vs. placebo: p<0.0001). Mean±SD overall LS change from baseline to day 14 for ocular watering MP29-02 vs. AZE vs. FP vs. placebo: p<0.0001). Mean±SD overall LS change from baseline to day 14 for ocular watering MP29-02 vs. AZE vs. FP vs. placebo: 4.09±1.50 vs.	"MP29-02 provided faster and more complete symptom control than first-line therapies. It was consistently superior irrespective of severity, response criteria or patient-type, and may be considered the drug of choice for moderate-to-severe AR. These measures define a new standard for assessing relevance in AR."	1:1:1:1 14 day treatment post hoc analyses. MP29-02 showed quicker and more symptom relief compared to FP or AZE alone or placebo.

						3.98±1.57 vs. 3.91±1.56 vs. 4.01±1.56 (MP29-02 vs. FP: p=0.0218; MP29-02 vs. AZE: p=0.2923; MP29-02 vs. placebo: p<0.0001). Mean±SD overall LS change from baseline to day 14 for ocular redness MP29-02 vs. AZE vs. FP vs. placebo: 3.74±1.72 vs. 3.40±1.79 vs. 3.54±1.66 vs. 3.69±1.79 (MP29-02 vs. FP: p=0.0044; MP29-02 vs. AZE: p=0.0372; MP29-02 vs. placebo: p<0.0001).		
Buscaglia 1996 (Score = 1996)	RCT/C rossov er	Sponsored by a PF CNR FATMA SP2 grant, CNR Target project 'Ingegneria genetica' PF, Associazion e Ricerca Malattie Allergiche e Immunolog iche and Janssen.	N = 10 sensitive to parietaria judaica (wall parietary) with allergic rhinoconjunc tivitis;	mean age not reported.	Levocabastine 0.5 mg/ml eye drops, first week (N = 10) vs. Placebo 30 minutes before allergen-specific conjunctival challenge or ASCC, second week (N = 10). Crossover over after 1 week. Evaluations at baseline, 15 min, 30 min, and 6 hours after challenge.	30 minutes after the challenge, total symptom scores and single signs and symptoms were less severe in the treatment group vs. placebo, (p<0.002).	"Levocabastine exerts anti- allergic activity, in that it reduces in vivo inflammatory cell infiltration due to ASCC, and also adhesion molecule expression on conjunctival epithelium."	Crossover experimental trial. Small sample size. Data suggest efficacy.

		COI, one or more authors have received or will receive benefits for personal or profession al use.						
Weiler 1997 (Score = 7.0)	RCT	Sponsored by Wallace Laboratori es. No mention of COI.	N = 233 patients ≥12 years had a history and diagnosis of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR), were symptomatic to allergens.	Mean age in years: 27.4 years for Azelastine, and 30.5 years for placebo nasal spray.	Azelastine nasal spray (2 sprays each nostril bid, total daily dose 1.10 mg) (N = 116) vs. placebo (saline) nasal spray (2 sprays each nostril bid) (N = 117). Study conducted over 2 days.	Overall improvements for itchy eyes in the Azelastine group were superior to the placebo group (p<0.05). No additional data reported on individual symptom outcomes.	"Azelastine nasal spray can be effectively administered as adjunctive therapy, in an outdoor environment in which subjects are exposed to pollen and other aeroallergens."	Table 3 depicts taste perversion in treatment group showing why true patient blinding was not possible. Nasal spray plus tablet groups achieved statistically significant improvement in symptom relief up to 2 days over placebo plus tablet group.
LaForce 1996 (Score = 7.0)	RCT Doubl e- blind Multic enter	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 206 with history and diagnoses of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR). Age 12 years and older.		Azelastine 2 sprays per nostril qd daily dose of 0.52 mg (N = 66) vs. Azelastine nasal 2 sprays per nostril bid, daily dose of 1.04 mg (N = 66) vs. Oral chlorpheniramine maleate 12 mg bid	For the azelastine 2 spray qd group the improvements in itchy eyes / ears / throat / palate and cough were clinically significant vs placebo, (p=0.05 vs p≤0.05 placebo). For the azelastine 2 spray bid group the	"Azelastine nasal spray demonstrated broad clinical antirhinitis activity that for the 2 spray/nostril bid dosage regimen was consistently clinically and statistically significant."	At 4 weeks, Azelastine efficacy persisted but true patient blinding is not possible due to taste differences in study drug vs. placebo.

					(N = 65) vs. Placebo matching the nasal spray given twice daily (N = 67). Follow-up for 4 weeks.		improvements in itchy eyes / ears / throat / palate and cough were clinically significant, (p≤0.042) vs placebo.		
Handelm an 1976 (Score = 7.0)	RCT Doubl e- blind	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 104 with a history of ragweed hay fever severe enough to have required medications.	Age range: 5 to 51 / 4 to 51.	Cromolyn sodium included (N = 53) vs. Placebo (N = 51).	Follow-up for 9 weeks.	Cromolyn sodium is highly effective in reducing ocular irritation in ragweed hay fever patients, (p statistics not reported).	"The efficacy of the drug was notable despite the fact that patients used an average of 52 mg instead of the recommended 62.4 mg daily."	Cromolyn sodium was effective in reducing seasonal allergic rhinitis symptoms.
Hampel 2010 (Score = 7.0)	RCT Doubl e- blind Multic enter	Sponsored by MedPointe Pharmaceu ticals. No mention of COI.	N = 610 with moderate to severe nasal symptoms.	Mean age: 39.3 years.	Azelastine 0.1% and fluticasone 1 spray per nostril twice daily (N = 153) vs. Azelastine 0.1% 1spray per nostril twice daily (N = 152) vs. Fluticasone 1spray per nostril twice daily (N = 151) vs. Placebo 1spray per nostril twice daily (N = 151).	Follow-up for 14 days.	Combination therapy significantly improved all individual ocular symptoms compared with azelastine, fluticasone, or placebo, (p<0.05). Each component of the combination was better than placebo for each individual symptom for total ocular symptoms scores (TOSS), (p<0.05).	"The combination azelastine-fluticasone nasal spray provided statistically significant improvement in the TNSS and additive clinical benefit compared with either agent alone in patients with moderate-to-severe seasonal allergic rhinitis."	4 groups showed combination of Azelastine-Fluticasone groups had significant nasal symptom improvement at 14 days compared to other groups. Azelastine groups report taste changes.
Gastpar 1994 (Score = 7.0)	RCT	Sponsored by ASTA Medica AG. No mention of COI.	Study I. N = 167 patients with a history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) for ≥3 years confirmed	mean age of 30.5 years.	Azelastine nasal spray one puff per nostril (0.14 mg per nostril) (N = 81, Study I, N = 25 Study II) vs. terfenadine 60 mg morning and evening (N = 86	No follow- up time.	Study I. There were no significant differences between groups for ocular symptoms (no pvalue reported). Study II. There were no significant differences between groups for	"[A]zelastine nasal spray with the dosage used is an effective treatment for both seasonal and perennial rhinitis."	6 week parallel group study. Similar efficacy in both treatment groups.

				by a skin prick test; mean age of 29.5 years. Study II. N = 52 patients with perennial allergic rhinitis with symptoms for ≥3 years confirmed by skin prick test;		Study I, N = 27 Study II) for 6 weeks. Assessments at baseline, days 8, 22, and 43 (end of treatment).		ocular symptoms (no p-value reported).		
Kray 1985 (Score = 6.5)	RC	me spo	ention of onsorshi or COI.	N = 58 with weed season allergic rhinoconjunc tivitis and a history allergic ocular and nasal symptoms during late summer and fall for at least 2 years;	mean age of 24 and a range of 9 to 42 for the cromolyn sodium group, and a mean of 24 and a range of 9 to 54 for the placebo group.	2% Cromolyn sodium (CS) ophthalmic solution preserved with 0.01% Ethylenediamine Tetraacetic acid, plus 0.01% Benzalkonium chloride or CS (N = 25) vs. Placebo solution 1 drop in each eye 6 times a day for 5 weeks (N = 33).	Patients were followed up weekly.	The CS group experience less ocular symptoms during all treatment weeks and was significant at weeks 2, 4, and 5, (p<0.02). Less eye medication was used in the CS group except at week three and only week 2 was significant, (p<0.05). No significance between groups for nasal symptoms.	"Use of 2% CS ophthalmic solution without the preservative, 2-phenylethanol, resulted in a significant reduction in eye symptoms during 2 of the 3 weeks with the highest weed-pollen counts and a favorable trend throughout the treatment period."	Suggest efficacy.
Storms 1994 (Score = 6.5)	RC	me spo	ention of onsorshi or COI.	N = 247 patients (≥12 years) with symptomatic seasonal allergic	Mean age ranged from 31-34 years.	Azelastine 2 sprays per nostril bid (daily dose=1.1mg) (N = 63) vs. Azelastine 2 sprays per nostril qid (daily	Follow-up at week 1 and 2. Study duration was 2 weeks.	Changes in individual symptom severity scores from baseline: watery eyes improved in Chlorpheniramine (p≤0.01) and Azelastine bid (p=0.01). No data	"[A]zelastine nasal solution administered once or twice daily is clinically effective in treating the symptoms of SAR."	Azelastine decreased seasonal allergy symptoms with increased effect in the BID treatment group.

			rhinitis (SAR).		dose=0.55mg) (N = 61) vs. Chlorpheniramine 12 mg bid (N = 62) vs. Placebo using a double-dummy technique (N = 61).		on symptom changes are reported.		Abstracts states "single blinded" while study design states "double blinded".
Horak 2006 (Score = 6.5)	RCT	Sponsored by VIATRIS GmbH & Co. KG. No mention of COI.	N = 46 with history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	mean age: 23 / 22 / 26 / and 24 years.	Placebo (PLA) / Azelastine (AZE) / Desloratadine (DES) one puff of either one of the three tables (N = 15) vs. AZE / DES / PLA dosing the same as the first group (N = 16) vs. DES / PLA / AZE dosing the same as previous groups (N = 15).	Follow-up for at least 12 days.	The decrease of eye itching / eye tearing was comparable for azelastine and desloratadine, (p statistics not provided).	"This study confirms the usefulness of azelastine nasal spray for the symptomatic treatment of seasonal allergic rhinitis."	Crossover study, small group sample size.
Lurie 1992 (Score = 6.5)	RCT/cr ossove r	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 16 with allergic rhinitis;	mean age of 26.4±1.1 years.	Azelastine 2 mg for 10 days (N = 16) vs. Placebo (N = 16). Outcomes assessed at baseline and after treatment (day 10).	Outcomes assessed at baseline and after treatment (day 10).	The cumulative dose of allergen required to cause a twofold increase in nasal resistance was increased on the azelastine group (p<0.05), also in the number of sneezes (p<0.05); while there was a decrease on weight of nasal secretion (p<0.02). There was a multiple correlation between analogue scale and	"In conclusion, azelastine has been shown to reduce allergen- induced nasal responses. As an objective method posterior active rhinomanometry appears to be useful for assessing drug effects in allergic rhinitis."	Crossover trial. Small sample size (n=16). High dropout rate. Study shows Azelastine efficacy compared to placebo.

							nasal resistance, weight nasal secretion and number of sneezes (n=225, r=0.49, p<0.001).		
Orgel 1991 RCT (Score = 6.5)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 79 with symptoms of allergic rhinitis;	age range of 12 to 70 years.	Active cromolyn sodium nasal solution 4%, 5.2 mg/spray, in each nostril QID and placebo terfenadine tablet (N = 39) vs. Active terfenadine 1 tablet BID (60mg) and placebo cromolyn sodium spray (N = 40). Outcomes assessed weekly for 4 weeks.	Follow-up at 1 week post- treatment.	There was difference on between treatments for mean sneezing frequency, mean duration of nasal itching in favor of terfenadine (p=0.07 and p=0.08, respectively).	"[B]oth intranasal cromolyn, 4% QID, and oral terfenadine, 60 mg BIS, were effective for the treatment of patients symptomatic with allergic rhinitis with no significant differences between them. Relief was maintained throughout the 4-week treatment period with reoccurrence of symptoms within a week of stopping treatment. There were few adverse effects."	Comparable efficacy between groups.
Newson- Smith 1997 (Score = 6.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 291 with a 3-year history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR), ages ranged from 18 to 65 years.	Median age was 35 years.	Azelastine nasal spray (total daily dose 0.14mg) (N = 83) vs. Beclomethasone (total daily dose 0.4mg nasal spray) (N = 83) vs. Placebo (N = 77). Medication taken twice daily.	Follow up after 7 and 14 days.	Azelastine was better than placebo for reduction in eye irritation (p<0.05). No detailed data are reported for individual eye symptoms.	"[B]oth intranasal azelastine and intranasal beclomethasone are effective drugs for the treatment of seasonal allergic rhinitis." .	Azelastine and Beclomethasone more effective than placebo in treatment of seasonal rhinitis symptoms at 2 weeks. Patient blinding not possible due to taste variations in nasally administered drugs.

Kremer 1999 (Score = 6.0)	RCT Doubl e- blind Multic enter	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 330 with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR).	Age range: 18 to 58 / 18 to 61 years.	Azelastine 0.05% one tablet at night and nasal spray twice daily (N = 129) vs. Placebo received nasal spray and placebo tablet (N = 133).	Follow-up for 14 days.	Statistically significant symptoms of comfort, (p<0.0001). Nasal scores reduced on day 0 vs 14: 6.1 ± 2.1 for combination and 6.2 ± 2.3 for spray, (p=0.7629) vs 2.8 ± 2.3 and 3.6 ± 2.5, (p=0.00289). No statistically significant reduction between groups in terms of symptoms reduction, (p=002671). There is no tendency favoring one group in terms of total group, (p=0.8382).	"[I]t seems sensible to combine oral and topical therapy in the crucial early phase of treatment, while later on topical therapy would be sufficient."	Both treatments tolerated well and had similar efficacy.
Pelucchi 1995 (Score = 6.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 45 with history of rhinitis and conjunctiviti s during grass pollen season for at least 3 consecutive years;	age range of 17 to 49 years.	Nasal azelastine, 0.56 mg/day, 1 spray (0.14 mg) in each nostril (N = 15) vs. Nasal beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP), 200μG/day, 1 spray (50μg) in each nostril (N = 15) vs. Placebo (N = 15). All treatments were self- administered twice daily (at awakening and bed time) for 6 weeks.	Outcomes assessed at week 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.	Nasal symptoms for the azelastine group were lower compared to placebo (p<0.05). BDP group had lower nasal symptoms compared to placebo (p<0.05 at week 4, and 5). No significant difference between active treatments.	"[O]ur study provides further evidence that topical azelastine and BDP are effective treatments for seasonal allergic rhinitis. BDP, but not k, likely achieves its efficacy by controlling allergic nasal inflammation. In addition, our results do not clearly support an effect of nasal treatment in the reduction of the increase in bronchial responsiveness occurring during pollen season in subjects with allergic rhinitis."	6 week follow-up study with 3 arms showed similar efficacy at week four for both study drugs compared to placebo for decreasing nasal symptoms.

Ciprandi 2003 (Score = 6.0)	RCT	Sponsored by a grant from Asta Medica Italia. No mention of COI.	N = 20 with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunc tivitis for at least two previous seasons;	mean age of 29 years.	Azelastine hydrochloride, one drop in left eye (N = 10) vs. Placebo, blinded physiologic salt solution, one drop in left eye (N = 10).	Follow-up at baseline, 30 minutes after ASCC, 30 minutes and 6 hours after administra tion of azelastine.	Hyperemia, lacrimation, itching and total symptom score (TSS) scores were significantly lower in the azelastine group versus the placebo group (3 min: p<0.005 for all comparisons, 6 hours: p<0.05 for all comparisons).	"The ability of azelastine to reduce symptoms and inflammation during an ongoing allergic reaction can be considered concrete and convincing proof of a clinically relevant anti-inflammatory activity."	Experimental study design. 6 hour duration. Azelastine compared to placebo had efficacy in reducing symptoms both at 30 minutes and after 6 hours after administration.
Abelson 2004 (Score = 6.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 260 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjunc tivitis;	mean age of 36.8±14.8 years for olopatadin e group and 36.0±13.2 years for placebo.	Self-administer olopatadine 0.2%, one drop per day (N = 129) vs. Placebo, Olopatadine 0.2% vehicle (dibasic sodium phosphate, sodium chloride, disodium EDTA, Povidone and BAC), one drop per day (N = 131).	Follow-up at baseline, weeks 1 through 9, and exit (week 10).	Mean frequency scores for ocular itching and redness were significantly lower in the opolatadine group compared with the placebo group (p<0.05). Mean severity scores for itching and redness was statistically significant for opolatadine 0.2% compared to placebo on 57 of 70 study days, (p<0.05).	"In the patients enrolled in this trial, olopatadine 0.2% appeared to be effective and well tolerated when administered once daily for the treatment of the ocular signs and symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis."	Baseline data for outcome not well described. Lack of details for blinding, control of co-interventions and compliance.
James 2003 (Score = 6.0)	RCT	Supported by ASTA Medica AG. No mention of COI.	N = 144 participants with a two- season history of conjunctiviti s/	mean age for azelastine 0.05% 37.1, 35.5 years for sodium cromoglyca te 2% and	Azelastine 0.05% (N = 45) vs. Sodium Cromoglycate (SCG) 2% (N = 50) vs. Placebo (N = 49). All participants: one	Follow-up at baseline and after 3, 7 and 14 days of treatment.	Responder rates (%) for three main eye symptoms: itching, tearing and conjunctival redness: day 3: no vs yes: azelastine: 14.6% vs. 85.4%, (p=0.005); SCG:	"The results of this study indicate that the therapeutic use of azelastine eye drops in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis can be recommended."	Lack of study details for randomization, allocation and compliance.

			rhinoconjunc tivitis;	36.1 years for placebo.	drop per eye, twice daily.		17.0% vs. 83.0, (p=0.007)		
Sabbah 1998 (Score = 6.0)	RCT	Sponsored by ASTA Medica. No mention of COI.	N = 107 children suffering from seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjunc tivitis;	mean age of 8.3±2.4 years for placebo, 8.6±2.3 years for azelastine, and 8.2±2.5 years for levocabasti ne.	Azelastine 0.05% (0.015mg), one drop per eye twice daily (N = 47) vs. Levocabastine 0.05% (0.015mg), one drop per eye twice daily (N = 32) vs. Placebo, identical to the azelastine eye drops except for the active drug, one drop per eye twice daily (N = 28). 14 day treatment period.	Follow-up at baseline, and after 3 and 14 days of treatment.	Responder rates (%) for three main eye symptoms: itching, lacrimation, and conjunctival redness: day 3: yes vs no: azelastine: 74% vs 26%, (p<0.01). Compared with placebo group: yes vs no: 39 vs. 61.	"In conclusion, azelastine eye drops are effective in the rapid relief of symptoms in young children with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis/rhinoconjunctivitis and show comparable safety to that of levocabastine eye drops. Azelastine eye drops offer an effective and safe alternative to levocabastine eye drops in the treatment of pediatric allergic conjunctivitis."	Study non- specific to working population.
Spangler 2003 (Score = 5.5)	RCT	Sponsored by an unrestricte d grant from Alcon Laboratori es, Inc. No COI.	N = 73 with a history of allergic rhinoconjunc tivitis;	mean age 45.26, age range of 21-73.	Group A: received conjunctival allergen challenge or CAC included clinically significant signs and symptoms (> 1 unit difference) (N = 34) vs. Group B: Nasal allergen challenge or NAC Included clinically significant signs and symptoms (N = 39). All randomized to treat, to one of the three solutions:		There was a greater reduction in ocular itching with the olopatadine vs. mometasone (p=0.003) and fexofenafine (p=0.008) at 3 minutes and 5 minutes (p=0.007 and (p=0.013), respectively, post challenge.	"[T]he most effective way to treat ocular allergic symptoms is with a topical ophthalmic medication."	Experimental study. Patients not well described. Data suggest olopatedine much greater efficacy than other two arms. Short term follow-up.

					olopatadine 0.1% eye drops, plus placebo nasal spray, plus placebo tablets; or mometasone furoate monohydrate 50 ug nasal spray, plusplacebo eye drops, plus placebo tablets; or, fexofenadine hydrochloride 180 mg tablets, plusplacebo topical solution, plus placebo nasal spray, total of 3 visits. 1 tablet once daily, plus 2 sprays of nasal spray once daily for 1 week.				
Baroody 2008 (Score = 5.5)	Crosso ver Trial	Sponsored by GlaxoSmit hKline and the McHugh Otolaryngo logy Research	N = 20 with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	age range of 20 to 42 years.	Azelastine hydrochloride (274µg) intravenously, and ten minutes after treatment, nasal challenge with dose of allergen that caused ocular	No follow- up reported.	Allergen and diluent challenges were lower after azelastine pretreatment vs. placebo pretreatment: 4.25 mg; -3 to 24 mg vs. 6.65 mg; -10.4 to 34.2 mg (p=0.18) on ipsilateral eye; And 2.4 mg vs. 2.7 to 26.4 mg vs.	"Nasal allergen challenge releases histamine at the site of the challenge, which probably initiates a nasonasal and a nasal ocular reflex. This reflex is reduced by an H1-receptor antagonist applied at the site of the challenge. The eye symptoms associated with	Data suggest pre- treatment with study medication reduces symptoms to allergic challenge in persons with positive skin test for those.
		Fund. COI, Dr. Naclerio is on the scientific advisory			reflex place (N = 20) vs. Placebo (N = 20).		mg; -3.7 to 26.4 mg vs. 8.8 mg; -17.9 to 28.4 mg (p=0.2) on contralateral eye. On the side ipsilateral to the nasal challenge,	allergic rhinitis probably arise, in part, from a naso-ocular reflex."	

		boards of Schering- Plough, GlaxoSmit hKline, Allux, and Merck and has received research grants from GlaxoSmit hKline, Merck, Schering- Plough, and Novartis.					allergen challenge resulted in increase in ocular albumin levels vs. diluent challenge after pretreatment with placebo: 10.4 µg; 0.5 to 62.1 µg vs. 3.6 µg; 0.1 to 28.4 µg (p=0.03)		
Gambard ella 1993 RCT No mention of sponsors hip or COI.	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 30 patients with a history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR).	Age range 2 to 31 years.	Azelastine hydrochloride nasal spray at a metered dose of 0.14 mg/nostril twice a day (N = 15) vs. oral loratidine one 10 mg tablet once daily (N = 15). 6 week study period. Assessments at baseline, weeks 2, 4, and 6. Follow-up 1 week after study medication finished.		No significant differences between groups for any study outcomes (no p-value reported).	"The improvement in scores for both nasal and ocular symptoms during this study have confirmed that both azelastine and loratidine are effective treatments of seasonal rhinitis.	Sparse baseline comparability. Small overall sample size (N=30). No significant differences between both treatment groups.
Giede- Tuch	RCT Doubl	Sponsored by ASTA	N = 151 patients	mean age of	Azelastine 0.025% (0.008 mg) (N = 47)	Follow-up at baseline,	Responder rate (%) for main eye symptoms	"The results of this double-blind study show that azelastine eye-	Author conclusion not

1998 (Score = 5.5)	e- Blind	Medica. No mention of COI.	suffering from seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjunc tivitis;	35.4±11.4 years for azelastine 0.025%, 35.2±107 years for azelastine 0.05%, and 35.9±11.5 years for placebo.	vs. Azelastine 0.05% (0.015 mg) (N = 52) vs. Placebo, Benzalkonium chloride and sodium Edetate (N = 52). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily at intervals of 10 to 12 hours in the morning and evening.	and after 3, 7, and 14 days of treatment.	itching, lacrimation, and conjunctival redness: day 3: no vs. yes: 18% vs 82%, (p=0.011).	drops provide rapid, dose- dependent relief from ocular symptoms in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis."	supported by statistical presentation as neither treatment reached statistical significance.
Lenhard 1997 (Score = 5.5)	RCT Doubl e- Blind	Sponsored by ASTA Medica. No mention of COI.	N = 278 participants suffering from seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjunc tivitis;	mean age for azelastine 0.025% group 31.6±10.6 years, 31.7±11.7 years for azelastine 0.05%, and 33.9±11.9 years for placebo.	Azelastine 0.025% (0.008mg) (N = 92) vs. Azelastine 0.05% (0.015mg) (N = 92) vs. Placebo, identical composition of azelastine without the active substance (N = 94). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily at an interval of 10 to 12 hours in the morning and evening. 14 day treatment period.	Follow-up at baseline, and days 7 and 14. This study lasted 14 days.	Responder rates (%) for three main eye symptoms: itching, lacrimation, and conjunctival redness: day 7: responders vs. non-responders: 98% vs. 2%, (p=0.0015).	"The results of this present study show that azelastine eye drops are well tolerated and exert a concentration-dependent therapeutic effect in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. For further investigations, the high concentration of 0.05% azelastine eye drops is recommended."	Sparse details for randomization, allocation blinding and compliance. Data suggest no immediate efficacy until 7 days compared with placebo.
Kyrein 1996 (Score = 5.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 12 with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR).	Ages 18 to 40 years.	Dimethindene (DMM) 0.025% once daily (N = N/A) vs. DMM 0.1% once daily (N =	Follow-up for 2 weeks.	The sight decrease between 120 and 60 min, during the third and fourth hour after score increase from 5.8	"0.1% DMM as nasal spray, is an efficient and safe galenical formulation for nasal spray application for patients suffering	Missing group populations. Small sample size (N=12). Crossover pilot study.

					N/A) vs. Placebo and azelastine 0.1% once daily (N = N/A).		to 6.3 could be detected. Visual analog scale showed a trend of increase values between 80 and 140 minutes for 0.025% DMM, and increase at lower level with smaller score peaks of 18.8 and 17.3 after 140 minutes, for 0.1% DMM and 0.1% azelastine, (p=0.076).	from seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR)."	Similar efficacy between groups.
Meltzer, 1994 (Score = 5.0)	Doubl e- Blind RCT	No mention of industry sponsorshi p or of COI.	N = 294 men and women with symptoms consistent with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR), who had required pharmacolog ic therapy at some point during the 2 years prior.	Mean age of 27.3 years.	Azelastine qd group, two sprays daily. (N = 71) vs. Azelastine q12h group, two sprays every 12 hours. (N = 76) vs. Chlorpheniramine Maleate 12 mg group-Once every 12 hours. (N = 72) vs. Placebo group (N = 75)	Follow-up time was hourly from baseline to 30 hours after.	The two Azelastine treatment groups showed significant improvement compared to placebo for the total symptom complex, Azelastine qd vs. placebo (40% vs. 20% mean percent improvement, (p<0.01)), and Azelastine q12h vs. Placebo (45% vs. 20%, (p<0.01)). These groups also showed significant mean improvement in itchy eye symptoms, Azelastine qd vs. Placebo (.6 vs3, (p<0.05)) and Azelastine q12h vs. Placebo (.6 vs3, (p<0.05)).	"Azelastine nasal spray 0.1% solution in a once- or twice-daily regimen was effective in treating the symptoms of allergic rhinitis."	2 day placebo controlled trial conducted outdoors. Both Azelastine groups were superior to placebo as was Chlorpheniramine but Azelastine was better than Chlorpheniramine as 73% of Azelastine patients reported improved symptoms lasting 12-24hours.

Bousque t 2003 (Score = 5.0)	RCT	Sponsored by a grant from Aventis Pharma. COI, El-Akkad affiliated with Aventis Pharma.	N = 431 patients with a history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) for ≥ past 3 years and a positive skin prick test or serum grass pollen specific IgE positive for grass pollen allergy in the previous years.	Mean age was 33.1±10.0 years in guidelines group and 31.7±9.0 years in the free-choice group.	Guidelines group: physician followed simple strategy based on guidelines of International Consensus on Rhinitis consisting of oral ebastine 20 mg OD and/or intranasal triamcinolone acetonide 220 µg OD and nedocromil sodium 2% eye drops b.i.d. for those with moderate/severe conjunctivitis (N = 225) vs. free-choice treatment group: physicians treated as in normal practice, depot corticosteroids disallowed (N = 244). 3 week treatment period. Assessments at baseline, 7 days, and 21 days.	No follow-up time.	Mean overall Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire (RQLQ) score: decrease at day 7 guidelines group 1.63 vs. free choice group 1.22 (p=0.0001); decrease at day 21 guidelines group 2.19 vs. free choice group, 1.79 (p=0.0001). Mean RQLQ eye symptoms score: decrease at 7 days guidelines group 1.86 vs. free choice group 1.37 (p=0.0003); decrease at day 21 guidelines group 2.24 vs. free choice group 1.98 (p=0.0004).	"Using a simple method for the evaluation of the severity and a simple therapeutic scheme based on International Guidelines, patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis presented a significant improvement by comparison with those receiving a nonstandardized treatment."	Open label trial for 3 weeks showing guideline treated group responded better than non-standardized group.
1995 (Score = 5.0)	iner	mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	≥1 year of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	of 12 to 70 years.	nasal spray (0.5 mg/ml), one puff per nostril twice daily for 1 week (N = 123) vs. Azelastine nasal	after 1 week of treatment.	patients for levocabastine vs. azelastine: 53% vs. 54%. Incidence of adverse effects for levocabastine vs.	therapeutic efficacy, but that levocabastine nasal spray is better tolerated. Coupled with the fact that this agent is also available as eye drops for the relief of concurrent ocular	design. Showing both drugs exhibit similar efficacy.

					spray (1 mg/ml), one puff per nostril twice daily for 1 week (N = 119).	azelastine: 11% vs. 19% (p=0.06).	symptoms, these findings suggest that levocabastine may be the preferred topical antihistamine for the treatment of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis."	
Abelson 2003 (Score = 5.0)	RCT Doubl e- Blind Multi- Center	Sponsored by Alcon Laboratori es, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 131 with a history of seasonal allergic conjunctiviti s (SAC) or rhinoconjunc tivitis; mean age of 38.53±11.61 years for olopatadine and 38.16±11.31 years for placebo.		Olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution (N = 64) vs. Placebo eye drops, over-the-counter artificial tear product (N = 67). All participants: one drop per eye, twice daily, for 10 weeks. Follow-up at baseline, and days 7, 14, 28, 35, 42, 56, and 70.	Mean scores for ocular itching: day 7: olopatadine vs. placebo: 1.06 vs. 1.58, (p<0.04); day 14: 1.19 vs. 1.60, (p<0.04); day 35: 0.88 vs. 1.43, (p<0.006); day 63: 0.69 vs. 1.15), (p<0.021); day 70: 0.55 vs. 1.00, (p<0.024). Mean scores for ocular hyperemia: day 14: 0.75 vs 1.22, p<0.011); day 28: 0.67 vs. 1.07, (p<0.030); day 42: 0.63 vs. 1.16, (p<0.004); day 63: 0.42 vs. 0.82, (p<0.03). Mean scores for tearing (rated): day 14: 0.61 vs. 1.01, (p<0.020).	"In the population studied, olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution controlled ocular and nasal symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis and rhinoconjunctivitis and was well tolerated when administered twice daily for 10 weeks."	Lack of study details for allocation, blinding, control for co-interventions, and compliance. Data suggest efficacy of treatment.
Ciprandi 1996 (Score = 4.5)	RCT	Sponsored partially by P.F. CNR FATMA SP2 grant, "Ingegneri a genetic" groject, and by the ARMIA	N = 20 with sensitivity to parietaria judaica between the ages of 18- 49 suffering from seasonal allergic	mean age of 33.2 years, range of 18 to 53 years.	Azelastine 0.05% drops in one eye (N = n/a) vs. Placebo drops in the right eye + single dose 30 minutes after allergen specific conjunctival challenge or ASCC + twice daily for 1	Early phase reaction induced by ASCC: azelastine group had a significant reduction in signs and symptoms vs. placebo within 10-20 minutes after drops were administered, (p<0.01). After 7 days, another ASCC was	"Azelastine eye drops exert anti- allergic activity, inducing a rapid improvement of clinical events when administered after ASCC, and reducing both symptoms and cellular infiltration when administered before ASCC. Finally, azelastine down- regulates ICAM-1 expression on epithelial conjunctival cells,	Data suggest efficacy.

		(Associazio ne Riderca Malattie Immunolog iche e Allergiche) foundation . No mention of COI.	rhinoconjunc tivitis;		week in the following eye (N = n/a). Clinical changes were assessed 5, 10, 15, 20 minutes after allergen challenge and 5, 10, 20 and 30 minutes after drug administration.		performed. Early phase reaction 30 minutes after challenge: total symptom score and total number of inflammatory cells was less in the treatment group vs. placebo, (p<0.01). Neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes and monocytes were reduced in the treatment group vs. placebo, (p<0.01). 6 hours after challenge: signs and symptoms were less in the treatment group vs. placebo (p<0.01) which was the same for inflammatory cell infiltration (p<0.01).	confirming the results obtained at nasal level."	
Albu 2013 (Score = 4.5)	RCT	No sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 77 with a history of at least 2 years of moderate to severe grass pollen- induced seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	mean age for Group A / B; 31.42±11.8 2 years / 33.56±12.4 5 years.	Group A received intranasal phototherapy 5% UVB, 25% UVA plus 70% visible light-VS three times a week for 2 weeks (N = 39) vs. Group B received azelastine hydrochloride nasal spray, two sprays per nostril, once daily with a total dose of 1.1 mg,	Follow-up for 2 weeks.	RQLQ scores of the two groups were not significantly different at baseline, (p>0.05). Better results in nasal Symptoms, (p=0.047) and sleep domains, (p=0.05) for Group A patients. The mean total nasal resistance in Group A patients decreased from 0.42±0.18 to 0.36±0.16 Pa/cm3/s, (p=0.12), and 0.45±0.15 to	"[B]oth azelastine and intranasal phototherapy are able to significantly improve individual nasal symptoms such as rhinorrhea, congestion, itching, and sneezing in patient affected by SAR."	Open label study. Both treatment groups show efficacy.

					continued until the last visit (N = 38).		0.37±0.12 Pa/cm3/s in Group B patients, (p=0.11) at the end of the therapy.		
Duarte 2001 (Score = 4.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 99 with severe rhinoconjunc tivitis;	mean age of 33.8 years.	Azelastine eye drops, 0.03mL (1 drop in each eye 2 to 4 times daily) and nasal spray, 0.14 mL, one spray in each nostril twice daily (N = 53) vs. Placebo eye drops (1 drop in each eye 2 to 4 times daily) and nasal spray, one spray in each nostril twice daily (N = 46). *The patients could take an oral antihistaminic agent, Cetirizine (1 tablet, 10mg/day) from third day of local treatment	Follow up on day 7 and 14.	The efficacy of Azelastine was significantly higher compared to placebo (49% vs. 28%, p=0.04) The decrease of ocular and nasal scores by 50% without the use of Cetirizine by day 7. The cetirizine rescue was higher in placebo patients, from day 0 to 7 (4.9 ±5.0 vs. 2.7 ±4.1, p=0.02) Global efficacy was rated higher for Azelastine by investigators (26% vs. 10%, p=0.05) and patients (20% vs. 7%, p=0.01)	"[T]he combination of Azelastine eye drops and azelastine nasal spray is an effective and well tolerated treatment for seasonal allergic rhino conjunctivitis. Topical treatment usually results in a more rapid onset of effects compared to systemic treatment and can avoid adverse events usually associated with anti- histamines."	Methodological details sparse. Data suggest combination treatment may be superior to placebo.
Alexande r 2003 (Score = 4.0)	RCT	Sponsored by an unrestricte d grant from Allergan, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 89 with a history of ragweed allergic rhinoconjunc tivitis for 2 or more years and a positive skin prick test to	mean age of 35.8 for fexofenadi ne bid nedocromil rescue, 36.3 for fexofenadi ne qd nedocromil	Fexofenadine (60 mg / capsule) BID / Nedocromil sodium 2% eye drops - one capsule twice daily and 1 drop per eye twice daily as needed (N = 30) vs. Fexofenadine QD/ Nedocromil sodium		Symptom scores improved for all groups for itching / burning / tearing / redness / grittiness / discharge / light sensitivity and swelling (p<0.003), but no significant between groups. A clinical sign (overall signs of	"Supplementation of oral fexofenadine therapy with nedocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution provided effective control of ocular and rhinal symptoms associated with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis using only one-half the recommended dose of fexofenadine."	28d FU. Quasi- randomized by consecutive enrollment.

			ragweed pollen extract;	bid, and 33.4 for fexofenadi ne rescue, nedocromil bid.	BID - one capsule per day and 1 drop in each eye twice daily (N = 29) vs. Fexofenadine rescue/ Nedocromil sodium BID, 1 drop per eye twice daily and fexofenadine up to twice daily as needed for 1 month (N = 30). All patients were allowed Levocabastine 0.05% nasal spray.		conjunctivitis) improved for all groups, (p<0.02), but no significance between groups.		
Conde Hernánd ez 1995 (Score = 4.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 63 patients with a history of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR).	Age range 18 to 59 years.	Azelastine nasal spray 0.56 mg/day one spray into each nostril morning and evening (N = 31) vs. ebastine tablets 10 mg/day one tablet each evening (N = 32). 14 day study period. Assessments at the beginning and end of treatment.	No follow- up time.	There were no significant differences between groups (p=0.86).	"[A]zelastine nasal spray given at a dose of 0.56 mg/day and ebastine tablets 10 mg/day are comparable and effective treatments of the nasal and ocular symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis."	Similar efficacy and both treatments were well tolerated. Baseline comparability not described.
Crampto n 2003 (Score = 3.5)	RCT	Sponsored by a grant from Novartis Ophthalmi cs, Inc., Duluth,	N = 80 with a history of Rhinoconjun ctivitis.	Mean age of 42.8 years.	Ketotifen, 0.025% ophthalmic Solution, 1 drop in each eye, (N = 27) vs. Desloratadine, 1 drop in each eye, (N = 27) vs.	Follow-up on day 7± 2, and on day 35± 3	Both the ketotifen and ketotifen/desloratadine groups had significantly lower mean ocular itching scores compared with those in the desloratadine	"In this study using the CAC model, ketotifen ophthalmic solution used in conjunction with a desloratadine tablet was more effective in the management of the ocular and nasal signs and symptoms of	Methodological details sparse. Data suggest Ketotifen drops may be superior to placebo drops for itching score

		Georgia. No COI.			Ketotifen with Desloratadine, 0.025% ophthalmic solution, one drop in each eye (N = 26).		group (p≤0.05) Ketotifen alone was associated with significantly less total ocular redness compared with desloratadine alone at 10, 15, and 20 minutes (p≤0.05; 1.87-, 1.67-, and 1.77-unit differences, respectively); ketotifen alone was associated with significantly less total ocular redness compared with ketotifen/desloratadine at 15 and 20 minutes (p≤0.05; 1.67- and 1.56-unit differences, respectively)	allergic rhino conjunctivitis than the systemic agent alone."	and redness score.
Charpin 1995 (Score = 3.5)	RCT	No mention of sponsorshi p or COI.	N = 129 with at least 1- year of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR);	age range of 12 to 60 years, median of 30 years.	Azelastine via nasal spray (0.14mg/activation) every day, twice a day for 14 days (N = 54) vs. Cetirizine orally (10 mg capsule) once daily, for 14 days (N = 56).	Follow-up at day 7 and 14.	Percent decrease from baseline of total symptom score of the investigator (TSSI) for azelastine vs. cetirizine: 47% vs. 55% at day 7; and 61% vs. 67% at day 14. VAS for azelastine vs. cetirizine: -13.97±1.15 vs9.38±0.94 for nasal stuffiness (p=0.002); -14.71±0.79 vs11.74±1.25 for rhinorrhea (p=0.004).	"[T]hese findings give further support to our observations that azelastine nasal spray is better tolerated and is at least as effective as oral cetirizine in the treatment of seasonal allergic rhinitis."	Sparse methodology including baseline comparability. One treatment a spray and one a fill but claims double blinded similar efficacy.

Kalpaklio	RCT	No	N = 132 with	mean age	Azelastine nasal	Follow-up	Mean changes from	"In conclusion, our study has	Similar efficacy
glu 2010		mention of	allergic	of	spray (AZENS)	at 2-weeks	baseline of AZENS vs.	stablished the efficacy and	between groups
(Score =		sponsorshi	rhinitis and	33.14±12.5	twice daily, 1.1	after	TANS: 14.78±16.46 vs.	tolerability of AZENS when	although AZENS
3.5)		p or COI.	nonallergic	2 years;	mg/day for 14 days	treatment.	7.9±19.53 (p=0.05).	compared with triamcinolone	group had more
			rhinitis;	age range	(N = 62) vs.		Percentage of adverse	nasal spray in patients with	adverse events
				of 14 to 70	Triamcicolone		effects of AZENS vs.	rhinitis, irrespective atopy.	(56.9% vs.
				years.	acetonide nasal		TANS: 56.9% vs. 19%	Therefore, the choice of	19.0%).
					spray (TANS) once		(p=0.001).	treatment for rhinitis should	
					daily, 220µg/day			depend on patient's preference	
					for 14 days (N =			regarding additional ocular	
					70).			symptoms, adverse effects, and	
								the cost of the drug."	

Atopic and Vernal Keratoconjunctivitis

OVERVIEW

Vernal keratoconjunctivitis is a relatively rare, chronic, severe allergic inflammation of the ocular surface mediated by Th2-lymphocytes. Yet, 50% of patients do not have IgE mediated mechanisms [689]. It is considered the ocular manifestation of atopic dermatitis. It primarily begins in childhood [592, 689], thus is largely considered non-occupational. It is more common in the tropics than the northern climates. [592] Occasional cases can occur throughout the United States and Canada. It may be worsened by non-specific hyperreactivity due to wind, dust and sunlight. [592]

The evaluation of patients with vernal keratoconjunctivitis is similar to other allergy investigations (see above). Limited RCTs on treatments result in a relatively weak evidence base. By inference, treatments recommended for other allergic eye diseases are also recommended for vernal keratoconjunctivitis.

Author Year (Score):	Catego ry:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Se x:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Akpek 2004 (Score = 7.5)	Cyclosp orine	RCT	Sponsorship, Supported, in part, by an unrestricted research grant from Allergan Inc. Dr Schein is supported in part by a National Institutes of Health grant (no. K24EY00395) and the Burton Grossman Fund for Preventive OphthalmologyN o mention of COI.	N = 22 with diagnosis of Atopical Keratonconjunctivitis (AKC).	Mean± SD age: 42.6±1 4.6 years.	Topical cyclosporine A 0.05% Cyclosporine, (N = 10) vs. Preservative- free artificial tears placebo, for 4 weeks (N = 12).	Follow ups were at day 7, day 14, day 21, and day 28.	Mean comparison scores / Mean scores for Bulbar conjunctival hyperemia, Upper tarsal conjunctival, and Punctate Keratitis before and after treatment / mean change in composite sign score: (4 vs. 0.5, p = 0.048) / (2.0 vs. 1.0, and after 1.5 vs. 1.0, p = 0.017, 3.0 vs. 1.5, and 2.0 vs. 2.0, p = 0.005, and keratitis 3.0 vs. 0.5, and 1.0 vs. 1.5, p = 0.007) / (5 vs1, p = 0.002 for mean change in composite sign).	"In this short-term, double-masked, randomized study, we used cyclosporine A 0.05% in an emulsion formulation in the treatment of patients with topical steroid-resistant AKC. Treated patients had great improvement of both signs and symptoms of AKC than did the placebo group."	Small sample size. Patients treated to different disease duration at baseline (96 v 150 m). Data suggest modest effect.
Daniell 2006 (Score = 6.5)	Cyclosp orine	RCT	No COI. No mention of sponsorship.	N = 40 with Atopic Keratoconjunctivitis or Vernal Keratoconjunctivitis.	Mean± SD age Group 1: 26.2±1 8.0 years. Mean± SD age	Group 1: 0.05% topical ciclosporin A, Restasis, Allergen, Irvine, CA, USA (N = 20). vs. Group 2: Placebo,	Follow- up at baseline, week 1, month 1, month 2, and	At baseline, no significant differences between groups. At week 1, significant difference in steroid drop usage, treatment: 99.3 ± 45.1 vs. Placebo,	"The results of our trial failed to show a beneficial effect from the addition of topical ciclosporin 0.05% in steroid dependent allergic eye disease."	Data suggest lack of efficacy.

					Group 2: 26.2±1 6.3 years.	vehicle (N = 20).	month 3.	66.5 ± 45.9, but was not significant at any other time period.		
Avunduk 2003 (Score = 7.0)	NSAID vs. Cortico steroid drops	RCT	Sponorship, supported in part by US Public Health Service Grant EY02377 (H.E.K.) from the National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, and an unrestricted departmental grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc., New York, New York, No mention of COI.	N = 32 with keratonconjunctivitis with or without Sjögren syndrome.	Mean± SD age Groups 1: 51.2±1 2.4 years. Mean± SD age Group 2: 46.67± 8.66 years. Mean± SD age Group 3: 57.6±1 2.4 years.	Group 1: artificial tears QID in both eyes (N = 8). vs. Group 2: NSAID opthalmic drops QID with artificial tears vs. and artificial tear (N = 9) vs. Group 3: corticosteroidal drops QID with artificial tears (N = 11).		Symptom severity scores / Staining scores on days 15 and 30: (p = 0.02 for group 3 vs. p = 0.03 for groups 1 and 2, and at day 30 p = 0.03 for groups 1, 2 and 3) / (3 vs. 1 and 2, p = 0.046 and at days 15 and 30, p = 0.01 for 3 vs. p = 0.02 for 1 and 2). At day 15 and 30, group 3 had significantly lower mean scores than group 2, p = 0.017, and higher PAS + cells vs. groups 1 and 2, p = 0.034 and 0.028, respectively.	"The results of the study implied that TSDs were more effective than topical NSAIDs or ATS in reducing the ocular surface inflammation in KCS patients. Topical steroids had a clear beneficial effect both on the subjective and objective clinical parameters of moderate-to-severe dry eye patients."	Data suggest efficacy of steroid drops compared with topical NSAID and artificial tears.

Oguz 1999 (Score = 6.0)	Lodoxa mide tromet hamine	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 30 symptomatic patients with vernal conjunctivitis (VC) for at least 1 year.	Mean± SD age Group 1: 48.7±1 1.30 years. Mean± SD age Group 2: 51.9±1 0.9 years.	Lodoxamide tromethamine 0.1% ophthalmic solution (N =16) vs. Placebo in both eyes 4 times a day for 4 weeks (N =14).		The lodoxamide group had a significant reduction from baseline in the number of neutrophills, p = 0.051 and eosinophils, p = 0.020 vs. placebo.	"[L]odoxamide is effective in reducing inflammatory cells in the tear fluid in vernal conjunctivitis. These effects of lodoxamide on tear fluid cytology may be associated with relief of the signs and symptoms of this disease."	Limited patient description. Data suggest efficacy in cell counts. Symptoms not reported.
White 2008 (Score = 5.5)	Lotepr ednol etabon ate	RCT		N = 280 with clinically diagnosed blepharoke-ratocon junctivitis.		LE / T or loteprednol etabonate + tobramycin ophthalmic suspension, 0.5 % / 0.3% + self-administration of medication four times / day, 1 - 2 drops within four hour interval (N = 136) vs. DM / T or dexamethasone + tobramycin ophthalmic suspension, 0.3% / 0.1% + self-administration	Follow- up for 14 days.	At visit 2 / 3 / and 4 from baseline the mean sd change: (-7.1 vs7.6) / (-12.3 vs13.2) / and (-15.2 vs15.6 in DM / T). 78% reduction in signs and symptoms of ocular inflammation associated with blepharokeratoconjunctivitis from baseline for both treatments.	"The results of this study demonstrate that LE / T is as effective as DM / T in reducing the signs and symptoms of ocular inflammation associated with blehparokeratoconjunctivitis."	Study was described as a non inferiority study and no differences between groups were seen. However, authors present 90%CI not 95%CI. Possible differences may exist.

				of medication four times / day, 1 - 2 drops within four hour interval (N = 137).			
Ruggieri Sodiu 1987 cromo (Score = lycate 5.0)	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 31 with active bilateral vernal Keratonconjunctivitis or seasonal allergen conjunctivitis.	Mean (Range) age treatm ent: 19.2 (6-37) years. Mean (Range) age placeb o: 18.9 (6-40) years.	4% ointment of sodium cromoglycate (N = 15) vs. Placebo ointment 3 times daily for 4 weeks (N = 16).	The difference between two treatment groups was significant, p = 0.00002. Improvement continued during the third and fourth week, p < 0.01. Overall, the treatment with 4% sodium cromoglycate was more effective than placebo.	"[4]% sodium cromoglycate eye ointment is effective in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis and vernal keratoconjunctivitis."	Data suggest efficacy.

Goes 1994	Levoca	RCT	Sponsorship,	N = 49 with a history	Mean	Levocabastine	Treatment duration	"Levocabastine eye-drops	One week
(Score =	bastine		supported by a	of vernal	(Range	0.5 mg/ml (N =	was longer in the	proved to be effective and	trial. Data
5.0)			research grant	conjunctivitis or VC.) age:	31) vs. Placebo	levocabastine group	well-tolerated for the	suggest
			from the Janssen		Treatm	1 drop / eye 4	(22 days) vs.	treatment of vernal	efficacy,
			Research		ent	times daily for	placebo (9 days), p	conjunctivitis. A dramatic	however
			Foundation. No		group	up to 4 weeks	< 0.02. More	improvement in symptoms	few
			mention of COI.		15 (5-	(N =18).	patients in the	was observed within one to	contained
					59)		placebo group	two weeks of initiation of	in open
					years.		dropped out due to	treatment and therapeutic	label.
					Mean		inefficacy, p =	efficacy was maintained	
					(Range		0.013. Severest	throughout the study period."	
) age:		ocular symptom		
					Placeb		(start/endpoint -		
					0		change from		
					group		baseline):		
					14.5		levocabastine		
					(10-38)		(2.65/-1.54) vs.		
					years.		placebo (2.39 / -		
							0.77), p = 0.04.		
							Ocular irritation:		
							1.89/-1.24 vs. 1.77 /		
							- 0.58, p = 0.05.		
							Photophobia: 1.00/-		
							1.24 vs. 0.85/-0.11,		
							p = 0.008. Ocular		
							itching: 2.50 / - 1.73		
							vs. 2.08 / -1.00, p =		
							0.05.		

Hillenkamp	Cidofov	RCT	No mention of	N = 34 with acute	Mean	Cidofovir 1%	Follow-	Side effects /	"Cidofovir lowers the	Pilot study.
2002	ir		sponsorship or	adenoviral	age:	drops 4 times	up for	pseudomembranes/	frequency of severe corneal	Data
(Score =			COI.	keratonconjunctivitis	48.6	daily to both	21 days.	prevalence of	opacities, but its clinical use 4	suggest
4.0)				of recent onset.	years.	eyes $(N = 9)$ vs.		severe corneal	to 10 times daily at a 1%	high
					No SD	Cidofovir 1%		opacities: (44.4%	concentration is limited by	adverse
					or	drops 10 times		vs. 100% vs. 30% vs.	local toxicity."	effects.
					Range	daily to both		0% sodium group) /		
					given.	eyes (N = 5) vs.		(55.6% vs. 80% vs.		
						Cidofovir 1%		20% vs. 20%) /		
						eyedrops +		(higher prevalence		
						cyclosporine A		in control group, p		
						1% eyedrops 4		= 0.048).		
						times/day to				
						both eyes (N				
						=10) vs. Sodium				
						chloride				
						eyedrops 4				
						times/day to				
						both eyes or				
						controls (N =				
						10). All patients				
						treated with				
						preservative-				
						free topical				
						lubrication.				

Grönlund	Acupun	RCT	N = 25 with	Acupuncture	There were no	"In conclusion, although	Study done
2004	cture		keratoconjunctivitis.	treatment	significant	based on a small number of	in Sweden.
(Score =				group or ATG (differences	patients, our results indicate	Details
2.5)				N = 12) vs.	between groups in	that sensory nerve	sparse.
				Control Group	frequency of eye	stimulation has subjective	Large
				or CG	drops use and total	beneficial effects in patients	dropout.
				underwent	number of	with KCS and therefore could	Small
				some	subjective	be tried as a complement to	sample size
				examinations	symptoms. At the	ordinary treatment."	(N=25, 20
				over	first follow-up,		completed).
				corresponding	there was a		
				period of time	significant		
				(N = 13)	difference between		
					groups in VAS		
					recordings (ATG vs.		
					CG, Better: 6 vs. 0,		
					No Change: 4 vs. 8,		
					Worse, 0 vs. 2, p =		
					0.036).		

Chemical Burns

OVERVIEW

Workplace chemical eye burns result most commonly from exposures to either alkaline agents (e.g., lime or sodium hydroxide) or acids, although they can occur with petrochemicals and other substances. [690-696]. The specific chemical(s) involved, its concentration, quantity and duration of exposure are critical in determining extent of, and limiting the insults of, the injury. Rapid, initial management is likely the most critical aspect of the management and conveys subsequently improved prognosis when rapidly executed. [693, 694, 696-699].

Prevention

See sections above.

Education

See sections above.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Immediate treatment to irrigate the eye with copious water or other aqueous irrigating solutions is believed to be critical for improved, successful patient treatment [696, 698, 700]. Uncontrolled studies suggest better outcomes with longer duration of irrigation [699].

Copious Irrigation for Chemical Eye Exposures Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Copious Irrigation is recommended for chemical eye exposures.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence – High												
☑ Acute☐ Preoperative	□ Subacute e □ Peri	☐ Chronic operative	☐ Postoperative									
Indications:		begin irrigation waiting for symbegin irrigation specific chemic exposure. Irriga	e exposures and injuries. It is recommended to immediately after eye exposure, rather than aptoms to develop. It is also recommended to promptly while others attempt to identify the al(s)/agent(s), concentration(s) and duration of ation should also be used until Morgan lens, if ailable for more severe injuries.									
Harms:		Negligible. Mild discomfort from solution and irrigation										
Benefits:		Limiting extent of burn/injury, earlier relief of pain Tap water is most commonly available and should be used if that is the most readily available solution, especially for first line, in-plant settings. Irrigation bottles with irrigating solutions										
Frequency/Dose,	/Duration:											

are also useful in in-plant medical departments, clinical settings and distributed in some chemical laboratories and facilities. Normal saline, lactated Ringer's solution are additional options for initial irrigation and are preferable to tap water, but only if immediately available. Substitute normal saline or lactated ringer's or other balanced saline solution for tap water when available. Generally use topical anesthetic to anesthetize the eye when available, as it will assist in better tolerance of irrigation.

Indications for Discontinuation:

Only after extensive irrigation, usually at least 1-2 liters has been used to flush out the chemical. Neutralization of pH should be demonstrable for acid or alkaline exposures. The pH should be 7.0-7.2. The pH should be checked after discontinuing irrigation to assure that additional irrigation is not needed to maintain pH neutrality.

Rationale:

There are no quality studies identifying use compared with non-use of irrigation. There are experimental studies of irrigating solutions for treatment especially of animal models. These animal studies suggest superiority of balanced salt solutions (e.g., normal saline, lactate Ringer's solution) over hypotonic solutions (such as tap water). Still, experience suggests earlier irrigation with the most readily available solution, including tap water, is the preferred initial strategy and is recommended. Once irrigation is underway, tailoring of further irrigation, including possible use of an irrigating system (e.g., "Morgan lens") may be considered.

Evidence:

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn, chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement or ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Non-experimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

[Can include harms, benefits, advantages, limitations, etc.]

Irrigating Systems (e.g., Morgan Lens) for Chemical Eye Exposures Recommended.

Devices

Irrigating Systems (e.g., Morgan Lens) is recommended for chemical eye exposures.

Strength of Evidence – Recom Level of Confidence – Modera	mended, Insufficient Evidence (I) te
	☐ Chronic
	rioperative
Indications:	High volume exposures and/or highly alkaline/acidic and/or high-risk injuries. It is recommended to begin irrigation immediately after eye exposure (see Copious Irrigation above), rather than waiting for setting up an irrigation system. Irrigation should also continue while setting up the irrigation system.
Harms: Benefits:	Mild to moderate discomfort from the irrigating system Potential to further limit extent of burn/injury beyond that
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	obtainable without the system for more severe exposures Generally use a balanced salt solution (e.g., normal saline (0.9%), lactated Ringer's solution). For most chemicals, 500mL
	at fast rate (run in 'open') is recommended. Reassess and consider additional fluid depending on chemical, concentration, dose, duration of contamination, severity and clinical effects. For alkali burns, 2 liters wide open is recommended, then 50mL/hr until pH in eye cul-de-sac is neutral. If balanced salt solution unavailable, tap water may be substituted until balanced salt available or transit to definitive care from an inplant setting.
Indications for Discontinuation:	Only after thorough irrigation of affected area. Neutralization of pH should be demonstrable for acid or alkaline exposures (pH 7.0-7.2).
Rationale:	There are no quality studies comparing use with non-use of irrigating systems. There are animal models suggesting successful use. Irrigating systems, including "Morgan Lenses" are minimally invasive, have minimal adverse effects, are low cost and are selectively recommended for chemical eye
Evidence:	exposures. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using
	PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn, chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement or ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental

considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Artificial Tears or Lubrication for Chemical Ocular Burns Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Artificial tears or lubricants are selectively recommended for treatment of patients with chemical ocular burns.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence - Low □ Acute □ Chronic ☐ Preoperative ☐ Perioperative ☐ Postoperative Indications: Chemical ocular burns of sufficient size and pain, and particularly among those with inadequate tearing. May provide sufficient tears to reduce symptoms and Benefits: potentially improve healing. Harms: Undefined but likely negligible. Frequency/Dose/Duration: Prn Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution of symptoms Rationale: There are no quality trials of artificial tears for chemical ocular burns. Patients with more extensive burns tend to have greater need for artificial tears. Artificial tears are inexpensive, noninvasive, and have low adverse effects and are recommended particularly for those patients with inadequate Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn, chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement or ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and

considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Evidence for Artificial Tears or Lubrication

Author	Category:	Stud	Conflict of	Sample	Age/Sex	Comparison:	Follow-	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Year (Seemal)		У	Interest:	size:	:		ир:			
(Score): Xiao 2012 [167] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Mice: Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) vs Minocycline in alkali burns.	RCT	Supported by "Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" in China (grant number: 3030901009015 , Shi-you Zhou) and the NSFC- RGC HK joint project (grant number: 30731160617, Rong-biao Pi). No COI.	N = 105 mice treated with alkali burns.		Group 1- Phosphate buffered saline (PBS)- Control group (N = unknown) vs Group 2- Minocycline twice a day (60 mg/kg or 30 mg/kg) (N = unknown) vs Group 3- 14 consecutive days of minocycline (60 mg/kg or 30 mg/kg) (N = unknown)	Follow- up for 14 days.	The area of CNV increased over time in all three groups. The CNV percentage in the high-dosage group reduced significantly compared to the control group at all follow-up days; (all were p < 0.01). The only follow-up day were the low-dosage group vs. control group was the 4th day (20.62% vs. 32.39%), (p < 0.01).	"In summary, minocycline has more functions besides its antibiotic character, as shown in this study and in other reports. Minocycline may someday play a promising role in preventing CNV."	Group numbers not given. Data suggest intraperitoneal injection of Minocycline (60mg/kg) bid significantly inhibits neovascularizatio n of alkali burned mice corneas also decreasing inflammation response.
Sharma 2011 [149] (score = 6.0)	Human Trials: Saline vs Lactated/Balance d Saline Solution	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 32 (33 eyes) with acute ocular chemical burns of grade III, IV, and V severity. Mean age for Umbilical Cord Serum /		Group I, 20% umbilical cord serum drops (N = 12) vs. Group II, 20% autologous serum drops (N = 11) vs. Group III, artificial tear drops, specifically 0.5% hydroxypropylmethylcellulos e and 0.3% glycerin (N = 10).	Follow-up at day 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 and at the end of month s 1, 2, and 3.	16 / 33 eyes had a grade III injury, 9 grade IV, and 8 grade V injury. The mean time to complete epithelialization was 21.16 ± 26.81 / 56.6 ± 35.5 / and 40.13 ± 35.79 days in the cord serum / autologous serum / and artificial	"Umbilical cord serum therapy is more effective than autologous serum eye drops or artificial tears in ocular surface restoration after acute chemical injuries."	Data suggest umbilical cord serum more effective than autologous eye drops on artificial tears in restoration of ocular surfaces post chemical burn.

Panda 2012 [150] (score = 5.5)	Human Trials: Saline vs Lactated/Balance d Saline Solution	RCT	No sponsorship and or COI.	Autologou s Serum / and artificial Tears group: 30.1 ± 11.2 / 26.9 ± 7.8 / and 31.0 ± 8.2. N = 20 (20 eyes) with grades III, IV, and V chemical injuries. Mean age for group I / and II; 31.5 ± 9.78 / and 39.6 ± 12.32.	Group I, treated with autologous PRP eye drops plus standard medical therapy (N = 10) vs. Group II, standard medical therapy plus artificial tears (N = 10).	Follow- up on days 3, 7, 14, 21, 30, 60, and 90.	tear group, respectively, (p = 0.02). More patients had clear corneas with cord serum vs autologous serum and artificial tears, (p = 0.048). At 3 months, significant corneal clarity improvement in group I, (63.64 ± 55.75 and 37.74 ± 9.66 group II, p = 0.048). The mean and median range time to complete epithelialization were 14 ± 7 days and 14 (7–21) days in group I vs 28.5 ± 3.67 days and 28.5 (21–30) days in group II, (p = 0.006).	"Topical autologous platelet-rich plasma therapy is safe and effective, and it promotes rapid reepithelializatio n of ocular surface and can be administered along with standard medical therapy."	Small sample. Some baseline differences between groups. Data suggest PRP speeds reepithelializatio n of the ocular surface post chemical injury compared to standard medical treatments.
Herr 1991 [151] (score = 5.0)	Human Trials: Saline vs Lactated/Balance d Saline Solution	RCT	No sponsorship and or COI.	N = 20 (20 eyes) with grades III, IV, and V chemical injuries. Mean age for group I / and II; 31.5 ± 9.78 / and	Group I, treated with autologous PRP eye drops plus standard medical therapy (N = 10) vs. Group II, standard medical therapy plus artificial tears (N = 10).	Follow- up on days 3, 7, 14, 21, 30, 60, and 90.	At 3 months, significant corneal clarity improvement in group I, (63.64 ± 55.75 and 37.74 ± 9.66 group II, p = 0.048). The mean and median range time to complete epithelialization	"Topical autologous platelet-rich plasma therapy is safe and effective, and it promotes rapid reepithelializatio n of ocular surface and can be administered	Small sample. Some baseline differences between groups. Data suggest PRP speeds reepithelializatio n of the ocular surface post chemical injury compared to

				39.6 ± 12.32.			were 14 ± 7 days and $14 (7-21)$ days in group I vs 28.5 ± 3.67 days and $28.5 (21-30)$ days in group II, (p = 0.006).	along with standard medical therapy."	standard medical treatments.
Márquez De Arancena Del Cid 2009 (score = 2.0)	Human Trials: Saline vs Lactated/Balance d Saline Solution	RCT	No COI. Supported by Señores de la Casa Real de los Godos.	N=35 eyes of 35 patients with ocular alkali burns. Mean age of all groups: 33.7 years.	5 groups according to severity of burns. Group 1 (control), N=10 with type II burns who received conventional topical treatment vs. Group 2: N=5 with type II burns who received topical treatment + subconjunctival RFRP (APT) and 3 groups with 3–6 hours of limbal involvement and 30%–50% conjunctival involvement (type III burns of Dua classification) vs. Group 3 (control): N=10 with type III burns who received conventional topical treatment vs. Group 4: N=5 with type III burns who received conventional topical treatment + subconjunctival injection of autologous blood (autohemotherapy) vs. Group 5: N=5 with type III burns who received topical treatment + subconjunctival RFRP (APT).	24h, 48h, 72h, and 5, 7, 10, 14, 20, 25, 30, and 40 days.	Average epithelization time of the cornea in the stage II burns (Groups 1 and 2): 5 days, SD 2.2 vs. stage III (Groups 3-5) 8.7 days, SD 6 days).	"Subconjunctival infiltration with autologous RFRP can be considered an effective, straightforward, and economical form of treatment for burns of the ocular surface"	Randomization dubious. Groups were stratified according to severity of burns. Data suggest in moderate ocular burns there was reduction in time to corneal and conjunctival epitheliazation and healing as well as sick time for group treated with RFRP compared to control group.
Haddox	Animal Trials:	RCT	Sponsored by	N = 48	Phosphate-buffered saline	One	Inhibition of Ac-	"The reduction in	Data suggest RTR
2001[164	Rabbits:		grants from the	albino	(PBS) control (N = 16) vs 800	drop	PGP-Induced	the frequency of	tetramer may be
] (score =	Phosphate-		National Eye	rabbits	μM RTR (dextrorotatory)	hourly	Neutrophil	corneal	beneficial in alkali
3.5)	buffered saline		Institute and	(2.0-2.5	tetramer in PBS alternating	startin	Polarization (100	ulceration by the	

(PBS) vs tetramer on eye burns.	the National Institutes of Health. No mention of COI.	kg) with right corneal burns	each hour with 1.5 mM RTR (levorotatory) tetramer in PBS (N = 16) vs 12 μM 5F in PBS. One drop hourly starting 2 hours after injury (14 times a day) for 33 days. Study ended on day 42.	g 2 hours after injury (14 times a day) for 33 days. Study ended on day 42.	nM/ 1 μM/ 10 μM/ ID50, 50% inhibitory dose: (L)-RTR tetramer 21% ±15.1% (n = 2)/ 75% ± 4.8% (n = 12)/ 94% ± 2.5% (n = 5)/ 580 nM (p<0.001); (D)- RTR tetramer 37% ± 13.2% (n = 7)/ 65% ± 10.6% (n = 6)/ 92% ± 2.4% (n = 6)/ 520 nM (p<0.001). Inhibition of Me- PGP-Induced Neutrophil Polarization (5 μM/ 70 μM/ 500 μM/ ID50): (L)- RTR tetramer —/ 60% ± 29.7% (n = 2)/ 100% (n = 2)/ 57 μM (p<0.01); (D)-RTR tetramer 14% ± 4.5% (n = 5)/ 45% ± 4.9% (n = 5)/ 110 μM (p<0.001). Total	RTR tetramer possibly resulted from its complementary binding to Ac-PGP and Me-PGP in the cornea shortly after alkali injury, leading to a reduction in the early and late infiltration of neutrophils."	injured rabbit cornea.
					= 2)/ 100% (n = 5)/ 110 μM (p<0.001). Total		
					ulcers from day 1 to day 33 (RTR Tetramer/PBS/5F) : 4/9/11		
					(p=0.0360). Total ulcers at day 42: 6/12/8 (p=0.0163). Total		

							ulcers during study period: 7/14/11 (p = 0.0046).		
Shahriari 2008 [157] (score = 4.5)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 30 rabbits with alkaline corneal epithelial wound.	Group I, amniotic membrane suspension in the other eye (N = 10) vs Group II, autologous serum in one eye and amniotic membrane suspension in the other eye (N = 10) vs Group III, preservative-free artificial tears in 1 eye (N = 10).	Follow- up for 47 hours.	Average wound areas for Groups I / II / and III: 24.3 ± 6 2.1 mm2 / 25.7 ± 2.4 mm2 / and 24.5 ± 1.9 mm2. There was a difference in mean values among the treated groups comparing amniotic membrane suspension vs other groups, (p = 0.001).	"This study shows that alkalinjured corneal epithelial wounds heal faster when treated with amniotic membrane suspension than with autologous serum or preservative-free artificial tears."	Data suggest alkali burned rabbit corneas heal faster with treatment of amniotic membrane suspension compared to artificial tears or autologous serum.

NSAID Drops for Chemical Ocular Burns

Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

NSAID ophthalmic drops are recommended for treatment of chemical ocular burns.

☑ Acute☐ Subacute☐ Preoperative☐ Polynomial	☐ Chronic ☐ Postoperative
Indications:	Chemical ocular burns
Benefits:	Reduced pain, decreased inflammatory response.
Harms:	allergic reactions in susceptible patients, intolerance.
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	As per manufacturer's recommendation
Indications for Discontinuation:	With symptom improvement
Rationale:	There are no quality trials for treatment of chemical ocular burns with ophthalmic NSAID drops. NSAID drops are low cost, not invasive, associated with low risks and are recommended.
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using
	PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date
	limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn,
	chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement o
	ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical tria
	controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized
	controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized,
	randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review,
	retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological
	studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental
	Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and
	considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed
	1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we
	found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion.
	In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and
	considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14
	articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for
	inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal
	randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion
	criteria.

Comments:

Glucocorticosteroid drops have been used for treatment of chemical burns, sometimes in conjunction with vitamin C. ([701]) ([692]; [702, 703])

Evidence for NSAID Drops for Chemical Ocular Burns

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Simavli 2014 [170] (score = 5.0)	Animal Trials: Rats: Dexamethasone vs Propanolol in alkali corneal burns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 24 Wistar rats with alkali-induced corneal neovascularization (CNV) using NaOH.		Group 1- received 0.9% NaCl (N = 6) vs Group II- received preservative-free dexamethasone sodium phosphate 1mg/mL (N = 6) vs Group III- propranolol hydrochloride 1 mg/mL (N = 6) vs Group IV- received 0.5 mg/mL propranolol hydrochloride drops twice a day for 7 days (N = 6).	7 days	There was no significant difference in percent areas of CNV between the groups (p = 0.004). Groups I, III and IV showed significantly higher anti-VEGF immunostaining intensity compared to group II (p<0.01). However, there were no differences between groups I, III and IV.	"Topical propranolol 1 or 0.5 mg/mL does not have a significant inhibitory effect on alkali-induced corneal NV in rats."	Data suggest that topical administration of propranolol for prevention of corneal neovascularization is not effective.
Yamada 2003 [173] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rats: Role of IL-1 on reducing corneal inflammation.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 28 Wistar rats with induced alkali injury through application of 1N NaOH. Rats aged ten to 12-week-old female rats.		Group 1- Topical interleukin-1 (IL-1) 20 mg/mL in 0.2% sodium hyaluronate (N = 14) vs Group 2-Vehicle alone (N = 14).		As early as day 3, the difference in CNV between the IL-1 and vehicle-treated eyes were as evident as early as day 3. On day 7, the IL-1 treated eyes demonstrated a significant decrease in the number of cells infiltrating the corneas; 12.4 cells x10-2 vs. 32.6 cells x 10-2 (p < 0.03).	"We conclude that local antagonism of IL-1 after alkali injury can significantly decrease corneal inflammation and lead to enhanced corneal transparency."	Small sample. Data suggest IL-1 significantly decreased corneal inflammation in rats with alkali corneal burns and thus lead to increased corneal transparency.

Glucocorticosteroid Drops for Chemical Ocular Burns Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Glucocorticoid ophthalmic drops are recommended for select treatment of chemical ocular burns.

\boxtimes Acute \square Subacute	☐ Chronic
□ Preoperative □ Per	rioperative
Indications:	Moderate to severe chemical ocular burns
Benefits:	Reduced pain, decreased inflammatory response.
Harms:	Increased risk of infection, increased risk of cataracts, intolerance.
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	As per manufacturer's recommendation
Indications for Discontinuation:	With symptom improvement. Generally discontinued at one week.
Rationale:	There are no quality trials for treatment of chemical ocular burns with ophthalmic glucocorticoid drops. These medication are used to attempt to reduce the inflammatory process associated with healing chemical burns. These drops are low cost, not invasive, associated with low to moderate risks and are recommended for more severely affected patients. Animal studies are also supportive of a week of treatment [704-706].
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted usin PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without dat limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement of ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized systematic, systematic review retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, an considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. I Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, an considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria. No quality trials for treatment of chemical ocular burn

Evidence for Glucocorticosteroid Drops for Chemical Ocular Burns

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Siganos 1998 [153] (score = 5.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 20 rabbits with a standardized alkali burn (1N NaOH) was performed in the center of the cornea.		Group 1- Topical zinc desferrioxamine, 220 μM (N = 10) vs. Topcial zinc desferrioxamine vehicle group (N = 10).	Follow-up for 28 days	Throughout the study period, the grade of mean corneal ulcerations ranged from 0.2 to 1.00 compared to 1.4 to 2.7 in group 2. The mean ulceration area was greater in group 2 compared to group 1; 5.4 vs. 1.5, (p < 0.05).	"Topical zinc desferrioxamine may be an adjunctive treatment in protecting the cornea against induced alkali injury. We suggest that Zn/DFO may have a role as an adjunctive treatment in alkali injury of the cornea."	Data suggest topical zinc desferrioxamine may be protective against corneal ulceration in alkali burned rabbit eyes.
Mello 2011 [154] (score = 5.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 20 rabbits underwent chemical trauma with sodium hydroxide.		Experimental group, a subconjunctival injection of bevacizumab 0.15 m; 3.75 mg (N = 10) vs. Control group received an injection of 0.15 ml saline solution (N = 10).	Follow-up for 14 days.	Neovascular vessel length was greater in Experimental vs control group, (p < 0.010). Vessel inflammation/diameter was 0.500 (0.269 – 0.731).	"Subconjunctival bevacizumab inhibited neovascularization in the rabbit cornea."	Data suggest subconjunctival bevacizumab did not reduce inflammation but does inhibit neovascularization in alkali burned rabbit eyes.
Marinho 2003 [155] (score = 4.5)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	Sponsored by Public Health Service Research Grant EY06819 to S.C.G.T. from the Department of Health and	N = 30 (30 eyes) rabbits underwent chemical burn.		Group 1, treated with conjunctival limbal autograft CLAU(N = 9) vs. Group 2, underwent conjunctival limbal autograft or CLAU and AMT	Follow-up at days 30, 60, and 90.	At 30 days after surgery, (p = 0.057), and at 60 and 90 days, (p < 0.001) significant difference between operated groups 1 and 2 and the control group. The corneas in the control group were significantly	"CLAU is effective in treating limbal deficiency."	Small sample size. Data suggest although groups 1 and 2 had better clinical outcomes compared with control group 3, AMT does not add a benefit to CLAU

			Human Services, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. S.C.G.T. has obtained U.S. patent on the method of preparation and clinical uses of human amniotic membrane.		(N = 8) vs Group 3, served as control without surgery (N = 7).		more opaque vs groups 1 and 2, (p < 0.05). Clear corneas was significantly more common in groups 1 and 2 vs controls, (p < 0.001).		and is not superior to CLAU alone.
Pfister 2006 [156] (score = 4.5)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	Sponsored by National Eye Institute Grant. No mention of COI.	N = 24 rabbits exposed to 1 N NaOH for 35 seconds.	Phosphate- buffered saline or PBS (N = 8) vs 1.5 mM L-RTR solution (N = 8) vs 800 mM D-RTR solution (N = 8).	Follow-up for 36 days.	The severity of cornea ulceration was statistically less in the L-RTR tetramer group vs PBS control on day 21, (p < 0.001). A statistically significant difference in the number of ulcers beginning on day 22 for L-RTR vs PBS (18.8% L-RTR vs 56.3% control, (p < 0.05). No appreciable increase in neutrophils from 12 to 48 hours in the RTR-treated group.	"Binding of the PGP molecules by RTR tetramer seems to deprive the cornea of this neutrophilic chemotactic stimulus, leading to a reduction in the severity and incidence of corneal ulceration."	Small sample. Data suggest at 22 days there was significant reduction in the number and severity of corneal ulcers in RTR group compared to controls.
Shahriari 2008 [157] (score = 4.5)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 30 rabbits with alkaline corneal epithelial wound.	Group I, amniotic membrane suspension in the other eye (N = 10) vs Group II, autologous serum	Follow-up for 47 hours.	Average wound areas for Groups I / II / and III: 24.3 ± 6 2.1 mm2 / 25.7 ± 2.4 mm2 / and 24.5 ± 1.9 mm2. There was a difference in mean	"This study shows that alkali-injured corneal epithelial wounds heal faster when treated with amniotic	Data suggest alkali burned rabbit corneas heal faster with treatment of amniotic membrane

	Normal	1			in one eye and		values among the	membrane	suspension
	Saline				amniotic		treated groups	suspension than	compared to
					membrane		comparing amniotic	with autologous	artificial tears or
					suspension in the		membrane suspension	serum or	autologous serum.
					other eye (N = 10)		vs other groups, (p =	preservative-free	
					vs Group III,		0.001).	artificial tears."	
					preservative-free				
					artificial tears in 1				
					eye (N = 10).				
Donshik	Animal	RCT	Sponsored in	N = 18	Group I, one eye	Follow-up	Steroids given the	"Protein synthesis,	Data suggest
1978 [158]	Trials:		part by	rabbits with	treated with one	for 36	second and third weeks	as measured by	topical steroids
(score =	Rabbits:		research,	bilateral	drop (0.05 ml) of	days.	following the burn	tritium leucine	may be
4.0)	Topical		training grants	central alkali	0.1%		enhanced the severity	incorporation into	administered in
	Steroids vs		and research	burns were	dexamethasone		and proportion of ulcers,	protein secreted	rabbits during the
	Normal		fellowship	produced in	sodium		(p < 0.1). When	into the media,	first week and
	Saline		award National	anesthetized	(Decadron) every		corticosteroids given	was either	after. The burn ha
			Eye Institute,	albino	hour, 12 times per		daily for six first days, or	unaffected or	stabilized without
			Biomedical	rabbits by	day, plus mixture		fourth or fifth week	actually somewhat	increasing
			research	placing a	of neomycin		following the burn, did	inhibited by the	frequency and
			support grant,	filter paper	sulfate and		not have an adverse	steroids at the	severity of
			Eye research	disc (7 mm in	dexamethasone		effect on the cornea.	concentrations	ulcerations.
			Core grant, and	diameter).	sodium phosphate			tested."	
			in part by		(Neodecadron)				
			Massachusetts		after the last drop				
			Lions Eye		of steroid (N = 16)				
			Research Fund		vs Group II, the				
			Inc. No mention		other eye treated				
			of COI.		with normal saline				
					solution 12 times				
					per day, plus a				
					mixture of				
					neomycin sulfate,				
					polymyxin B				
					sulfate, bacitracin				
					zinc (Neosporin				
					Ointment) after				
					the last saline				
					drop $(N = 10)$.			Í	

Sharifipour 2007 [159] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 28 rabbits with severe corneal alkali injury.	Oxygen treatment, received 100% at a flow of 5 L/min for 1 hour daily, with one eye patched (N = 14) vs Control group, received chloramphenicol eye drops 4 times daily, plus eye patch for 1 hour daily and received (N = 14).	Follow-up for 1 month.	At 30 days, 1 anterior and 1 middle-stromal ulceration in control vs 3 anterior and 2 middle and 1 posterior ulceration in oxygen group, not statistically significant. Mean difference of ulceration was 13.45 days in control group vs 18.11 days in oxygen group, (p = 0.032).	"Oxygen therapy at a flow of 5 L/min for 1 hour daily reduces the possibility of corneal perforation in rabbits and may delay ulceration of the cornea compared with the control group."	Study states double blinding but methodology of double blinded not supported. Data suggest oxygen therapy may delay corneal ulceration in severe alkali burned rabbit corneas and may delay corneal perforation.
Brent 1991 [160] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 24 eyes of 12 adult albino rabbits weighing 2.1- 2.9 kg with a standard conjunctival burn	Topical prednisolone phosphate 1% one drop every 6 hours in one eye (N = 12) vs Salt solution one drop every 6 hours in the other eye, control (N = 12).	Treatment for 6 days. No mention of follow- up time.	Mean ± SD goblet cells per unit area: treatment 97.38±34.8 vs. control 65.81±18.6, (p < 0.02).	"These results suggest that topical steroids are beneficial in suppressing goblet- cell loss after a conjunctival alkali burn."	Small sample. Data suggest topical steroids for alkali burned rabbit eyes had significantly greater numbers of goblet cells per units of conjunctiva suggesting benefit.
Sekundo 2002 [171] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rats: Allopurinlol vs Prednisolone vs Acetyl cysteine vs NS for corneal burns.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 20 rats with alkaline corneal burns.	Allopurinol 0.4% eye drops, 6 times a day (N = 5) vs Prednisolone acetate 1% eye drops, 6 times a day (N = 5) vs Acetyl cysteine 8% eye drops, 6 times a day (N = 5) vs Control, one drop of normal saline six times per day (N = 5).	Follow-up for about 50 hours.	Average inflammatory scores in control / Allopurinol / Acetyl cysteine / and Prednisolone: 3.65 (range 2.5-4.0) / 2.45 (1.5 – 3.0) / 2.23 (1.5 – 4.0) / and 2.28 (1.0 – 3.0). There was no difference between treatment groups or scores of each group given by individual investigators.	"In present study, topical allopurinol was as established drugs, namely steroids and acetyl cysteine, in the early treatment of experimental alkali corneal burns."	Small sample size. Data suggest similar efficacy between all treatment groups when compared to controls for early treatment of alkali burned rat corneas.

Eye Patching for Chemical Ocular Burns Recommended.

Devices

Eye patching is selectively recommended for treatment of chemical ocular burns.

	cute 🗆 Chror	nic
☐ Preoperative	☐ Perioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:	Chemical	ocular burn that is sufficiently large to have limited
	vision an	d inadequate tearing.
Benefits:	"May" pr	ovide comfort to affected eye.
Harms:	None	
Frequency/Dose/Duration	: N/A	
Indications for Discontinue	ation: N/A	
Rationale:	There are	e no quality trials for patching eyes with extensive
	chemical	burns. Extensive burns may involve significant
		rt and inadequate tearing. Patching with an ointment
	in place r	nay facilitate healing and thus is recommended.
Evidence:		ehensive literature search was conducted using
	PubMed,	Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date
	limits usi	ng the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn,
		. lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement o
		or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial
		d trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized
	controlle	d trials, random allocation, random*, randomized,
	randomiz	ration, randomly; systematic, systematic review,
	retrospe	ctive studies, prospective studies, epidemiological
	studies, e	pidemiological research, and Nonexperimental
	Studies. I	n PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and
	consider	ed 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed
	1190 arti	cles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we
	found an	d reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion.
	In Cochra	ne Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and
	consider	ed 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14
	articles f	om other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for
	inclusion	, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal
	randomiz	ed trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion
	criteria.	

Evidence for Eye Patching for Chemical Ocular Burns

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Sharifipour 2007 [159] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Topical Steroids vs Normal Saline	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 28 rabbits with severe corneal alkali injury.		Oxygen treatment, received 100% at a flow of 5 L/min for 1 hour daily, with one eye patched (N = 14) vs Control group, received chloramphenicol eye drops 4 times daily, plus eye patch for 1 hour daily and received (N = 14).	Follow-up for 1 month.	At 30 days, 1 anterior and 1 middle-stromal ulceration in control vs 3 anterior and 2 middle and 1 posterior ulceration in oxygen group, not statistically significant. Mean difference of ulceration was 13.45 days in control group vs 18.11 days in oxygen group, (p = 0.032).	"Oxygen therapy at a flow of 5 L/min for 1 hour daily reduces the possibility of corneal perforation in rabbits and may delay ulceration of the cornea compared with the control group."	Study states double blinding but methodology of double blinded not supported. Data suggest oxygen therapy may delay corneal ulceration in severe alkali burned rabbit corneas and may delay corneal perforation.

Surgical Interventions

A minority of chemical exposures result in permanent defects, including scarring of the lens and blindness. These cases are generally amenable to surgical procedures, especially corneal transplantation for those with corneal defects and/or scarring involving the visual axis.

Amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) has been used to treat chemical ocular burns. [702, 707-711]

Amniotic Membrane Transplantation for Chemical Ocular Burns Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Amniotic membrane transplantation in conjunction with medical therapy is selectively recommended for treatment of moderately severe chemical ocular burns.

Strength of Evidence – Recom r Level of Confidence – Low	nended, Eviden	ce (C)
	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative ☐ Peri	ioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications: Benefits: Patient comfort and decidents: Harms: Frequency/Dose/Duration: Indications for Discontinuation:	reased inflammat Potential allergi Medical therapy 1% prednisolon ascorbate (10%) lubricants every	per-Hall classification grades II-IV. [712, 713] ion with potential for early re-epitheliazation. c response to the membrane. to be administered at the same time is: topical e acetate Q 6 hrs, ofloxacin Q 6 hrs, sodium of the sodium citrate (10%), plus preservative-free to 2 hours, plus homatropine (2%) 1-2 times QD, 00 mg PO Q 6 hrs for 2 to 4 weeks [712]
Rationale:	There are two n transplantation suggested earlie membrane tran effects, is costly	noderate quality trials of amniotic membrane compared with medical therapy and both trials or re-epithelialization [712] [713]. Amniotic splantation is invasive, has some adverse but has demonstrated efficacy and is mmended for treatment of ocular burns.
Evidence:	A comprehensive multiple search Cochrane Librar thermal Burn Cocontrolled clinic trial, randomized, randomized, rareview, retrospe epidemiological Nonexperiment 14 articles, and	re literature search was conducted using engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and y without date limits using the following terms: ornea, thermal ocular burn, thermal eye burn, tal trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled d controlled trials, random allocation, random*, and the controlled trials, random allocation, random, and trials, random allocation, random, and trials, prospective studies, studies, epidemiological research, and all Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed considered 4 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found
	and reviewed 4	4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In

CINAHL, we found and reviewed zero articles, and considered zero for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 1 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the 6 articles considered for inclusion, 3 randomized trials and 2 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Evidence for Amniotic Membrane Transplantation: Human Trials

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Tandon 2011 [145] (score = 6.0)	Human Trials: Amniotic Membrane vs Conventional Medial Therapy	RCT	Sponsored by the Indian Council of Medical Research, Ansari Nagar, and New Delhi. No COI.	N = 100 with grade II to IV acute chemical or thermal ocular burns. The mean age of moderate group was 4 to 52 years, and to 61 years in the severe group.	Moderate group: Amniotic membrane transplantation or AMT and conventional medical therapy (N = 25) vs. Control group: conventional medical therapy (N = 25).	Severe group: AMT and conventional medical therapy (N = 25) vs. Control group: conventional medical therapy (N = 25).	Follow-up for day 1, day 7, 1 and 3 months.	Primary outcome variable of healing of epithelial defect in AMT group [2.45 (0.48 to 5.8)] faster vs. controls [0.8 (0.43 to 5.1)], (p = 0.0004). With increasing burn grade, number of quadrants of corneal vascularization also increased, (p = 0.001).	"Amniotic membrane transplantation in eyes with acute ocular burns promotes faster healing of epithelial defect in patients with moderate grade burns."	AMT significantly better than standard treatment for rapid epithelial healing in moderate ocular burns and only slightly better in acute ocular burns.
Liang 2012 [146] (score = 4.0)	Human Trials: Amniotic Membrane vs Conventional Medial Therapy	RCT	Sponsored by the National Key Technologies Research and Development Program of the Eleventh Five-Year Plan. No mention of COI.	N = 75 with acute ocular burns graded III to VI; Mean age of 35.4 ± 10.6.		Sutureless amniotic membrane or AMT with a modified symblepharon ring (N = 39) vs. Control group: the conventional sutured amniotic membrane patch (N = 36).	Follow-up for 6.0 ± 4.7 months.	Burns graded III/IV/V/VI in sutureless group were 7/8/13/11 and in suture group 6/9/13/8. Sutureless group had shorter epithelialization of 14.03 ± 7.36 days vs. 23.06 ± 10.87 days in suture group, (p < 0.01). Complete epithelialization breakdown of groups differed: 100% in III (7/7), 90.00% in IV (9/10), 61.54% in V (8/13), 44.44% in VI (4/9). In suture group, complete	"[This study] developed a MSR for the entire conjunctival sac to allow for sutureless AMP to treat the acute ocular surface burns. The efficacy of the sutureless AMP was better than the conventional sutured AMP for the ocular burns in grades III, IV, and V."	Sparse methods. Data suggest sutureless group had faster re- epithelialization time and slower re- vascularization time. Sutureless AMP better than conventional sutured AMP group for time and rate of epithelialization, although revascularization was faster in the sutured group.

Tamhane 2005 [147] (score = 4.0)	Human Trials: Amniotic Membrane vs Conventional Medial Therapy	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 37 (7 with bilaterial involvement) with acute ocular burns (grades II-IV according to	Group A or amnotic membrane transplantation or AMT with conventional medical therapy	Follow-up at day 1, day 7, and months 1, 2, 3, 12, and 18 are presented.	epithelialization in 47.22% of eyes (17/36), with 100% in III (6/6), 66.67% in IV (6/9), 30.77% in V (4/13), and 12.50% in VI (1/8). Patients with moderate burns (grade II - III): had significant differences in discomfort scale at day 1	"Amnotic membrane transplantation in eyes with acute ocular burns has advantages in terms of reduction	Details sparse.
				Roper-Hall classification) within 3 weeks of injury. Mean age for AMT / and Medical Management group: 8 ± 12 / and 16 ± 10.	(N = 20 eyes) vs. Group B received only conventional medial therapy or prednisolone acetate, twice daily, and oral vitamin C (500 mg) every 6 hours for 2 to 4 weeks (N = 24 eyes).		postoperatively (Group A: 1.44 ± 0.53 vs. Group B: 2.13 ± 0.92, p = 0.05), and percentage reduction of epithelial defect [Log Mean] at day 7 (Group A: 7.43 ± 0.89 vs. Group B: 6.23 ± 1.10, p = 0.01). Patients with moderate burns (grade IV): There was difference in discomfort scale at day 14; Group A: 1.22 ± 0.44 vs B: 2.00 ± 0.86, (p = 0.02).	of pain and promotion of early epithelialization in patients with moderate grade burns, burn not so in severe burns."	
Gupta 2011	Human Trials: Amniotic	RCT	No sponsorship	N = 100 with acute ocular	Additional amniotic	Follow-up for 1 year.	Mean time for complete epithelial	"Dua classification by providing	Data suggest DUA classification is
[148]	Membrane vs Conventional		and or COI.	burns. The	membrane transplantation or		defect healing in group IV by Dua	further subclassification of	superior to Roper Hall by providing

(score = 4.0)	Medial Therapy	average age was 22 (4 - 52).	AMT (N = 50) vs. Conventional medical therapy alone or control group (N = 50).	system (31 days) was less than in group VI 60 days, (p = 0.082). Corneal clarity with grade IV burns was better vs grade V, (p = 0.045) or grade VI, (p = 0.024). At final visit, degree of conjunctival involvement more in those with symblepharon formation, (p = 0.016). AMT was efficacious in preventing symblepharon formation in group IV, not in group VI,	grade IV ocular burns by Roper Hall into three separate grades has a superior prognostic predictive value in severe ocular burns."	further sub- classification of grade IV ocular burns and therefore treatment can enhance prognosis.
				IV, not in group VI, (p = 0.0082).		

Evidence for Amniotic Membrane Patching: Animal Trials

Author (Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
[152] F (score = 4.0) F	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Amniotic Membrane Patching vs. Controls	RCT	Sponsored by a grant of Good Health RND Project (HMP-97-M-0055), Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea. No COI.	N = 115 rabbits with alkali wounds were inflicted on the central corneas.		Group I, immediately covered by AM with the amnion cell side down up to the perilimbal sclera (N = 26) vs. Group II, covered by AM with the stromal side down up to the perilimbal sclera (N = 19) vs. Group II, anchored to the fornix (N = 29) vs. Group IV, uncovered as a control (N = 41).	Follow-up for 8 weeks.	For epithelial defects, corneal thickness and its opacity of each eye healing was faster in all AM group vs control, (p < 0.05). Corneas became significantly thinner vs uncovered group after 4 weeks and to a normal level at 8 weeks, (p < 0.05). Groups except for the amnion cell side down group, showed no significant differences in corneal opacity, (p > 0.05).	"Immediate intervention for acute alkali burns with AM as a temporary patch promotes wound healing by inhibiting proteinase activity and PMNs infiltration."	Data suggest amniotic membrane patching promotes corneal wound healing.

Corneal Transplantation for Blindness or Other Corneal Scarring/Defects after Chemical Eye Exposures

Strongly Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Corneal transplantation is strongly recommended for restoration of vision due to blindness or other effects such as corneal scarring post chemical eye exposures.

Strength of Evidence – Strongly Level of Confidence – High	y Recommended, Evidence (A)
	□ Chronic □
□ Preoperative □ Peri	ioperative
Indications:	Corneal scarring and/or blindness after chemical eye exposure with visual acuity less than 20/40. There should be reasonable expectation that the retina is normal (e.g., pre-injury status).
Harms: Benefits: Frequency/Dose/Duration:	Further degradation of vision if unsuccessful Potential to resolve visual deficiency N/A
Indications for Discontinuation:	N/A
Rationale:	There is strong evidence that corneal transplants are highly successful. Transplants are invasive, do have some adverse effects, are high-cost, but are also potentially highly successful and are thus strongly recommended for those with uncorrectable and significant visual acuity deficits.
Evidence:	A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn, chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement or ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Corneal Transplantation for Blindness or Other Corneal Scarring/Defects after Chemical Eye Exposures

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Li 2014 [172] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rats: Autologous oral mucosal transplantation post corneal burns.	RCT	Sponsored by the Young Teachers Cultivation Project of Sun Yat-sen University, Doctoral Program of the Ministry of Education, Science of Technology Programs of Guangdong Province.	N = 14 rats (180- 200 g) with alkali burn in right eye. Rats with ocular or systemic diseases were excluded.		Group A: autologous oral mucosa strip transplantation (N = 7) vs. Group B: no surgery after burn (N = 7). After surgery, treated eyes received tobramycin dexamethasone eye drops 4 times daily.	Follow-up unclear but possibly up to 20 days.	Infectious complications: non in treatment group vs. 1 in control group. Oral mucosal wound healing: completely healed by days 2-3 in the treatment group. Total corneal epithelial cell defects and corneal edema occurred in all treatment eyes on the day of surgery. Reepithelialization began in 6 of 7 eye in treatment group at days 2-5.	"Autologous oral mucosa strip grafting for limbal stem cell deficiency can be achieved by a rat model following chemical burn."	Data suggest autologous oral mucosal epithelial transplantation post alkali burn in rats may be beneficial for corneal limbal stem cell failure.

Evidence for Hyperbaric Oxygen

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Hirst 2004 [163] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Hyperbaric oxygen for the treatment of chemical burns	RCT	Sponsored by the Ophthalmic Research Institute of Australia. No mention of COI.	N = 24 rabbits (mean body weight of 2.94 kg) with alkali- induced corneal burns		Hyperbaric oxygen treatment at 2.4 ATA for 1 hour every day for 21 days starting 4 hours after burn (N = 12) vs Control (N = 12).	Eyes examined daily for 2 weeks and then weekly until the end of the trial.	There were no significant differences between groups for epithelial defects or vascularization of the corneas.	"Treatment with hyperbaric oxygen for 1 h daily for 21 days had no beneficial effect on alkali-induced corneal burns."	Data suggest lack of efficacy for alkali induced corneal burns in rabbits at 21 days.
Ling 2013 [165] (score = 4.5)	Animal Trials: Mice: Hyperbaric Oxygen Treatment	RCT	Sponsored by the China National Natural Science Fund, the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation, the Guangdong Provincial Science and Technology Projects; and the Young Teachers Training Program of Sun Yat-sen University. No COI.	N = 98 male BALB/c mice or C57BI/c mice, 8- 10 weeks old.		Group A, allogeneic corneal transplantation (N = unknown) vs Group B, topical use of doxycycline after allogeneic corneal transplantation (N = unknown) vs Group C, syngeneic corneal Transplantation (N = unknown).	Follow-up for 30 days.	The percentage of neovascularized area was 60.67 ± 2.46% in group A vs 34.10 ± 3.01% in group B vs 14.10 ± 2.62% in group C. Mean survival time in the group B mice (27.00 ± 2.00 days) was significantly longer vs group A mice; 11.67 ± 1.51 days, (p < 0.05).	"Doxycycline may have had a significant role in preventing corneal angiogenesis and inflammation in alkali-burned corneal beds, which resulted in higher allograft survival rates.	Data suggest doxycycline may prevent allograft rejection in alkali burned mouse corneas as doxycycline had a statistically significant effect in reducing inflammation and angiogenesis.

Evidence for Tumor Necrosis Factor Blocker

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Shi 2010 [168] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Mice: Tumor Necrosis Factor Blocker	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 150 mice with alkali burn to astablish models of corneal neuovascularization (CNV). 150 BALB/c mice of either sex, aged 6 to 8 weeks.		Alkali burn group (N = 25) vs Suturing group- mark made in the central cornea by a 2-mm-diameter trephine. (N = 25) vs Fungal infection model using 5 µl of Fusarium solani Liquor (N = 25) vs Bovine serum albumin (BSA) injection (N = 25) vs Tumor cell implantation model: 2 µl of mouse fibroma cell suspension (105/ml) was injected into the corneal stroma using a 32-gauge needle to form a corneal layer tunnel. (N = 25).	Follow-up for 21 days.	The rate of successfully induced CNV was 97% in the alkali burn model, 100% in the suturing model, 90% in the fungal infection model, 90% in the BSA injection group and 87% in the tumor cell implantation model.	"Corneal neovascularization and lymphangiogenesis induced by different etiological factors show different growth patterns. Inflammatory reaction plays a part in the induction of corneal neovascularization."	Data suggest different etiological agents express different growth patterns for neovascularization and lymphangiogenesis in mice. Also, the inflammation response plays a role in corneal neovascularization. Also, VEGFs in corneal tissue may sustain corneal neovascularization and lymphangiogenesis.

Ferrari	Animal	RCT	Sponsored by a	N = 40 female mice	Group 1: infliximab	Follow-up	Infliximab	"Infliximab	Data suggest
2013	Trials: Mice:		grant from the	(4-6 weeks old)	10 μL of 10 mg/ml	after 7 days	improved corneal	penetrates the	infliximab
[169]	Tumor		Bietti Eye	with alkali burn on	topically 6 times a	from burn.	transparency after	cornea and is safe	penetrates the
(score =	Necrosis		Foundation,	left eye of each	day (N = 20, 10 for		burn, there was	to the ocular	mouse cornea after
3.5)	Factor		Istituto di	mouse	immunostaining		evidence of visual	surface in an animal	alkali burns and
,	Blocker		Ricovero e Cura		and 10 for real-time		reduction of	model of ocular	reduced loss of
			a Carattere		PCR analysis) vs.		corneal	surface scarring. We	conjunctiva,
			Scientifico		Group 2: infliximab		neovascularization,	suggest that topical	improved tears
			(IRCSS). No		administered for 14		and it increased	application of	secreation and
			mention of		days to measure		the rate of	infliximab may be a	epithelial healing
			sponsorship.		corneal		epithelial healing	useful treatment in	and reduced both
			'		neovascularization		compared to the	ocular	hemangioneses
					(N = 10) vs Control		control group	caustications."	and
					group: 10 µg topical		(p<0.05) at day 7.		lymphangiogenesis.
					saline 20 mice for 7		Perforation rate:		
					days and 10 for 14		decreased by 50%		
					days (N = 30).		(from 57.14% to		
					Treatment started		26.32%) with		
					immediately after		infliximab		
					caustication.		(p=0.0489).		
							Mean±SEM		
							corneal opacity		
							index: untreated		
							eyes 3.40±0.22 vs.		
							treatment		
							2.41±0.34		
							(p=0.0484). Tear		
							secretion: reduced		
							in control group,		
							1.31±0.21 mm, but		
							not in treatment,		
							1.71±0.29 mm vs.		
							unburned eyes		
							2.39±0.12 mm (p <		
							0.05). Ocular		
							phimosis index:		
							reduced more		
							rapidly by		
							infliximab vs.		

				saline, from 2.39±0.18 to 0.68±0.23, from day 4 onwards (p<0.05). Goblet cells: treatment eyes 3x more cells vs. control, (p < 0.05).	

Haddox 1996 [161] (score = 4.5)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Tumor Necrosis Factor Blocker	RCT	Sponsored by NEI grant. No mention of COI.	N = 60 right eyes if albino rabbits (2-2.5 kg) with alkali-injured eye.		Citrate drops: 10% citrate drops 153.13 g of trisodium citrate up to 1 L physiological saline (N = 20) vs calcium-magnesium citrate drops: 10% citrate 306.26 g trisodium citrate and 346 mM calcium and 346 mM magnesium up to 1 L with physiological saline (N = 20) vs 10% citrate in saline (N = 20) 2 drops in lower cul de sac of right eye on the hour, 14 times a day for 35 days. Medications were administered hourly starting 1.5 hours after alkali injury. Erthromycin ophthalmic ointment (0.5%) was applied twice a day to prevent infection.	Rabbits killed after final examination on day 35.	Fewer ulcerations in the citrate-treated eyes vs saline vs calcium group; 5/20 or 25% vs 13/20 or 65% vs 15/20 or 75%. Citrate-cation group had significantly more band keratopathies, (p < 0.001).	"The annulment of the favorable effect of citrate on ulceration in the alkali-injured eye by the addition of calcium and magnesium shows that the mechanism of action of citrate is the chelation of thee divalent citations."	Data suggest that the decrease in corneal ulcers in alkali burned rabbit eyes treated with sodium citrate is based on the mechanism of divalent cation chelation.
---	---	-----	--	---	--	--	---	---	--	---

Evidence for Poly-D, L-lactic acid (PDDLA) membrane

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Du 2007 [162] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rabbits: Poly- D, L-lactic acid (PDDLA) membrane vs other types of membranes vs no membrane.	RCT	Sponsored by the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. No mention of COI.	N = 12 rabbits weighing 2.0-2.5 kg with right cornea of each made into an alkali- burned model.		Poly-D, L-lactic acid (PDLLA) membrane using 0/0 silk thread sutured onto limbus and sclera (N = 3) vs. PDLLA/collagen membrane (N = 3) vs. PDLLA/chitosan membrane (N = 3). After operation, 0.25% chloramphenicol eye drops 3 times per day.	Rabbits were killed after 12 days.	Conjunctival congestion: significant between the control and the 3 treatments, (p < 0.05) but not among 3 treatment groups. Conjunctival discharge: significant between the control and 3 treatments (p < 0.05) but not among 3 treatment groups. Corneal neovascularization 5 days postoperatively: significant between PDLLA/chitosan group vs PDLLA/collagen group and the PDLLA or control groups, (p < 0.04)	"This evidence suggests that PDLLA/chitosan may be an alternative treatment for corneal alkali burns."	Membranes visibly deteriorated by day 10 so no observations were made after 12 days. Small sample. Data suggest PDLLA/chitosan enhanced wound healing in alkali burned rabbit corneas.

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Shen 2014 [166] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Mice: The role of TC140112 vs CXCR7 in CNV in alkali burned eyes.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 54 mice treated with alkali burns. 6 to 8 week old male BALB/c mice.		Bilateral subconjunctival injections of TC14012 (a CXCR4 antagonist and CXCR7 agonist) for 3 consecutive days (N = 18) vs Bilateral subconjunctival injections of balanced saline (BS) for 3 consecutive days (N = 18) vs No treatment (blank control) (N = 18).	Follow-up for 14 days.	The area of corneal neovascularization (CNV) increased over time in the nontreatment and BS groups. At day 7, the TC14012 CNV area was significantly higher compared to the BS and Nontreatment groups; 35.59 vs. 28.38 vs. 28.09 (p<0.05). At day 14, the TC14012 was significantly lower compared to the other two groups; 27.56 vs. 40.77 vs. 39.01, respectively (p<0.05).	"TC14012 initially enhanced alkali burn-induced CNV but reduced CNV in later stages. In addition to CXCR4, CXCR7 is involved in the pathogenesis of CNV."	Data suggest TC 14012 initially increased alkali burn induced CNV in mice but reduced it after day 13.

Xiao 2012 [167] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Mice: Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) vs Minocycline in alkali burns.	RCT	Supported by "Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" in China (grant number: 3030901009015, Shi- you Zhou) and the NSFC-RGC HK joint project (grant number: 30731160617, Rong- biao Pi). No COI.	N = 105 mice treated with alkali burns.		Group 1- Phosphate buffered saline (PBS)- Control group (N = unknown) vs Group 2- Minocycline twice a day (60 mg/kg or 30 mg/kg) (N = unknown) vs Group 3- 14 consecutive days of minocycline (60 mg/kg or 30 mg/kg) (N = unknown)	Follow-up for 14 days.	The area of CNV increased over time in all three groups. The CNV percentage in the high-dosage group reduced significantly compared to the control group at all follow-up days; (all were p < 0.01). The only follow-up day were the low-dosage group vs. control group was the 4th day (20.62% vs. 32.39%), (p < 0.01).	"In summary, minocycline has more functions besides its antibiotic character, as shown in this study and in other reports. Minocycline may someday play a promising role in preventing CNV."	Group numbers not given. Data suggest intraperitoneal injection of Minocycline (60mg/kg) bid significantly inhibits neovascularization of alkali burned mice corneas also decreasing inflammation response.
--	--	-----	--	---	--	--	------------------------------	--	--	---

Evidence for Tocilizumab

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow- up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Sari 2015 [177] (score = 4.0)	Animal Trials: Rats: Tocilizumab for treatment of corneal burns	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 24 with alkali burn induced corneal neovascularization (CNV) in rats.		Group 1, received sub-conjunctival injection of 4 mg/0.2 ml tocilizumab (N = 12) vs Group 2, received sub-conjunctival injection of 0.2 ml normal saline at the 5th day of alkali burn (N = 12).	Follow- up for about 15 days.	The area of CNV was 26.9% in Group 1 vs 56.5% in Group 2, (p < 0.001). Significantly lower corneal inflammation score in Group 1 vs 2, (p < 0.001). The number of vessels stained with vWF were significantly higher in Group 2 vs 1 (15.23 and 5.46, respectively; p < 0.001). Vascular endothelial growth factor or VEGF levels were significantly lower in Group 1 vs Group 2, (p = 0.013).	"The present data demonstrated first time the beneficial effects of subconjunctival tocilizumab on decreasing CNV in alkali burn model of the rat cornea.	Data suggest sub-conjunctival tocilizumab significantly decreases CNV in alkali burned rat corneas as well as showing significantly less inflammation.

	Güler 2009 [178] (score = 3.5)	Animal Trials: Rats: Role of Trastuzumab in neovascularization in burned corneas.	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 16 rats with chemical cauterization on the corneas.		Group 1, received intraperitoneally 1 ml, 4 mg/kg trastuzumab (N = 8) vs Group 2, received 1 ml Saline (N = 8).	Follow- up not given.	Average neovascularization area in treatment group was statistically smaller than control, (p = 0.008). The mean VEGF staining intensity of epithelial and endothelial layers of cornea in treatment group vs control, (p = 0.038 and p = 0.041, respectively).	"Systemic administration of trastuzumab is effective in prevention of the corneal neovascularization."	Small sample size. Data suggest trastuzumab prevents neovascularization in burned rat cornea.	
--	--	---	-----	------------------------	---	--	---	-----------------------------	---	--	---	--

Thermal Burns

OVERVIEW

Thermal Ocular Burns

Thermal ocular burns occur in occupational environments, although relatively infrequently compared with chemical injuries.

Immediate treatment to irrigate the eye with copious water or other aqueous irrigating solutions is believed to be important for the outcomes of thermal eye injuries. [696, 697, 700].

Ocular surface burns may be caused by intense ultraviolet exposures, most commonly welding while not wearing protective eye gear. They may also be incidental to being near a welder but without adequate eye protection. The presentation typically occurs one day after exposure with a red, painful irritated eye. A diffuse granular appearance of the cornea is usually seen. The history and initial physical examination are highly characteristic. Slit lamp examination findings are characteristic of diffuse granular uptake generally with sparing of the upper and lower corneal margins where the eyelids protect the cornea.

Eye burn accidents occur mostly at work and can result from exposure to alkaline agents (lime or sodium hydroxide), acids, liquid metals, or fireworks. Treatment can include immediate rinsing of the eye [714]. Another treatment is amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) for acute ocular surface burns. A systematic review found lack of evidence to support the use of this treatment [132].

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

NSAID Drops for Welder's Flash

Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

NSAID ophthalmic drops are recommended for treatment of welder's flash.

Strength of Evidence – Recomm Level of Confidence – Moderate	-	ent Evidence (I)
	☐ Chronic perative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:	Welder's flash	
Benefits:	Reduced pain, de	ecreased inflammatory response.
Harms:	Allergic reactions	in susceptible patients, intolerance.
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	Per manufacture	r's recommendations

Symptom resolution

Indications for Discontinuation:

Rationale: There are no quality trials for treatment of welder's flash.

NSAID drops are low cost, not invasive, associated with low

risks and are recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using

multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms:

Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: uv corneal burn, welder's eye, keratitis, corneal ulcers, keratouveitis, snow blindness, arc eye, welder's flash, bake eyes, corneal flash burns, flash burns, keratoconjunctivitis photoelectric, photokeratitis, ultraviolet keratitis, eye patch, antibiotics, antifungals, polyhexamethylene biguanide, NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, steroids, eyeglasses, lubricating eye drops, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization,

randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 362 articles, and considered 68 for inclusion. In

for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 3 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 9 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 3 articles from other sources. Of the 75

Scopus, we found and reviewed 27 articles, and considered 2

articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0

systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: [Can include harms, benefits, advantages, limitations, etc.]

Eye Patching for Welder's Flash Not Recommended.

Devices

Eye patching for welder's flash is not recommended.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I)
Level of Confidence – Moderate

, ,			
⊠ Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative	e □ Peri	ioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:		N/A	
Benefits:		N/A	
Harms:		N/A	
Frequency/Dose/	Duration:	N/A	
Indications for Di	iscontinuation:	N/A	

There are no quality trials of patching for treatment of welder's

flash. However, eye patching has been shown to have no

Rationale:

benefits for treatment of corneal abrasions and rust rings. Thus, patching is also not expected to be efficacious for welder's flash, and therefore patching is not recommended for welders flash.

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using

multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: uv corneal burn, welder's eye, keratitis, corneal ulcers, keratouveitis, snow blindness, arc eye, welder's flash, bake eyes, corneal flash burns, flash burns, keratoconjunctivitis photoelectric, photokeratitis, ultraviolet keratitis, eve patch, antibiotics, antifungals, polyhexamethylene biguanide, NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, steroids, eyeglasses, lubricating eye drops, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 362 articles, and considered 68 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 27 articles, and considered 2 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 3 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 9 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 3 articles from other sources. Of the 75 articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0

systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Evidence:

Copious Irrigation for Thermal Eye Exposures Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Copious Irrigation is recommended for thermal eye exposures.

Strength of Evi Level of Confid		mended, Insuff	icient Evidence (I)
⊠ Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
☐ Preoperative	e □ Per	ioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:		begin irrigatio	e exposures and injuries. It is recommended to n immediately after eye exposure, rather than nptoms to develop.
Harms:		Negligible. Mil	d discomfort from solution and irrigation
Benefits:		Limiting exten	t of burn/injury, earlier relief of pain
Frequency/Dose	/Duration:	•	nost commonly available and should be used if st readily available solution, especially for first

line, in-plant settings. Irrigation bottles with irrigating solutions are also useful in in-plant medical departments, clinical settings and distributed in some facilities. Normal saline, lactated Ringer's solution are additional options for initial irrigation and are preferable to tap water, but only if immediately available. Substitute normal saline or lactated ringer's or other balanced saline solution for tap water when available. Generally use topical anesthetic to anesthetize the eye when available, as it will assist in better tolerance of irrigation.

Indications for Discontinuation:

Only after copious irrigation, usually at least 500mL has been

used to flush out the eye.

Rationale:

There are no quality studies identifying use compared with non-use of irrigation. There are experimental studies of irrigating solutions for treatment especially of animal models. These animal studies suggest superiority of balanced salt solutions (e.g., normal saline, lactate Ringer's solution) over hypotonic solutions (such as tap water). Still, experience suggests earlier irrigation with the most readily available solution, including tap water, is the preferred initial strategy and is recommended. Once irrigation is underway, tailoring of further irrigation, including possible use of an irrigating system (e.g., "Morgan lens") may be considered although is less necessary in thermal than in chemical injuries.

Evidence:

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: thermal Burn Cornea, thermal ocular burn, thermal eye burn, cornea, , chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement or ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Non-experimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

[Can include harms, benefits, advantages, limitations, etc.]

Irrigating Systems (e.g., Morgan Lens) for Thermal Eye Exposures Not Recommended.

Devices

Irrigating Systems (e.g., Morgan Lens) are not recommended for thermal eye exposures.

Strength of Evidence – Not Recommended, Insuffcient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence - Moderate ☐ Subacute ☐ Chronic ☐ Preoperative ☐ Perioperative ☐ Postoperative Rationale: There are no quality studies comparing use with non-use of irrigating systems for thermal injuries. They are generally not thought to be necessary for most thermal injuries. Exceptions may include combinations of chemicals and thermal. (see above) Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye burn, cornea, cornea burn, chemical, lye, alkaline, burn or burns, alkali or lime or cement or ammonia or sulfurous acid or nitric acid; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 623 articles, and considered 72 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 1190 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 4 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 12 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 14 articles from other sources. Of the 78 articles considered for inclusion, 6 human randomized trials and 27 animal randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria. **Artificial Tears or Lubrication for Thermal Ocular Burns** Recommended. **Medications (including topical creams)** Artificial tears or lubricants are selectively recommended for treatment of patients with thermal ocular burns. Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I) Level of Confidence - Low ☐ Chronic ☐ Preoperative ☐ Perioperative ☐ Postoperative Indications: Thermal ocular burns of sufficient size and pain, and

particularly among those with inadequate tearing.

Benefits: May provide sufficient tears to reduce symptoms and

potentially improve healing.

Harms: Undefined but likely negligible.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations

Indications for Discontinuation: Symptom resolution

Rationale: There are no quality trials of artificial tears for thermal ocular

burns. Artificial tears are inexpensive, noninvasive, and have low adverse effects and are recommended particularly for

those patients with inadequate tears.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using

multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms:

uv corneal burn, welder's eye, keratitis, corneal ulcers, keratouveitis, snow blindness, arc eye, welder's flash, bake eyes, corneal flash burns, flash burns, keratoconjunctivitis photoelectric, photokeratitis, ultraviolet keratitis, eye patch, antibiotics, antifungals, polyhexamethylene biguanide, NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, steroids, eyeglasses, lubricating eye drops, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 362 articles, and considered 68 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 27 articles, and considered 2 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 3 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and

reviewed 9 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 3 articles from other sources. Of the 75

articles considered for inclusion, 48 randomized trials and 4 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments: [Can include harms, benefits, advantages, limitations, etc.]

NSAID Drops for Thermal Ocular Burns

Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

NSAID ophthalmic drops are recommended for treatment of thermal ocular b	nended for treatment of thermal ocular bu	os are recommended f	AID ophthalmic dro	NSAID
--	---	----------------------	--------------------	-------

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

Level of Confide	nce – Low		
☑ Acute☐ Preoperative	☐ Subacute ☐ Perio	☐ Chronic operative	☐ Postoperative
Indications: Benefits:		Thermal ocular b	ourns ecreased inflammatory response.

Harms: Allergic reactions in susceptible patients, intolerance.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations

Indications for Discontinuation: Symptom resolution

Rationale: There are no quality trials for treatment of thermal ocular

burns with ophthalmic NSAID drops. NSAID drops are low cost, not invasive, associated with low risks and are recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using

multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms:

uv corneal burn, welder's eye, keratitis, corneal ulcers, keratouveitis, snow blindness, arc eye, welder's flash, bake eyes, corneal flash burns, flash burns, keratoconjunctivitis photoelectric, photokeratitis, ultraviolet keratitis, eye patch, antibiotics, antifungals, polyhexamethylene biguanide, NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, steroids, eyeglasses, lubricating eye drops, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, review, retrospective studies

random allocation, random's, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 362 articles, and considered 68 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 27 articles, and considered 2 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 3 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 9 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also

considered for inclusion 3 articles from other sources. Of the 75

articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Eye Patching for Thermal Ocular Burns Recommended.

Devices

Eye patching is selectively recommended for treatment of moderate to severe thermal ocular burns.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evider	ıce (I)
Level of Confidence – Low	

□ Acute	☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic	
□ Preoperative	□ Peri	operative	☐ Postoperative

Indications: Moderate to severe thermal ocular burn that is sufficiently

large to have limited vision and inadequate tearing.

Benefits: Comfort Harms: None Frequency/Dose/Duration: N/A

Indications for Discontinuation: Symptom resolution

Rationale: There are no quality trials of patching for treatment of thermal

ocular burns. Thermal ocular burns may be selectively treated with eye patching to help provide better protection of the cornea when there is limited tearing and a considerable burn.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using

multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: thermal Burn Cornea, thermal ocular burn, thermal eye burn, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic

review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and

Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 14 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 44 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed zero articles, and considered zero for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed

1 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. We also

considered for inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the 6 articles considered for inclusion, 3 randomized trials and 2

systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Amniotic Membrane Transplantation with Medical Therapy for Thermal Ocular Burns Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Amniotic membrane transplantation in conjunction with medical therapy is selectively recommended for treatment of thermal ocular burns.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C) Level of Confidence – Low

\times	Acute	□ Subacute		Chronic
----------	-------	------------	--	---------

☐ Preoperative ☐ Perioperative ☐ Postoperative

Indications: Thermal ocular burn Roper-Hall classification grades II-IV. [712];

[713]

Benefits: Faster re-epithelialization (healing) leading to improved vision.

Harms: Few reported

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Medical therapy recommended to be administered at the same

time is: topical 1% prednisolone acetate Q 6 hrs, ofloxacin Q 6

hrs, sodium ascorbate (10%), sodium citrate (10%), plus preservative-free lubricants every 2 hours, plus homatropine (2%) 1-2 times QD, and vitamin C 500 mg PO Q 6 hrs for 2 to 4 weeks (Tamhane 05)

 ${\it Indications for Discontinuation:}$

Rationale:

There are three moderate quality trials of amniotic membrane transplantation compared with medical therapy and both trials suggested earlier re-epithelialization (Tamhane 05, 10; Tandon 10). However, the benefits have not been shown to extend to improved visual function. Amniotic membrane transplantation is invasive, has some adverse effects, is costly but has demonstrated efficacy and is selectively recommended for treatment of ocular burns.

Evidence:

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: thermal Burn Cornea, thermal ocular burn, thermal eye burn, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 14 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 44 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed zero articles, and considered zero for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 1 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the 6

articles considered for inclusion, 3 randomized trials and 2

systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Standalone Amniotic Membrane Transplantation for Acute Ocular Burns No Recommendation.

Surgical Considerations

AMT as standalone therapy for acute ocular burns is not recommended due to lack of high quality evidence to support the surgery (see AMP plus medications).

Strength of Evidence - Level of Confidence –	-	Insuffcient Evidence (I)
☐ Acute ☐ Sub ☐ Preoperative	 ☐ Chronic operative	☐ Postoperative
Indications:	Currently not in	ndicated for acute ocular burns

Benefits: Potential for improved vision

Harms: None reported

Frequency/Dose/Duration: N/A
Indications for Discontinuation: N/A

Rationale: There are no quality, sizeable studies of amniotic membrane

transplantation, thus there is no recommendation.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using

multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms:

uv corneal burn, welder's eye, keratitis, corneal ulcers, keratouveitis, snow blindness, arc eye, welder's flash, bake eyes, corneal flash burns, flash burns, keratoconjunctivitis photoelectric, photokeratitis, ultraviolet keratitis, eye patch, antibiotics, antifungals, polyhexamethylene biguanide, NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, steroids, eyeglasses, lubricating eye drops, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, randomallocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies,

random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 362 articles, and considered 68 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 27 articles, and considered 2 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 3 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 9 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 3 articles from other sources. Of the 75 articles considered for inclusion, 0 randomized trials and 0

systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Comments:

Evidence for Amniotic Membrane Transplantation

Author Year (Score):	Category :	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow -up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Tamhane 2005 (score = 4.0)	Amniotic membra ne transpla ntation vs conventi onal therapy for ocular burns.	RCT	Sponsored by The Indian Council of Medical Research. No COI.	N = 37 with acute ocular burns (grades II-IV according to Roper-Hall classification) within 3 weeks of injury. Mean±SD age: 18±12 years Amniotic Membrane. 16±10 years conventional.		Group A: eyes receive amniotic membrane transplantation with conventional medical therapy (N = 20) vs. Group B: received conventional medial therapy which included topical prednisolone acetate (1%; Allergan, Bangalore, India) every six hours, plus ofloxacin every 6 hours, plus sodium ascorbate (10%), sodium citrate (10%), plus preservative-free lubricants every 2 hours, plus homatropine (2%) once or twice daily, plus + oral vitamin C (500 mg) every 6 hours for 2 to 4 weeks (N = 24).	Follow -up up to 4 weeks .	Discomfort scale at day 1 / reduction of epithelial defect at day 7 / moderate burns: (significant difference, 1.44 ± 0.53 vs. Group B 2.13 ± 0.92, p = 0.05) / (7.43 ± 0.89 vs. Group B 6.23 ± 1.10, p = 0.01)/ (significant difference in discomfort scale at day 14, 1.22 ± 0.44 vs. B 2.00 ± 0.86, p = 0.02).	"Amniotic membrane transplantation in eyes with acute ocular burns promotes faster healing of epithelial defect in patients with moderate grade burns. There seems to be no definite long-term advantage of amniotic membrane transplantation over medical therapy and mechanical release of adhesions in terms of final visual outcome, appearance of symblepharon and corneal vascularization when compared in a controlled clinical setting."	Stratified randomization. Data suggest amniotic membrane transplantation in acute ocular eye burns promotes faster re- epithelialization.

	Liang 2012 (score = 4.0)	Suturele ss amniotic membra ne vs conventi onal sutured approac h.	RCT	Sponsored by the National Key Technologie s Research and Developme nt Program of the Eleventh Five-Year Plan. No mention of COI.	N = 75 with acute ocular burns graded III to VI; mean age of 35.4 ± 10.6. Causes of the ocular injury included alkali (54 eyes), acid (8 eyes), thermal (11 eyes), and unknown (2 eyes).		Sutureless amniotic membrane with a modified symblepharon ring (N = 39). vs. Control group: the conventional sutured amniotic membrane patch (N = 36).	Follow -up for 6.0 ± 4.7 month s.	The burns graded III/IV/V/VI in the sutureless group were 7/8/13/11 and in the suture group were 6/9/13/8.	The sutureless group had significantly shorter epithelialization of 14.03 ± 7.36 days vs. 23.06 ± 10.87 days in the suture group (p<0.01). The complete epithelialization breakdown of the groups was statistically different as follows: 100% in III (7/7), 90.00% in IV (9/10), 61.54% in V (8/13), 44.44% in VI (4/9). In the suture group, complete epithelialization was observed in 47.22% of eyes (17/36), with 100% in III (6/6), 66.67% in IV (6/9), 30.77% in V (4/13), and 12.50% in VI (1/8). "[This study] developed a MSR for the entire conjunctival sac to allow for sutureless AMP to treat the acute ocular surface burns. The efficacy of the sutureless AMP was better than the conventional sutured AMP for the ocular burns in grades III, IV, and V. This modified method is simple, minimally invasive, free of trauma, symptomatic relief, and effective to promote the wound healing."	Sparse methods. Data suggest sutureless group had faster re- epithelialization time and slower re- vascularization time.
--	--------------------------------	--	-----	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	--	--	--

Tandon	AMT	RCT	No COI. No	N = 100 with	Moderate group:	Follow	Healing of the epithelial	"Amniotic membrane	Stratified
2010	plus		mention of	grade II to IV	Amniotic	-up for	defect: AMT group [2.45	transplantation in eyes	randomization. Data
(score =	conventi		sponsorship	acute	membrane	day 1,	(0.48 to 5.8)] vs. the	with acute ocular burns	suggest amniotic
4.0)	onal			chemical or	transplantation	day 7,	control group [0.8 (0.43	promotes faster healing	membrane
	therapy			thermal	(AMT) and	1 and	to 5.1)], (p=0.0004).	of epithelial defect in	transplantation in
	vc			ocular burns.	conventional	3		patients with moderate	acute ocular eye
	conventi			50 patients	medical therapy	month		grade burns. There seems	burns promotes
	onal			had moderate	(N = 25) vs	S.		to be no definite long-	faster re-
	therapy			ocular burns	Control group:			term advantage of	epithelialization.
	alone for			(grade II and	conventional			amniotic membrane	
	acute			III), and 50	medical therapy			transplantation over	
	chemical			patients had	(N = 25). Severe			medical therapy and	
	or ocular			severe ocular	group: AMT and			mechanical release of	
	burns.			burns (grade	conventional			adhesions in terms of	
				IV). Mean	medical therapy			final visual outcome,	
				(Range) age:	(N = 25) vs			appearance of	
				moderate	Control group:			symblepharon and	
				group –	conventional			corneal vascularisation	
				control: 25(4-	medical therapy			when compared in a	
				45) years,	(N = 25).			controlled clinical	
				amniotic				setting."	
				group 18(5-					
				52). Severe					
				group –					
				control: 14 (3-					
				61), amniotic					
				13(6-60)					
				years.					

Thermal Burn Cornea Evidence

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: thermal Burn Cornea, thermal ocular burn, thermal eye burn, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 14 articles, and considered 4 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 44 articles, and considered 1 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed zero articles, and considered zero for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 1 articles, and considered zero for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the 6 articles considered for inclusion, 2 randomized trials and 2 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Author Year	Category:	Study	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
(Score):		type:	Interest:							
Tandon 2010	AMP plus	RCT	Sponsored by	N = 100 with grade		Moderate	Follow-up	In patients with	"Amniotic	AMT significantly
(score = 6.0)	conventional		the Indian	II to IV acute		group: Amniotic	for day 1,	moderate burns, the	membrane	better than
	therapy for		Council of	chemical or		membrane	day 7, 1 and	primary outcome	transplantation in	standard treatment
	thermal		Medical	thermal ocular		transplantation	3 months.	variable of healing of the	eyes with acute	for rapid epithelial
	corneal		Research, Ansari	burns. 50 patients		(AMT) and		epithelial defect in the	ocular burns	healing in moderate
	burns		Nagar, New	had moderate		conventional		AMT group [2.45 (0.48 to	promotes faster	ocular burns and
			Delhi. No COI.	ocular burns		medical		5.8)] was significantly	healing of	only slightly better
				(grade II and III),		therapy (N =		faster vs. the control	epithelial defect	in acute ocular
				and 50 patients		25) vs Control		group [0.8 (0.43 to 5.1)],	in patients with	burns.
				had severe ocular		group:		(p = 0.0004). It was	moderate grade	
				burns (grade IV).		conventional		found that with	burns. There	
				The man age of		medical		increasing grade of	seems to be no	
				moderate group		therapy (N =		ocular burn, the number	definite long-	
				was 4 to 52 years,		25). Severe		of quadrants of corneal	term advantage	
				and to 61 years in		group: AMT		vascularization also	of amniotic	
				the severe group.		and		increased. The difference	membrane	
				Alkali burn was the		conventional		was statistically	transplantation	
				commonest type		medical		significant ($p = 0.001$).	over medical	
				of chemical injury		therapy (N =			therapy and	
				(72 of 100 eyes)		25) vs Control			mechanical	
				followed by acid		group:			release of	
				injury (20 of 100		conventional			adhesions in	
				eyes) and thermal		medical			terms of final	
				injury (eight of 100		therapy (N =			visual outcome,	
				eyes).		25).			appearance of	

Liang 2012 (score = 4.0)	AMP comparison using sutures or no sutures	RCT	Sponsored by the National Key Technologies Research and Development Program of the Eleventh Five- Year Plan. No mention of COI.	N = 75 with acute ocular burns graded III to VI; mean age of 35.4 ± 10.6. Causes of the ocular injury included alkali (54 eyes), acid (8 eyes), thermal (11 eyes), and unknown (2 eyes).	Sutureless amniotic membrane with a modified symblepharon ring (N = 39). vs. Control group: the conventional sutured amniotic membrane patch (N = 36).	Follow-up for 6.0 ± 4.7 months.	The burns graded III/IV/V/VI in the sutureless group were 7/8/13/11 and in the suture group were 6/9/13/8. The sutureless group had significantly shorter epithelialization of 14.03 ± 7.36 days vs. 23.06 ± 10.87 days in the suture group (p<0.01). The complete epithelialization breakdown of the groups was statistically different as follows: 100% in III (7/7), 90.00% in IV (9/10), 61.54% in V (8/13), 44.44% in VI (4/9). In the suture group, complete epithelialization was observed in 47.22% of eyes (17/36), with 100% in III (6/6), 66.67% in IV (6/9), 30.77% in V (4/13), and 12.50% in VI (1/8). Mean±SD for ECD: phaco	symblepharon and corneal vascularisation when compared in a controlled clinical setting." "[This study] developed a MSR for the entire conjunctival sac to allow for sutureless AMP to treat the acute ocular surface burns. The efficacy of the sutureless AMP was better than the conventional sutured AMP for the ocular burns in grades III, IV, and V. This modified method is simple, minimally invasive, free of trauma, symptomatic relief, and effective to promote the wound healing." "Extracapsular	Sparse methodology. Data suggest sutureless group had faster re- epithelialization time and slower re- vascularization time. Sutureless AMP better than conventional sutured AMP group for time and rate of epithelialization, although revascularization was faster in the sutured group.
(score = 4.0)	versus Different Surgical Technique	, itel	industry sponsorship. No COI.	cataract that had previous PKP; mean age of 53.53±9.57 years,	ation (N = 14) Vs Extracapsular Cataract Extraction	at preop, and months 1, 3, and 6.	vs ECCE: 3 months: 1944.17±184.27 vs 2094.00±139.10, (p=0.016); 6 months: 1869.50±158.50 vs	cataract extraction seemed to cause less endothelial cell damage than	suggest at 6mo, ECD was associated with less endothelial cell loss than phacoemulsification

				range of 35 to 67 years.	(ECCE) (N = 12). All patients: ofloxacin 0.3% and prednisolone acetate 1% were used 4 times per day		1996.00±127.96, (p=0.024); endothelial cell area: 3 months: 512.40±108.5 vs 450.80, (p=0.002); 538.60±120.4 vs 479.20±100.2, (p=0.004).	phacoemulsificati on in post-PKP patients with hard nuclear cataract."	in post-PKP patients with hard nuclear cataracts.
Alpar 1981 (score = 3.0)	Keratoplasty versus Different Surgical Technique	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 undergoing keratoplasty; mean age not reported.	for 4 weeks. Group 1, underwent intracapsular cataract extraction, intraocular lens implantation, and penetrating keratoplasty (N = 20) Vs Group 2, underwent intracapsular cataract extraction and intraocular lens implantation (N = 10) Vs Group 3, with corneal dystrophy underwent penetrating keratoplasty (N = 4) Vs Group 4 with decompensated corneas who had intraocular lenses in situ and who underwent	Follow up at preop, week 4, and 6 months.	Group 1, controls: endothelial cell loss: 4 weeks vs 6 months: 24.3% vs 20.6%, (p=0.025); Group 1, Healon: 14.3% vs 12.2%, (p<0.005); Corneal thickness: Healon, Group 1: 18.3% vs 8.7%, (p=0.005).	"Healon was found to be beneficial to the patient and a safe adjunct in penetrating keratoplasty surgery."	Small sample. Sparse methods. Baseline comparability unknown. Data suggest Healon group lost fewer endothelial cells and had thinner corneas than controls although IOP slightly elevated.

					corneal graft surgery (N = 6). Half of the patients in each group were operated with the use of Healon; the remaining patients served as the control group and were operated in the conventional manner using air/BSS to maintain the chamber during surgery.				
Barney 1994 (score = 3.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Heed Ophthalimc Foundation. No mention of COI.	N = 23 undergoing penetrating keratoplasty for herpes simplex keratitis; mean age not reported.	Group A, received prophylactic perioperative oral acyclovir beginning before surgery or on the first postoperative day, 800 or 1000 mg (N = 14) Vs Group B, control group, did not receive perioperative acyclovir (N = 9). All patients: Polysporin ointment two times daily for	Follow up on the first postoperati ve day, at 1, 2, and 4 weeks, and then monthly for the first year.	Mean±SD for recurrence-free interval (mos): Group A vs Group B: 16.5±11.1 vs 7.1±6.2, (p≤0.02; in favor of group A).	"[B]ased on these findings we believe that postoperative oral acyclovir significantly reduces the risk of herpes simplex keratitis recurrence after penetrating keratoplasty."	Sparse methods. Small sample. Data suggest long term oral acyclovir decreased occurrence of herpes simplex keratitis and reduced graft failure.

Baumeister 2009 (score = 3.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by a grant from Bayer Vital GmbH. No mention of COI.	N = 20 patients scheduled for phototherapeutic keratoplasty (PTK) due to recurring corneal erosion (RCE); mean age of 37.5 for treatment group and 40.1 for placebo group.	10 days and prednisolone sodium phosphate 1% four times daily tapered during 3 months; Diflunisal 200 mg, twice daily for one month. Bepanthen (dexpanthenol) eye and nose ointment (N = 10) Vs Placebo, ointment vehicle without the active substance (N = 8).	No follow up time reported.	Average time to close the corneal epithelium: treatment vs placebo: 57.5 h vs 64.8 h (p=0.177).	"Planimetric measurement of the slit-lamp photographs of standardized epithelial defects is an adequate method for monitoring the progress of corneal epithelial wound healing. Although wounds treated with dexpanthenol showed a slightly shorter average healing time, the difference the placebo was not	Small sample. Data suggest lack of efficacy of dexpanthenol.
Bhatti 2013 PJMS (score =	Medications for	RCT	No mention of industry	N = 81 with high risk corneal	Group A, topical	Follow up from 2 to 8	The mean corneal neovascular invasion	"When topical Bevacizumab is	Data suggest topical bevacizumab
4.5)	Keratoplasty		sponsorship or COI.	transplantation with corneal neovascularization; mean age of 52.07±5.54.	bevacizumab, 2.5%, 25mg/ml, four times daily for 24 weeks (N = 40) Vs Group	months, patients were asked to follow up every 4	area was the minimum in Group A, (p<0.03).	used, it reduces the recurrence of neovascularisatio n and thus helps increasing the	superior to placebo for graft rejection prevention in high- risk corneal transplant patients.
				32.07 ±3.34.	B, sham eye	weeks from		frequency of	transplant patients.

					drops, control group (N = 41).	the first postoperati ve day.		graft survival in cases of high risk corneal transplants."	
Bhatti 2013 JOTPMA (score = 3.0)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 122 with high- risk corneal transplantation with corneal neovascularization; mean age of 52.07±5.54, range of 39 to 67.	Group A, subconjunctival bevacizumab, 2.5 mg /0.1ml, on or two injections (N = 41) Vs Group B, sham injection,, one or two injections (N = 41) Vs Group C, topical bevacizumab, 2.5%, 25mg/ml, 4 times daily for 24 weeks (N = 40).	Follow up from 2 to 8 months, patients were asked to come for follow up every 4 weeks from the first postoperati ve day.	The mean corneal neovascular invasion area was the minimum in Group A, (p<0.03).	"Subjunctival bevacizumab reduces the recurrence of neovascularisatio n and, thus, helps increasing the frequency of graft survival in cases of high-risk corneal transplants. When used topically, it is less effective."	Sparse methods. Data suggest subconjunctival bevacizumab is superior to topical bevacizumab and placebo by reducing recurrence of neovascularization and increasing frequency of graft survival in high risk corneal transplant patients.
Blavin 2012 (score = 4.0)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=46 who underwent penetrating keratoplasty in one eye. Mean±SD age: 67±15 years.	One drop of tobramycin 0.3% after taken bandage from transplanted eye, 4 times daily until cornea reepithelialized (N=23) vs. Azithromycin 1.5%, one drop twice daily for a fixed period of further 3 days (N=23). Both groups were	Outcomes assessed daily until re- epithelializa tion.	Mean±SD to complete re-epithelialization for tobramycin vs. azithromycin: 4.14±1.17 vs. 4.13±1.82 (p=0.89). Superficial punctuate keratitis (SPK) scores on day 10 for tobramycin vs. azithromycin: 1.39 vs. 1.34 (p=0.80, Mann-Whitney test).	"Postkeratoplasty epithelial healing and ocular tolerance were not significantly different between the azithromycinand tobramycintreatment groups. Our results support the use of azithromycin as an alternative to tobramycin after corneal surgery	Small sample. Sparse methods. Data suggest similar efficacy.

					treated with dexamethasone and carmellose sodium 1 drop 4 times a day.			such as keratoplasty."	
Dellaert 1997 (score = 5.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by Chiron Vision. No mention of COI.	N=36 undergoing penetrating keratoplasty. Mean age: 48.01 years.	100μg/ml topical human epidermal growth factor (hEGF) concentration in phosphate buffered with saline stabilization (N=9) vs. Placebo consisting in same vehicle solution excluding hEGF (N=9) vs. 30μg/ml topical human epidermal growth factor (hEGF) concentration in phosphate buffered with saline stabilization (N=9) vs. Matching placebo (N=9)	Follow up at 1 week, 1 month, 6 months, 1 year, and if possible, 2 years postoperati vely.	Mean±SD of healing time of 100μg/ml hEGF group compared with the placebo: 5.1±4.3 days vs. 3.4±1.0 days (p=0.232) and for 30μg/ml hEGF group compared with the placebo: 3.9±3.1 days vs. 3.5±1.7 days (p=0.718). Mean percentage decrease of the defect area per 12 hours in the 100 μg/ml hEGF group vs. placebo group: 29% vs. 44% (p<0.0005); and for the 300 μg/ml hEGF group vs. placebo: 52% vs. 35% (p=0.147).	"No significant acceleration of corneal re- epithelialisation was demonstrated with the use of recombinant hEGF after penetrating keratoplasty in humans."	Small sample size. Data suggest lack of efficacy of topical hEGF for PK reepithelialization.
Fukuda 2012	Medications	RCT/	Sponsored by	N = 63 patients	0.5%	No follow	Mean±SD (μg/g) corneal	'These results	Study of drug
(score = 4.5)	for	Cross	the Waksman	scheduled to	moxifloxacin	up. Patients	concentrations of	show that 0.5%	penetration and not
,	Keratoplasty	over	Foundation of	undergo	ophthalmic	went into	fluoroquinolones:	moxifloxacin	of relevant health
			Japan. No COI.	penetrating	solution vs.	surgery 60	moxifloxacin 12.66±8.93	achieved superior	outcomes. Data

keratoplasty (PKP).	0.3%	minutes	vs. levofloxacin	ocular	suggest 0.5%
Age range 27-82	gatifloxacin	after last	5.95±4.02 vs. gatifloxacin	concentration	moxifloxacin
years.	ophthalmic	dose.	4.71±3.39, M vs. L	than both 0.3%	superior to
,	solution vs.		(p<0.0001), L vs. G (NS),	gatifloxacin and	Gatifloxacin and
	0.5%		G vs. M (p<0.0001).	0.5%	levofloxacin in
	levofloxacin		Mean±SD (μg/g)	levofloxacin."	penetrating into the
	ophthalmic		aqueous humor:		aqueous humor.
	solution		moxifloxacin 1.40±1.17		
	sequentially in		vs. levofloxacin		
	crossover		0.89±0.86 vs. gatifloxacin		
	setting: group 1		0.65±0.80, M vs. L		
	– moxifloxacin,		(p=0.0138), L vs. G (NS),		
	gatifloxacin,		G vs. M (p=0.0001).		
	and		(
	levofloxacin				
	(M/G/L) (N=20)				
	vs. group 2 –				
	gatifloxacin,				
	levofloxacin,				
	and				
	moxifloxacin				
	(G/L/M) (N=21)				
	vs. group 3 –				
	levofloxacin,				
	moxifloxacin,				
	and gatifloxacin				
	(L/M/G) (N=22).				
	Each drug				
	administered 3				
	times every 15				
	minutes within				
	the 30 minute				
	period running				
	from 90 to 60				
	minutes before				
	surgery. For				
	each				
	administration				
	cycle, patients				

Garzozi 2006 (score = 5.0)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 27 patients undergoing perforating keratoplasty (PKP). Mean age 57.6±23 years.	received 2 drops of each drug at 2 minute intervals. Drug concentrations determined from standard curves generated from known concentrations of the drug per weight of tissue or volume of aqueous humor used. 0.05 mg/kg i.v. droperidol (3-5 mg) in addition to general anesthesia fentanyl 2 mg/kg, diprivan 2-3 mg/kg and endotracheal intubation by rocuronium 0.5 mg/kg (N=15) vs. control group: general anesthesia only (N=12).	Follow-up at 1 day, 3 and 7 days, 1 and 6 months.	Mean±SD intraocular pressure (IOP) preoperative/postoperat ive: droperidol 13.1±2.63/10.27±1.98 (p<0.0001) vs. control 14±2.56/13.33±3.37 (p=0.2027). Mean+SD intraoperative anterior chamber (AC) depth: droperidol 2.8±0.1 mm vs. control 1.83±0.72 mm (p=0.0002).	"Droperidol effectively reduces intraoperative and postoperative complications in keratoplasty surgery."	Small sample. Data suggest droperidol effective in reducing intra- and postoperative complications in PKP.
Healy 2004 (score = 3.5)	Medications for	Exper iment	Sponsored by Santen Inc. No	N = 67 adult volunteers from	Topical administration	No follow- up time	Mean±SD cornea concentration (μg/g):	"The topical administration of	Experimental study. Sparse methods.
(55010 - 5.5)	Keratoplasty	al	mention of COI.	patients scheduled	15 minutes	reported.	ciprofloxacin 9.92±10.99	all 3 agents was	Study claims double
	atopiasty	Study		to undergo	before surgery		vs. ofloxacin 10.77±5.90	well tolerated in	blind, but method
		Juay		penetrating	of ciprofloxacin		vs. levofloxacin	patients	unclear. Data
				,	•			•	
				keratoplasty with	0.3% (N=18) vs.		18.23±20.51 (p=0.014)	undergoing	suggest levofloxacin

intact corneal	ofloxacin 0.3%	levofloxacin favored vs.	penetrating	superior for greater
epithelium for	(N=24) vs.	ciprofloxacin. Mean±SD	keratoplasty. Two	trans-corneal
corneal diseases	levofloxacin	aqueous humor	drops of	penetration.
stromal scarring,	0.5% (N=25). All	concentration (µg/mL):	levofloxacin 0.5%	pendudioni
keratoconus,	patients	ciprofloxacin 0.13±0.23	solution results in	
pellucial marginal	received 1 drop	vs. 0.13±0.11 vs.	a 1.7- to 2.7-fold	
degeneration,	of proparacaine	0.37±0.54 (p<0.001)	greater	
stromal dystrophy,	hydrochloride	levofloxacin favored.	penetration into	
or endothelial	0.5% to	ievenoadin idvered.	human corneal	
disease. Age not	operative eye		stromal and	
reported.	followed 3		aqueous humor	
Teportea.	minutes later		tissues than	
	by 1 drop of the		ofloxacin 0.3% or	
	treatment		ciprofloxacin	
	medication,		0.3%. The mean	
	second drop of		intracorneal	
	medication was		concentrations of	
	given 5 minutes		all three agents	
	after first drop.		following 2 drops	
	arter mat drop.		exceeds the	
			MIC90 for the	
			majority of	
			pathogens	
			causing bacterial	
			keratitis. Topical	
			levofloxacin	
			appears to offer	
			pharmacokinetic	
			and	
			pharmacodynami	
			c advantages	
			over ofloxacin	
			and ciprofloxacin	
			in terms of	
			enhanced	
			transcorneal	
			penetration; however, clinical	

Jansen 2009 (score = 5.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=68 scheduled for PK.	400 mg acyclovir (N=35) Vs. Identical placebo (n=33) tablets twice per day following PK.	6 weeks	Monthly event rates for epithelial herpetic eye disease (HED), stromal HED, and kerato-uveitis (KU) combined: events/month acyclovir 0.0089 vs. placebo 0.0172, rate ratio 0.52, 95% CI 0.27-0.96 (p=0.037), NS when evaluated individually or in conjunction with graft rejection episodes. NS between groups for visual acuity differences (no p-value reported).	trials are needed to confirm these relative advantages." "The results of our study suggest that oral acyclovir prescribed during the first 6 months after PK for HED protects against clinically evident HED recurrences during the first 5 years following PK."	Data suggest at 5yrs, oral cyclovir effective for prevention of recurrence of herpetic eye disease.
Kanellopoulos 1997 (score = 5.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Lions Club International Foundation. No mention of COI.	N= 40 patients undergoing penetrating keratoplasty (PK) either combined with cataract extraction and intraocular lens implantation or without. Mean age not reported.	One dose of timolol gel forming solution immediately after surgery and before eye patching (N=21) vs. two doses of oral 500 mg sustained release acetazolamide, one after completion of surgery in recovery room and one that evening (N=19).	Follow-up first postop day.	Mean intraocular pressure (IOP) 1 day postop: timolol 12.9 mm Hg vs. acetazolamide 17.9 mm Hg (p=0.003).	"Prophylactic use of timolol gel for viscoelastic-induced ocular hypertension after PK appears to offer better IOP control than oral acetazolamide, with potentially fewer adverse systemic effects."	Small sample. Data suggest timolol gel superior to oral acetazolamide for IOP control and fewer adverse events.

Nguyen 2007	Medications	RCT	Sponsored by	N = 305 who	Short-term	Assessment	No statistically	"Long-term, low-	Large sample size.
(score = 4.5)	for		Deutscher	experienced	group without	s at	significant results	dose, topical	Data suggest at
(55515 1.5)	Keratoplasty		Akademischer	penetrating	topical steroid	baseline, 6	reported between short-	steroid treatment	2yrs, low dose
			Austausch	keratoplasty in	treatment after	weeks, 6,	term and long-term	does not seem to	steroid does not
			Dienst, the	their past with	the 6 months of	12, 18 and	group comparisons.	prohibit chronic	prevent chronic
			International	mean follow up of	postoperative	24 months.	Висирание	endothelial cell	endothelial cell loss
			Council of	3.1 (± 0.9) years;	treatment until	2 1 1110116115.		loss after normal-	after PK.
			Ophthalmology	the mean (± SD)	12 months (N =			risk penetrating	urter i it.
			and BMBF. No	age 50 (± 18) for	161) Vs. Long-			keratoplasty, in	
			mention of COI.	short-term group	term group			contrast to its	
			mention of con-	and 52 (± 20) for	who continued			favorable effect	
				long-term group	prednisolone			on immunological	
				10118 10111 81 0 0 0	acetate 1% eye			graft reactions.	
					drops 1x a day			Our results may	
					until 12 months			indicate that the	
					after surgery			etiology of	
					after the 6			chronic	
					months of prior			endothelial cell	
					treatment (N =			loss is not of	
					144) Both			inflammatory	
					groups received			origin. Further	
					250mg			studies are	
					acetazolamide			needed to	
					3x daily for 1			investigate this	
					day, ofloxacin			phenomenon."	
					3% ointment			phenomenon.	
					and atropine				
					sulphate 1%				
					ointment 3x				
					daily for 2				
					weeks				
					postoperatively				
					. Prednisolone				
					acetate 1% 5 x				
					daily started on				
					the fifth day				
					postoperatively				
					, and tapered				
		<u> </u>			off by reducing				

Olson 1979 AOO (score = 3.0)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by Merck, Sharp and Dohme, the National Institutes of Health and Bausch and Lomb. COI, Dr. Olson was on a fellowship from Bausch and	N = 23 requiring penetrating keratoplasty in combination with cataract extraction or aphakic penetrating keratoplasty, whose IOP was ≥ 30mm Hg 1 day postoperatively;		one drop every 6 weeks for the first 6 months. Timolol medication group (N = 5) Vs. Daranide medication group (N = 4) Vs. Timolol and Daranide medication group (N = 8) Vs. Placebo	Assessment at baseline, 1 day, 2 days and 3+ days.	No statistically significant differences in intraocular pressure measured between medication groups and control group.	"Although Timolol, a beta- adrenergic blocking agent, has been shown to effectively lower intraocular pressure in both normal eyes and those with open- angle glaucoma,	Small sample size. High dropouts due to uncontrollable IOP. Data suggest lack of efficacy for any of the study drugs vs. placebo.
			Lomb.	the mean (± SD) age 71.2 (± 10.6) for Timolol group, 72.0 (± 8.3) for Daranide group, 57.8 (± 21.2) for Timolol & Daranide group and 66.7 (± 12.5) for Placebo group		control group (N = 6) Both groups received an ophthalmic solution for 1 drop 2x a day and took their perspective oral medication every 8 hours.			and Daranide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, has been shown to be effective in treating secondary glaucoma, we found that those drugs, either alone or in combination,	
									caused no significant difference in intraocular pressure after penetrating keratoplasty."	
Franzco 2008 (score = 6.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by Allergan Australia. No mention of COI.	N = 108 with acute endothelial rejection of a penetrating corneal graft; the	0.05% topical CsA treatme nt group	Assessment at baseline, 1 day postoperatively , weekly for 1 month,	No statistically significant differences reported	"[C]sA 0.05% (Restasis) does not appear to have any beneficial effects in the treatment of graft rejection when intensive	High dropouts. Data suggest lack of efficacy of CsA in combination with topical	

	mean (± SD) age 57.9 (± 17.7) for CsA group and 62.31 (± 18.5) for control group	instilling 1 drop 4x daily to the rejecting eye (N= 54) Vs. Placebo control group (N = 54). Both groups received standar d steroid protocol dosage of 1% predniso lone acetate to be instilled hourly	biweekly for 2 months and then monthly for 3 months.	between the CsA treatment group and placebo control group.	steroids are already being used. Other preparations of CsA could be tried."	steroids for prevention of graft rejection.	
		received					
		standar					
		day and					
		night for					
		72					
		hours,					
		followed					
		by bourby in					
		hourly in the day					
		and					
		every					
		two					
		hours in					
		the					

					night for 4 days, followed by hourly in the day and every four hours in the night for 1 week.					
Price 2014 (score = 5.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Cornea Research Foundation of America. COI, F. Price has received grants and consulting or lecture fees from Alcon, Allergan, and Bausch & Lomb.	N = 264 (325 eyes) requiring DMEK corneal transplantation; the median (range) age 67 (42-94) for prednisolone group and 68.5 (35-91) for fluorometholone group		1% Prednisolone acetate group (N = 130, 164 eyes) Vs. 0.1% Fluorometholo ne group (N = 134, 161 eyes). Both groups instilled 1% prednisolone acetate 4x daily for the 1st month. After randomization, each group took their respective assigned medication 4x daily for the second and third months, followed by 3x daily for the fourth month,	Assessment s at baseline, 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperati vely.	Postoperatively, the prednisolone group experienced significantly higher intraocular pressure elevation by ≥ 10mm Hg (or a base measurement of ≥24mm Hg) in the participants' eyes versus the Fluorometholone group: eyes (percent) – 32 (21.9) vs. 9 (6.1), (p=0.0005). Significantly more participants in the prednisolone group experienced intraocular pressure values ≥ 30 mm Hg and ≥40 mm Hg versus the fluorometholone group: eyes (percent) ≥30 mm Hg- 15 (11.6) vs. 2 (1.4), (p=0.0023), eyes (percent) ≥40 mm Hg- 3 (1.9) vs. 0 (0), (p=0.095). Eyes requiring or	"DMEK has a remarkably low rejection episode rate (,1% through 1 year), as confirmed in this prospective randomized study. This provides a unique opportunity to reduce postoperative topical corticosteroid strength and thereby reduce the risk of steroid associated complications."	Large sample size. Open label trial. Data suggest at 1yr post DMEK, rejection low (<1%) although prednisolone arm had higher IOP threshold elevations.

					2x daily for the fifth month and 1x daily until 1 year assessment.		increasing glaucoma medications had a significantly higher demand in the prednisolone group versus the fluorometholone: eyes (percent) – 28 (17.4) vs. 7 (4.6), (p=0.0003).		
Shimazaki 2011 (score = 4.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 requiring high-risk (defined by deep neovascularization in >1 quadrant or a history of corneal transplantation regrafting) corneal transplantation who were >20 years old; the mean (± SD) age 63.7 (± 13.0) for CsA group and 71.1 (± 9.0) for control group	Postoperative Cyclosporine A (CsA) group receiving 3mg/kg intravenously from the operation to day 6, 5mg/kg orally daily after. C2 levels were to be maintained between 800 and 1000 ng/mL for the first 3 months followed by 600 to 800 ng/mL after for up to 12 months (N = 20) Vs. Control group (N = 20)	Assessment s at baseline, daily for 2 weeks postoperatively, and then every 2 to 4 weeks for 24 months.	No statistically significant differences in graft clarity and rejection between CsA and control group.	"No positive effect of systemic CsA administration for suppressing rejection in highrisk corneal transplantation was observed. With a relatively high incidence of systemic side effects, the results suggest that this protocol should not be recommended for corneal transplant recipients, especially those of advanced age."	Open label trial but control group older than study group. Data suggest lack of efficacy of CyA in prevention of high risk corneal transplantation. Rejection with increased risk of adverse events.
Shimazaki 2012	Medications	RCT	No sponsorship	N = 42 with a	0.1%	Assessment	Incidences of rejection	"Prolonged use of	Data suggest at 1yr
(score = 4.0)	for		or COI.	history of	fluorometholon	s at	significantly greater in	0.1%	post keratoplasty
	Keratoplasty			penetrating	e steroid group	baseline, 1	the control group	fluorometholone	use of 0.1%
				keratoplasty who	(N = 22) Vs. No	month, 3, 6,	compared to the steroid	was beneficial for	fluorometholone
				sustained graft	steroid control	and 12	group: 1 participant	the prevention of	beneficial for
				clarity >1 year with	group (N = 20)	months.	(4.54%) vs. 6 participants	rejection after	rejection
				steroid eye drops;			(30%), (p=0.027).	PKP. Because no	prevention.

Ünal 2008 (score = 3.5)	Medications for Keratoplasty	Rand omiz ed Trial	Sponsored by Akdeniz University Scientific Research Projects Unit. No COI.	the mean (± SD) age 68.1 (± 12.7) for steroid group and 62.1 (± 18.7) for control group N=47 undergoing high risk penetrating keratoplasty. Age: ≥21 years.	One drop of topical ciclosporin 0.05%, 4 times a day, and topical dexamethasone 0.1% 6 times a day simultaneously postoperatively (group 1; N=25) vs. Dexamethason e 0.1%, 6 drops tapered off appropriately (group 2; N=22)	Follow up at 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, and every month thereafter for 30 months.	There was non-statistically significant differences comparing group 1 vs. group 2 for the mean duration of immunosuppression with dexamethasone (p=0.095), the graft survival rate (p=0.518) or any other variables assessed (p>0.05).	adverse consequences were noted, we recommend continuing use of the low-dose corticosteroids, even in non— high-risk cases." "[W]e found that dosing four times a day with commercially available topical ciclosporin 0.05% with topical dexamethasone was not as effective as topical dexamethasone alone in high-risk corneal grafts. Prepared formulations with higher ciclosporin concentrations may be needed."	Sparse methods. Data suggest lack of efficacy of combination dexamethasone with topical CyA vs. dexamethasone alone for prevention of rejection
Arora 2013 (score = 4.5)	Keratoplasty with different time frames	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship. No COI.	N = 24 with corneal edema resulting from pseudophakic bullous keratopathy (PBK) of more than 4 months duration and awaiting keratoplasty;	Group A, underwent penetrating keratoplasty 1 month after corneal collagen cross- linking (CXL) (N = 12) vs Group B, underwent penetrating	Follow-up at one week, one month and 3 months.	Mean±SD for VAS score: before surgery vs 1 week after: group A: 4.25±1.14 vs 1.67±0.65, (p=0.002); before surgery vs 1 month after surgery: 4.25±1.14 vs 1.83±0.84, (p=0.002). Group B: before surgery vs 1 week after: 5.25±1.357 vs 2.08±1.084, (p=0.002);	"Collagen cross- linking causes symptomatic relief and a decrease in central corneal thickness	Small sample. Data suggest corneal collagen cross linking leads to symptom relief and reduced corneal thickening and anterior stromal compaction but these effects decrease over time

between the ages	keratoplasty 3	before surgery vs 1	and are disease
of 30 and 70 years.	months after	month after: 5.25±1.357	severity dependent.
	CXL (N = 12).	vs 2.17±1.03, (p=0.002);	
		before surgery vs 3	
		months after:	
		5.25±1.357 vs	
		2.67±1.231, (p=0.003).	
		Mean CCT using anterior	
		segment OCT: Group A:	
		before surgery vs 1 week	
		after surgery:	
		837.83±83.96 vs	
		780.92±78.45, (p=0.007);	
		before surgery vs 1	
		month after CXL:	
		837.83±83.96 vs	
		787.58±84.69, (p=0.011);	
		Group B: before surgery	
		vs 1 month after surgery:	
		855.08±96.202 vs	
		774.42±114.62,	
		(p=0.013); Mean OCT	
		using ultrasound: Group	
		A: before surgery vs 1	
		week after:	
		817.09±65.08 vs	
		757.45±63.05, (p=0.00)	
		before surgery vs after 1	
		month: 817.09±65.08 vs	
		788.73±77.82, (p=0.029);	
		Group B: before surgery	
		vs 1 week after surgery:	
		809.08±88.703 vs	
		734.20±83.50, (p=0.025);	
		before surgery vs 1	
		month after surgery:	
		809.08±88.703 vs	
		704.40±74.123,	
		(p=0.001); before	

Baradaran-Rafi 2013 (score = 6.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by the Ophthalmic Research Center, University of Medical Sciences, Iran. No COI.	N = 57 with a clinical diagnosis of keratoconus; mean age of 27.4±7.2 (range of 15-42).	Anwar Deep Anterior lamellar Keratoplasty technique (N = 24) Vs Melles Deep Anterior lamellar Keratoplasty Technique (N = 25).	Follow up postoperati vely on days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28; then biweekly until 3 months; then monthly until one year; and quarterly thereafter.	surgery vs 3 months after surgery: : 809.08±88.703 vs 732.30±79.762, (p=0.010). Mean±SD CDVA: Anwar group vs Melles group: 0.17±0.09 logMAR vs 0.18±0.11 logMAR (95% CI -0.07 to 0.05; p=0.803). The difference in photopic and mesopic contrast sensitivity function between the two groups was statistically significant (p=0.023, p=0.030, respectively).	"The Anwar and Melles techniques of DALK have comparable visual acuity and refractive outcomes, aberrometric profiles, biomechanical properties, corneal thicknesses, and endothelial cell	Data suggest comparable efficacy between both techniques for all outcome measures but Anwar technique resulted in sig. superior contrast sensitivity.
Behrens 2000 (score = 5.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by DAAD, a German Academic Exchange Service. No COI.	N = 96 with keratoconus who required PKP; mean age for NMT group was 38.2±10.8, and 34.4±9.0 for MT group.	Nonmechanical Trephination (NMT) (N = 46) Vs Mechanical Trephination (MT) (N = 50). All patients: 250 mg of acetazolamide	Follow up at 3 months.	No statistically significant differences were seen in any of the outcomes measured.	densities. However, patients who underwent the Anwar technique showed better contrast sensitivity." "In addition to its optical advantages, nonmechanical corneal trephination appears to have no adverse impact on	Data suggest at 5yrs, both non- mechanical and mechanical corneal trephination for keratoplasty in keratoconus have similar efficacy.
					3 times on the first day,			cataract formation after	

Birnbaum 2010 (score = 4.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 20 with Fuchs endothelial dystrophy or keratoconus; mean age not reported.	gentamicin ointment 3% 3 times a day for 5 days, and topical eye drops of scopolamine 0.25% 2 times a day and prednisone acetate 1% 5 times a day for 6 weeks starting on the fifth postoperative day. Received the intrastromal corneal ring (N = 10) Vs Control group, no surgery (N = 10)	Follow up at 6 weeks, and at 4, 12, 18, and 24 months postoperatively, and thereafter annually.	No statistically significant difference between groups for astigmatism (p=0.695). Endothelial cell loss: ring vs control group: 15.1% vs 8.7%, (p=0.146).	"The use of the intrastromal corneal ring after penetrating keratoplasty caused no reduction in postoperative astigmatism. However, its use was statistically significantly associated with adverse events." "[O]ur results	Small sample. Sparse methods. Data suggest lack of efficacy of insertion of intrastromal corneal ring post PK.
Busin 1998 (score = 3.5)	Different types of	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or	N = 30 eyes of 29 patients with	Penetrating keratoplasty	Outcomes assessed	Mean±SD equivalent spherical equivalent	"[O]ur results suggest that	Small sample. Sparse methods. At
(30010 - 3.3)	Keratoplasty		COI.	keratoconus. Age	(PK) surgery	before	recorded after surgery	cauterization of	13mo, data suggest
	techniques			range: 14-48 years	with	surgery, 6	between group A vs.	the central	intraoperative
	techniques			(mean: 27.4 years).	intraoperative	months and	group B at 6 months:	cornea to flatten	corneal
				(iiieaii. 27.4 years).	cauterization	13 months	+1.72diopters (D) ±1.13D	the cone of	cauterization in
					(group A; N=)	after	vs3.16D±2.84D; and at	patients with	postPK patients
					vs. PK surgery	surgery.	13 months:	keratoconus	

					without intraoperative cauterization (group B; N=).		+0.09D±1.52D vs 3.98D±1.52D (P<0.001). Mean±SD keratometric readings postoperatively between group A vs. group B at 6 months: 41.82D±1.33D vs. 45.88D±2.60D; and at 13 months: 42.21D±1.61D vs. 46.24D±3.44D (P<0.001). Mean±SD keratometric astigmatism postoperatively between group A vs. group B at 6 months: 2.5 ±1.6D vs. 4.1D±2.3D; and at 13 months: 2.7D±1.5D vs. 4.4D±2.4D (P<0.05).	before transplantation can improve postkeratoplasty refraction as well as visual acuity by reducing both myopia and astigmatism."	with keratoconus improves refraction.
Cheng 2011 American Journal of Ophthalmology (score = 4.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw). No mention of COI.	N=80 with corneal endothelial dysfunction. Mean age: 70.2 years old.	FLEK or femtosecond laser-assisted Descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty (FS DESK) prepared with 30-kHz femtosecond laser + 15 degree blade (N = 40) vs. penetrating keratoplasty (PK) cornea was trephined using 7.75 or 8.0 mm Hessburg- Barron vacuum	Follow up at 3, 6 and 12 months.	Mean±SD of straylight values for FS DESK vs. PK at 3 months: 1.43±0.2 log vs. 1.40±0.2 log (p=.582); 6 months, 1.42±0.3 log vs. 1.41± 0.2 log (p=.960); 12 months, 1.37±0.2 log vs. 1.46±0.2 log (p=0.151). Both groups improved over time (p<0.001). Improvement at 12 months for refractive and topographic astigmatism comparing FS DESK vs. PK: -2.98 diopters (D) vs1.22 D (p<0.001); and 3.67 D vs. 1.58 D (p<0.001), respectively.	"In conclusion, this randomized study showed that FS DSEK resulted in an equally good improvement of straylight and contrast sensitivity when compared with PK. In addition, corneal astigmatism did not increase after FS DSEK. However, although the UCVA in both groups was	See Cheng 2009. Data suggest comparable efficacy in both groups. Slight trend favoring PK.

					trephine + 11 - 0 nylon suture (N = 40). Postoperatively all received, topical dexamethasone 0.1% drops 6 times/day + chloramphenic ol 0.5% 3 times/day.			comparable and the visual symptom score decreased in both groups, BSCVA was slightly better in the PK group. Our results indicate that the quality of vision measured by contrast sensitivity, straylight, and changes in visual acuity after FS DSEK is comparable with that achieved after PK."	
Cheng 2011 Ophthalmology (score = 5.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw). No COI.	N=56 eyes of 56 patients with keratoconus intolerant for contact lens wear and stromal. Mean age: 43.15 years.	Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK); recipient cornea was trephined using a 7.75-8.0mm Hessburg-Barron, and removal of Descemet's membrane and endothelium. (N=28) vs. Penetrating keratoplasty (PK), cornea	Follow up at 3, 6, and 12 months.	Mean±SD of endothelial cell loss based on analysis without perforation of the Descemet's membrane comparing DALK vs. PK at 3 months: 6.6±17.1 vs. 22.4±9.8 (p=0.003); at 6 months: 9.9±16.8 vs. 22.5±10.9 (p=0.024); at 12 months: 12.9±17.6 vs. 27.7±11.1 (p=0.007). Endothelial cell loss based on analysis with perforation of Descemet's membrane was not significant at any time point. Visual	"DALK procedures performed without perforation of Descemet's membrane resulted in a significantly lower EC loss, while at the same time achieving equally good visual outcomes as a PK procedure."	Data suggest at 1yr post-procedure, endothelial cell loss lower in DALK vs. PK. DALK group had no endothelial rejection.

					was trephined using 7.75 or 8.0 mm Hessburg- Barron vacuum trephine + 11 - 0 nylon suture (N=28)		outcomes were just significant at for uncorrected visual acuity at 3 months: 0.89±0.4 vs. 0.78±0.4 (p=0.021); for best spectacle-corrected visual acuity at 3 months: 0.59±0.4 vs. 0.30±0.2 (p=0.006), and at 6 months: 0.52±0.4 vs. 0.30±0.2 (p=0.019).		
Elbaz 2014 (score = 5.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=20 eyes of 20 patients with Fuchs endothelial dystrophy and pseudophakic bullous keratopathy undergoing Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty. Mean± SD age: 68±9.1years (range: 54.6-88.4 years)	Tan EndoGlide device opposed to limbal incision and Tan forceps inserted through nasal paracentesis to assist in grasping and the tissue into anterior chamber (N=10) vs. For EndoSerter, the device inserted into temporal incision after removing of blocking guard, while the deployment rings were held firmly in order to prevent preejection of the graft (N=10). Combination and	Follow up at 6 and 12 months.	No significant difference between EndoGlide group vs. EndoSerter group for CDVA (p=0.19) or endothelial cell loss (p=0.45) at 12 months.	"[T]he EndoSerter provides comparable results to the Tan EndoGlide. Mean ECD, ECL, CDVA, and rebubbling rate were similar in both groups after 12 months of follow-up, with slight trending toward better results with the EndoSerter."	Small sample. Data suggest similar efficacy at 1yr postop.

					tobramyo 0.3% and dexametl 0.1% 4 tir daily for : month, a then swit to dexametl 0.1% onc over 4 mo postopers	hasone mes 1 nd cched hasone e daily onths			
Javadi 2006 (score = 4.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 103 eyes of 103 patients with keratoconus, contact lens intolerant and/or had best contact lens-corrected visual acuity (VA) less than 20/80 undergoing penetrating keratoplasty (PKP). Mean age IR 27.2±8.4 year, SR 28.9±8.7, CIR 30.3±8.7 years.	Interrupt suture (IF technique (N=26) vs single rur (no torque suture (SI technique (N=26) vs combined interrupt single rur suture (CI technique (N=35).	at 1 and 2 days, 1, 3, and 6 weeks, 2, 6 e) 9, and 12 months e postop; an 2 months d after ed and nning IR) at 1 and 2 days, 1, 3, and 6 weeks, 2, 6 e postop; an 2 months after complete suture IR)	between groups at all other follow-up times (p=0.637-0.851). NS between groups uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) after PKP at any follow-up time (p=0.211- 0.635). NS between groups best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) after	"Post-keratoplasty astigmatism and BCVA are comparable with the 3 common suturing techniques (IR, SR, and CIR) in patients with keratoconus, provided that regular postoperative examinations and topographyguided suture adjustment and/or removal are performed."	Data suggest comparable efficacy between all 3 suturing techniques.
Karabatsas 1998 (score = 4.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by the Greek State Foundation. No COI.	N = 31 with post- keratoplasty (performed >1 year before study) astigmatism >4 diopters, all	Group A following surgical p based on informati only (N =	blan baseline, 1 CVK day, 1 ion month, 3,	assessment, Group B keratometric and refractive astigmatism	"[T]his study indicates that in terms of astigmatic correction, CVK offers a limited	Small sample. At 12mo., data suggest CVK better than keratometric and refraction alone for surgical treatment

sutures removed	eyes) Vs. Group an	nd 12	Keratometric- 5.77 ±	advantage in	of high post-graft
for at least 3		onths.	0.52 D vs. 3.60 ± 0.81 D,	designing	astigmatism.
months,	surgical plan		(p=0.035). Refractive-	astigmatic	
intolerance to	based on		4.88 ± 0.52 D vs. 2.34 ±	surgery after PKP,	
spectacle or	manifest		0.37 D, (p=0.000).	but this is likely	
contact lens	refraction and		, , ,	because most of	
correct, no signs of	keratometric			these highly	
active corneal	readings only			astigmatic	
disease;	(N = 15 eyes)			corneas follow	
participants' ages	Both groups			spherocylindrical	
not reported	received			optics with	
	relaxing			regular astigmatic	
	incisions and			patterns.	
	compression			However, in cases	
	sutures.			in which irregular	
				patterns are	
				seen, CVK may be	
				of value. A	
				prospective,	
				multicenter,	
				cohort study with	
				larger numbers of	
				irregular	
				astigmatic	
				subjects should	
				be conducted to	
				answer this	
				question. The	
				suggestion,	
				however, from	
				the current study	
				is that a	
				significantly	
				greater surgical	
				effect should be	
				expected with	
				Checked With	
				regular	
				regular (preoperatively)	

Küchle 1998 (score = 5.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the German Minister of	N = 52 receiving PKP for Fuchs endothelial corneal	Nonmechanical excimer laser trephination	Assessment s at baseline, 3,	Aqueous flare (photo counts per msec) mean (± SD) values significantly	patterns, irrespective of the treatment group. It seems that the biomechanics of corneas probably respond better in symmetric than in asymmetric surgery. Finally, although 1-year data as reported here are important, some sutures still are in place, and when they come out the cylinder is likely to change." "[R]educed impairment of the blood	
	techniques		Education, Science, Research and Technology. No mention of COI.	dystrophy or keratoconus; ages 20-67 years in mechanical trephination group and 17-66 in nonmechanical group	group (N = 25 (20 with keratoconus and 5 with Fuchs dystrophy)) Vs. Conventional mechanical trephination group (N = 27 (22 with keratoconus and 5 with Fuchs dystrophy)) Both groups	5, 7, 9 days and 6 weeks postoperati vely.	greater in mechanical trephination group over Nonmechanical trephination group for both keratoconus and Fuchs dystrophy diagnosed eyes at days 3, 5, 7 and 9, but not at 6 weeks: day 3-27.1 (±5.7) vs. 22.7 (±4.5), (p=0.002); day 5-23.1 (±4.3) vs. 16.5 (±3.7), (p=0.001); day 7-17.5 (±3.6) vs. 13.0 (±3.2), (p=0.001); day 9-12.7 (±2.5) vs. 9.6 (±2.4),	aqueous barrier is an additional feature and possible advantage of nonmechanical trephination for penetrating keratoplasty that may favorably influence surgical outcome."	

					received acetazolamide 250 mg 3x a day on day 1, 3% gentamicin ointment 3x a day for 5 days after, 0.25% scopolamine eye drops 2x a day for 6 weeks after and 1% prednisolone acetate eye drops 5x a day after the 5th postoperative day.		(p=0.002). No significant differences reported between keratoconus and Fuchs dystrophy comparisons.		
McLaren 2009 (score = 4.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by Mayo Clinic Department of Ophthalmology and Research to Prevent Blindness Inc. No COI.	N = 28 eyes (25 patients) with corneal edema caused by Fuchs dystrophy; participants' ages not reported	DLEK group with a 9mm to 10mm incision (N = 13) Vs. PK with double- running sutures group (N = 15)	Assessment s at baseline, 1 month, 3, 6, 12, and 24 months.	During all assessments postoperatively, total high-order wavefront aberrations statistically significant for PK corneas over DLEK corneas, ($p \le 0.006$). At 24 month follow up, keratometric astigmatism and mean keratometric power values were statistically significant and greater after PK ($4.0 \pm 1.9 D$ and $46.1 \pm 1.6 D$) than after DLEK ($1.3 \pm 0.9 D$ and $43.9 \pm 1.3 D$), ($p < 0.001$). Mesopic LCVA significantly better for DLEK versus PK after 24 months: 0.90 ± 0.16	"HOAs from the anterior corneal surface were higher after PK compared with after DLEK but did not correlate with visual function after PK."	Small sample. Data suggest at 2yrs, High Order Aberrations from anterior corneal surface highest in PK group vs. DLEK group but did not correlate with visual function after PK.

Musch 1989 (score = 6.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by NEI and Research to Prevent Blindness. No mention of COI.	N = 120 requiring penetrating keratoplasty; the mean age 68.5 for DR group and 69.3 for IR group	Double running 10-0 and 11-0 sutures (DR) group (N= 60) Vs. Combination of 12 interrupted 10-0 sutures with a single running 11-0 suture (IR) group (N= 60)	Assessment s at baseline, 1, 3, 6 weeks, 2, 3, 6, and 12 months.	logMAR vs. 1.0 ± 0.13 logMAR, p=0.02. At 12 months assessment, the difference of median astigmatism approached statistical significance for DR group versus IR group: Median (range)-4.00 (0, 16.00) vs. 2.50 (0, 9.50), (p=0.06). As 12 months, visual acuity of 20/40 or better significantly greater for DR group versus IR group: 38/54 (70.4%) participants vs. 24/54 (46.3%) participants, (p=0.02).	"[A]ssessment of the rate of visual rehabilitation was limited by a greater proportion of IR patients showing cystoid macular edema (CME) after surgery. These results, while favorable toward the IR/selective suture removal technique must be substantiated by a final assessment after all sutures have been removed."	Data suggest IR group had less astigmatism one year post-op.
Panda 2000 (score = 4.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 requiring lamella keratoplasty to correct partial-thickness corneal opacities comprising the visual axis; the mean (± SD) age 30.1 (± 9.7) for air group, 30.8 (± 10.6) for 2% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose group, 30.2 (± 9.1) for balanced saline	Intralamellar air injection group (N = 10) Vs. 2% Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose injection group (N = 10) Vs. Balanced Saline Solution injection group (N = 10) Vs. Control group (N = 10) All treatment groups (except for control)	Assessment s at baseline, weekly postoperati vely for 1 month, fortnightly for 3 months and monthly after for a year.	Significantly less dissection time reported for groups using adjuncts versus control group, (p<0.05). No statistically significant differences between groups in regards to endothelial cell counts, postoperative visual acuity, spherical equivalent and astigmatism.	"[H]ydrodelamina tion makes recipient lamellar dissection easier and safer to perform and should be undertaken routinely to facilitate intralamellar dissection. No significant difference in visual outcome, refractive status,	Data suggest hydrodelamination with balanced saline solution decreased prep time, dissection time and total time vs. other lamellar keratoplasty dissection techniques.

				solution group, and 33.7 (± 8.6) for control group.	received their appropriate adjunct both anteriorly and intralamellarly.			or endothelial cell counts with or without an adjunctive substance used to facilitate recipient bed dissection reflects the facts that the procedures are comparable."	
Sari 2013 (score = 4.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 82 eyes (54 participants) requiring penetrating keratoplasty for macular corneal dystrophy without endothelial involvement; the mean (± SD) age 29.7 (± 11.3) for DALK group and 33.0 (± 13.0) for PK group	Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) group (N = 41 eyes) Vs. Penetrating keratoplasty (PK) group (N = 41 eyes)	Assessment s at baseline, 6, 12, 24 and 30.5 (± 8.75) months for DALK group/ 31.2 (± 9.78) months for PK group	During the last follow up assessment, the DALK group exhibited a significantly greater mean UCVA (logMAR) versus the PK group: 0.62 (0.27) vs. 0.47 (0.21), (p=0.02). At 24 month and final follow up, the DALK group had significantly lower endothelial cell density loss versus the PK group, (p=0.03 and p < 0.01 respectively).	"Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty with the big-bubble technique provided comparable visual and optical results as PK and resulted in less endothelial damage, as well as eliminating endothelial rejection in macular corneal dystrophy. Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty surgery is a viable option for macular corneal dystrophy without endothelial involvement."	Data suggest comparable efficacy for visual and optical results for PK associated with less endothelial damage and eliminated rejection in macular corneal dystrophy.

Schein 1993 (score = 4.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by Alcon Surgical Inc, Ethicon and NIH. No COI.	N = 176 requiring penetrating keratoplasty for pseudophakic corneal edema with a planned intraocular lens exchange; the mean age 77.5 for AC IOL group, 78.3 for iris fixation PC IOL group, and 76.1 for Transscleral PC IOL group.	Anterior chamber intraocular lens (AC IOL) group (N = 60) Vs. Iris fixation posterior chamber intraocular lens (PC IOL) group (N = 56) Vs. Transscleral fixation posterior chamber intraocular lens (PC IOL) group (N = 60)		Iris fixation group demonstrated significantly less cystoid macular edema than the AC IOL group and transscleral fixation group, (p=0.02) and (p=0.02) respectively. Iris fixation group also exhibited significantly less complications than the transscleral fixation group, (p=0.02). No significant differences reported between groups for visual acuity.	"[T]ransscleral fixation of the PC IOL at the time of penetrating keratoplasty for pseudophakic corneal edema is associated with a greater risk of adverse outcome than iris fixation of a PC IOL."	Sparse methods. Data suggest trans- scleral fixation of PC IOL at time of keratoplasty associated with greater risk of adverse outcomes than iris fixation.
Seitz 1999 (score = 6.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 179 requiring penetrating keratoplasty; the mean (± SD) age 51 (± 17) for excimer group and 50 (± 19) for motor trephination control group	Meditec excimer laser group (N = 88) Vs. Motor trephination control group (N = 91).	Assessment s at baseline, prior to removing the first suture (15. 2 ± 4.2 (mean ± SD) months), and 6 weeks after removal of the second suture (21.4 ± 5.6 months).	After suture removal assessment, mean (± SD) refractive/keratometric/t opographic astigmatism exhibited significantly lower values in the Excimer group versus control group: 2.8 ± 2.0 D/3.0 ± 2.1 D/ 3.8 ± 2.6D versus 4.2 ± 2.4 D/ 6.1 ± 2.7 D/ 6.7 ± 3.1 D, (p<0.0009). Prior to and after suture removal, mean visual acuity increased significantly in Excimer versus control group: prior- 20/100 to 20/31 versus 20/111 to 20/38, (p=0.001); after-20/31 to 20/28 versus 20/38 to 20/39,	"Postkeratoplasty results seem to be superior using nonmechanical excimer laser trephination. Thus, this methodology is recommended as the procedure of first choice in avascular corneal pathologies requiring PK."	Data suggest non-mechanical trephination provides superior outcome.

Seitz 2002 (score = 3.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT, Longi tudin al	Sponsored by Interdisziplinares Zentrum fur klinische Forschung. No mention of COI.	N = 170 requiring primary central penetrating keratoplasty for Fuchs' dystrophy or keratoconus receiving a 16-bite double running diagonal sutures; the mean (± SD) age 51 (± 18) for both groups	Excimer laser group (N=82) Vs. Motor trephination control group (N= 88)	Assessment s at baseline, 6 weeks, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18 and 24 months.	(p<0.00001). After suture removal, the Excimer group showed significantly lower mean SRI versus the control group: 0.91 ± 0.45 versus 1.05 ± 0.46, (p=0.04). No statistically significant differences reported between groups for intraocular pressure.	"There was no detectable impact from the trephination method, the diagnosis, or simultaneous cataract surgery. With meticulous microsurgical technique, careful suturing, and peripheral iridotomy, the development of secondary glaucoma with disc cupping seems to be the exception."	Longitudinal follow- up. Similar results for trephination methods.
Serdarevic	Different	RCT	No mention of	N = 25 requiring	Intraoperative Suture	Assessment s at	During the 1 month	"Visual rehabilitation	Small sample. At
1994 (score = 4.0)	types of Keratoplasty		sponsorship. No COI.	penetrating keratoplasty for	Adjustment	baseline, 1	postoperative follow up, mean surface asymmetry	with decreased	6mo., data suggest visual rehab and
7.07	techniques			avascular corneal	Group (N = 12)	month, 3, 6,	index and mean	post-keratoplasty	reduced post-
	1			pathology; the	Vs. Control	and 9	refractive cylinder	astigmatism and	keratoplasty
				mean (± SD) age 43	group without	months	presented significantly	more regular	astigmatism and
				(± 19) for	Intraoperative	postoperati	lower and mean	corneal	more regular
				intraoperative	Suture	vely	topographic astigmatism	topography was	corneal topography
				suture adjustment	Adjustment (N=		presented significantly	attained more	achieved faster with
				group and 37 (±	13) Both groups		higher in the	rapidly and safely	intraoperative
				16) for control	received 1%		intraoperative suture	with	suture adjustment.
				group	hydroxymethylc		group versus the control	intraoperative	

					ellulose and gentamicin drops tapered over one week, neomycin and dexamethasone drops 4x daily for one month tapered gradually for 1 year postoperatively .		group: mean surface asymmetry index- $0.70 \pm 0.25 D vs. 1.23 \pm 0.68 D$, (p<0.02); mean refractive cylinder- $1.33 \pm 0.86 D vs. 4.65 \pm 1.63 D$, (p<0.0001); mean topographic astigmatism- $1.50 \pm 0.74 D vs. 4.89 \pm 1.99 D$, (p<0.0001). At 6 month assessment, the intraoperative group exhibited significantly better mean visual acuity scores over the control: $0.8 (20/25) vs. 0.6 (20/30)$, (p=0.0434).	suture adjustment."	
Serdarevic 1995 (score = 4.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 25 requiring penetrating keratoplasty for avascular corneal pathology; the mean (± SD) age 43 (± 19) for intraoperative suture adjustment group and 37 (± 16) for control group	Intraoperative Suture Adjustment Group (N = 12) Vs. Control group without Intraoperative Suture Adjustment (N= 13) Both groups received 1% hydroxymethylc ellulose and gentamicin drops tapered over one week, neomycin and dexamethasone drops 4x daily for one month tapered	Assessment s at baseline, 1 month, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months postoperati vely	At 12 months assessment before suture removal, significantly less topographic astigmatism and mean refractive astigmatism in intraoperative suture group versus control group (mean ± SD diopters): topographic-1.53 ± 0.72 vs. 2.82 ± 1.19, (p=0.004); mean refractive- 1.33 ± 0.74 vs. 2.75 ± 1.53, (p=0.008).	"The authors demonstrated low astigmatism and good visual results at 15 months postoperatively after either intraoperative or postoperative running suture adjustment, but intraoperative suture adjustment permitted more rapid visual rehabilitation, increased safety, and increased	See 1994 report. Small sample. At 15mo, results suggest comparable efficacy. Interoperative suture group trended towards more rapid visual rehab and increased safety and refractive stability.

					gradually for 1 year postoperatively			refractive stability."	
Terry 2009 (score = 5.0)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by Angiotech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. No COI	N=20 corneal- scleral donor tissues. No mention of age of donors.	Trephination by a 8.0mm diameter Barron trephine (N=10) vs. Trephination by a 8.0mm diameter UltraFit Cornet trephine (N=10)	No mention of follow up.	Mean±SD percentage of trephination damage for Barron group vs. UltraFit group: 6.50%±0.95% vs. 5.64%±0.85% (p=0.084).	"Donor mechanical trephination of full-thickness corneal tissue creates relatively consistent amounts of peripheral edge damage and likely no central endothelial damage. There may exist differences in edge damage between different mechanical trephination systems, and a direct comparison to laser-created trephination is needed."	Small sample. Data suggest comparable damage between trephination systems. Mechanical trephination associated with consistent peripheral damage.
Terry 2013 (score = 7.5)	Different types of Keratoplasty techniques	RCT	Sponsored by Lions VisionGift Research Laboratory, Portland, Oregon. COI, Dr. Terry receives royalties from Bausch&Lomb	N=100 eyes of 79 patients undergoing Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) surgery for Fuchs corneal	Forceps insertion, 60% portion of the donor taco was oriented anteriorly into the chamber. The tissue was unfolded with	Follow up at 6 months.	Mean±SD of endothelial cell density at 6 months comparing Neusidl group vs. forceps group: 1713.2±454.9 vs. 1930.7±468.4 (p=0.026). Mean±SD of percentage loss at 6 months comparing Neusidl group	"The Neusidl Corneal Inserter yielded a low immediate complication rate for DSAEK surgery for novice and experienced	Data suggest comparable efficacy between methods with no primary graft failures either group. Some evidence of higher cell loss in Neusidl group.

	ĺ		Surgical for the	dystrophy. Mean	deepening of		vs. forceps group:	surgeons.	
			specialized	age: 69.95 years.	the anterior		33.1±16.0 vs. 25.2±14.9	Although still at	
			instruments he	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	chamber with		(p=0.017).	an acceptable	
			designed for		balanced salt		,	level, short-term	
			endothelial		solution and			endothelial	
			keratoplasty		injection of air			survival was	
			surgery. Dr.		to complete			significantly	
			Shamie has		unfolding of the			worse after	
			served as a		tissue into			Neusidl tissue	
			consultant, a		position (N=50)			insertion than	
			member of the		vs. Neusidl			that after forceps	
			speaker's		Corneal			tissue insertion."	
			bureau, or both		Inserter, the tip				
			for Bausch &		of the device				
			Lomb, Merck,		was placed into				
			and Allergan. Dr.		the wound, and				
			Straiko has		the integrated				
			served on the		irrigation of				
			speaker's		balanced salt				
			bureau for		solution				
			Merck and is an		through the				
			investigator on 2		tube was used				
			studies funded		on low flow to				
			by the National		maintain the				
			Eye Institute. Dr.		anterior				
			Terry and Mr.		chamber, tissue				
			Davis-Boozer		was released				
			participated in a		from the				
			laboratory study		platform, the				
			of the Neusidl		platform then				
			Corneal Inserter		was retracted,				
			that was funded		and the tube tip				
			by Fischer		was removed				
			Surgical, Inc. Drs		from the				
			Goshe, Shah,		incision (N=50).				
			and Alqudah.						
Bock 2014	Medications	RCT	Sponsored by	N = 97 with graft	Cyclosporine A	Outcomes	Mean±SD for grade of	"High-dose	Data suggest
(score = 4.5)	and		LuxBioscience,	loss due to	(CsA) 0.5-inch	assessed at	vascularization at	subconjunctival	comparable (in)
	Different		German	rejection, and graft	LX201 implant,	baseline,	baseline for low dose vs.	CsA implants do	efficacy across

	Keratoplasty Approaches		Research Foundation, European Commission and Ruth und Helmut Lingen Stiftung. COI, Felix Block, Claus Cursiefen and Daniel Bohringer received financial support from LuxBioscience.	position closer than 1mm from the limbus, more than 1 quadrant stromal neovascularization. Mean age: 59 years.	with a dose of 5.13mg CsA (low dose; N=36) vs. CsA 0.75-inch LX201 implant with a dose of 7.7 mg of CsA (high dose; N=40) vs. 0.71 placebo implant with only carrier (N=21). Topical antibiotic 4times/daily for 1 week, and prednisolone acetate 1% 4 times/daily for 10 weeks postoperative.	week 1, week 24, and week 52 after surgery.	high dose vs. placebo: 3.07±2.44% vs. 2.98±2.56% vs. 3.87±4.33% (p=0.89). Mean±SD neovascularization at visit 12 (week 52) for low dose vs. placebo: 2.32±1.79% vs. 2.79±2.11% (p=0.45); and high dose vs. placebo: 2.74±2.22% vs. 2.79±2.11% (p=0.94).	not significantly affect corneal neovascularizatio n after high-risk penetrating keratoplasty. This suggests that local CsA has negligible antiangiogenic effects in the human cornea, at least in the transplant setting."	groups including placebo, suggesting CsA has no demonstrable efficacy.
Chan 2014 (score = 5.5)	Corneas stored in different mediums before Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Victorian Government of Australia. No COI.	N = 33 eyes with symptomatic RCES not responding to conservative treatment including topical lubrication and bandage contact lens.	50μL (4-5 drops) of 25% ethyl alcohol, placed on the well for 40 seconds, and then removed with cellulose sponge, and cornea rinsed with balanced salt solution or BSS; (ALD; N=17) 50μL (4-5 drops) of BSS placed for 40 seconds, and removed with	Follow-up at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months.	Participants with presence of pain at waking for ADL vs. PTK at baseline: 14 vs.14 (p=1.00); at 3 moths: 3 vs. 5 (p=0.659); at 24 months: 3 vs. 7 (p=0.342). Mean±SD pain score for ADL vs. PTK at baseline: 6.7±2.9 vs. 6.8±1.8 (p=0.739); at 3 months: 1.7±3.3 vs. 2.4±3.2 (p=0.557); 24 months: 1.7±2.7 vs. 1.0±1.7 (p=0.878).	"The findings of this study suggest that both ALD and PTK reduce the symptoms of RCES. Compared with PTK, ALD may have a greater effect in reducing the postoperative pain score. As PTK requires expensive equipment, ALD should be considered an alternative	Small sample. Data suggest comparable efficacy.

					cellulose sponge, and cornea was rinsed with BSS (PTK; N=16)			treatment option."	
Farias 2008 (score = 4.5)	Corneas stored in different mediums before Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by CNPq. No COI.	N=20 with keratoconus. Mean age: 30.35 years.	Lyophilized corneas, and rehydrated for 30 minutes in three washouts of 11mL of balance saline solution one day before surgery. (N=10) vs. Cornea preserved in Optisol GS (control; N=10).	Follow up at 1-, 3- and 6 months.	Mean±SD improvement for best spectacle visual acuity (BSCVA) for lyophilized group vs. Optisol group: 0.16±0.10 vs. 0.26±0.14 (p=0.074). Mean±SD for UCVA at 6 months for lyophilized group vs. Optisol group: 0.46±0.20 vs. 0.70±0.25 (p=0.038). There was difference in the development on punctuate keratitis by seventh postoperative day benefiting lyophilized cornea (p=0.021).	"DALK using lyophilized corneas seems to yield clinical results that are as good as and perhaps better than DALK using tissues preserved in Optisol. Keratocyte repopulation occurs in lyophilized tissue and likely contributes to the long-term health of the tissue."	Small sample. Data suggest comparable efficacy at 6 mo.
Li 2011 (score = 4.5)	Corneas stored in different mediums before Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Medicine & Health Foundation of Zhejiang Province. No COI.	N = 68 with herpes simplex virus keratitis, bacterial keratitis, fungal keratitis and ocular burns requiring deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK); the mean (± SD) age 50.7 (± 13.5) for GCCT group and 45.9 (± 11.5) for FCT group	Glycerol- preserved corneal tissue (GCCT) group (N = 34) Vs. Fresh corneal tissue (FCT) group (N = 34)	Assessment s at baseline, 1 week, 1 month, 3, 6, 12 and 24 months after surgery.	At 2 year assessment, Rejection-free rate of survival significantly higher for the GCCT group (100%) over the FCT group (78.8%), (p=0.006). No statistically significant differences between groups for BCVAs postoperatively.	"[O]ur study reports successful clinical outcomes of high-risk corneal transplantation using GCCT, as compared with FCT. The therapeutic success rate and postoperative visual acuity are comparable, but GCCT offers the	Data suggest increased graft survival in GCCT group at 2yrs.

İ	İ	1	ı	i	i i	i	I		I
								advantages of	
								long-term graft	
								survival without	
								graft rejection.	
								Although further	
								long-term studies	
								are required, we	
								suggest that	
								DALK with GCCT	
								should be	
								considered as a	
								better surgical	
								option for high-	
								risk corneas with	
								healthy	
								endothelium. At	
								present,	
								thousands of	
								nonlyophilized,	
								glycerol	
								preserved	
								corneas are	
								available through	
								Global Sight	
								Network, lots of	
								which are	
								suitable for DALK.	
								This type of	
								corneal	
								transplantation	
								has a great	
								significance in the	
								developing	
								world, where	
								cornea collection	
								programs and	
								infrastructure for	
								eye banking are	
					1			deficient; this	

Naor 2002 (score = 7.5)	Corneas stored in different mediums before Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Toronto Eye Foundation and the Ontario Division of the Eye Bank of Canada. No mention of COI.	N = 90 requiring corneal transplantation alone or with cataract extraction, intraocular lens insertion or intraocular lens exchange; mean (± SD) age 63.1 (± 18.7) for optisol-GS group and 63.0 (± 21.3) for CM group	Optisol-GS Group (N = 45) Vs. Chan Medium (CM) Group (N = 45)	Assessment s at baseline, 1 day, 7, 30, and 90 days.	No statistically significant differences reported between groups.	potential advantage must not be overlooked." "The clinical outcomes of corneal transplantation with tissue that was preserved in CM were similar to those of grafts preserved in Optisol-GS.	
Gal (Cornea Donor Study Investigator Group) 2008 (score = 7.0)	Varying cornea donor age in Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the National Eye Institute, Eye Bank Association of America, Bausch & Lomb, Tissue Banks International, Vision Share, San Diego Eye Bank, Cornea Society, Katena Products Inc., Midwest Eye- Banks, Konan Medical Group, Eye Bank for Sight Restoration and SightLife. No mention of COI.	N = 1090 patients between the ages of 40-80 years with corneal disease that placed them at moderate risk for graft failure. Mean age 70±9 years.	Donor eye age 66-75 years (N=383) vs. donor eye age 12-65 years (N=707) used for corneal transplant.	Follow-up at 6 months (up to investigator's discretion), 1 visit between 6 and 12 months, and 1 visit every 12 months through to 5 years.	Graft survival rate: donor age 12-40 years 93% vs. donor age 41-75 years 85% (p=0.001). Graft failures: 135 eyes, 90 in donor eye age <66 and 45 in donor eye age ≥66 (no p-value reported).	"Five-year graft survivals for cornea transplants at moderate risk for failure are similar using corneas from donors ≥ 66.0 years and donors < 66.0. Surgeons and patients now have evidence that corneas comparable in quality to those used in this study from donors through age 75	At 5-years, data suggest corneal age does not influence outcomes.

Heidemann 1985 (score = 4.5)	Varying donor eye size in Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the Michigan Eye bank and Research to Prevent Blindness. No mention of COI.	N= 173 aphakic or phakic penetrating keratoplasty procedures. Mean age same size donor 49.8 year, larger size donor 56.1 years.	Same size donor eye (N=80) vs. 0.5 mm larger size donor eye (N=93).	Follow-up everyday postoperati ve while patient was in hospital, 4 weeks after last interrupted suture was removed, and 2 months postop.	NS between group for final visual acuity or mean intraocular pressure (IOP) (no p-value reported). Mean±SD postoperative keratometry: interrupted and running sutures combined – same sized 42.98±2.07 vs. oversized 45.69±1.95 (p<0.0001); interrupted sutures – same sized 43.39 vs. oversized 45.53 (p<0.0001); running sutures – same sized 45.53 (p<0.0001); rounning sutures – same sized 41.90 vs. oversized 45.92 (p<0.0001).	are suitable for transplantation." "Our data suggest the possibility that oversize grafting may decrease the incidence of postoperative wound leaks, although the numbers were too small to be of statistical significance."	Data suggest oversized graphs (may) decrease wound leaks, wound dehiscence, and IOP. No differences in astigmatism between groups.
Olson 1979 (score = 3.5)	Varying trephine size in Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by the US Public Health Service, the National Institutes of Health, Fightfor-Sight Inc, and Research to Prevent Blindness Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 46 requiring aphakic and combined keratoplasties; participants' ages not reported	Group A receiving donor tissue with use of same size trephine as was used on the recipient (N = 25) Vs. Group B receiving donor tissue obtained with use of a trephine 0.55 mm larger than used on the recipient (N = 21)	Assessment s at baseline and postoperati vely.	No statistically significant results reported between groups for refractive error.	"[T]he results showed no statistically significant difference in refractive error, either in spherical equivalents or in astigmatism. The larger donor tissue may have some value in reducing high plus-refractive error and in reducing intraocular pressure after surgery."	Sparse methods. Data suggest no difference in refractive error, either in spherical equivalents or astigmatism when donor tissue larger but (may) have some value for reducing high plus- refractive error and decreasing IOP post surgery.

Saethre 2014 (score = 4.5)	Patient positioning after keratoplasty	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 requiring descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK); the mean (± SD) age 74 (± 8.6) for group 1 and 72 (± 8.3) for group 2		Group 1 who sat in a chair comfortably postoperatively (N = 20) Vs. Group 2 who laid face up in a bed postoperatively (N = 20)	Assessment s at baseline, 1 day, 7 days, 1 month, 3 months and 6 months.	No statistically significant changes between group 1 and group 2 were reported.	"Supine positioning does not seem to be of crucial importance in avoiding graft dislocation in DSAEK when the anterior chamber is fully filled with air for 2 hr postoperatively."	Small sample. Data suggest similar efficacy between 2 groups' positioning.	
-------------------------------	---	-----	-----------------------------------	--	--	---	--	---	--	---	--

Evidence for Keratoplasty

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Musch 1990 (score = 5.0)	Addition of various solutions immediately following Keratoplasty	RCT	Sponsored by Pharmacia, Inc. No mention of COI.	N = 78 requiring penetrating keratoplasty who would not have an intraocular lens post-surgery; the mean age 49.2 for Healon group and 47.9 for BSS group		Healon solution group (N = 41) vs. Balanced Salt Solution (BSS) group (N = 37)	Assessments at baseline, 1 week, 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months.	At 2 year follow up, the Healon group showed significantly less ECD loss than BSS group: 17.3% vs. 30.2%, (p=0.05). Healon group exhibited significantly higher mean (SD) Intraocular pressure (mm Hg) at 1 day and 2 years postoperatively over BSS group: 1 day- 18.2 (9.3) vs. 13.7 (4.6), (p<0.05), 2 years- 16.5 (3.4) vs. 13.7 (3.9), (p<0.05).	"[O]ur results do not provide support for a marked protective effect of Healon use against endothelial rejection following PK. Given the small sample size, however, we cannot conclude definitively that there was indeed no effect."	Data suggest comparable outcomes between groups, although corneal thickness slightly greater in Healon group.

Pterygium

OVERVIEW

Pterygium is an abnormal growth consisting of a triangular fold of tissue that advances progressively over the cornea, usually from the nasal side [715, 716] [717]. Localized conjunctival inflammation may be associated with pterygiae [116, 715]. Most cases occur in tropical climates, dry climates, and amongst those who work outside with ultraviolet exposure. Most cases are cosmetic, although a minority may be symptomatic. However, surgical excision is indicated if the pterygium encroaches on the visual axis.

Topical NSAIDs function as local anesthetics and analgesics. Topical NSAIDS are administered to provide relief from inflammatory pain associated with inflamed pterygia, pingueculae [718], corneal abrasions [429], postoperative pain from various surgical procedures [433] and pain associated with many other disorders.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

NSAID Drops for Inflamed Pterygia or Pingueculae Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

NSAID ophthalmic drops are recommended for inflamed pterygia or pingueculae.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Evidence (C)
Level of Confidence – Moderate

 \boxtimes Acute \boxtimes Subacute \boxtimes Chronic

 \square Preoperative \boxtimes Perioperative \boxtimes Postoperative

Inflamed pterygia or pinguecuae [719]

Benefits: Reduced pain, decreased inflammatory response.

Harms: allergic reactions in susceptible patients, intolerance.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations. The one quality trial

utilized indomethacin 0.1% drops 6 times daily for 3 days, then $\,$

4 times daily to complete 2 weeks [719].

Indications for Discontinuation: Symptom resolution, intolerance or adverse effects.

Rationale: There is one moderate-quality trial suggesting equal efficacy of

NSAID drops compared with glucocorticoid drops for treatment of in flamed pterygia or pinguecuae [719]. There also are multiple moderate quality trials comparing NSAIDs with placebo or drug vehicle for analgesia of simple corneal phracian. [428, 433] (see above). NSAID drops are low cost, not

abrasion. [428-433] (see above). NSAID drops are low cost, not invasive, associated with low risks and are recommended.

Evidence: Comments: Topical glucocorticosteroids have been used to provide relief from inflammatory pain associated with inflamed pterygia, pingueculae [719].

Glucocorticosteroid Drops for Inflamed Pterygia or Pingueculae Recommended.

Medications (including topical creams)

Glucocorticosteroid ophthalmic drops are recommended for inflamed pterygia or pingueculae.

Strength of Evidence Level of Confidence		ended, Evidend	e (C)				
⊠ Acute □ S	Subacute	☐ Chronic					
☐ Preoperative	☐ Perio	perative	☐ Postoperative				
Indications:			a or pinguecuae [719]. Generally preferable to first as the adverse effects are generally				
Benefits:		Reduced pain, decreased inflammatory response.					
Harms:		allergic reactions					
Frequency/Dose/Duro		Per manufacturer's recommendations. One moderate quality					
			6 dexamethasone drops 6 times daily for 3				
			es daily to complete 2 weeks. [719]				
Indications for Discon			tion, intolerance, adverse effects or				
		completion of a					
Rationale:		NSAID drops cor of in flamed pter drops are low co short course and	derate-quality trial suggesting equal efficacy of npared with glucocorticoid drops for treatment rygia or pinguecuae [719]. Glucocorticosteroid st, not invasive, associated with low risks for lare recommended.				
Evidence:		multiple search of Cochrane Library eye, pterygium, surgery, mitomy particles, radiati randomized conrandom allocation randomly; system prospective studies and reviewed 21 Scopus, we foun inclusion. In CIN considered 0 for reviewed 9 artic	e literature search was conducted using engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and without date limits using the following terms: pterygia, recurrent pterygia, mitomycin C, cin, indomethacin, beta irradiation, beta on, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, trolled trial, randomized controlled trials, on, random*, randomized, randomization, matic, systematic review, retrospective studies, ies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological onexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found 6 articles, and considered 109 for inclusion. In d and reviewed 7 articles, and considered 0 for AHL, we found and reviewed 176 articles, and inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and les, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the				

110 articles considered for inclusion, 1 randomized trial and 0 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Pterygia have been surgically removed using many different techniques and approaches (Ozsutcu 14)

Pterygium Excision for Pterygia Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Pterygium excision is recommended for pterygia that near the visual axis.

Strength of Evider Level of Confidenc			ence (C)
☐ Acute ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	l Subacute ⊠ Per	☐ Chronic ioperative	☐ Postoperative
Indications: Benefits:		Reduced risk	t near the visual axis. Tof peripheral vision impairment. Reduced risk of opairment if more extensive.
Harms:		Recurrence,	surgical complications.
Frequency/Dose/Du	ration:	N/A	
Indications for Disco	ntinuation:		
Rationale:		pterygia. The removal. Surg costly, but m	any trials of various approaches for removal of ere are no trials comparing removal with non- gical excision is invasive, has adverse effects, is nay prevent serious complications and is selectively ed for those with impending visual impairments.
Evidence:		multiple sear Cochrane Lib eye, pterygiu surgery, mito particles, rad randomized of randomy; sy prospective s research, and and reviewed Scopus, we fol inclusion. In Office considered Office reviewed 9 and considered for	rich engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and prary without date limits using the following terms: am, pterygia, recurrent pterygia, mitomycin C, pmycin, indomethacin, beta irradiation, beta diation, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, cation, random*, randomized, randomization, estematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological d Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found do 216 articles, and considered 109 for inclusion. In cound and reviewed 7 articles, and considered 0 for CINAHL, we found and reviewed 176 articles, and of or inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also or inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the considered for inclusion, 100 randomized trials and

10 systematic studies met the inclusion criteria.

Pterygia have been intra- and postoperatively treated to attempt to prevention recurrence and/or complications.

Bevacizumab for Prevention of Pterygia Recurrence Recommended.

Surgical Considerations

Bevacizumab is recommended for pterygia that near the visual axis.

☐ Acute ☐ Subacute	☐ Chronic
☐ Preoperative ☐ Pe	rioperative Postoperative
Indications:	Surgical cases of excision of pterygia, especially in younger
	patients at higher risk of recurrences.
Benefits:	Reduced risk of recurrence.
Harms:	Intolerance, adverse effects.
Frequency/Dose/Duration:	Topical bevacizumab (5 mg/mL) 4 times daily for 2 months. [720][181]
Indications for Discontinuation:	Intolerance, adverse effects, completion of a course.
Rationale: Evidence:	There are many trials of various approaches for removal of pterygia. There are no trials comparing removal with non-removal. Surgical excision is invasive, has adverse effects, is costly, but may prevent serious complications and is selectively recommended for those with impending visual impairments. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using
	multiple search engines including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL and Cochrane Library without date limits using the following terms: eye, pterygium, pterygia, recurrent pterygia, mitomycin C, surgery, mitomycin, indomethacin, beta irradiation, beta particles, radiation, controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective studies, prospective studies, epidemiological studies, epidemiological research, and Nonexperimental Studies. In PubMed we found and reviewed 216 articles, and considered 109 for inclusion. In Scopus, we found and reviewed 7 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. In CINAHL, we found and reviewed 176 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. In Cochrane Library, we found and reviewed 9 articles, and considered 0 for inclusion. We also considered for inclusion 1 articles from other sources. Of the 110 articles considered for inclusion, 101 randomized trials and

Comments:

Evidence for NSAID Drops for Inflamed Pterygia or Pingueculae

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Frucht- Pery 1997 [245] (score = 5.0)	Mitomycin: different applications	RCT	Sponsored by the Laboratoire Chauvin, Montpellier, France. No mention of COI.	N = 51 inflamed pterygium and pinguecula. Mean age: 42.6 years.		Group 1: treated with indomethacin 0.1% drops (N = 25) vs. Group 2: treated with placebo Group 2: antation (tion (LCAT, n(N = 26).	Follow up was at days 3, 7, and 14.	Total score decreased for group 1 by 74% $(10.08 \pm 2.91 \text{ to } 2.67 \pm 3.21)$ and for group 2 by 47% $(8.65 \pm 1.92 \text{ to } 4.58 \pm 3.34)$; the score of total signs decreased by 73% in group 1 $(5.12 \pm 1.72 \text{ to } 1.38 \pm 1.1)$ and for group 2 by 52% $(4.38 \pm 1.6 \text{ to } 2.13 \pm 1.26)$.	"This study indicates that topical indomethacin solution 0.1% is a useful treatment for inflamed pterygium and pinguecula."	Details sparse. Data suggest short term efficacy.
Goldberg 1985 [246] (score = 8.0)	Mitomycin: different applications	Randomized Crossover Trial	Sponsored by the Medical Research Council of Canada and by Merek Frosst Canada, Ltd. No mention of COI.	N = 10 healthy patients with no history of ocular disease. No mention of age of subjects.		Indomethacin 1% eye drops in each eye concurrently four times a day with timolol maleate 0.5% during days 4 through 7 inclusive vs. identical treatment but in reverse order (N = 10) After a washout period of 7 days, timolol maleate 0.5%	Outcome assessed at days 1, 4, 7, 10, 18, 21, 24, 27, and 34.	Significant decrease in intraocular pressure for all ten subjects using timolol maleate 0.5% alone (p< 0.05). No adverse events from either medication during the study.	"[W]e found that significant ocular hypotension was achieved with timolol alone.	Experimental study. Data suggest NSAID does not affect timolol and ocular pressure.

Miyake 1983 (score = 5.0)	Indomethacin (NSAID) vs Placebo	RCT [273]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 140 with rhegmatogenous retinal detachments. Mean: 47.9 years.	eye drops were administered during days 21 through 24 vs. identical medication with reverse application (N = 10). Each subject served as his/her own control. Indomethacin 0.5% (N = 63) vs. Placebo (N = 61).	Twelve week follow-up.	Angiographic evidence in 11/63 (13%) of indomethacin group compared to 20/61 (33%) (p < 0.01). More clinically severe cases of cystoid macular edema in placebo group (11 eyes) vs. indomethacin group (3 eyes)	"[T]opical pretreatment with indomethacin prevented the development of cystoid macular edema after retinal detachment surgery."	Data suggest indomethacin reduced cystoids macular edema.
Sand 1991 (score = 4.0)	Steroids	RCT [274]	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 49 eyes of 49 patients between the ages of 18-80 with mild to moderate acute anterior uveitis (AAU). Age range: 20-73 years.	1% indomethacin in ricinus oil (N = 25) vs. 0.1% dexametason in water with addition of hydroxypropylm elthylcellulose and benzalkonium	Follow up at day 1, 3, 7, and 14.	(p < 0.05). Inflammatory score: day 1 NS; day 7 indometacin 2 vs. dexametason, (p<0.05); day 14 NS. Percentage cured patients:	"[A]cute anterior uveitis will show the fastest recovery when treated with local application of a strong corticosteroid	Data suggest NSAID drops inferior to steroid drops at 7 days.

					chloride 6 times daily (N = 24).		day 7 indometacin 8% vs. dexametason 46%, (p<0.05); daily 14 NS.	as compared to indometacin."	
Aragona 2000 (score = 5.0)	Steroids	RCT [276]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 90 normal healthy subjects. Mean age: 27.1±5 (21-46) years.	Group 1: Placebo or control group (N = 15) vs. Group 2: 0.1% diclofenac (N = 15) vs. Group 3: 0.1% indomethacin solution (N = 15) vs. Group 4: 0.03% flurbiprofen (N = 15) vs. Group 5: 0.5% ketorolac tromethamine (N = 15) vs. Group 6: topical anaesthetic solution of 0.4% oxybuprocaine chloridrate drops in 1 eye 4 times at 5 minute intervals and ocular surface studied by fluorescein stain before drug instillation and 5, 15, 30, and 60 min after last drop was instilled (N = 15).	Other eye was placebo.	Diclofenac treated group showed a statistically significant decrease in corneal sensitivity (p<0.001), at 15 minutes after instillation and up to the end of the study.	"Despite a similar mechanism of action and analgesic activity to the other NSAIDs tested, diclofenac was able to induce a reduction in corneal sensitivity."	Experimental study. All medication cause discomfort c/w placebo. Oxybuprocaine associated with mill erosionas w/i 5 min.

Tutton 1996 (score = 7.5)	Steroids	RCT[277]	Sponsored by CIBA Vision Ophthalmics, Bïdach, Switzerland. No mention of COI.	N = 63 undergoing invasive correction of myopia. No mention of age.	Diclofenac sodium 1% (N = 31) vs. Placebo (N = 32).	Follow up at 1, 2, 4, 6, and 24 hours postoperatively.	Mean Pain Score (SE) at 1 /2 /4 /6 / and 24 hours for diclofenac vs. placebo: 8.9(2.3)/16.0 (4.0)/16.4 (3.9)/ 16.9 (5.3), and 26.0 (6.6) vs. 24.8 (2.8)/ 43.8 (6.2)/57.9 (7.0)/ 36.3 (8.0), and 29.3 (6.7), (p < 0.05/ < 0.0001/ < 0.0001/ < 0.05/NS.)	"Topical diclofenac significantly reduced the ocular pain and discomfort immediately after excimer PRK without any clinically significant complications or adverse effects."	Data suggest diclofenac effective.
Öksüz 2005 (score = 5.0)		RCT[280]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 54 who were undergoing excision and autograft for pterygium. Mean age: 43.3 years.	Group 1; 1 ml lidocaine 2% hydrochloride solution with 0.125 epinephrine injected under direct vision via a 27-gauge needle subconjunctivally beneath the pterygium (N = 28). vs. Group 2: lidocaine 2% gel applied topically +1 ml of unpreserved lidocaine 2% gel in the inferior conjunctival	No mention of follow up time.	There were significant differences in the pain felt during anaesthetic administration (4.26 ± 1.18 vs. 0.92 ± 0.56 in group 2, p = 0.01, mean volume of local anesthetic used (1.5 ± 0 ml vs. 2.53 ± 0.51 ml (p < 0.001).	"We conclude that 2% lidocaine gel is effective and safe anesthesia in pterygium surgery."	Details sparse.

Frucht- Pery 1990[179] (score = 6.0)	Indomethacin vs. Dexamethasone	RCT	Sponsored by Laboratoire Chauvin, Montpellier, France. No COI.	N=50 with inflamed pterygia or pingueculae. Mean±SD age: 43.96±15.63years.	fornix 5 minutes before surgery every 10 minutes during the operation (N = 26). Indomethacin 0.1% drops 6 times daily for 3 days, then 4 times to complete 2 weeks (N=25). vs. 0.1% dexamethasone drops 6 times daily for 3 days, then 4 times to complete 2 weeks (N=25).	Outcomes assessed at 3, 7, 14, 30, and 45 days.	Total signs scores increased on group 2 compared to group 1 after discontinuation of treatment (p=0.02 and p=0.023, respectively), but there was not difference for total symptoms (p=1.00 and p=0.83, respectively) and total scores (p=0.22 and p=0.36, respectively).	"[T]opical indomethacin 0.1% solution is as effective as topical dexamethasone phosphate 0.1% solution for the treatment of inflamed pterygium and pinguecula and, therefore, is suggested as an effective treatment for these conditions. The need for longer duration of treatment or retreatments for recurrent inflammatory phenomena should be further investigated."	Crossover Study, Data suggest topical indomethacin may reduce ocular pain and discomfort associated with corneal scars and edema.
Frucht-	Mitomycin C vs.	RCT	Sponsored	N = 50 with	Group 1 treated	Follow up on	Total score	"[T]opical	Data suggest
Pery 1999	Conjunctival		by the	symptomatic	with	days 3, 7, 14, 30	decreased	indomethacin	similar
[218]	Autograft		Laboratoire	inflamed pterygia.	indomethacin	and 45.	significantly for	0.1% solution is	efficacy.
[220]	. 7-6:		Chauvin,	Mean±SD age:	0.1% drops (N =		group 1 and	as effective as	,-

(score = 6.5)			Montpellier, France. COI, Drs. Richard and Trinquand are employees of the Laboratoire of Chauvin.	43.96±15.63 (23- 81) years.	25) vs. Group 2: treated with 0.1% dexamethasone solution (N = 26).		group 2 at day 3, 7, and 14 (p = 0.001), no significant difference between groups.	topical dexamethasone phosphate 0.1% solution for the treatment of inflamed pterygium and pinguecula and, therefore, is suggested as an effective treatment for these conditions."	
Neumayer 2006 (score = 7.0)	Steroids	RCT two - way crossover [275]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 32 with pronounced regeneratory posterior capsule opacification (PCO). No mention of age.	Groups one treated with Verum prednisolone 5% + diclofenac 1% tropically four times for 1 week (N = 32) vs. After a wash-out period of two weeks, placebo treated tropically for 1 week four times lubricating eye drops (N = 32).	1 year follow up.	Analysis variance, appeared pearls between verum series (p > 0.05).	"In conclusion, this study showed that the instillation of topical prednisolone and diclofenac for one week does not influence the change in rophology of Elschnig pearls."	Crossover trial. Data suggest comparable (in) efficacy.

Evidence for Glucocorticosteroid Drops for Inflamed Pterygia or Pingueculae

Author Year (Score):	Categ ory:	Stu dy	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
		type :	Interest :							
Frucht-Pery 1990[179] (score = 6.0)	Indo meth acin vs. Dexa meth ason e	RCT	Sponso red by Laborat oire Chauvin , Montpe Ilier, France. No COI.	N=50 with inflamed pterygia or pingueculae. Mean±SD age: 43.96±15.63 years.		Indomethacin 0.1% drops 6 times daily for 3 days, then 4 times to complete 2 weeks (N=25). vs. 0.1% dexamethasone drops 6 times daily for 3 days, then 4 times to complete 2 weeks (N=25).	Outcomes assessed at 3, 7, 14, 30, and 45 days.	Total signs scores increased on group 2 compared to group 1 after discontinuation of treatment (p=0.02 and p=0.023, respectively), but there was not difference for total symptoms (p=1.00 and p=0.83, respectively) and total scores (p=0.22 and p=0.36, respectively).	"[T]opical indomethacin 0.1% solution is as effective as topical dexamethasone phosphate 0.1% solution for the treatment of inflamed pterygium and pinguecula and, therefore, is suggested as an effective treatment for these conditions. The need for longer duration of treatment or retreatments for recurrent inflammatory phenomena should be further investigated."	Crossover Study, Data suggest topical indomethacin may reduce ocular pain and discomfort associated with corneal scars and edema.
Sand 1991 (score = 4.0)	Steroi ds	RCT [27 4]	No mentio n of industr	N = 49 eyes of 49 patients between the ages of 18-		1% indomethacin in ricinus oil (N = 25) vs. 0.1% dexametason in water with	Follow up at day 1, 3, 7, and 14.	Inflammatory score: day 1 NS; day 7 indometacin 2 vs. dexametason, (p<0.05); day 14	"[A]cute anterior uveitis will show the fastest recovery when treated with local application of	Data suggest NSAID drops inferior to steroid drops at 7 days.
			y sponsor ship or COI.	80 with mild to moderate acute anterior uveitis		addition of hydroxypropylmel thylcellulose and benzalkonium		NS. Percentage cured patients: day 7 indometacin 8% vs. dexametason	a strong corticosteroid as compared to indometacin."	

				(AAU). Age range: 20-73 years.	chloride 6 times daily (N = 24).		46%, (p<0.05); daily 14 NS.		
Aragona 2000 (score = 5.0)	Steroi	RCT [27 6]	No mentio n of sponsor ship or COI.	N = 90 normal healthy subjects. Mean age: 27.1±5 (21- 46) years.	Group 1: Placebo or control group (N = 15) vs. Group 2: 0.1% diclofenac (N = 15) vs. Group 3: 0.1% indomethacin solution (N = 15) vs. Group 4: 0.03% flurbiprofen (N = 15) vs. Group 5: 0.5% ketorolac tromethamine (N = 15) vs. Group 6: topical anaesthetic solution of 0.4% oxybuprocaine chloridrate drops in 1 eye 4 times at 5 minute intervals and ocular surface studied by fluorescein stain before drug instillation and 5, 15, 30, and 60 min after last drop was instilled	Other eye was placebo.	Diclofenac treated group showed a statistically significant decrease in corneal sensitivity (p<0.001), at 15 minutes after instillation and up to the end of the study.	"Despite a similar mechanism of action and analgesic activity to the other NSAIDs tested, diclofenac was able to induce a reduction in corneal sensitivity."	Experimental study. All medication cause discomfort c/w placebo. Oxybuprocaine associated with mill erosionas w/i 5 min.
Karalezli 2014[184] (score = 5.0)	Bevac izum ab: differ ent	RCT	No mentio n of sponsor	N = 88 with primary pterygium undergoing excision with	(N = 15). Group 1, received dexamethasone 0.1% and tobramycin 0.3, medications	Follow up on the first postoperative day, weekly until one	Recurrence rate: group 1 vs group 2: 2 eyes (4.3%) vs one eye (2.4%), (p=0.092).	"Topical bevacizumab seems to have no additional effect on pterygium	Data suggest the addition of topical bevacizimal-postop pterygium surgery does

	appli catio ns		ship. No COI.	limbal – conjunctival autograft transplantati on (LCAT). Mean±SD age: Group 1: 53.04±11.81 years. Group 2: 58.82±12.02 years.	tapered over the course of four weeks (N = 46) Vs. Group 2, same as group 1 with the addition of 5mg/ml topical bevacizumab, four times daily for one month postoperatively.	month, and monthly thereafter.		recurrence after LCAT."	not have any effect on recurrence rates.
Frucht-Pery 1999 [218] (score = 6.5)	Mito myci n C vs. Conju nctiv al Auto graft	RCT	Sponso red by the Laborat oire Chauvin , Montpe Ilier, France. COI, Drs. Richard and Trinqua nd are employ ees of the Laborat oire of Chauvin .	N = 50 with symptomatic inflamed pterygia. Mean±SD age: 43.96±15.63 (23-81) years.	Group 1 treated with indomethacin 0.1% drops (N = 25) vs. Group 2: treated with 0.1% dexamethasone solution (N = 26).	Follow up on days 3, 7, 14, 30 and 45.	Total score decreased significantly for group 1 and group 2 at day 3, 7, and 14 (p = 0.001), no significant difference between groups.	"[T]opical indomethacin 0.1% solution is as effective as topical dexamethasone phosphate 0.1% solution for the treatment of inflamed pterygium and pinguecula and, therefore, is suggested as an effective treatment for these conditions."	Data suggest similar efficacy.
Prabhasawat 2006 (score = 5.0)	Steroi ds	RCT [26 8]	No mentio n of	N = 120 who previously underwent	Subconjunctival 5- fluorouracil 5 mg, 0.1 cc, 5-UF, with	Follow up was done at 1 and 2 weeks,	Success rates were higher in both treatment groups	"[T]he current study showed that intralesional	Data suggest 5-FU and triamcinolone efficacious to reduce

Ozgurhan	Steroi	RCT	sponsor ship. No COI.	pterygium excision within the previous 6 months. Results given for 109 patients. Mean age: 50.5±13.4 years.	1% prednisolone acetate (N = 39) vs. 1% prednisolone acetate only (N = 35) vs. 1% prednisolone acetate with 1 dose of 20 mg (0.5 cc) of triamcinolone (N = 35).	and 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months.	compared to control, 5-UF 34/39 eyes (87.2%), triamcinolone 25/35 eyes or 71.4% vs. control 17/35 (48.6%), p = 0.001. Recurrence rate was 11/35 eyes (31.4%) for the control group, 3/39 eyes (7.7%) in the 5-FU group, 5/35 eyes (14.3%), 5-FU vs. control (p = 0.009).	injection of either 5-FU or triamcinolone effectively stops the progression of impending recurrent pterygia, results in an impressive appearance at the surgical site, and helps to avoid repetitive surgery."	recurrence but higher complication rate. Data suggest patients
2013 (score = 5.5)	ds	[26 9]	mentio n of sponsor ship. No COI.	primary pterygium who underwent pterygium excision with conjunctival autograft transplantati on. The mean age was 46 ± 14 years in the fluorometho lone group, 50 ± 15	group: topical fluorometholone 0.1% vs. Dexamethasone group: topical dexamethasone 0.1% vs. Fluorometholone /tetrahydrozoline group: topical fluorometholone 0.1% tetrahydrozoline HCI 0.025% fixed combination. Treatments were	1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, and 3 months.	month, there was a significant difference in the conjunctival graft thickness after surgery in the fluorometholone group (274 ± 61 and 178 ± 59) vs. dexamethasone group (290 ± 60 and 168 ± 46) vs. fluorometholone/t etrahydrozoline group (203 ± 43 and 118 ± 10),	present study revealed that treatment with the fluorometholone/te trahydrozoline fixed combination may be helpful to decrease graft edema and to achieve better cosmetic appearance at 2 weeks and 1 month after pterygium excision."	treated with flourometholone/tetra hydrozoline fixed combination experienced increased graft healing and better cosmetic results.
				years in the dexamethas one group, and 54 ± 15 in the	administered with topical Moxifloxacin drops 4 times		(p<0.01 and p<0.01). The mean graft thickness was significantly lower in the		

				fluorometho lone/tetrahy drozoline group	daily for a month after surgery.		fluorometholone/t etrahydrozoline group vs. the fluorometholone and dexamethasone groups at 2 weeks (p = 0.002 and p = 0.012) and 1 month (p = 0.003 and p = 0.013). The mean graft hyperemia score was significantly lower in the fluorometholone/t etrahydrozoline group vs. the fluorometholone and dexamethasone groups at 2 weeks (p = 0.000 and p = 0.000) and 1 month (p = 0.039 and p = 0.040).		
Wishaw 2000 (score = 7.5)	Steroi ds	RCT [27 0]	No mentio n of sponsor ship or COI.	N = 20 undergoing pterygium surgery. Age range: 18-73 years.	Lignocaine 1% 2 ml (N = 10) vs. Lignocaine 1% 1.6 ml plus morphine 4 mg in 0.4 ml (N = 10).	Follow up at 24 hours after surgery	At 24 hour postsurgery, mean pain scores for lignocaine plus morphine group was 1.63 and for the lignocaine group was 3.86, (p = 0.035); the difference was no longer significant at 48 hours.	"Our study suggests that peribulbar morphine is an effective analgesic modality for 24 hours postoperatively in pterygium surgery and is not accompanied by serious sideeffects."	Data suggest morphine and lignocaine superior for pain relief. 2 day follow-up.

Rietveld 2005 (score = 7.0)	Steroi ds	RCT [27 1]	Sponso red by the Dutch College of General Practiti oners (ZonM w). No COI.	N = 181 with red eye and either (muco)- purulent discharge or sticking of the eyelids. Mean age: 43.4 years.	Fusidic acid gel one drop four times daily + daily diary (N = 81) vs. Placebo ne drop four times daily + daily diary (N = 100).	Follow-up at 7 days.	Primary outcome, difference in recovery rate: 62% vs. 59% in the placebo group. Secondary outcome, difference in bacterial eradication rates: after 7 days, 76% vs. 41%.	"In conclusion, at 7 days, cure rates in both the fusidic acid gel and placebo group were similar, although the trial lacked power to demonstrate equivalence conclusively."	Data suggest that when compared to placebo, fusidic acid is nonsuperior in treating acute infectious conjunctivitis.
White 2008 (score = 6.0)	Steroi ds	RCT [27 2]	Sponso red by Bausch & Lomb, Inc. COI, Drs. Batema n and Comsto ck were employ ed by Bausch & Lomb, Inc.	N = 280 with clinically diagnosed blepharokeratocon junctivitis. Mean age: 55.5 years.	LE / T or loteprednol etabonate + tobramycin ophthalmic suspension, 0.5 % / 0.3% + self-administration of medication four times / day, 1 - 2 drops within four hour interval (N = 136) vs. DM / T or dexamethasone + tobramycin ophthalmic suspension, 0.3% / 0.1% + self-administration of medication four times / day, 1 - 2 drops within four hour interval (N = 137).	Follow-up for 14 days.	At visit 2 / 3 / and 4 from baseline the mean sd change: (-7.1 vs7.6) / (-12.3 vs13.2) / and (-15.2 vs15.6 in DM / T). 78% reduction in signs and symptoms of ocular inflammation associated with blepharokeratocon junctivitis from baseline for both treatments.	"The results of this study demonstrate that LE / T is as effective as DM / T in reducing the signs and symptoms of ocular inflammation associated with blehparokeratoconj unctivitis."	Data suggest LE/T decreases signs and symptoms of inflammation associated with blepharokeratoconjunc tivits.
Neumayer 2006 (score = 7.0)	Steroi ds	RCT two -	No mentio n of	N = 32 with pronounced regenerator	Groups one treated with Verum	1 year follow up.	Analysis variance, appeared pearls	"In conclusion, this study showed that the instillation of	Crossover trial. Data suggest comparable (in) efficacy.

	way cros sov er [27 5]	sponsor ship or COI.	y posterior capsule opacification (PCO). No mention of age.	prednisolone 5% + diclofenac 1% tropically four times for 1 week (N = 32) vs. After a wash-out period of two weeks, placebo treated tropically for 1 week four times lubricating eye drops (N = 32).		between verum series (p > 0.05).	topical prednisolone and diclofenac for one week does not influence the change in rophology of Elschnig pearls."	
Öksüz 2005 (score = 5.0)	RCT [28 0]	No mentio n of sponsor ship or COI.	N = 54 who were undergoing excision and autograft for pterygium. Mean age: 43.3 years.	Group 1; 1 ml lidocaine 2% hydrochloride solution with 0.125 epinephrine injected under direct vision via a 27-gauge needle subconjunctivally beneath the pterygium (N = 28). vs. Group 2: lidocaine 2% gel applied topically +1 ml of unpreserved lidocaine 2% gel in the inferior conjunctival fornix 5 minutes before surgery every 10 minutes during the operation (N = 26).	No mention of follow up time.	There were significant differences in the pain felt during anaesthetic administration $(4.26 \pm 1.18 \text{ vs.} 0.92 \pm 0.56 \text{ in}$ group 2, p = 0.01, mean volume of local anesthetic used $(1.5 \pm 0 \text{ ml vs.} 2.53 \pm 0.51 \text{ ml (p < 0.001)}$.	"We conclude that 2% lidocaine gel is effective and safe anesthesia in pterygium surgery."	Details sparse.

Turan-Vural 2011 (score = 4.0)	Cyclo spori ne A	RCT [26 6]	No sponsor ship. No COI.	N= 36 eyes of 34 patients with primary pterygium. Mean age: group1: 57.05 ± 11.65 group 2: 53.27 ± 10.88 years.	Bare sclera technique was performed in both groups. In Group I, 0.05% cyclosporine A (CsA) was administered postoperatively at 6-hour intervals for 6 months. (N= 18) vs. Group II did not receive CsA treatment (N= 18)	Follow up: at postoperative 1 and 7 days as well as each month during the following year.	In Group I, while four cases exhibited recurrence Figure 1, 14 (77.8%) did not show recurrence, and the mean recurrence-free follow-up time was 9.92 ± 0.92 months. In Group II, while eight cases exhibited recurrence, 10 (55.6%) cases did not show recurrence, and the mean recurrence-free follow-up time was 7.50 ± 1.19 month.	"Postoperative application of low-dose CsA can be effective for preventing recurrences after primary pterygium surgery"	Small sample. Data suggest low dose CSA may prevent pterygium recurrence.
Ibáñez 2009 (score = 4.0)	Cyclo spori ne A	RCT [26 7]	No mentio n of sponsor ship. No COI.	N = 80 eyes is 76 consecutive patients with primary pterygium; mean age of 48.5 years.	Conjunctival autograft (CA) plus 0.1ml injection of 0.125mg/ml Mitomycin C (MMC) topical cyclosprin A 1% twice a day for 3 months (N = 37) vs Control (CA+MMC) group (N = 38). All patients: chloramphenicol 0.5% and prednisolone	Follow-up at day 1, 1, 3, and 6 weeks, and 3 and 6 months.	Response rate: women: treatment vs placebo: 0% vs 24%, (p=0.03).	"This study indicates that pterygium excision with a free conjunctival autograft combined with intraoperative low-dose MMC is a safe and effective technique in pterygium surgery."	Data suggest comparable efficacy with cyclosporine A being slightly better for prevention of pterygium recurrence.

acetate 1% twice
a day for 2 weeks
and then
prednisolone
acetate 1% twice
a day for 1 week.
All patients used
hypromellose
0.5% drops four
times daily during
the 3 months.

Evidence for Bevacizumab for Prevention of Pterygia Recurrence

Author Year	Category:	Study	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
(Score):		type:	Interest:							
Ozsutcu	Mitomycin	RCT	No mention	N = 90 with		All patients	Follow up	Percentage of	"Subconjunctival	Quasi-
2014[180]	vs.		of	primary pterygia.		underwent	visits at day 1,	reoccurrence rate of	bevacizumab	randomization by
(score = 3.0)	Bevacizumab		sponsorship	Mean±SD age:		pterygium	week 1, and 1,	pterygium at 9	injection may	MRN. Data suggest
	vs. placebo		or conflict of	Group A:		excision and	3, 6 and 9	months for group A	decrease the	subconjunctival be
			interest.	42.55±8.23 years.		rotational	months.	vs. group B vs.	recurrence rate of	vacizumed
				Group B:		conjunctival flap		group C: 26.6% vs.	primary pterygium	injections may
				40.8±10.23 years.		plus: Group A:		13.3% vs. 10%.	surgery with	decrease the
				Group C:		subconjunctival		Reoccurrence was	rotational	recurrence rate of
				43.25±9.60 years.		salt solution		lower for group B	conjunctival flap."	pterygium surgery.
						injected as		and C compared to		
						placebo. (N = 30)		group A (p=0.1806),		
						vs. Group B:		and similar		
						adjunctive		comparing group A		
						mitomycin C		and B (p>0.05).		
						(0.02%)				
						administered on				
						bare sclera (N				
						=30). vs. Group C:				
						adjunctive				
						bevacizumab				
						(2.5mg/0.1ml)				
						injection (N=30).				

Ozgurhan 2013[181] (score = 4.5)	Bevacizumab vs. Placebo	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 44 who underwent recurrent pterygium excision with conjunctival autograft transplantation. Mean±SD age was 48.4±11.3 years in the study group and 50.5±17.8 years in the control group.	Study group: topical bevacizumab (5 mg/mL) (N = 22) vs. Control group: artificial tear (N = 22). Treatments were administered 4 times daily for 2 months.	Follow-up for 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, and 6 months.	There was no pterygium recurrence in the study group vs. 2 eyes (9.1%) in the control group (p = 0.244). At 3 and 6 months, the study group did not develop corneal neovascularization vs. 5 eyes (22.7%) in the control group (p = 0.024).	"Topical bevacizumab therapy 1 month after surgical excision of recurrent pterygium is well tolerated and effective to prevent neovascularization. Although the recurrence rate is lower in the study group without significant difference, further studies are required to support this result."	Data suggest adding topical bevacuzumab 1 month after recurrent pterygium surgery prevents neovascularization.
Razeghinejad 2010[182] (score = 4.0)	Different flaps for excision	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 38 with primary pterygium. Mean±SD age: Cases: 45.8±16.07 years. Controls: 41.6±13.9 years.	Case group received pterygium excision and rotational conjunctival flap with adjunctive subconjunctival bevacizumab (N = 17) vs. Control group received pterygium excision and rotational conjunctival flap with subconjunctival balanced salt solution (N = 21).	Follow-up for 1 month.	No statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding prevalence of pterygium recurrence risk factors (p=0.84).	"[A] single intraoperative subconjunctival bevacizumab injection has no effect on the recurrence rate of pterygia or on early postoperative conjunctival erythema, lacrimation, photophobia or healing of corneal epithelial defects after primary pterygium excision."	Quasi-randomized on MRN. Variable length of last FU. Data suggest not effective.

Razeghinejad 2014 [183]	Different flaps for	RCT	Sponsored by Shiraz	N=44 eyes of 44 patients	Pterygium excision with	Outcomes assessed at	No significant difference between	"[S]ubconjunctival bevacizumab	Data suggest each of efficacy of
(score = 6.0)	excision		University of Medical Sciences. No COI.	decreased visual acuity, due to visual axis or induced astigmatism, discomfort and irritation unresponsive to lubricants, restricted ocular motility, cosmetic concerns, or >3mm extension of the pterygium over the cornea. Mean age: 43.04 years.	rotational conjunctival flap, and 7.5mg of subconjunctival bevacizumab, 5mg/0.2ml on day of the surgery, and 2.5mg/0.2ml on 4th day after surgery (N=22) vs. pterygium excision and a rotational conjunctival flap, and 0.2ml of balanced salt solution (BSS) at the end of surgery	day 1, week 1, and months 1, 3, and 6.	bevacizumab group vs. BSS group on recurrence of any fibrovascular overgrowth on the cornea (p=0.17); Recurrence of > 1.5 mm fibrovascular overgrowth on the cornea (p=0.62), keratometry (p=0.29), spherical equivalent (p=0.54) and corneal astigmatism (p=0.61).	injections had no statistically but a probably clinically significant effect on the recurrence rate of pterygia."	subconjunctival bevacizumab on recurrence rate of pterygium when compared to placebo.
Karalezli 2014[184] (score = 5.0)	Bevacizumab: different applications	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 88 with primary pterygium undergoing excision with limbal – conjunctival autograft transplantation (LCAT). Mean±SD age: Group 1: 53.04±11.81 years. Group 2: 58.82±12.02 years.	(N=22) Group 1, received dexamethasone 0.1% and tobramycin 0.3, medications tapered over the course of four weeks (N = 46) Vs. Group 2, same as group 1 with the addition of 5mg/ml topical bevacizumab, four times daily for one month postoperatively.	Follow up on the first postoperative day, weekly until one month, and monthly thereafter.	Recurrence rate: group 1 vs group 2: 2 eyes (4.3%) vs one eye (2.4%), (p=0.092).	"Topical bevacizumab seems to have no additional effect on pterygium recurrence after LCAT."	Data suggest the addition of topical bevacizimal-postop pterygium surgery does not have any effect on recurrence rates.

Shenasi 2011 [185] (score = 3.5)	Bevacizumab: different applications	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=80 eyes of 80 patients with primary pterygium. Mean±SD age: 58.94±14.60 years.	Group A: pterygium excision and 1.25mg/0.1ml subconjunctival bevacizumab injected by a 27 gauge needle adjacent to the location of excised pterygium (N=40) vs. Group B: pterygium excision and distilled water applied same way as group A (N=40).	Follow up for 9 months.	Recurrence of pterygium comparing group A vs. group B: 45.5% vs. 57.6% (p=0.33).	"Subconjunctival injection of bevacizumab immediately after surgical excision of primary pterygium is well-tolerated, but it cannot significantly prevent the recurrence of this condition."	Data suggest lack of efficacy for addition of subconjunctival bevacizumal immediately post pterygium excision.
Fallah 2010 [186] (score = 4.5)	Bevacizumab: different applications	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 54 undergoing pterygium excision. Mean age: 49.96 years.	Group A: received an eye drop of bevacizumab (5mg/ml) twice a day in combination with betamethasone, four time daily for one week (N = 26) vs. Group B: administered betamethasone only 4 times daily for 1 week (N = 26).	Follow up at 1 week, 1 month, and 3 months.	Mean progression at one week was 1.916 ± 0.375 vs. 2.740 ± 0.517 for group B, (p<0.01); at one month 15.998 ± 1.22 vs. 27.230 ± 4.700 (p<0.01); at three months 37.671 ± 13.1 vs. 59.247 ± 9.472 (p<0.01).	"[S]hort-term use of topical bevacizumab seems to be a safe and effective treatment for delaying recurrence in patients with impending recurrent pterygium."	Variable length of final follow-up. Both groups favored although data formed
Nava- Castañeda 2014 [187] (score = 4.0)	Bevacizumab: different applications	RCT	Sponsored by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología. No COI.	N = 49 with primary pterygium. Mean±SD age: 48.8±15.5 years.	Group 1: bevacizumab (2.5 mg/0.1 mL) was applied once after surgery (N=16) vs. Group 2: the	Follow-up for 1 year.	There was a significant difference in the final appearance grading: Group 1 vs.	"A single 2.5 mg/mL subconjunctival bevacizumab injection in conjunction with primary pterygium	At 1 year, data suggest single dose of 2.5 mg/mL subconjunctival bevacizumal in addition to

		bevacizumab (2.5	2. vs. 3: 0 vs. 0 vs.	surgery	pterygium surgery
		mg/0.1 mL) was	12.5%, p<0.04.	accomplishing a	significantly
		applied after	,	conjunctival	prevents pterygium
		surgery, with		autograft procedure	recurrences.
		another same		is safe and well	
		dose 15 days after		tolerated, and is	
		surgery (N=17) vs.		capable of	
		Group 3: the		preventing	
		control group,		pterygium	
		surgery was		recurrences when	
		performed		compared with a	
		without		control group."	
		bevacizumab		0 1	
		application			
		(N=16).			

Evidence for Pterygium Excision for Pterygia

Author Year	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
(Score):			Interest:							
Sati 2014	Conjuncti		No mention	N=90 with		Group I: 8/0	Outcomes	Percentage	"[A]II the three	Data suggest
[188] (score	val		of	primary		vicryl sutures	assessed at	recurrence	techniques of	similar efficacy
= 4.0)	Fixation:		sponsorship.	pterygium		used to suture	1, 3, 6, 9,	comparing	conjunctival	between all 3
	Suture vs.		No COI.	grades 1-3,		the graft with	and 12	group I vs.	fixation are safe	groups.
	Fibrin glue			and at least		surrounding	months.	group II vs.	and effective	
	vs. In situ			2mm		conjunctiva		group III: 10%	and are	
	blood			extension		(N=30) vs. Group		vs. 6.67% vs.	associated with	
	coagulum			from the		II: one drop of		3.33%	similar rates of	
				limbus. Bare		fibrin glue was		(p=0.585).	recurrence.	
				sclera		placed under the		Percentage of	Moreover, the	
				technique for		graft and		graft	use of fibrin glue	
				excision.		another drop of		retraction	or autologous in	
				Mean±SD age:		thrombin was		comparing	situ blood	
				Suture group:		put on the		group I vs.	coagulum in	
				40.9±2.73		scleral bed to		group II vs.	pterygium	
				years. Fibrin		secure the graft		group III: 0%	surgery	
				glue:		(N=30) vs. Group		vs. 3.33% vs.	significantly	
				40.1±2.32		III: conjunctival		10%	reduces	
				years. Blood		autograft (CAG)		(p=0.160).	operative time	
				coagulum		was applied over		Mean±SD	and	
				group:		the bare area		operative	postoperative	
				40.63±2.54		with bleeding		time	discomfort.	
				years.		vessels and		comparing	Further studies	
						allowed to		group I vs.	with a larger	
						adhere		group II vs.	population and	
						spontaneously		group III:	longer follow-up	
						over it after		27.63 ± 1.63	period are	
						tucking		vs. 15.5 ± 1.2	needed to	
						surrounding		vs. 16.97 ±	supplement this	
						conjunctiva		1.35	study.	
						(N=30).		(p<0.001)		
Singh 2013	Conjuncti	RCT	No mention	N=20 eyes of		Group I:	Follow up	Mean±SD	"[C]onjunctival	Small sample case
[189] (score	val		of	20 patients		conjunctival	for 12	time of	grafting using	control. Data
= 4.5)	autografti		sponsorship.	with		autograft with	months.	surgery	the patient's	suggest
	ng: fibrin		No COI.	pterygium.		fibrin glue		comparing	own blood as	autologous fibrin
	glue vs.					(N=10) vs. Group		group I vs.	bioadhesive can	"may" be useful

	Blood coagulum			Mean age: 32.2 years.	II: onjunctival autograft left to adhere spontaneously trusting bioadhesive properties of fibrin in patient's blood (N=10).		group II: 14.74±2.35 vs. 17.45±2.89. Recurrence rate comparing group I vs, group II: 10% vs. 10%. For overall complication rate p=0.2783 (p>0.05).	be used for pterygium surgeries safely without any increased chances of graft failure, graft loss, graft dislodgement, and recurrences and found the results to be comparable with autografting using fibrin glue for small- to average-sized grafts."	for graft fixation in pterygium surgery.
Kurian 2014 [190] (score = 7.0)	Conjuncti val Fixation: Suture vs. Fibrin glue vs. In situ blood coagulum	RCT	No mention sponsorship. No COI.	N = 194 with primary pterygia undergoing surgery. Mean±SD age: Group 1: 42.5±10.4 years. Group 2: 37.4±12.6 years.	Group I: securing conjunctival autograft (CAG) with autologous blood (N = 96) vs. Group II: CAG with fibrin glue (N = 98).	Follow-up for day 1, week 1, month 1, month 6 and 1 year after surgery.	Primary outcomes: the difference in success rate between group I vs. group II was –1.09% (CI: –4.84% to 2.66%), (p<0.05). The difference in success rate between group I vs. group II, in terms of recurrence was +1.91% (CI: –4.192%	"Feasibilty of adherence of the graft without glue in pterygium surgery is promising and has results comparable with the fibrin glue technique in terms of long-term outcome and recurrence, suggesting the potential for autologous blood to replace fibrin glue in graft fixation."	Data suggest compariable results between the 2 methods.

							to 8.012%), (p<0.05).		
Choudhury 2014 [191] (score = 4.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Sutures vs. Blood coagulum	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=32 undergoing primary pterygium excision. Mean±SD age: 45±20 (23-67) years.	Group I: conjunctival autografting with nylon 10-0 sutures (N=16) vs. Group II: conjunctival autografting with autologous fibrin in in situ blood coagulum (N=16).	Follow up 2nd day after surgery, and weeks 1, 2, 4, and at 12 months.	Mean surgical duration comparing group I vs. group II: 67±2 vs. 15±2, p<0.001. Intensity of pain, foreign body sensation, tearing and discomfort was lower, and symptoms were fewer and disappeared more quickly in group II compared to group I	"[A]utologous in situ blood coagulum is an effective and safe method for attaching conjunctival autografts during pterygium surgery. The use of autologous in situ blood coagulum can significantly shorten operating times and produce fewer postoperative symptoms and discomfort."	Data suggest similar efficacy for recurrence but autologous in situ clood coagulum group had shorter surgical times and reported less postoperative discomfort.
Wong 2007 [192] (score = 7.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Sutures vs. Blood coagulum	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 32 eyes of 32 participants with primary pterygium. Mean±SD age: Nylon group: 60.9±13.5 years. Polyglactin group: 54.9±6.6 years.	Group 1 nylon sutures (N = 17) vs. Group 2 polyglactin sutures for conjunctive autograft (N = 15).	Follow up was at 1 day, 1 week, 4 weeks, and 3 months postoperati vely.	(p<0.001). Polyglactin sutures notes more tarsal conjunctival papillary reaction at day 1 (p = 0.01) and more graft hyperemia at 1 week (p = 0.019). At 4 weeks, more	"[B]oth polyglactin and nylon sutures are effective for conjunctival autograft suturing in pterygium surgery and cause comparable levels of	Data suggest more discomfort with polyglactin at 1 week.

							nylon sutures remained on the autograft (p = 0.021).	postoperative discomfort."	
Hall 2009 [193] (score = 4.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=50 with primary nasal pterygia undergoing surgery with conjunctival autograft. Mean age: Fibrin glue: 47.8 years. Vicryl suture: 49.8 years.	Conjunctival autograft sutured with interrupted 8.0 Vicryl (N=25) vs. fibrin glue applied the scleral bed and graft was slid into position and manipulated for 3 seconds, and then left for the cure time for 3 minutes (N=25)	Follow up at days 1, 7, 14, 30, 90, 180 and 365.	Mean surgical time comparing fibrin glue vs. sutures: 12.04 minutes vs. 26.04 minutes (p<0.001) Recurrence comparing fibrin glue vs. sutures: 0 vs. 2 at 3 months. Postoperative pain was lower on fibrin glue group at day 1 (p<0.001) and day 2 (p<0.005).	"Both glued and sutured conjunctival autografting procedures are safe and effective methods for pterygium surgery. Given the savings in operating time, the authors believe the technique may be cost-effective overall. In addition, the decreased postoperative discomfort with fibrin glue is a significant advantage in the first 48 h. A disadvantage is the possibility of complications, but with good surgical technique and patient selection these will be minimized."	At 12 months post surgery, data suggest comparable recurrence rates in both groups but glued autografts took less time and surgical patients reported less pain but there were higher numbers of complications.

Jiang 2008 [194] (score = 5.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 with primary nasal pterygium undergoing surgery. Mean age: FS group: 57.5±11 years. Suture group: 57±9 years.	Fibrin sealant or FS (N = 20) vs. Sutures (N = 20).	Follow up on postoperati ve days 1, 3, 7, 14, and months 1, 2, 6, and 12.	Pain scores were lower for FS compared to sutures at days 1, 3, 7 (p<0.00) but was no longer significantly different by day 14 (p=1.00).	"[W]ith the use of FS for graft fixation in pterygium surgery, considerable time can be saved while reducing complaints of postoperative discomfort."	Fibrin group had shorter operation time and less population pain. Suture recurrence 10% vs. fibrin 5%.
Karalezli 2008 [195] (score = 6.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship. No COI.	N = 50 eyes of 50 participants with primary nasal pterygium. Mean±SD age: Fibrin glue: 53.4±11.8 years. Vicryl sutures: 58.8±12.3 years.	Fibrin glue (N = 25) vs. 8-0 Vicryl sutures (N = 25).	Follow up was conducted for 12 months.	Intensity of pain, foreign-body sensation, irritation and epiphora was significantly lower in patients treated with fibrin glue than sutures on day 1 and 10, p<0.001. Postoperative itching sensation was lower in fibrin glue than sutures at the first two postoperative visits (20% vs. 48%, p<0.05). Recurrance occured in 4% (N = 1)	"In conclusion, the use of fibrin glue for the attatchment of conjunctival autografts in pterygium surgery is safe and effective in reducing early postoperative complications and patient discomfort."	Data suggest fibrin glue faster (16 vs. 32 min), less discomfort and lower recurrence rates (4 vs. 12%).

Hall 2009 [193] (score = 5.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 50 with primary nasal pterygia >4 mm in size and with a history of change undergoing excision surgery. Mean age: 47.8 (21-77) years.	Vicryl 8.0 buried knots conjunctival autograft (N = 25) vs. Tissue glue conjunctival autograft group or Tisseel fibrin glue (N = 25).	Follow up was on days 7, 14, 30, 90,180 and 365.	patients in the fibrin glue group and 12% (N = 3) patients in the suture group, p < 0.05. Mean surgical time for glue group was 12.04 min vs. 26.04 min for suture group (p<0.001). At 3 months, no recurrence in the glue group and two recurrence in the suture group. Subjective assessment of postoperative pain was significantly less for the fibrin glue group at day 1 (p < 0.001) and day 2 (p < 0.05).	"Both glued and sutured conjunctival autografting procedures are safe and effective methods for pterygium surgery."	Less discomfort with fibrin glue. Recurrence in 8.7% in suture group vs. 0% in fibrin glue.
Yüksel 2010 [196] (score	Conjuncti val	RCT	No mention of	N=58 eyes of 58 patients	Group 1: autologous	Follow up was on the	Mean surgery time (min)	"Using fibrin glue for graft	Data suggest the use of fibrin glue
= 3.5)	autografti		sponsorship	with primary	conjunctival	3rd and	Group 1 vs.	fixation in	for pterygium
= 3.3)							•		
	ng: Fibrin		or COI.	nasal	graft attached to	10th	Group 2:	pterygium	surgery graft
	glue vs.			pterygium.	the sclera with a	postoperati	23.42±13.34	surgery causes	fixation is
	suture.			Mean age:	Beriplast P fibrin	ve days and	vs.	significantly less	associated with

				48.4 ±13.3 years in group 1 and 52.6 ±12.1 years in group 2.	tissue adhesive (N=29) vs. Group 2: autologous conjunctival graft attached with 8-0 virgin silk sutures (N=29)	at the 1st, 3rd and 6th months.	41.45±3.20; p<0.05. Recurrence rates at 6 months after surgery: 2 (6.8%) vs. 4 (13.7%), p<0.05.	postoperative pain and shortens surgery time significantly"	less surgical time and less post-op pain.
Ozdamar 2008 [197] (score = 4.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 24 eyes of 24 participants who underwent pterygium surgery. Mean±SD age: 42.6±3.8 year (range, 38–52 years).	Fibrin glue used to attach limbal conjunctival autograft (N = 12) vs. Limbalconjunctival autograft with vicryl sutures (N = 12).	Follow-up on 1, 3, 5, 7, 15, 22, 30, and 45 days after surgery and every month thereafter for 6 months.	Patient satisfaction was significantly higher in the fibrin tissue glue vs. sutures on postoperative day 1, and 1, 2, 3, and 4, weeks after surgery (p<0.05).	"[L]imbal conjunctival autografting is an effective surgical technique for the treatment of pterygium, and tissue glue was efficacious in securing the limbal conjunctival autograft in pterygium surgery."	Tissue glue had less irritation post-op.
Küçükerdön mez 2014 [198] (score = 5.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 26 with primary pterygium. Mean (range) age: Suture group 52.1 (38-59) years. Fibrin group 57.1 (41-62) years.	Suture group, (N = 13) Vs Fibrin Glue group (N = 13)	After surgery: topical antibiotic (ofloxacin 0.3% 4 times daily) and corticoster oid (dexametha sone 0.1% 4 times daily)	Mean±SD for vascularized graft area: suture group vs fibrin glue: first postoperative day: 18.1±7.8 vs 34.8±10.2, (p<0.01). 7th postoperative day: 25.3±8.6 vs 66.1±17.8, (p<0.01).	"Fibrin glue fixation of conjunctival autografts led to more vascularization in the early postoperative period than suture fixated grafts, which in turn may have significance in terms of graft	Data suggest fibrin glue groups had increased vascularization in immediate postoperative phase.

Koranyi 2004 [199] (score = 4.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 43 eyes of 43 participants with primary nasal pterygium. Mean±SD age: 44±14 years glue group. 48±16 years suture group.	Fibrin glue (N = 20) vs. 7-0 Vicryl Rapid sutures (N = 23).	6 months.	Pain scores were lower at day 0 and each point in time for the first postoperative week for the fibrin glue group (p < 0.05). Surgery time was 10 vs. 17 minutes in the sutures group (p < 0.001).	health and pterygium recurrence." "Using glue instead of sutures when attaching the conjunctival transplant in pterygium surgery causes significantly less postoperative pain and shortens surgery time significantly."	Less population pain. Recurrence in 8% glue vs. 20% suture.
Mahdy 2012 [200] (score = 2.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 40 with recurrent pterygium who had been operated on only once. Mean age: 51 years.	Group 1: Vicrylsutured grafts (N=20) vs. Group 2: Fibrin-glued grafts that were prepared from autologous blood (N = 20).	Follow-up for 1, 6, and 12 months.	Group 2 (mean time approx. 15 min) had a decreased of surgery time vs. group 1 (mean time approx. 21 min), (p<0.05). Postoperative pain and discomfort were marked in 4 patients in group 1 vs. 2 patients in group 2 (10%). Also,	"[T]he use of fibrin glue in pterygium surgery with amniotic membrane grafting was safer, less toxic and less time-consuming, and resulted in fewer complications than graft surgery with sutures."	Some baseline comparibility omissions. Data suggest future glue use in pterygium surgery with ammotic membrane grafting was quicker and had fewer complications compared with sutures.

							group 2 had a decreased in inflammation and redness (p<0.05).		
Bahar 2007 [201] (score = 4.0)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 81 eyes of 81 participants with primary nasal pterygium undergoing surgery. Mean age: 49.5±15 (27-75) years.	Study group: conjunctival closure with fibrin adhesive or glue Quixil (N = 42) vs. Control group: conjunctival closure with Vicryl sutures (N = 39).	Clinical assessment was performed on days 1, 3, 10 and 21 and at 3, 6, and 12 months.	Mean operative time for fibrin-glue group was 16 min vs. 28 min in the suture group (p<0.05). Fibrin-glue group had significantly lower score for average pain, photophobia, foreign body sensation, irritation, epiphora, and dry eye sensation in fibrin-glue group vs. suture group (p<0.05). At the end, 11.9%patients in the study group developed recurrent pterygium vs. 7.7% in the	"The use of fibrin glue in pterygium surgery significantly reduces operative time and patient pain compared with suturing."	Quasi- randomized. Some details sparse. Data favor fibrin glue for immediate postop.

							control group (p<0.05).		
Ratnalingam 2010[202] (score = 6.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	Sponsored by the Institute of Medical Research, Malaysia. No mention of COI.	N = 175 with primary pterygium undergoing excision surgery. Mean age: 60.07±10.35 years (range: 40-84).	Conjunctival autograft with sutures (N = 69) vs. With fibrin adhesive (N = 68).	Follow up of at least 36 months.	Recurrence rate for fibrin adhesive group 3/68 (4.41%) compared to the suture group 11/69 (15.9%), p = 0.03. 1 and 6 month postoperative showed no statistically differences between groups. Mean duration of surgery time for fibring group was 16.93 ± 2.85 min compared to 29.84 ± 5.65 min for suture group, p<0.0001.	"The use of fibrin adhesive in primary pterygium surgery with conjunctival autografts reduces the recurrence rate, surgical time, and postoperative pain with compared with sutures."	Patients not well described. High dropouts. Lower recurrence in fibrin adhesive.
Uy 2005 [203] (score = 4.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 22 with primary pterygia undergoing excision surgery. Mean age: 45±20 years.	Fibrin glue + fibrinogen solution + tobramycin and dexamethasone eye drops applied 6 times daily for 1 month after surgery (N	Follow up was performed on weeks 1, 2, 4, and 8.	Operative time was significantly longer for the suture group, 67.0±2.6 minutes vs. fibrin group 27.8 ± 1.0	"Fibrin glue is a safe and effective method for attaching conjunctival autografts. The use of fibrin glue results in shorter	Patients not well described. Less population discomfort with fibrin glue.

					= 11) vs. Sutures + tobramycin and dexamethasone eye drops 6 times daily (N = 11)		min, (p<0.001). Subjective symptoms of pain, foreign body sensation, tearing, and discomfort were significantly lower for the fibrin group (p<0.001).	operating times and less postoperative discomfort. "	
Küçükerdön mez 2010 [204] (score = 7.5)	Conjuncti val autografti ng: Fibrin glue vs. suture.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 70 eyes of 70 participants with primary nasal pterygium undergoing pterygium excision. Mean±SD age: fibrin glue: 52.7±9.8 years, Suture group: 54.2±11.3 years.	Amniotic membrane transplantation or AMT with fibrin glue (N = 32 eyes) vs. 8-0 vicryl sutures (N = 38 eyes).	Follow-up was monthly for the first 6 months and at 3-month intervals thereafter for 12 months.	Operative time was significantly longer for the suture group (18.7 ± 2.2 vs. 11.2 ± 2.4 min, (p = 0.018) compared to the fibrin glue. Recurrence rates were not significantly different between groups.	"Amniotic membrane grafts can be successfully attached without any major complication in patients undergoing pterygium surgery."	Data suggest fibrin superior in 1st week, but subsequently no differences, including recurrences.
Xu 2013 [205] (score = 5.5)	Conjuncti val autograft: Sutures vs.	RCT	Sponsored by the Health Department of Guangxi Zhuang	N=80 eyes of 80 patients with primary pterygium. Mean age: ECP group:	Sutureless and glueless conjunctival autografting using electrocautery	All the patients were followed up postoperati vely on	The mean surgical time for the glue group was significantly shorter at	"[U]sing ECP for the attachment of conjunctival autografts in pterygium surgery is safe,	Data suggest comparable recurrence between ECP and mylon but ECP had shorter

electrocau tery pen.	Autonomous Region, China. No COI.	57.1 years, Suture group: 53.6 years.	pen or ECP group (N=40) vs. autografting using nylon 10-0 sutures or suture group (N=40)	days 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 14 and then at months 1, 3, 6, and 12.	20.4 minutes compared with the suture group at 27.1 minutes (p < 0.001). Postoperative pain, irritation, and epiphora were significantly less at postoperative days 5 and 7 (p < 0.05). Postoperative foreign body sensation was significantly less at postoperative days 2, 3, 5, and 7 (p < 0.05). During the follow-up period, conjunctival recurrence (grade 3) developed in 1 (2.5%) eye in the ECP group, and in 2 (5%) eves in	fast, simple, and economical with less postoperative discomfort. The recurrence rate seems not to be higher than that with sutures on long-term follow-up."	surgical times and patients reported less postop complaints.	
					in the ECP			

Shahin 2012 [206] (score = 4.0)	Pterygium excision: with vs. without bevacizu mab	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=41 eyes of 41 patients with grade 3 or grade 2 pterygium undergoing excision surgery. Mean age: 58.12±4.91 years.	Group 1: pterygium excision with conjuctivo-limbal graft only (N=21) vs. Group 2: pterygium excision with conjuctivo-limbal graft plus 1.25mg/0.05ml of bevacizumab subconjuctivally at the end of procedure (N=20).	Follow up for 6 to 10 months.	(2.5%) corneal recurrence (grade 4). Number of patients that showed recurrence of pterygium comparing group 1 vs. group 2: 2 vs. 4 (p=0.4) Number of patients that showed improvement in best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) comparing group 1 vs. group 2: 18 vs. 16 (p=0.7)	"[A]n intraoperative subconjunctival bevacizumab injection is not helpful and is possibly a harmful procedure with trend toward a greater recurrence rate."	Small samle size. Data suggest subconjunctival bevacizimal as adjuncture treatment post pterygium surgery is not beneficial.
Manning 1997 [207] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N=56 primary pterygia in 50 patients. Mean age: 48.1 (21-77) years.	Group 1: conjunctival autograft (N=18) vs. Group 2: postoperative mitomycin 0.2mg/ml 4 times a day for 7 days (N=19). vs. Group 3: intraoperative mitomycin 0.4mg/ml for 3 minutes (N=19).	Follow up for 16 months.	Recurrence of pterygia comparing group 1 vs. group 2 vs. group 3: 22.2% vs. 21.1% vs. 10.5% (group 3 vs. group 1: p=0.41; group 3 vs. group 2: p=0.66). Patients older than 55 years	"Intraoperative mitomycin is a simple and effective alternative to postoperative mitomycin therapy, showing the lowest recurrence rate in their series with no toxicity during the study period."	Data suggest pterygium recurrence rates were similar for autograft and postoperative mitomycin 0.2 mg/mL four times a day but less frequent in less frequent in intraoperative mitomycin 0.4 mg/mL X 3 minutes.

Sharma Mitomyci RCT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	Group I: blunt	Follow up	Recurrence of	procedure and independent from adjunctive pharmacological or radiation therapies with their hazards."	
2000 [209] n C vs.	C vs. of	37 patients	excision and	1 -41 - 4	1		At 3 years, Data
				at week 1,	pterygium	autograft and	suggest
(score = 3.5) Conjuncti		nip with primary	dissection of	at week 1, 3, 6, and	pterygium comparing		
		l '	excision and				

	val Autograft			undergoing excision surgery. Age range: 20-60 years.	intraoperative application of 0.2 mg/mL (0.02%) Mitomycin-C for 2.5 minutes on sclera under the cover of conjunctiva (N=21) vs. Group II: blunt excision and dissection of pterygium and conjunctival autograft secured to sclera and by passing 2 interrupted sutures at the limbus (N=20).	and there after 6 months intervals. Minimum of 12 month follow up.	Group II: 14.3% vs. 5%. (0.3174). Age less than 40 years was associated with recurrences (p=0.0384).	both equally effective adjuncts to primary pterygium surgery on long term follow up."	suggest pterygium recurrence associated with younger age.
Singh 1990 [210] (score = 4.5)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	Study 1: N=80 pterygia (recurrent or primary) of 60 eyes of 48 patients. Mean age: Autograft 38.2 years. Mitomycin 39 years. Study 2: N=30 pterygia of 27 eyes of 26 patients. Mean age 8.6 years.	Study 1: Pterygia excision and: Group A: 1.0mg/ml mitomycin 4 times daily for 2 weeks (N=20) vs. Group B: 0.4mg/ml mitomycin 4 times daily for 2 weeks (N=38) vs. Group C: placebo (distilled water) drops 4 times daily for 2 weeks (N=22) Mean follow up for mitomycin	Mean follow up time: 4 months for mitomycin group and 6 months for conjunctiva I autograft group.	Study 1: Recurrence of pterygia after treatment comparing group A vs. group B vs. group C: 5% vs. 0% vs. 73% (p<0.05). Study 2: No recurrence were present on mitomycin group compared to 1 recurrence on conjunctival	"Long term effectiveness, simplicity, economy, and relative lack of complications favor the adjunctive use of mitomycin eye drops in the treatment of primary and recurrent pterygia."	

[211] (score n C v Conj val Auto	omyci RCT vs. njuncti ograft omyci RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 50 eyes of 50 with primary pterygia. Mean±SD age Group 1: 41.44 (22-59) years. Group II: 41.64 (23- 61) years.	1.0mg was 20 months, for mitomycin 0.4mg was 14 months, and for placebo was 3 months. Study 2: 0.4mg/ml of mitomycin 4 times following excision of pterygia (N=15) vs. Conjunctival autograft transplantation (N=15). Group 1: received a 3-min scleral application of a 5 x 5 mm sterile sponge soaked in a solution of 0.02 mg/ml mitomycin C (N = 25) vs. Group 2: received same procedure with gentamicin solution 0.3% (N = 25).	Follow up was on days 1, 7, 15, and 20, then at monthly intervals for a minimum of 1.5 years.	autograft group. Photophobia, tearing, and foreign body sensation were common symptoms presented in both groups to varying degrees. Recurrence in mitomycin C-treated group was 12% compared to gentamicintreated group 32% (p < 0.001). Mitomycin C	"[A] diluted solution of mitomycin C, 0.02 mg/ml, applied intraoperatively with an accurately sized sterile sponge for 3 minutes to the bare sclera after excision of the pterygium, reduces the rate of recurrence of pterygium and minimizes corneoscleral toxicity.	Minimum 1.5 year FU. Higher recurrence in gentamicin vs. MIT-C.
[212] (score n C v	- / -	of sponsorship or COI	52 patients with progressive	pterygium excision with ipsilateral	for an average of 6 months	that was applied in a strength of	was found that both conjunctival-	Sparse details. Data suggest conjunctival

	val Autograft			pterygium Age range 25- 60 years with average 35.56 years.	conjunctival- limbal autografting. (N = 30) vs Group B: pterygium excision with adjunctive mitomycin C 0.02% for two minutes. (N = 30).	(3-12 months).	0.02% for two minutes, reduced the recurrence rate to 3.3%-12% while adjunctive conjunctival autograft reduced the recurrence rate between 3.8 and 39%. No p-value report in regards to the difference.	limbal autografting and preoperative mitomycin C (0.02%) were safe and simple procedure with significant reduced rate of recurrence, after primary progressive pterygium surgery. However conjunctival autografting is preferable technique over mitomycin C considering rate of recurrence, postoperative complication and ocular morbidity in the later group".	limbal autografting better due to fewer pterygium recurrences and fewer ocular complications.
Fallah 2008 [213] (score = 2.0)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	Sponsored by a grant from Tehran University of Medical Sciences. No COI.	N=40 eyes of 40 patients with recurrent pterygium. Mean age 49.25 years.	Conjunctival limbal autograft plus amniotic membrane transplantation or CLAU/AMT (N=20) vs. 0.02% mitomycin C applied with sponge for 3 minutes plus amniotic	Patients were followed daily until corneal epithelial defect healed, and then at 1 weeks, 2 weeks, 1, 2, 3, 6	Recurrence of pterygium during follow-up comparing CLAU/AMT vs. MMC/AMT: 0 vs. 4 eyes (p=0.035). Recurrence happened 3-4 months post-surgery.	"CLAU with AMT seems to be more effective than intraoperative MMC with AMT for treatment of recurrent pterygium."	Failed randomization. High dropout rate. Methodological details sparse.

					membrane transplantation or MMC/AMT (N=20).	months, and then every three months (follow up raged 6-19 months).			
Ari 2009 [214] (score = 4.5)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N= 113 patients with a primary fleshy or growing pterygium that invaded >2 mm into the cornea. Mean age: MMC group: 48.0 years, LCAU group: 49.0 years.	0.02% mitomycin C (MMC) intraoperatively for 2 minutes after pterygium excision: (N= 57) vs. Limbalconjunctival autograft (LCAU) after pterygium excision: (N= 56)	Mean follow up period for group 1: 16 months, group 2: 17 months	The rate of recurrence for pterygium was significantly higher in the MMC group than the LCAD group (10 [20%] vs 2 [4%] patients; p=0.035).	"Recurrence and postoperative complications were less frequently observed in primary excision with LCAD than with MMC in these Turkish patients who completed the study. This study found that pterygium excision with LCAD was well tolerated and effective in these patients."	Data suggest pterygium recurrence and adverse events less frequent in LCAU group compared to MMC group.
Young 2013 [215] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=115 patients with primary pterygium undergoing surgery. Mean±SD age: MMC group: 64±13 years. LCAU group 65±14 years.	Intraoperative 0.02% mitomycin C (MMC) for 5 minutes (N=63) vs. Limbal conjunctival autograft (LCAU) transplants (N=52)	The mean follow-up time was 138 ±2 months (range, 132-140 months) for the MMC group and 137 ± 2 months	At 10 years, there were 12 recurrences in the MMC group (25.5%) and 2 recurrences in the LCAU group (6.9%). The difference in recurrence rate between	"Limbal conjunctival autograft was more effective than intraoperative MMC in minimizing pterygium recurrence at the 10-year follow-up.	At 10 years, data suggest limbal conjunctival autograft more effective than intraoperative MMC for prevention of pterygium recurrence. High dropout rate at 10 years.

	(range, 130-140 months) for the LCAU group.	the 2 groups was statistically significant (t= 2.366; p= 0.021, Student t test) The LCAU group had a significantly lower recurrence rate compared with the MMC group. At 10 years, 47% (22/47) of the eyes had grade A appearance in the MMC group, and 72% (21/29) of the eyes had grade A appearance in the LCAU group. Name of the LCAU group.	Treatment with intraoperative MMC was not associated with long term corneal endothelial cell loss."	
		group, and 72% (21/29)		
		had grade A appearance in the LCAU		
		group. None of the eyes in either group had grade D		
		appearance [20 patients had died and		
		18 patients were lost to follow-up		

							(dropout rate of 33.3%)]		
Sodhi 2005	Mitomyci	RCT	No mention	N = 56 with	Intraoperative	Follow up	Recurrence	"The two	Data suggest
[216] (score	n C vs.		of	primary	0.2 mg/ml	was at 2	rates were	antimitotic	baseline changes
= 5.0)	Conjuncti		sponsorship	pterygium	mitomycin C	weeks, 1, 6	not	agents, MMC	in gender,
	val		or COI.	undergoing	(MMC)(N = 28)	and 12	statistically	and doxorubicin,	question the
	Autograft			excision.	VS.	months	different	when used	impact. Data
				Mean±SD age:	Intraoperative	postoperati	between	intraoperatively	suggest
				38.1±10.7	0.2 mg.ml	vely.	groups	along with	equivalency.
				years.	doxorubicin (N =		(p=0.68).	primary	
					28).			pterygium	
								excision, had a	
								comparable role	
								both in terms of	
								adverse events	
								and prevention	
								of recurrence of	
								pterygium."	
Mutlu 1999	Mitomyci	RCT	No mention	N = 81 with	Limbal	Follow-up	Rate of	"Both	No changes in
[217] (score	n C vs.		of	recurrent	conjunctival	was	recurrence	techniques	recurrence rates.
= 4.5)	Conjuncti		sponsorship.	pterygia.	autograft	minimum 1	14.6% vs.	showed similar	
	val		No COI.	Mean age:	transplantation	year	12.5% in the	recurrence rates	
	Autograft			34.55 years.	or LCAT (N = 41)	postoperati	MMC group	in the treatment	
					vs. MMC 0.2	vely.	(p>0.05).	of recurrent	
					mg/ml		LCAT	pterygia."	
					mitomycin C		procedure		
					solution with		took 1.5 hours vs. 20 minutes		
					conjunctival flap or MMC (N =		for MMC		
					40).		group.		
Frucht-Pery	Mitomyci	RCT	No mention	N = 126 with	Group 1, single	Follow-ups	Recurrence	"[P]terygium	Data suggest
2006 [219]	n C vs.		of	primary	intraoperative	at days 1, 7,	Rate number	excision with a	combining low
(score = 4.0)	Conjuncti		sponsorship	pterygia	dose of MMC	15, 30, and	(%): group 3	free conjunctival	dose mitomycin C
	val		or COI.	underwent	0.02% (0.2	90, then at	vs group 1: 14	autograft	intraoperatively
	Autograft			pterygium	mg/ml) for three	3 months	(46.6%) vs 2	combined with	along with
				excision.	minutes (N = 30)	intervals	(6.6%),	intraoperative	autografting is
				Mean±SD age:	vs. Group 2, free	during the	(p=0.0005);	low-dose MMC	effective in
				42.3±11.7	conjunctival	first year	group 2 vs	is a safe and	preventing
				years.	autografting (N =	and at six-	group 3: 4	effective	

					30) vs. Group 3, Sodium Chloride 0.9% (N = 30) vs. Group 4, MMC 0.02% for one minute, plus conjunctival autograft (N = 30).	month intervals after one year.	(13.3%) vs 14 (46.6%), (p=0.0048); group 4 vs group 2: 0 (0%) vs 4 (13.3%), (p=0.038); group 3 vs group 4: 14 (46.6%) vs 0 (0%), (p=0.0001).	technique in pterygium surgery."	pterygium recurrence.
Koranyi 2012 [220] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 115 with consecutive patients with primary nasal pterygium undergoing excision surgery. Mean±SD age: MMC group was 48.3±15 and 48.6±16 years in the CA group.	Adjunctive MMC 0.04% (N = 56) vs. Free conjunctival autograft (CA) (N = 59). After surgery: dexamthason eye drops, six times daily together with chloramphenicol ointment three times daily.	Follow-ups at 1 week, and 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36 and 48 months after surgery.	Recurrence rate: MMC vs CA: after 1 year: 32.6% vs 12.3%; 4 years: 37.5% vs 15.2%, (p<0.05). Surgery time: MMC vs CA: 13±4 vs 26±5, (p<0.01).	"Pterygium surgery including free autologous conjunctival grafting is associated with fewer recurrences, reoperations and complications than using the bare sclera technique together with single-dose intraoperative MMC."	At 4 years, data suggest free autologous conjunctival grafting in pterygium surgery is significantly better than the bare sclera technique with single dose MMC for fewer recurrences. reoperations and complications.
Katricioglu 2007 [221] (score = 2.0)	Mitomyci n C vs. Conjuncti val Autograft	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 49 eyes of 49 subjects with pterygium tissue extending more than 2 mm beyond the limb and	Group 1: Conjunctival autografts (N = 25 eyes) vs. Group 2: Amniotic membrane transplantation (N = 16 eyes) vs.		There was no overall significant difference found between groups or recurrence rates after	"[A]mniotic membrane and conjunctival autograft transplantation seems to be equally effective for the prevention of	Methodological details sparse.

				who underwent pterygium excision.	Group 3: MMC or mitomycin C + conjunctival autografts (N = 8 eyes).		conjunctival autografts p > 0.05.	recurrence in primary pterygium."	
Chen 2014[222] (score = 5.5)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	Supported by Health Department of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous region and Science Fund Project People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous region. No COI.	N=80 eyes of 80 patients undergoing primary pterygium surgery. Mean age 55.8 years.	Inferior conjunctival autografting or ICA (N=40) vs. Superior conjunctival autografting or (SCA; N=40).	Follow up on days 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 14, and then at months 1, 3, 6, and 12 postoperati vely.	Mean±SD for complete corneal epithelial healing time revealed by fluorescein staining comparing ICA vs. SCA: 3.1±0.5 d vs. 3.3±0.6 d (p=0.11). Conjunctival and corneal recurrence comparing ICA vs. SCA: 5% vs. 7.5% (p=0.64) Pain scores comparing were lower on ICA group compared to SCA at day 3 and 5 (p<0.01, p=0.04, respectively).	"[P]terygium excision with ICA led to less postoperative discomfort for patients with primary pterygium. This technique should be viewed as a useful method for all patients with primary pterygium, especially when there is a potential filtering glaucoma surgery."	Data suggest similar efficacy between ICA and SCA with some patient preference for ICA for less postoperative discomfort.
Al-Fayez 2013 [223] (score = 7.0)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship. No COI.	N= 224 with advanced recurrent pterygia. Mean age for	Group 1: free conjunctival autograft transplant (N= 112) vs. Group 2:	Follow up on postoperati ve days 1, 7. 14 and	For conjunctival recurrence, 6 patients in the conjunctival	"Limbal- conjunctival transplant is safe and more effective than	Data suggest significant benefit of limbal conjunctival transplant versus

	approach es			group 1: 36.9, group 2: 36.1 years.	Limbal- conjunctival autograft transplant (N=112)	30 and then every 3 months for the first year and then every 6 months.	autograft group had grade 1 and 1 patient in group 2 had recurrences. In the limbal- conjunctival autograft group, 4 patients had grade 1 and no patient had grade 2 recurrences. These differences were not statistically significant (p=.53 and p=.49, respectively)	free conjunctival transplant in preventing recurrence after excision of recurrent pterygia (p=0.004)"	free conjunctival transplant for preventing recurrent pterygium.
Akinci 2007 [224] (score = 5.0)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 112 with primary pterygium. Mean age: 43.55 years.	Group 1; received intraoperative 0.02% MMC for 5 min after simple excision (N = 52) vs. Group 2; or LCAG received limbal- conjunctival autograft (N = 60).	Follow-up was assessed at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months.	Recurrence occurred in 5.76% (N = 3) of the MMC group compared to 3.33% (N = 2) of the LCAG group, p>0.05. Complications were not significantly different between groups.	"[S]imple excision then intraoperative use of 0.02% (MMC) for 5 min and LCAG has similar success rates in the treatment of primary pterygia."	1 year follow-up. No changes in recurrences.

Küçükerdön mez 2007 [225] (score = 4.5)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 27 with primary pterygium. Mean age: 43.9 years.	Limbal- conjunctival autograft transplantation or LCAT (N = 14) vs. Amniotic membrane transplantation or AMT (N = 13).	Follow up on postoperati ve days 1, 7, and 30.	No differences between groups, (p = 0.443). During follow up, no pterygium recurrence was observed.	"[G]graft vascularization and perfusion after pterygium excision with LCAT or AMT could be demonstrated by anterior segment ICGA."	Variable followup length. Small sample size. Possible randomization failure. Data suggest comparable results for recurrence but conjunctival autograft led to better cosmetic result.
Küçükerdön mez 2007 [226] (score = 5.5)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 78 eyes of 78 participants with primary or recurrent pterygium. Mean±SD age: 52.4±12.40 for CAT group and 57.1±9.91 for AMT group. years	Amniotic membrane transplantation or AMT (N = 38) vs. Conjunctival autograft transplantation or CAT (N = 40).	Follow up for 6 months.	Recurrence rate: CAT vs AMT: 7.5% vs 7.9%, no p- value to report. Final appearance: 10.0% vs 21.1%, (p=0.048).	"[A]cceptable recurrence-free rates could be achieved with the AMT technique in patients with primary or recurrent pterygium."	Data suggest anterior segment ICGA is helpful for watching graft vascularization post pterygium surgery. AMT patients experiences delayed graft vascularization for one month post operatively.
Castello de Almeida [227] 2008 (score = 4.5)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	Sponsored by the Fundação de Amparo e Pesquisa (FAEPE- FAMERP), São José do Rio Preto (SP), Brasil. No COI.	N = 29 with recurrent nasal pterygium. Mean age: 47.8 years.	Group 1 conjunctival autograft transplantation with placebo eye drops for 12 days prior to surgery (N = 9) vs. Group 2 conjunctival autograft transplantation +	Follow up was conducted for 6 months post-surgery.	No significant differences between groups of epithelial cells stained brown by the Ki-67 antigen (p=0.923) or temporal side (p=0.447).	"MMC used by the subconjunctival or topical routes did not alter the percentage of conjunctival positive epithelial cells for the Ki-67 antigen in	Small sample size. Histological study. Does not clearly support a mechanism. 6 month follow-up.

Al-Fayez 2002 [228] (score = 4.5)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship. No COI.	N = 79 with advanced primary or recurrent pterygia. Age range: 27-39 years.		subconjunctival injection on 0.1 ml of 0.015% MMC and placebo eye drops in the pterygium head 30 and 14 days prior to surgery (N = 11) vs. Group 3 conjunctival autograft transplantation using 0.02% MMC eye drops for 12 days prior to surgery (N = 9). Group A: free conjunctival autograft transplantation (N=36) vs. Group B: limbal conjunctival autograft transplantation (N=43)	Follow up was evaluated on postoperati ve days 1, 7, 14, and 30, then every 3 months for the first year, and then every 6 months.	Recurrence of pterygia comparing group A vs. group B: 16% vs. 0% (p=0.007). Recurrences in patients with past recurrent pterygia was significant (p=01.028), while recurrence in patients with primary pterygia was not (p=0.208).	"We found limbal— conjunctival autograft transplantation safe and effective in preventing recurrence of advanced and recurrent pterygia in a uniform group of a high-risk population (mainly young males)."	Data suggest limbal transplantation more effective than free conjunctival transplantation for treatment of recurrent pterygia.
---	---	-----	--	---	--	--	---	--	---	--

Yeung 2013[229] (score = 5.0)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=60 eyes of 60 patients with primary pterygium. Mean age: Superior conjunctival autograft (CAU): 49.5; Inferior CAU: 57.0 years.	Superior CAU (N=30) vs. Inferior CAU (N=30)	The patients were seen on day 1 and day 7, 1 months, and 6 months after their surgery	One eye in the superior CAU group (4.2%) and 1 eye in the inferior CAU group (4.0%) developed pterygium recurrence. There was no statistically significant difference in the recurrence rates between the 2 groups. In the inferior CAU group, mild localized donor site scarring was noted in 2 patients (8.3%).	"Pterygium excision with superior or inferior CAU secured with fibrin glue is safe and effective. There was no significant difference in surgical time, pain, and recurrence rates of pterygium after excision with superior or inferior CAU."	Data suggest comparable efficacy between superior and inferior.
Kheirkhah 2012 [230] (score = 5.0)	Conjuncti val Autograft: different approach es	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 87 eyes of 86 patients with primary or recurrent nasal pterygia who underwent surgery. Mean±SD age: 43.5±11.8 years.	Free conjunctival autograft (CAU) (N = 44 eyes) vs. Conjunctival-Limbal Autograft (CLAU) (N = 43 eyes). All eyes underwent pterygium surgery and application of 0.02% mitomycin C for 3 minutes.	Follow-ups at 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, and 3, 6, 12, months after surgery.	Recurrent pterygia CAU vs. CLAU: 12.5% vs. 0%, p=0.37. No differences between groups were found.	"There was no significant difference in recurrence rates of pterygium after surgery with mitomycin C application between the CAU and CLAU groups, more remarkably in primary cases.	Data suggest comparable efficacy between groups.

					After surgery: topical antibiotic for 1 week and tapering topical steroids for 3 months; 0.1% betamethasone 4 times daily for 1 months followed by 0.1% fluorometholone 4 times daily for 2 weeks, 3 times daily for 2 weeks, twice daily for 2 weeks, and once daily for 2 weeks.			Limbal damage was seen in some eyes with CLAU."	
Young 2009	Pterygium	RCT	No mention of	N=40 patients	Group 1 received	Immediatel	From the	"Topical	Data suggest
(score = 5.5)	excision:			with primary	tetracaine 1%	у	patients'	administration	similar efficacy
	Different		sponsorship.	pterygium	drops every 5	postoperati	perspective,	of lidocaine 2%	but lidocaine gel
	anesthetic		No COI.	Mean age:	minutes for 3	ve after	the mean pain	gel or tetracaine	requires less
	S			60.80±11.97	times before	patching.	score for	1 % drops are	frequent
				years.	surgery and		stage 2 was	both effective	application and
					solcoseryl eye		3.98±2.18 in	anesthetic	has a sustained
					gel 5 minutes		the tetracaine	agents for	effect.
					before surgery (N= 21) vs.		group and 3.03±2.35 for	primary	
					Group 2 received		the lidocaine	Pterygium	
					one normal		gel group.	surgery and mitomycin C.	
					saline drop every		ger group. There was no	However,	
					5 minutes 3		significant	lidocaine gel is	
					times before		difference in	superior to	
					surgery and 1ml		mean pain	tetracaine eye	
					of lidocaine 2%		scores	drops and its	
					gel 5 minutes		experienced	application is	
					before surgery		at stage 2.	more convenient	
					(N=19) Both		The mean	with a less	

I	ĺ	i i		Ī	ļ i	treatments were		nain coorac -+	frequent	
								pain scores at		
						repeated		stage 3 were	application and	
						intraoperatively,		less. The	a sustained	
						and Tetracaine		mean pain	duration of	
						1% eye drop(s)		score was	action."	
						were used as		1.43±1.66 and		
						required		0.47±0.84		
						intraoperatively.		(p=0.03,		
								Student's t-		
								test) for the		
								tetracaine		
								group and gel		
								group,		
								respectively.		
								In stage 3,		
								there was a		
								statistically		
								significant		
								difference in		
								the mean pain		
								scores		
								(p<0.05)		
								From the		
								surgeon's		
								point of view,		
								the subjective		
								pain score at		
								stage 2 was		
								2.84±1.07 for		
								eyes receiving		
								lidocaine gel		
								and 4.52±1.03		
								for eyes		
								receiving		
								tetracaine		
								drops (Table		
								3). There was		
								a statistical		
								significant		
]							Significant		

Bazzazi 2010 [231] (score = 3.5)	Conjuncti val autograft vs. Minimal invasive surgery	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 122 with primary pterygium Mean±SD age for Group A: 45.8± 8.5, Group B: 48.0± 11.5	Group A: conjunctival autograft transplant (N =36) vs. Group B: underwent minimal invasive Pterygium Surgery (N = 86).	Follow-up at 1 weeks, 1, 2, 3, and 6 months and 1 year, postoperati vely.	difference in the mean pain scores for all the stages. Recurrences were detected in 4 patients (11.1%) in group A and 5 patients (5.8%) in group B with no significant difference in this regard (p=0.447)	"[R]ecurrence-free rates could be achieved using MIPS technique in patients with primary pterygium and can be considered as good alternative in the surgical management of pterygia because of its simplicity and low surgical time."	Possible unequal random scheme not well described. Number of recurrences CAG vs. MIPS: 36 vs. 86. Details sparse. More recurrence in autograft 11.1 vs. 5.8%.
Oguz 1999 [232] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 44 eyes of 36 with primary and recurrent pterygia. Mean±SD age: 48.7±11.30 years.	Intraoperative single dose of 0.02% mitomycin for 5 min (N = 20) vs. Postoperative topical mitomycin in 0.02% (0.2 mg/ml) four times a day for 1 week (N = 20).	Follow up at days 1, 7, 15, and 30, at 6-week intervals for the next 3 months, at 6 week intervals for the next 3 months.	The intraoperative group had recurrence rate of 3/20 (15%) vs. postoperative group of 4/20 (20%) (p=0.41).	"This study indicated possible advantages of administration of a single dosage of 0.02% mitomycin C over postoperative mitomycin therapy."	Limited patient description. Sparse details. Comparable efficacy. Reported complications in drop group but non-sig. (not powered for complications.
Yanyali 2000 [233] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 38 eyes of 35 participants undergoing pterygium excision for	Intraoperative mitomycin C 0.02% solution (N = 19) vs. Bare sclera excision alone (N =19).	Follow up was on days 1, 7, 15, and 30 and every 3	Recurrence occurred in 21% (4 eyes) of the mitomycin C treated group	"In conclusion, the results of our study show that intraoperative application of	Data suggest efficacy.

				primary pterygium. Mean age: 25.14 years.		months thereafter.	compared to 57.8% (11 eyes) in the control group, (p = 0.045).	0.02% mitomycin C is effective in preventing the recurrence of primary pterygium."	
Mastropasqu a 1996 [234] (score = 5.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 90 eyes of 90 participants undergoing surgical treatment for recurrent pterygium. Mean age: 40.75 years.	Intraoperative 0.02% Mitomycin C treated group (N = 45) vs. Pterygium excision performed by bare sclera technique (N = 45).	Follow up period ranged from 6 to 54 weeks.	Recurrence rate was 12.5% vs. 35.6% in the control group (p=0.027).	"This study confirms the efficacy of intraoperative mitomycin C in improving the success rate after recurrent pterygium surgical excision."	Variable follow- up.
Tseng 2001 [235] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	Sponsored by the National Council of Science, Taiwan, R.O.C.	N = 45 eyes of 38 participants with primary pterygium. Mean age: 58.5 years.	Group 1: simple excision of pterygium (N = 15) vs. Group 2: bare-sclera procedure with low-dose intraoperative 0.02% MMC for 30 seconds (N = 15) vs. Group 3: pterygium excision followed by conjunctival autografting (N = 15).	Follow up was performed at 1 and 2 weeks, 1, 3, 6, and 12 months.	At 1 year, only group 2 had a goblet cell density significantly below normal controls, (p=0.02).	" After pterygial excision by a bare-sclera procedure with or without an intraoperative dose of MMC or conjunctival autografting, the wound heals by a four-stage process with appearance and proliferation of nongoblet epithelial cells in the first three stages and marked proliferation of	More recurrences in base sclera procedures.

Kaya 2003[236] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 500 with either primary or recurrent pterygium. Mean age 44 (18-65) years	Group 1 were operated on using a vertical conjunctival bridge flap technique (N = 250) vs. Group 2 operated on with bare sclera technique (N = 250).	Follow up 1 day, 1 week, 3 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months.	Pterygium recurrence; 2% vs.40% in group 2 (p<0.01). No other complications were significantly different between the two groups.	goblet cells in stage 4." "[V]ertical conjunctival bridge flap technique is a safe and effective method offering good control rates without any significant complications for primary and recurrent pterygium."	If bilateral one eye two each group. Variable follow-up length. Dropouts somewhat unclear. Data favor vertical conj. bridge flap for lower recurrence.
Tan 1997 [237] (score = 6.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	Sponsored by the Singapore National Medical Research Council and the Singapore Eye Foundation. No mention of COI.	N = 157 with primary pterygium and with recurrent pterygium). Age range: 20-79 years.	Bare sclera only group 62 with primary pterygium, 17 with recurrent pterygium) (N = 79) vs. Conjunctival autograft only group 61 with primary pterygium, 17 with recurrent pterygium). (N = 78).	Follow up occurred at 1 day, 1 week, 1, 3, 6 and 12 months.	Recurrence rate was 38/62 eyes (63%) who underwent bare sclera excision vs. 1/61 (2%) who underwent conjunctival autografting, (p < 0.001). Cumulative survival rates at 3, 6, and 12 months after surgery was 0.71, 0.53, 0.31 in the bare sclera group compared to	"[C]onjunctival autografting is significantly superior to bare sclera excision for primary and recurrent pterygium, even when performed in a tropical environment."	1 year study. Variable length FU.

Mourits 2008 [238] (score = 6.5)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 96 eyes of 91 participants 91 with nasally located pterygia. Mean age: 50 years (range: 24–77).	200 and 250 cGy/min β-RT with 90Sr (N = 44) vs. Sham irradiation without 90Sr (N = 42).	Follow up at 6 weeks, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months after treatment.	cumulative survival still above 0.98 at 12 months for conjunctival autografting group. Recurrence in β-RT was 5/44 (11%) compared to 32/42 (76%) in the sham group (p<0.001). In β-RT group significant change of keratometry was found in 5 eyes (12%) compared to 16 eyes (38%) in the sham group (p=0.002).	"Bare sclera extirpation of a pterygium without adjunctive treatment has an unacceptably high recurrence rate and therefore should be considered obsolete."	2nd report apparently same trial data.
Gupta 2003 [239] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of industry sponsorship or COI.	N = 80 eyes of 72 participants with primary and recurrent pterygia. Age range: 16-50 years.	Group 1: excision of pterygium by the bare sclera technique or BSE (N = 20) vs. Group 2: BSE plus single drop of 0.02% MMC at end of surgery (N = 20). vs. Group 3: BSE + postoperative	Follow up was day 1, 7, 15, and 30 followed by biweekly for 3 months.	Ocular pain / Recurrence: greater for group 2 (p=0.04), group 3 (p=0.004), and group 4 (p=0.0004), vs. group 1 / evident in 70% Vs. 20% vs. 20% vs.	"To conclude, the single drop instillation of 0.02% MMC at the end of bar scleral excision of pterygium appears safe and efficacious compared to other MMC regimes in the	Recurrence higher for BSE alone. Lowest complications with one drop 0.02% MIT-C.

Cano-Parra	Mitomyci	RCT	No mention	N = 66 eyes of	instillation of 0.02% MMC eye drops, 2x/d for five days (N = 20) vs. Group 4: BSE plus a single intraoperative sponge application of 0.02% MMC to the exposed sclera, cornea and the resected pterygium site (N = 20).	Follow up	15% of group 4, significantly lower for groups 2, 3, and 4 vs. 1 (p=0.001, 0.001, 0.004) while no differences between group.	treatment of pterygium."	Data show
1995 [240] (score = 6.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 66 eyes of 54 participants with primary pterygia. Mean age: 51.8 (range 25-71) years.	Single intraoperative application mitomycin C 0.1 mg/ml for 5 min, (N = 30) vs. Without mitomycin C (N = 36).	Follow up was evaluated on postoperati ve days 1, 7, 15 and monthly thereafter.	Recurrence rate was 38.8% in the control group (N =14) vs. 3.33% (N =1) in the treatment group, p = 0.0006. In the mitomycin group, conjunctival wound healing was delayed by 7-15 days for all eyes, vs.no delays for control. Conjunctival granuloma occurred in 14 eyes in the	"We have shown that the single intraoperative exposure to mitomycin C (0.1 mg/ml) reduces the recurrence rate of primary pterygium without serious complication over a mean follow up of 14.1 months. We suggest That the single intraoperative exposure of mitomycin C appears to be a safe, simple, effective and useful form of adjunctive	Data show efficiency. Dropouts unclear. Blinding not well described.

Cardillo 1995 [241] (score = 4.5)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=227 patients undergoing surgery for primary pterygia. Ages 40 to 60 years (mean, 48.2 years)	Group 1: single intraoperative application of 0.2 mg/ml mitomycin C for 3 minutes. (N=45) vs. Group 2: single intraoperative application of 0.4 mg/ml mitomycin C for 3 minutes. (N=49) vs. Group 2: mitomycin C eye drops 0.2 mg/ml 3 times daily for 7 days. (N=47) Vs. Group 3: mitomycin C eye drops 0.4 mg/ml 3 times daily for 14 days. Group 4 (N=45) Vs. Surgery alone or Control (N=41).	Outcomes assessed at days 7. 14, and 30, and monthly for 6 months, and every 3-4 months thereafter. Mean follow up: 28 months.	control group and only 5 eyes in the treatment group. Recurrence of pterygium after treatment comparing group 1 vs. group 2 vs. group 3 vs group 4 vs. control: 6.66% vs. 4.08% vs. 4.26% vs. 4.26% vs. 4.44% vs. 12.27% (p<0.0001 among all groups, and p≤0.0001 comparing each group to control; and p≥0.0681 between groups receiving mitomycin).	therapy to the surgical treatment of the primary pterygium." "These results support the efficacy and relative safety of a single, low concentration, intraoperative application of mitomycin C in pterygium surgery together with the use of conjunctival flap, avoiding excessive cauterization of the sclera and leaving bare sclera."	Data suggest single dose of intraoperative mitomycin C in pterygium surgery in beneficial for preventing recurrence compared to controls (surgery only).
Ghoneim 2011 [242]	Mitomyci n:	Randomized Trial	No mention of industry	N=70 eyes of 70 patients	Group A: 0.15mg/ml	Follow up at 1 day, 1	Recurrence rate at 1 year	"In conclusion, preoperative	Data suggest similar efficacy in
(score = 4.0)	different applicatio ns		sponsorship or COI.	with primary pterygia. Mean age:	subconjunctival mitomycin C (MMC) injected	week, 1 month, 3 months, 6	comparing group A vs. group B: 5.7%	local injection of MMC 0.15 mg/ml is as	recurrence rates of pterygium between
				33.5 years (27-51 years).	in the limbus 24 hours before	months, and 1 years	vs. 8.57% (p=0.99). No	effective as intraoperative	subconjunctival injection of

					pterygium excision with bare sclera technique (N=35) vs. Group B: 0.15mg/ml MMC applied to bare sclera for 3 minutes after pterygium	postoperati vely.	statistical difference between groups (p>0.05).	topical application of MMC 0.15 mg/ml for prevention of the recurrence of pterygium after surgical removal with the bare sclera	mitomycin C versus intraoperative topical application of mitomycin C at one year follow- up.
Zaky 2012 [243] (score = 4.0)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	Randomized Trial	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=50 eyes with recurrent pterygium Mean age: MI group: 35.15 years. MA group: 36.11 years.	excision (N=35). The mitomycin injection (MI) group: received 0.1 ml of 0.15 mg/ml mitomycin C injected subconjunctivally into the head of the pterygium one day before surgical excision using the bare sclera technique. (N=25) vs. The mitomycin application (MA) group: underwent surgical removal with the bare sclera technique and intraoperative topical application of 0.15 mg/ml of	One year.	The recurrence rate was 4% in the MI group and 8% in the MA group. The mean preoperative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.53th + 0.15 in the MI and 0.58th + 0.20 in the MA groups upon inclusion into the study. The mean postoperative BCVA was 0.8 + 0.11 in the MI and 0.83+ 0.16 in the MA groups. There was a highly	"Preoperative subconjunctival injection of mitomycin C in low dose (0.1 ml of 0.15 mg/ml) a day before pterygium surgery is a simple and effective modality for management of recurrent pterygium. It has the advantage of low recurrence and complications' rate."	Data suggest preoperative low dose subconjunctival mitomycin C, 24 hours pre pterygium surgery is associated with low recurrence and complication rates.

Frucht-Pery 1994 [244] (score = 4.5)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 40 eyes of 40 participants with primary and recurrent pterygia. Mean age: 45.7 years.	Group 1, received a single dosage of 0.02% mitomycin for 5 minutes (N = 20) vs. Group 2, received single dosage of saline for 5 min (N = 20).	Follow up was at day 1, 7, 15, 30, and then monthly for 3 months, at 6-week intervals for the next 3 months, and finally at 3-month intervals.	statistically significant difference between the preoperative and postoperative results (p <0.05), while the difference between the two groups was statistically insignificant (P >0.05). Recurrence occurred in 5% (for group 1 vs. 46.7% for group 2, (p = 0.0001).	"We therefore believe that topical intraoperative use of mitomycin C may be beneficial in a population of healthy patients with pterygia."	
Kheirkhah 2011 Am J Ophthalmol Vol. 151 [247] (score = 4.5)	Mitomyci n: different applicatio ns	RCT	No sponsorship or COI.	N = 56 eyes of 56 patients with primary pterygium who underwent surgery;	Received 0.20% MMC on the perilimbal sclera (N = 28) vs Under the conjunctiva, away from the limbus (N = 28).	Follow-up at 1 week, 1, 3, and 6 months after surgery.	There were no statistically significant differences between the groups in any of the outcomes measured.	"Regardless of application location, MMC use during pterygium surgery can cause a significant decrease in central	At 6 months, data suggest location not a factor when applying MMC during pterygium surgery.

								endothelial cell count."	
Benyamini 2008 [253] (score = 3.5)	Flaps: different approach es.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N= 34 eyes of 33 patients with primary pterygium seeking surgical removal Mean age: 45.5 ± 12.9 years in group A, 43.3 ± 15.4 years in group B.	Group A received pterygium surgery with either 1 rotational flap (N=19 eyes) vs. Group B received double sliding flaps by using a biologic adhesive to secure the flaps (N=15 eyes)	Follow up was on 1st postoperati ve day, 1 week, 4th week and was followed till 24 weeks	At last follow up week 24, no more changes in position of flaps in both groups. No pterygium recurrence in either group. Complication rate between these 2 techniques was not significant (p>0.05)	"The use of tissue adhesive is a promising technique in pterygium surgery. In this study, gluing 1 rotational flap resulted in excellent postoperative results, but it seemed less suitable for use with double sliding flaps."	Data suggest equivalency
Benyamini 2008 [253] (score = 3.5)	Flaps: different approach es.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 34 eyes of 33 participants with primary pterygium.	Group A: rotational flap (N = 18) vs. Group B: sliding flaps (N = 15).	Follow up was assessed weeks 1, 2, 4, 12 and 24 post surgery.	First day postoperative 100% of flaps in group A were still in place, and group B saw 24% of flaps which did not retain their potion from the end of surgery. At one week, 94.7% of group A flaps were in place and there was not change in group B.	"In summary, the use of Tisseel tissue adhesive is a promising technique in pterygium surgery."	Data suggest equivalency.

Akhter W 2014 [254] (score = 4.5)	Flap vs. Autograft	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=57 eyes of 57 patients with pterygium corneal encroachment of ≥2mm responsible for visual disability Mean age: 58.5 years	Pterygium excision followed by free conjunctival autograft or CAG group (N=26) vs. Pterygium excision followed by conjunctival rotation flap or CRG group (N=31)	Follow up period not reported.	Surgical duration in conjunctival auto-graft and conjunctival rotation flap group was 28.50 and 16 minutes respectively. This was statistically significant, (p<0.001) Recurrence was seen in 2 (7.96%) cases in CAG and in 3 (9.67%) cases in CRG. This difference was not statistically significant.	"The surgical time for conjunctival rotation flap procedure is less as compared to free auto-graft, while their recurrence and complications are comparable."	Quasi- experimental. Data suggest comparable efficacy but conjunctival rotation flap procedure requires less surgical time.
Tok 2008 [255] (score = 4.0)	Bare sclera method with vs. without implantati on of collagen matrix.	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 31 with bilateral pterygium who underwent excision using the bare sclera techniques. Mean age: 62.97±9.36 years.	Right eye treatment group with topical 0.05% cyclosporine ophthalmic emulsion applied twice daily for 6 months (N = 31) vs. Left eye used as a control with no treatment (N = 31).	Mean follow up was 9.39±4.14 months (range 1-12 months).	Recurrence rate in treatment group was 4/31 (12.9%) compared to controlled group 14/31 eyes (45.2%) (p = 0.005).	"This study suggests that primary excision of pterygium with postoperative instillation of 0.05% cyclosporine is both safe and efficient."	Randomized crossover. All right received intervention and left eye controls. Data suggest efficacy.

Arish 2013 (score = 3.5)	Bare sclera method with vs. without implantati on of collagen matrix.	RCT[256]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N= 20 with unilateral or bilateral pterygium. Mean age= 23-67 years	Intervention group: sub conjunctival implantation of a collagen matrix (iGen™) following pterygium removal by the bare sclera method (N=N/A) vs. Control group: pterygium removal using bare sclera method only (N=N/A)	Follow up visits on 1st day, 1st week, 1st month, 3rd month and 6th month post operatively.	A higher rate of recurrence was found in control group. The statistical difference was not significant (p>0.05)	"In conclusion, the implantation of collagen matrix is a quick and easy technique, may be associated with lower rate of pterygium recurrence and subsequently may improve outcomes from the bare sclera method of surgery. Further studies with a larger sample size and longer duration of follow up are recommended to further explore this technique."	Small sample size. Data suggest biodegradable collagen matrix implants post pterygium surgery appear to be associated with lower recurrence rates but not statistically significant.
de Farias 2014 [257] (score = 5.0)	Amniotic membran e transplant ation.	RCT	Sponsored by the CAPES Foundation, Ministry of Education, Brasília, Brazil. No COI.	N=26 eyes of 26 different patients with scleral thinning due to beta therapy after pterygium surgery. Age: ≥18 years.	Amniotic membrane transplantation or AMT (N=9) vs. Lamellar corneal transplantation or LST (N=9) vs. Lamellar scleral transplantation or LCT (N=8)	Outcomes measured preoperativ ely, and a 1, 3, and 6 months after surgery.	Median corneal thickness before surgery comparing AMT vs. LST vs. LCT: 0.45 vs. 0.48 vs. 0.52 (p=0.257). 6 months after surgery median	"LCT was the best option for the structural treatment of scleral thinning, followed by LST with a conjunctival flap. A high rate of reabsorption was found with AMT, which was the least effective of the 3	Sparse methods. Data suggest LCT> LST for the treatment of AMT was the least effective of all 3 therapies due to a high reabsorption rate.

[258] (score	Amniotic membran e transplant ation	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N =180 with primary or recurrent pterygia. Mean age: 54.2 years	Group A control (N = 29/7) vs. Group B with 0.02% intraoperative MMC for 5 minutes (N = 29/7) vs. Group C with 0.04% intraoperative MMC for 5 minutes (N = 28/7) vs. G group D with 0.02% intraoperative MMC for 3 minutes (N = 29/6) vs. Group E with 0.04% intraoperative MMC for 3 minutes (N = 29/6) vs. Group E with 0.04% intraoperative MMC for 3 minutes (N = 28/7). Group 1: 0.02%	Follow up was on postoperati ve days 1, 7, 15 and 30 then monthly for 2 months, bi-monthly for 10 months, and finally tri-monthly.	thickness of 0.19 was less compared to 0.57 for LCT (p=0.27) or 0.76 for LST (p=0.19). No statistical difference between groups (p>0.05). Mean follow up of 20 and 30 months for A to E: 75% vs. 8.3% vs. 8.6% vs. 42.9% vs. 22.9%. No major postoperative complications.	"In conclusion, our mid-term results show that a single application of intraoperative MMC at the concentration of 0.02% for 5 minutes appears to be a safe and effective adjunct."	2 year follow-up. Blinding poorly described.
_	membran		of	recurrent	MMC (0.2mg/ml)	at 1 day, 1	rate: Group 1	membrane	similar efficacy.
						-	•		Similar Efficacy.
(score = 4.0) e	е		sponsorship.	pterygium;	and Amniotic	week, 1, 3,	vs Group 2:	combined with	
						-	•		
		NCI							

1	transplant	I	I	59.1±12.1 for	Ì	Transplantation	months,	(p=0.531, CI= -	recurrence rate	
	ation			group 1, and		(N = 25) vs.	and every	0.12-0.22).	to CA combined	
	ation			55.4±12.9 for		Group 2: Free	12 months	0.12-0.22).	with MMC, in	
							thereafter.		·	
				group 2.		Conjunctival	thereafter.		patients with	
						Autograft (CA)			recurrent	
						and 0.02% MMC			pterygium.	
						(N = 30). After			Similar	
						surgery:			outcomes and	
						Tobramycin 0.3%			complication	
						ointment was			rates make	
						applied with an			AMT-MMC a	
						eye patch, at			promising	
						least once a day;			method for the	
						ciprofloxacin			treatment of	
						0.3% and tear			recurrent	
						substitute four			pterygium cases.	
						times a day for				
						one week, and				
						prednisolone-				
						acetate 1% for				
						one month; after				
						one month,				
						steroid drops				
						were changed to				
						fluorometholone				
						0.1% four times				
						to twice daily				
						and then				
						tapered.				
Kheirkhah	Amniotic	RCT	No mention	N = 42 with		Amniotic	Follow up	Conjunctival	"After pterygium	Data suggest
2011 [260]	membran		of	primary nasal		Membrane	at 1 day, 1	inflammation:	surgery,	postoperative
Am J	e		sponsorship.	pterygium;		Transplantation	and 2	AMT vs	conjunctival	conjunctival
Ophthalmol	transplant		No COI.	mean age of		(AMT), MMC	weeks, 1	conjunctival	inflammation	inflammation
•	ation .			45.6±13.9.		0.02% was	month, and	autograft	was significantly	post pterygium
(score = 4.5)						applied on the	3, 6, 9 and	group: 16	more common	surgery was more
						sclera (N = 21) vs	12 months	eyes (84.2%)	with AMT than	frequent in AMT
						Free	after	vs 3 eyes	with conjunctival	group than with
						Conjunctival	surgery.	(15%),	autograft.	conjunctival
						Autgraft, MMC	, ,	(p=0.02)	However, with	autograft group.

					the sclera (N = 21). After surgery: topical antibiotics for 2 weeks and tapering topical steroids for 3 months; 0.1% betamethasone 4 times daily for 1 months followed by 0.1% fluorometholone 4 times daily for two weeks, thrice daily for 2 weeks and once daily for 2 weeks.			inflammation and intraoperative application of mitomycin C, similar final outcomes were achieved with both techniques."	
Liang 2012 (score = 3.5)	Amniotic membran e transplant ation	RCT[261]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 118 (133 eyes) with pterygium; age range 30 – 85 years.	Pterygium surgery combined with conjunctival autograft (N = 81) vs. Pterygium resection combined with amniotic membrane transplantation (N = 52).	Follow-up for 1 year.	There statistically significant difference between groups in the foreign body sensation or discomforts (χ 2 = 6.9600, p = 0.0083), eyelid edema and conjunctival hyperemia edema χ 2 = 4.3192 p = 0.0377) and	"Patients receiving pterygium surgery combined with conjunctival autograft had lower recurrence rates and experience faster recovery compared with those undergoing pterygium resection combined with amniotic	At 12 months data suggest pterygium surgery plus conjunctival autograft groups had quicker recovery and less pterygium recurrence.

							recurrence rate χ2 = 4.1833 p = 0.0408).	membrane transplantation."	
Ma 2005 (score = 4.5)	Amniotic membran e graft	RCT[296]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 95 eye of 94 with recurrent pterygia. Mean age: 53.4 ±11.3 years.	Amniotic membrane graft or AMG (N = 46) vs. With mitomycin C 0.025% (AMG- MMC (N = 48).	12 months.	Conjunctival recurrence AMG group12.5% vs. AMG-MMC group 8.5%, p = 0.62. Corneal recurrence; 12.5%vs. AMG-MMC 12.8%, p = 0.97.	"AMG alone can be considered an effective alternative adjunctive treatment of recurrent pterygia. The addition of intraoperative mitomycin C did not further reduce the recurrence rate."	Data suggest no significant difference. Comparable efficacy.
Luanratana- korn 2006 (score = 5.0)	Amniotic membran e graft	RCT	Sponsored by the Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University. No COI.	N = 187 with primary; N = 254) or recurrent; (N = 33) pterygium. Mean age: 45.96 years.	Conjunctival autograft (N = 120) vs. Amniotic membrane graft (N = 167).	Follow up was at 6 weeks and 6 months.	Recurrence rate at 6 months for the conjunctival group was 13.3% and 28.1% in the amniotic membrane group (p=0.003).	"Amniotic membrane graft had a higher recurrence rate than conjunctival autograft."	Data suggest higher recurrence with Amniotic membrane.

Other

Author Year	Catego	Study	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
(Score): Viani 2012 Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 82 No. 2. (score = 6.5)	ry: β- radiati on	type: RCT[262]	Interest: No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N=200 patients with fresh pterygium. Mean age: Group A: 56, Group B: 54 years.		Group A: β radiation of 5 Gy within 7 fractions postoperatively (N=112) vs. Group B: β radiation of 2 Gy within 10 fractions postoperatively (N=104)	The follow-up period was 12–47 months.	The 3-year local control rate for Groups 1 and 2 was 93.8% and 92.3%, respectively (p = .616). A statistically significant difference for cosmetic effect (p = .034), photophobia (p = .02), irritation (p = .001), and scleromalacia (p = .017) was noted in favor of Group 2.	"The results of our clinical trial have shown that bare sclera surgery combined with postoperative low-dose fractionation β-RT (2 Gy in 10 fractions) results in a similar low relapse rate, fewer complaints (irritation and photophobia), and better cosmetic effects than high-dose fractionation (5 Gy in 7 fractions). Moreover, these data have shown that pterygium can be safely treated in terms of local recurrence using RT schedules with a BED of 24–52.5 Gy10."	Data suggest for recurrence there was comparable efficacy between low and high dose of radiation but better cosmetic results with low dose.
Viani 2012 (score = 6.0)	β- radiati on	RCT[263]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=108 eyes patients with pterygia Mean age: group 1: 52.7		Group A received Conjunctival autografts (CAG)+ β radiation (β-RT)	The follow up was 6 weeks and then 6, 12, 24, and at least 36 months	At a mean follow-up of 18 months, in CAG+ β-RT group, 5 relapses occurred	"[L]ow single-dose of b-RT of 10 Gy for pterygium show that CAG surgery combined with b-RT resulted	At 18 months data suggest fewer recurrences better cosmetic results and

				group 2: 51.9 years.	10Gy per 1 fraction (N= 54) vs. Conjunctival autograft surgery (CAG) alone (N= 60)	after treatment.	compared with 12 recurrences in CAG, for a crude control rate of 90.8 % vs. 78%; p =0.032, respectively. *The treatment complications as hyperemia, total dehiscence of the autograft and dellen were significantly more frequent in the CAG (p < 0.05). The arm of b-RT resulted in better cosmetic results and improves of symptoms than CAG.	in a simple, effective, and safe treatment. β-RT reduced the risk of primary pterygium recurrence and improved symptoms after surgery, resulting in a better cosmetic effect than CAG surgery."	fewer post-op symptoms in CAG +, B-RT group.
Jürgenliemk- Schulz 2004 [264] (score = 6.5)	β- radiati on	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 86 eyes with pterygium; age range of 24 to 77 years, average of 50 years.	Study group, β-RT (N = 44) vs. Control group, pterygium excision alone (N = 42).	Follow-up at 6 weeks, and 6, 12, 24, and 36 months after treatment.	Recurrence number: No RT vs RT: 9 vs 34, (p<0.001). Cosmetic effects: 28 vs 37, (p=0.06).	"Single-dose β-RT after bare sclera surgery is a simple, effective, and safe treatment that reduces the risk of primary pterygium recurrence."	Patients not well described. Data favor treatment over sham.
Turan-Vural 2011 (score = 4.0)	Cyclos porine A	RCT[266]	No sponsorship. No COI.	N= 36 eyes of 34 patients with primary pterygium. Mean age: group1: 57.05	Bare sclera technique was performed in both groups. In Group I, 0.05% cyclosporine A	Follow up: at postoperative 1 and 7 days as well as each month during	In Group I, while four cases exhibited recurrence Figure 1, 14 (77.8%) did not	"Postoperative application of low- dose CsA can be effective for preventing recurrences after	Small sample. Data suggest low dose CSA may prevent pterygium recurrence.

				± 11.65 group 2: 53.27 ± 10.88 years.	(CsA) was administered postoperatively at 6-hour intervals for 6 months. (N= 18) vs. Group II did not receive CsA treatment (N= 18)	the following year.	show recurrence, and the mean recurrence-free follow-up time was 9.92 ± 0.92 months. In Group II, while eight cases exhibited recurrence, 10 (55.6%) cases did not show recurrence, and the mean recurrence-free follow-up time was 7.50 ± 1.19 month.	primary pterygium surgery"	
Ibáñez 2009 (score = 4.0)	Cyclos porine A	RCT[267]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 80 eyes is 76 consecutive patients with primary pterygium; mean age of 48.5 years.	Conjunctival autograft (CA) plus 0.1ml injection of 0.125mg/ml Mitomycin C (MMC) topical cyclosprin A 1% twice a day for 3 months (N = 37) vs Control (CA+MMC) group (N = 38). All patients: chloramphenico I 0.5% and prednisolone acetate 1% twice a day for 2 weeks and	Follow-up at day 1, 1, 3, and 6 weeks, and 3 and 6 months.	Response rate: women: treatment vs placebo: 0% vs 24%, (p=0.03).	"This study indicates that pterygium excision with a free conjunctival autograft combined with intraoperative low-dose MMC is a safe and effective technique in pterygium surgery."	Data suggest comparable efficacy with cyclosporine A being slightly better for prevention of pterygium recurrence.

Olusanya 2014[248] (score = 5.0)	Fluoro uracil vs. Mitom ycin	RCT	Sponsored by the University of Ibadan. No mention of COI.	N = 80 with primary pterygium; age range 17 – 81 years (mean age 50.7 ± 13.1 years).	then prednisolone acetate 1% twice a day for 1 week. All patients used hypromellose 0.5% drops four times daily during the 3 months. Primary pterygium excision combined with conjunctival autograft (CAG) 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) (50 mg/ml) plus CAG (N = 46) vs. Mitomycin C (MMC) (0.01%) plus CAG (N = 34)	Follow-up for days 1, 7, 21, 30, 60, and 90 and every 3 months subsequently.	The overall recurrence was 10%, with a rate of 8.7% in the 5-FU group and 11.8% MMC group (p = 0.7). The mean age of patients who had a recurrence was 38.1 ± 12.4 years vs. 52.1 ± 12.4 years in those without a recurrence (p = 0.003).	"Younger age remains a risk factor for recurrence when both CAG and antimetabolites are combined in the treatment of pterygium, while the effect of gender, size and morphology of the pterygium may be diminished by such combination."	Data suggest younger age is associated with pterygium recurrence.
Bekibele 2012 [249] (score = 5.0)	Fluoro uracil vs. Mitom ycin	RCT	Sponsored by the University of Ibadan Senate. No COI.	N= 80 eyes of 80 patients with fleshy pterygium encroaching on the cornea of at least 2 mm. Mean age for group 1: 49.8, group 2: 51.9	Group 1: 50mg/ml of 5- fluorouracil plus Autograft (5- FU) for 5 minutes after excision, and conjunctival autograft (N=46) vs. Group 2: 0.01%	Postoperative follow-up visits were at days 1, 7, 21, 30, 60, and 90 and every 3 months subsequently.	Recurrence rate in the 5-FU group was 8.7% compared to 11.8% in the MMC group (recurrence risk ratio = 0.71, 95% CI 0.17-3.1, p = 0.7).	"[A]Ithough both MMC and 5-FU were found to be effective in preventing pterygium recurrence when combined with conjunctival autograft, MMC is not readily	Data suggest similar efficacy.

1	[I	ļ		mitomycin C		I	available, and it is	1
					(MMC) plus			more expensive	
					conjunctival			when compared	
					autograft			to 5-FU in	
					(N=34)			developing	
								countries. Thus,	
								when	
								effectiveness in	
								preventing	
								pterygium	
								recurrence is	
								added to cost and	
								safety issues, 5-FU	
								(combined with	
								conjunctival	
								autograft) would	
								appear to	
								compare	
								favorably with	
								low-dose MMC	
								(combined with	
								conjunctival	
								autograft) for the	
								treatment of	
								pterygium in	
								developing	
								countries. We	
								would, however,	
								suggest further	
								randomized	
								controlled studies	
								be performed,	
								preferably using	
								larger sample	
								sizes with longer	
								follow-up	
								periods."	

Rahman 2008 [250] (score = 4.5)	Fluoro uracil vs. Mitom ycin	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 84 eyes of 65 participants with primary pterygium invading more than 2 mm on the cornea from the limbus. Mean age: 45.57 year.	Group 1 underwent surgical excersion of pterygium using bare scleral technique under an operating microscope followed by application of mitomycin-C 0.02% intraoperatively for 3 minutes (N = 42) vs. Group 2 received mitomycin-C 0.02% eye drops after pterygium excision postoperatively	Follow up was on day 1, 7, 15 and the monthly for 6- 12 months.	Keratitis occurred in 4 eyes for group 1 vs. 13 eyes in group two. Avascularised sclera occured in 8 eyes vs. 0 eyes in group 2. Scleral thinning occurred in one person from each group. Tenon cyst only occurred in 1 eye from group 2. Complication rate was statistically different between groups, p = 0.00.	"In this study, following pterygium excision, application of mitomycin-C in concentration 0.02% intraoperatively for 3 minutes or postoperatively topically mitomycin-C 0.02% eye drops twice a day for two weeks, did not show a statistically significant difference in the recurrence rate of pterygium among the two groups."	Data suggest similar efficacy between intraoperative and postoperative Mitomycin C application but intraoperative application led to fewer complications.
Khakshoor 2010[251] (score = 5.0)	Fluoro uracil vs. Mitom ycin	RCT	Sponsored by the Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.	N = 82 eyes of 82 participants with primary pterygium.	postoperatively twice a day for two weeks (N = 42). Group A received subconjunctival injection of 0.02% MMC 1	Follow up were postoperatively at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months.	Drop out for group A was 45% or 30 participants. No statistical	"We can conclude that subconjunctival injection of MMC 1 month before	No significant differences. High dropout rate.
	,		No COI.	Mean age: 48.48±13.67 years.	month before bare scleral excision (N = 66) vs. Group B underwent conjunctival excision with a		difference between groups of recurrence, in the third and sixth months of follow-up (p = 0.312).	the bare scleral excision of pterygium is a simple and quick surgical procedure and is at least as effective as a	

					rotational flap from the superior conjunctiva and intraoperative 0.02% MMC (N = 51).			conjunctival rotational flap with intraoperative MMC application in terms of recurrence and complication rate for primary pterygium treatment."	
Kareem 2012 [252] (score = 4.5)	Fluoro uracil vs. Mitom ycin	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI	N = 50 with bilateral primary pterygium; mean age of 36.4.	Group 1, bare sclera technique for one eye and MMC (0.5mg/ml) was applied intraoperatively for the other eye (N = 25) Vs Group 2, same technique as used in group 1 but 5-FU (50mg/ml) was used in place of MMC (N = 25). All patients: ciprofloxacin (antibiotic) and dexamethasone (steroid) eye drops, four weeks, postoperatively.	Follow-up at 12 to 24 months.	Recurrence rate: MMC vs bare sclera: 8% vs 32%, (p=0.03); 5-FU vs bare sclera: 18% vs 34%, (p=0.07).	"Both MMC and 5-FU were safe during the follow up period but a statistically significant high success rate and more cosmetically acceptable appearance after MMC use justifies recommending its use to be superior to 5-FU as a medical adjuvant in the surgical management of primary pterygium."	Data suggest MMC better than 5-FU in preventing pterygium recurrence post- surgery.
Dadeya 2001 (score = 5.0)	Other treatm ents	RCT[300]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 60 with primary pterygium	Treatment group with 0.02%	Follow-up was evaluated postoperatively	Recurrence rate was 6.67% in the treatment group	"The results of this study (recurrence rate of 6.67% vs.	Data suggest short term efficacy.

				having 2 mm or more encroachment onto the cornea. Mean age: 32.6 years.	Daunorubicin for 3 min (N = unknown) vs. Normal saline for 3 min (N = unknown).	on days 1,7, and 15 then monthly for 5 months and then bimonthly until the last follow-up.	and 33% in the control group (p < 0.005).	33% in the treatment and control group, respectively) clearly indicate that single intraoperative application of daunorubicin appears to be a safe, simple, effective and useful form of adjunctive therapy to the surgical treatment of pterygium."	Variable follow- up lengths. Patients not well described.
Wishaw 2000 (score = 7.5)	Steroid s	RCT[270]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 20 undergoing pterygium surgery. Age range: 18-73 years.	Lignocaine 1% 2 ml (N = 10) vs. Lignocaine 1% 1.6 ml plus morphine 4 mg in 0.4 ml (N = 10).	Follow up at 24 hours after surgery	At 24 hour postsurgery, mean pain scores for lignocaine plus morphine group was 1.63 and for the lignocaine group was 3.86, (p = 0.035); the difference was no longer significant at 48 hours.	"Our study suggests that peribulbar morphine is an effective analgesic modality for 24 hours postoperatively in pterygium surgery and is not accompanied by serious sideeffects."	Data suggest morphine and lignocaine superior for pain relief. 2 day follow-up.

Appendix 1: Evidence Tables for Low-Quality Randomized Controlled Trials and Non-Randomized Studies

The following low-quality randomized controlled studies (RCTs) and other studies were reviewed by the Evidence-based Practice Eye Disorders to be all inclusive, but were not relied upon for purposes of the development of this document's guidance on treatments because they were not of high quality due to one or more errors (e.g., lack of defined methodology, incomplete database searches, selective use of the studies and inadequate or incorrect interpretation of the studies' results, etc.), which may render the conclusions invalid. ACOEM's Methodology requires that only moderate- to high-quality literature be used in making recommendations.

Corneal Abrasions: Simple and Lateral

Author Year (Score):	Category:	Study type:	Conflict of Interest:	Sample size:	Age/Sex:	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Patterson 1996 (score = 3.5)		RCT		N = 33 treated for eye pain and corneal abrasion on fluorescein staining.		Control group: eye patched with tobramycin ointment (N = 16) vs. Study group: non-patched eye with tobramycin drops to be used every 4 hours while awake (N = 17).	Patients had follow-up at 24 hrs.	At 24 hours, the mean changes in the pain scores (patched 3.09 vs. non patched 2.77) and in analgesic use (1.56 vs. 1.75) were not significantly different (p > 0.50). Healing was also not significantly different (14/17 patched vs. 11/16 non-patched) (p > 0.05)	"[R]outine eye patching does not appear to favorably affect the pain produced by simple corneal abrasion."	No slit lamp exam to confirm diagnosis. Lack of details for baseline comparability, compliance, cointerventions. No blinding. 34% loss to follow up. Small sample size. Data suggest no differences in treatment outcomes.
Solomon 2000 (score = 3.5)		RCT	No mention of COI or Sponsorship.	N = 28 with minor ocular trauma associated with corneal abrasion of different causes < 3 mm diameter.		Patch (1% topical cyclopentolate, 2 drops 0.3% chloramphenicol) vs. No patch (1% topical cyclopentolate, 1 drop 0.3% chloramphenicol, 1 drop 1% indomethacin)	Follow ups were 6-9 hours after treatment began and 24 hours after first visit.	6-9 hours post treatment pain relief was significantly greater in group 1 (p=-0.032) Itching was significantly greater in group 2 at hour 9 (p=0.025) and 24 (p=0.017). Abrasion healing – not reported.	"[E]ye patching or alternative use of indomethacin following minor ocular trauma and symptomatic corneal abrasion was effective and led to similar anatomical results."	Lack of details for randomization, allocation, baseline comparability, compliance, cointerventions. Small sample size. Lack of reported data precludes conclusions.

Faraldi 2012 (score = 3.5)	RCT	No mention of study sponsorship. PCOI: Vincenzo Papa, Daria Rasà, Debora Santoro, Annamaria L Mazza, and Simona Russo were employees of SIFI SpA.	N=40 patients with traumatic corneal abrasions occurring within 24 hours of the beginning of the study. Mean age 37 years.	Eye patch for 12 hours (dressed with 0.15% sodium hyaluronate, 1% xanthan gum and 0.3% netilmicin. (Control Group) (N=20) Vs. Same eye patch for 3 days. (N=20)	Patients were evaluated at 1, 3 and 7 days.	Both treatments showed significant increases from baseline, but did not show a difference compared to one another for decreasing the total surface area of the epithelial defect, Control vs. Intervention; 0.04 vs. 0.07 (p=0.367). No significant differences for erosion score (p=0.752) and for conjunctival hyperermia (p=0.888).	"[A]Ithough a reduction of the duration of patching followed by the topical administration of Xanternet eye gel does not affect the healing of the corneal defect, it does improve patient compliance.	Lack of study details limits conclusion. No control groups limits conclusions on efficacy of the interventions. 3-day patching not standard of care in the U.S.
Kirkpatrick 1993 (score = 3.5)	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 44 with corneal abrasions there was no previous history of eye trauma or disease in the affected eye. Mean age 36.3±11.0 years for group A and 35.0±11.5 years for group B.	Group A: oc. Chloramphenicol, gutt. Homatropine 2% and a double eye pad with bandage (N = 22) vs. Group B: oc. Chloramphenicol 4 times daily, and gutt. Homatropine 2% daily with no eye pad (N = 22).	Patients were reviewed at 24-hour intervals to monitor healing and the subjective level of discomfort.	Mean±SD time to heal (days) comparing Group A vs. Group B: 2.00±0.71 vs. 1.55±0.61; p=0.044. No group differences were found for abrasion size, time since injury or pain score at 24hrs.	"[T] results suggest that it does seem reasonable to treat primary corneal abrasions in the first instance with antibiotic ointment and mydriatic and no eye pad, and that this will lead to rapid corneal healing	Lack of details for randomization method, allocation, control of cointerventions, compliance. No blinding.

							within 1-4 days."	
Donnenfeld	RCT	Sponsored by	N = 47 with	Group A: 1 drop of	N/A	Number of days	"Use of a	Lack of details
1995 (score		the Lion Club	traumatic	polymyxin B	,	to heal did not	bandage	for
= 3.0)		International	corneal	sulfate/trimethoprim		differ	contact lens	randomization
,		Foundation,	abrasions <24	hemisulfate		significantly	significantly	method,
		Oakbrook,	hours	(polytrim), 1 drop of		between groups	shortens the	allocation,
		Illinois, and an	duration.	1% cyclopentolate		(p=0.068 for	time required	control of co-
		unrestricted	Mean age in	hydrochloride		pressure	for a patient to	interventions,
		grant from the	group A: 30	(Cyclogyl), and a		patching group	return to	compliance.
		Allergan	years; group	standard pressure		vs. lens/placebo	normal	Data suggest n
		Pharmeceutical	B: 38 years;	patch composed of		group, p=0.17 for	activities.	difference in
		Company,	group C: 35	three eye pads and		pressure	Moreover,	healing rates.
		Irvine,	years.	tape (N = 15) Vs.		patching group	addition of a	
		California. No		Groups B and C were		vs lens/ NSAID	nonsteroidal	
		mention of		given etafilcon A		group, and	anti-	
		COI.		58% water-0.50		p=0.24 for	inflammatory	
				diopter therapeutic		lens/placebo	drug to a	
				disposable contact		group vs	treatment	
				lenses (N = 13, N =		lens/NSAID).	regimen	
				19). Patients in		Returning to	significantly	
				Groups B and C were		daily activities:	decreases the	
				given a drop of		contact	pain associated	
				polymyxin B		lenses/NSAID vs	with traumatic	
				sulfate/trimethoprim		pressure	corneal	
				hemisulfate,		patching: 1.37	abrasions. Use	
				followed by 1 drop		days vs 1.93	of a bandage	
				of 1% cyclopentolate		days, (p=0.031);	contact lens	
				hydrochloride 5		lenses/placebo vs	with a topical	
				minutes later; group		pressure	nonsteroidal	
				b then received a		patching: 1.23 vs	anti-	
				bottle of polymycin		1.93, (p=0.007)	inflammatory	
				В			may prove to be	
				sulfate/trimethoprim			an effective	
				sulfate in			adjunct in	
				conjunction with a			treating	
				bottle of the			traumatic	
				placebo; group C			corneal	
		1		received a bottle of			abrasions."	

Acheson 1987 (score = 2.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 28 with traumatic abrasions (surface area >4mm2). Mean±SD age 33.28±7.43 years for pad group, and 38.28±15.77 years for bandage contact lens.	polymycin B sulfate/ trimethoprim hemisulfate in conjunction with a bottle of NSAID 0.5% ketorolac tromethamine. Both groups were instructed to administer 1 drop of both the polymycin B sulfate/trimethoprim sulfate and the contents of the masked bottle four times daily, 5 minutes apart. Occlusive Pad (N = 14) vs. Bandage Contact Lens (N = 14). All patients received guttae chloramphenicol 0.5% and homatropine 2%.	Patients were reviewed daily and the abrasions considered healed when local punctuate keratitis only could be observed on slit-lamp biomicroscopy of the injured site.	Those treated with the bandage lens had less mean±SD pain (33.46±21.34) after 24 hours than those treated with a pad and bandage (71.43±55.11); 0.05>p>0.02, and this group also reached the healing point more quickly (0.05>p>0.03).	"The study suggests that the primary treatment of traumatic corneal abrasions with soft contact lenses has an apparent advantage over the traditional occlusion in terms of reduced pain during healing and speedier healing."	Lack of study details limits conclusion. Small sample size.
1991 (score	KCI	COI or	corneal	chloramphenicol (N	FU.	h: 75% vs. 29%	reported here	Lack of details.
1 1001 (ccore		1 001	1 1	٨١ اممنومواموه اماما		I h. 700/ 200/		
1991 (score	1	601		المار الممانية والمنوسوسوا والما	ru .	b. 750/ 200/		1
Hulbert	RCT	No mention of	N = 30 with	Eye pad with	No mention of	Discomfort at 24	"The findings	Lack of details.

			defect after removal of corneal foreign bodies.	group: chloramphenicol without eye pad (N = 14).		7.5, 95% CI: 1.17- 55.6, chi ² = 4.73, p = 0.03.	antibiotic treatment alone may be the best way to treat corneal epithelial loss after foreign body removal."	
Brahma 1996 (score = 1.0)	RCT	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 323 with corneal abrasions and foreign bodies; mean age of 35.1 for group 1, 33.3 for group 2, 32.7 for group 3, and 33.8 for group 4.	Group 1: Polyvinyl alcohol 1.4% (liquifilm tears), four times daily for 48 hours (control group) (N = 81) vs. Group 2: Stat instillation of homatropine 2% drops at presentation only (normal practice group) (N = 84) vs. Group 3: Flurbiprofen 0.03% drops, four times daily for 48 hours (first treatment group) (N = 74) vs. Group 4: Stat Instillation of homatropine 2% drops at presentation only, and flurbiprofen 0.03% drops four times daily for 48 hours (the second treatment group) (N = 84).	Follow-up for 24 hours.	Oral analgesia comparing group 1 vs. 2 vs. 3 vs. 4: 29 vs. 37 vs. 13 vs. 16; p<0.01. Sleep disturbance: 22 vs. 24 vs. 10 vs. 12; P<0.01. Groups 3 and 4 had reduced pain scores (p<0.05) compared to groups 1 and 2 during the first 24 h.	"In conclusion, flurbiprofen eye drops provide effective and significant pain relief compared to the traditional treatments for superficial corneal injuries. All patients attending a general A&E department or a dedicated eye casualty department with superficial corneal injuries should be assessed and treated appropriately."	Lack of study details limits conclusions. Outcome measured by self-reported questionnaire. High dropout rate.

Eke 1999 (score = 0.5)	RCT	Sponsored by Allergan Ltd. No COI.	N = 42 with traumatic corneal abrasion (TCA) caused by fingernails; mean age not reported.	Standard regimen: g. cyclopentolate 1% sta. and oc. Chloramphenicol q.d. for 5 days. (N = 20) vs. Standard regimen followed by Allergan Lacrilube ointment for 2 months. (N = 22)	Follow-up questionnaire at 3 months. Case-notes reviewed at 2 years.	Additional use of Lacrilube ointment was associated with higher prevalence of symptoms at 3 months compared to standard regimen (p = 0.016).	"When TCA is managed as above, there is a high prevalence of recurrent symptoms in the following 3 months. Additional nightly ointment appears to worsen prognosis."	Details sparse. Lack of study details limit conclusion. RCT nestled in prospective study.
Boberg-Ans 1998 [123] (score = 3.0)	RCT	Study supported by Allergan Ltd. No COI.	N=153 patients with clinical symptoms of traumatic corneal epithelial defects for longer than 5 years. Mean age was 35 years.	Fucithalmic® group (carbomer-containing ocular gel with fusidic acid 1%) (N=76) vs. Chloramphenicol (broad spectrum antibiotic available as 1% chloramphenicol) treatment group (N=77)	Follow-up occurred 24 hours after treatment.	The primary response was decrease in lesional area of the cornea. There was not a significant difference between the mean decrease in lesion area in the Fucithalmic® group vs. the Chloramphenicol group; 3.99 vs. 3.75 (p=0.84). There was no significant difference for frequency of cured patients (area of abrasion= 0 mm) for Fucithalmic®	"The unexpected results challenge the preconceptions that patients are generally symptom-free within days of TCA, and that nightly ointment is of symptomatic benefit. Our results also demonstrate that any future evaluation of treatment for TCA should include a follow-up of patient symptoms."	Lack of study details.

Studer 1984[124] (score = 3.5)	Eye ointment, lubricants heading	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 99 non perforating foreign bodies. Age range: 20-39 years.	Solcoseryl® Eye-Gel (N=49) vs. Cysteine Eye-Gel 2.4% (N=50).	Follow up: N/A.	vs. Chloramphenicol; 31 vs. 34 (p=0.78). Healing rates for Solcoseryl group vs. Cysteine group: 63% vs. 53% healed (0.10>p>0.05). 4% of Solcoseryl group reported itching sensation vs. 15% of Cysteine group reported burning sensation followed by blepharospasm, and fine deposits in the epithelium.	"At the end of treatment clear infiltrates and maculae corneae were very much less frequently observed in the test group, with 28%, than in the reference group, with 51%. The results provide clear evidence of the beneficial effect of Solcoseryl Eye-Gel on the course of healing of corneal injuries.	No baseline comparability. Sparse study methodology. Solcoseryl showed more complete epithelium closure (63%) versus cysteine eye gel (53%).
Valk 1970 [125] (score = 3.5)	Eye ointment, lubricants heading	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=95 with corpora aliena corneae s. conjunctivae of metallic or non-metallic nature.	Tanderil eye ointment, 10% for 4 days, 3 times a day (Verum group; N=47) vs. Placebo (N=48)	Follow up	Redeness on verum group was more significant than in the placebo group (α<0.05, Yates test). Tendril was favored for the number of days in which produced symptoms disappeared	"The symptoms swelling as well as redness and pain disappeared faster in the verum group (statistically significant) than in the placebo group."	Sparse methodological details.

Sigurdson 1987[126] (score = 3.0)	Rust Ring	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 60 with corneal rust rings. Age mean: 32.5 years.	rust ring removed with 25 gauge needle attached to a straight syringe (N=30 vs. rust ring remove with electric drill with burr sizes of 0.3-0.5mm (N=30)	assessed 2 days after rust ring removal.	(α<0.05, Yates test). Time of rust ring removal for needle group vs. drill group: 129.1 seconds vs. 47 seconds (p<0.0001).	"Our conclusion is, therefore, that both methods are very acceptable for removing rust rings, but the electric drill is a quicker method compared to a hypodermic needle."	Sparse baseline comparability. High dropout rate. Electric drill takes less time for rust ring removal
Kruger 1990 [127] (score = 3.5)	Other	RCT	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N=94 patients with foreign body injuries. Age: N/A	Topical framyceti sulphate (Soframycin), 2 drops every 6 hou (N=54) vs. Placeb (sterile saline), 2 drops every 6 hou N=40)	assessed at days 1, 2, 3, and 4.	"No difference between using antibiotic or placebo."	"[T]he results of this small study indicate that the most common injuries are foreign body injuries (57%) and burns (17%)."	Sparse methodological details, timing is variable. No difference between groups.
Rao 1994 (score = 3.0)		RCT		N= 40	Eye patch vs. no patch. Both group received guttae cyclopentolate 19 and oculentum chlamphenicol 19	6	Patch vs. no patch Abrasion size: No differences between groups on day 1 or 2. Pain: no differences. Paracetamol use: No differences in use.	"Although there is no indication for padding the eye for the treatment of simple corneal abrasions, conversely, there is no contraindication to its use unless an infection is suspected."	Study results reported in letter to editor, thus lacking study details. Data suggest no differences in outcomes.

Schulze	RCT	N = 23 with	Autologous Serum:	Time of Epithelial	"From our	Details sparse.
2006 (score		cataract	received autologous	closure was 4.3 ±	results	'
= 2.5)		extraction	serum drops every	2.0 Serum group	concerning the	
		and	hour + standard	vs. 7.1 ± 4.8	wound healing	
		intraocular	postoperative local	Vislube group. A	in standardized	
		lens (IOL)	therapy - (N = 13) vs.	Mann-Whitney U	erosions, we	
		implantation	Hyaluronic Acid	test showed	suggest the use	
		who received	(Vislube): received	significant	of autologous	
		corneal	0.18% hyaluronic	advantages for	serum eye	
		abrasion for	acid drops every	the serum group	drops for the	
		better	hour (N = 10).	(p<0.05)	treatment of	
		intraoperative		(1-2-2-7)	corneal defects,	
		visualization.			especially	
		110001120110111			postoperative	
					epithelial	
					lesions."	
Jackson	RCT	N = 195 with	Eye padded (N = 77)	No significant	"This survey has	Lack of details.
1960 (score		simple	vs. Not padded eye	difference in the	failed to show	Study suggests
= 2.5)		corneal	(N = 80). Of the 195	rate of healing	any increase in	no benefit
,		abrasions.	only 157 completed	between the two	the rate of	associated with
		02.00.0.0	the trial	groups (p value	healing of	pads for corneal
			the that	not given).	simple corneal	abrasion. Loss
					abrasions in the	of total 10%.
					padded as	0. (0(0. 20/0.
					compared with	
					the unpadded	
					group;	
					moreover,	
					though the	
					series is small	
					and the	
					complications	
					are	
					correspondingly	
					few, such	
					complications	
					as occurred	
					were all in the	
					padded series."	

Hulbert 1991 (score = 2.5)	RCT	N = 30 with corneal epithelial defect after removal of corneal foreign bodies.	Eye pad with chloramphenicol (N = 16) vs. Control group: chloramphenicol without eye pad (N = 14).	More patients in the eye pad group had discomfort vs. the control group at 24 hrs. (75% vs. 29%; risk ratio 7.5, 95% Cl: 1.17-55.6; chi² = 4.73, p = 0.03).	"The findings reported here suggest that antibiotic treatment alone may be the best way to treat corneal epithelial loss after foreign body removal."	Lack of details. Pads suggested to be ineffective.
Hulbert 1991 (score = 2.5)	RCT	N = 33	Patch vs. no patch, both groups received chloramphenicol 0.5% drop.	Patch vs. no patch Discomfort @ 24 hrs: 75% vs. 29%, RR 7.5 (95% CI 1.17-55.6) Healed at Day 1: 14/16 vs. 14/14 p=ns	"An eyepad seems to confer no benefit in healing and is uncomfortable."	Lack of study details for randomization, allocation, baseline comparability, compliance. No blinding. Data suggest no difference in techniques.
Wedge 1992 (score = 2.0)	RCT	N = 30 with corneal abrasions suffered within the preceding 24 hours.	Collagen Shield or CSG groups received a Bio-Cor collagen shield supplied by Bausch & Lomb Pharmaceuticals Inc., Richmond hill, Ont., with a dissolution time of 12, 24, or 72 hours depending on the severity of the abrasion (N = 18). vs. The standard care or SCG group received antibiotic ointment (polymyxin B- neomycin,	By first follow up 50% showed complete healing, by day 4 72% demonstrated full healing and 22% showed small epithelial defects. Significant difference found showing the collagen healed was more comfortable than the patch, (p <	"In summary, although collagen shields are relatively expensive (about \$40 each), they may provide an alternative form of management of traumatic corneal abrasion in carefully selected cases."	Details sparse.

			sulfacetamide or gentamicin), and a tight double patch was applied with adhesive paper tape (N = 12).	0.05). No significance difference in number of days required for total healing (p value not given). 33% reported no discomfort.		
Jackson 1960 (score = 1.5)	RCT	N = 222	Patch (mydratic + sulphacetam 10% t.i.d.) vs. no patch (mydriatics + sullphacetam 10% t.i.d.)	Patch vs. no patch. Healing rate: no differences found Day 1: 42/77 vs. 48/80 Day 2: 61/77 vs. 65/80	"This [study] failed to show any increase in the rate of healing in the padded as compared with the unpadded group."	Quasi- randomization (odd/even days of presentation). Lack of study details. 30% drop-out/loss to follow-up. Data suggest no differences between groups.

Pterygia

Author	Category:	Study	Conflict of	Sample size:	Age/	Comparison:	Follow-up:	Results:	Conclusion:	Comments:
Year		type:	Interest:		Sex:					
(Score):										
Dadeya 2002 (score =		RCT[278]	No mention of mention of sponsorship or	N = 39 eyes of 31 patients who		Group A conjunctival rotation autograft (N = 17 eyes of 13	Follow up on 1, 7, 15 postoperativ	Recurrence Rate was not significant	"[C]onjunctival rotation autograft and conjunctival autograft	Data suggest comparable results. Group size does not
3.5)			COI.	underwent pterygium surgery. Mean age 46.55 years.		patients) vs. Group B conjunctival autograft (N = 18 eyes of 15 patients).	e days, thereafter every month for 6 months, then every	between Group A (5.88%) and group B, (5.55%) p	are both equally effective methods to reduce the recurrence rate after pterygium surgery."	add up to population size.
							2.	given.		

Öksüz 2006 (score = 3.5)	RCT[27	sponsorship or COI.	N = 45 eyes of 45 patients who underwent pterygium surgery. Mean age: 46.69 years.	Topical lidocaine gel 2% (N = 23) vs. Artificial tear gel for pain relief (N = 22).	Pain was evaluated at 4, 7, and 10 hours postoperativ ely.	Mean pain scores at $4/7/10$ hour for lidocaine gel was $4.13 \pm 1.86/4.00 \pm 1.16/2.39 \pm 0.89$ and for the artificial tear gel $6.50 \pm 1.47/6.63 \pm 1.49/3.63 \pm 1.00$ (p = 0.001, p=0.000, and p=0.001 respectively).	"In conclusion, the current study demonstrates beneficial effect of lidocaine gel for the control of pain after pterygium surgery with negligible side effects.	Data suggest efficacy for pain.
Verma 1998 (score = 3.5)	RCT[28	I.] No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 130 undergoing pterygium surgery. No mention of age.	Group 1: without mitomycin C (N = 65) vs. Group 2: intraoperative application of mitomycin C 0.02% (N = 65).	Follow up was weekly for the first month, biweekly the second month, and bimonthly for a total period of 12 months.	Postoperative recurrence for group 2 was 48% (N = 31) and 3% (N = 2) for group 1. At the 99% confidence level, a significantly lower recurrence rate was observed with the use of Mitomycin C. Postoperative complications were higher for group 2 compared to group 1 for	"The present study shows clearly that the intraoperative use of Mitomycin C in conjunction with the bare sclera technique seems to be a safe and effective way to reduce the rate of recurrence of pterygia."	Patients not well described. Data show efficiency.

						granuloma (14 vs. 2), hyperaemia (31 vs. 7), and subconjunctiv al haematoma (5 vs. 3).		
Young 2004 (score = 3.5)	RCT[282]	Sponsored by Action for Vision (AFV) Eye Foundation, Hong Kong. No COI.	N = 115 eyes in 114 patients with primary pterygium. Mean age: 59.5 years.	Group 1: intraoperative MMC (Mitomycin C) 0.02% applied to the bare sclera for 5 minutes (N = 63) vs. Group 2: LCAU (Limbal conjunctival autograft (N =52).	Follow up for a minimum of one year with recurrence rates assessed at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months.	Recurrence total was 15.9% (N = 10) vs. 1.9% (N = 1), (p=0.04).	"In conclusion, LCAU resulted in better one year success rates in primary pterygium. Further study is underway to compare the outcome of MMC and LCAU in recurrent pterygia."	Unclear if dropouts numbers as appears to report completers. Data suggest lowest recurrence with limbo con. Autograft.
Birt 2003 (score = 3.0)	RCT[283]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 36 requiring a cyclodestructi ve laser procedure. Mean age: 64. 8 years.	Prednisolone acetate 1% plus atropine 1% drops each 4 times a day (N = 16) vs. Prednisolone acetate 1% plus atropine 1% plus ketorolac 0.5% drops each 4 times a day for 1 week (N = 20).		Daily and overall pain ratings (postoperativ e day 1/day 2/day 3/day 4/day 5/day 6/day 7/ average): ketorolac 18.2/7.4/6.8/6.4/5.4/5.2/7.9 vs. standard therapy 47.7/26.9/25.9/25.4/34.8/2 7.5/16.9/29.3 , p = 0.01/0.01/.02/0.007/0.0	"Patients given topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drops following a cycloablative ND: YAG laser procedure experienced statistically significantly less pain for the first 7 days following the treatment, and this group of drugs should be considered for routine use in this patient population."	Data suggest ketorolac reduces postoperative pain.

Frucht- Pery 1996 (score = 3.0)	RCT[284]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 81 with primary and recurrent pterygia who underwent excision. Mean age: 45.2 (19-81) years	Group 1, 0.02% mitomycin C (N = 49) vs. group 2 saline (N = 32).	Follow up at days 1, 7, 15, and 30, then monthly for 3 months, at 6-week intervals for the next 3 months, and finally at 3-month intervals.	02/0.015/0.0 5/0.004. Recurrence occurred in 2/49 (5%) in group 1 compared to 15/32 (46.7%), p = 0.0001.	"[I]ntraoperative administration of a single dosage of 0.02% mitomycin C is an effective treatment for prevention of recurrence of pterygium."	Data suggest lowest result autograft plus Mitomycin C.
Goldberg 1995 (score = 3.0)	RCT [246]	Supported by grants from Pacific Vision Foundation and Research to Prevent Blindness. No mention of COI.	N = 30 (healthy patients) with no history of ocular disease and not currently taking systemic medications.	Group 1: 0.1% diclofenac sodium ophthalmic solution (Voltaren) in one eye while the other eye served as the control (N = not reported) vs. Group 2: Artificial Tears solution with the same preservatives as Voltaren in one eye while the other eye served as the control (N = not reported) vs. Group 3: Received a non-preserved artificial tears solution in one eye while the other eye served as the control (N= not reported).	Table indicates a follow-up of 5.5 hours.	There were no significant differences between groups in corneal swelling p>0.05, or rate of deswelling (p>0.05).	"[A]t the dosage we used, Voltaren does not appear to have an effect on contact lens induced edema."	Experimental study. Data suggest NSAI does not affect hypoxia-induced corneal edema.

Yactayo- Miranda 2009 (score = 3.5)	R	CT[285]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 60 with chronic blepharoconju nctivitis or CBC. Mean age: 62.2 years.	No treatment group received no antibiotics (N = 20) vs. Levofloxacin only group treated with 0.5% topical levofloxacin in both eyes four times a day for seven days (N = 20) vs. Combined group received levofloxacin + scrub eyelid margins with a moistened cotton tip in (N = 20).		94% of patients with CBC had positive thioglycolate broth cultures vs. 58% in patients without CBC, p < 0.0001. Treated eyes resulted in significant reduction p < 0.05, in number of thioglycolate compared to non-treated eyes, ≥ 88%.	"CBC eyes have a significantly higher number of positive cultures than eyes without CBC."	Sparse methods. Data suggest 0.5% topical levofloxacin is effective for reducing bacterial flora in chronic blepharoconjunctivit s patients.
Fallah 2008 (score = 3.5)	R	CT[213]	Sponsored by the Tehran University of Medical Sciences. No COI.	N = 40 eyes of 40 patients with recurrent pterygium.	Conjunctival Limbal Autograft (CLAU) and Amniotic Membrane Transplantation (AMT) N= 20 eyes). vs. Intraoperative Mitomycin C (MMC) and AMT (N=20 eyes).	Followed up daily until corneal epithelial defect healed, 1 week, 2 weeks, 1, 2, 3, 6 months, then every 3 months.	During the follow-up period there was a significant difference in the recurrence of pterygium [CLAU/AMT = 0 (0%) vs. MMC/AMT = 4 (20%), (p = 0.035)]	"Thus, even considering the limited number of cases in this study, we concluded that CLAU/AMT is more effective in treatment of recurrent pterygium than MMC/AMT."	Data suggest better efficacy with CLAU with AMT versus intraoperative MMC with AMT for treating recurrent pterygium.
Helal 1996 (score = 2.5)	R	CT[286]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 156 with primary or recurrent pterygia. Age	Postoperative MMC drops 0.05 mg/ml for 2 weeks (N = not given) vs. Single, 0.1 mg/ml intraoperative	Patient number randomized into each group not	Recurrence rate for intraoperativ e group 5.75%	"A single, intraoperative application of MMC is a simple, effective alternative adjunctive	Uneven follow ups. Patients not well described. Data suggest comparable efficacy.

				range: 24-65 years.	application of MMC for 3 minutes (N = not given).	given. Follow up at 1 day, 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months postoperativ e.	compared to topical MMC, 6.9%.	treatment for pterygium."	
Keklikci 2007 (score = 2.5)		RCT[287]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 94 eyes of 94 patients with primary pterygium. Mean age: 42.13 years.	Conjunctival-limbal autograft transplantation (N = 32 eyes of 32 patients) vs. Amniotic membrane transplantation (N = 30 eyes of 30 patients) vs. Topical mitomycin C (N = 32 eyes of 32 patients).	Outcomes assessed at 1 day, 3 days, 1 week, and 1 month, and thereafter 3 months interval for 36 months.	At 3 months, recurrence rate the no recurrence rate was 93.3% in amniotic membrane graft group vs. 93.8% in conjunctival-limbal autograft vs. 84.4% in mitomycin C group, long rank= 2.091 (p=0.351).	"[C]onjunctival-limbal auto grafting and amniotic membrane transplantation are safer than intraoperative Mitomycin C application in primary pterygium surgery."	Methodological details sparse.
Tananuvat 2004 (score = 2.5)	1	RCT[288]	Sponsored by the Faculty of Medicine Endowment Fund, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University. No COI.	N = 86 eyes of 78 participants with primary pterygium. Mean age: 43.38 years.	Amniotic membrane graft transplantation (N = 39) vs. Conjunctival autograft transplantation (N = 41).	Follow up postoperativ ely on day 1, week 1, 3, 6, and 12 months.	Recurrence rates for amniotic membrane group was 40.9% vs. conjunctival autograft was, 4.76% (p<0.001).	"In summary, the surgical results of primary pterygium excision followed by amniotic membrane and conjunctival autograft transplantation were compared."	Methodological details sparse.

Lewallen 1989 (score = 2.5)	RCT[290]	Sponsored by NIH training grant and by the International Eye Foundation. No mention of COI.	N = 39 with pterygia causing significant irritation to the patient after a trial of topical astringent drops or artificial tears. Age range: 23-68 years.	Conjunctival autograft (N = 19) vs. Bare sclera technique (N = 16).	Mean follow up was 15 months.	Recurrence was not significantly different between groups, 21% of grafted pterygia and 37% of those with bare sclera technique, (p>0.1). Younger patients were statistically associated with recurrence, p < 0.005.	"It is likely that a number of factors, including host response, determine whether a pterygium will recur after removal."	Variable FU length (6-33 months). Patients not well described and many details sparse. Only able to obtain follow up on 34 patients (4 moved, 1 refused to be examined)
Özer 2009 (score = 2.5)	RCT[291]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 163 with primary pterygium excisions between the ages of 22 and 74. Mean age: 52.98 years.	Group 1 (G1, underwent pterygium surgery using Bare Sclera Technique or BST (N = 48). vs. Group 2underwent pterygium surgery using Limbal-Conjunctival Autograft Technique or LCAT (N = 63). vs. Group 3 underwent pterygium surgery using Amniotic Membrane Graft Technique or AMGT (N = 52).	Follow up after 2, 5, 7, 15, and 30 days, and then every months.	There was a significant difference between groups with respect to Corneal Epithelializati on (G1: 5.62 ± 1.74 days vs. G2: 4.33 ± 0.91 days, p < 0.01; G2: 4.33 ± 0.91 days vs. G3: 4.79 ± 1.39 days, p < 0.05), Recurrence Rates [G1:	"LCAT was found to be more effective procedure than BST and AMGT, with decreased recurrence rates after pterygium excision."	Details sparse.

						19/48 eyes vs. G2: 11/63 eyes, (p<0.001); G1: 19/48 eyes vs. G3: 12/52 eyes, (p<0.001); G2: 11/63 eyes vs. G3: 12/52, (p < 0.001)], and Mean time from surgery to recurrence [G1: 7.28 ± 2.89 months vs. G2: 9.61 ± 2.94 months, (p<0.05); G1: 7.28 ± 2.89 months vs. G3: 9.04 ± 3.14 months, (p<0.05)].		
Tananuvat 2004 (score = 2.5)	RCT	Supported by the Faculty of Medicine Endowment Fund, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University. No mention of COI.	N =86 eyes of 78 patients with primary pterygium.	Amniotic membrai (N = 44 eyes of 39 patients) vs. Conjunctival autograft (N = 42 eyes of 41 patients	period at 1 week, 1, 3, 6, and 12 months.	No statistical difference regarding age / sex / laterality / extension onto the cornea or limbal involvement: (p = 0.2) / (p = 0.7) / (p = 0.7). Significant	"It was found that amniotic membrane transplantation for pterygium surgery has an unacceptably high recurrence rate."	Details sparse.

						difference found regarding average-follow up time / recurrence developed / recurrence-free at 12 months: (p = 0.03) / (40.9% vs. 4.76% in CG group) / (p = 0.0003).		
Bahar 2006 (score = 2.0)	RCT[292]	No mention of sponsorship. No COI.	N = 65 eyes of 65 patients with primary nasal pterygium. Mean age: 49±12 years.	Fibrin glue (N = 39) vs. Vicryl sutures (N = 26).	Follow up assessed postoperativ ely on days 1, 3, 10, and 21.	Fibrin glue reported significantly lower average pain, photophobia, foreign body sensation, irritation, epiphora, itching, local hyperemia, conjunctival chemosis, dry eye sensation and overall satisfaction at all follow-up examinations, p < 0.05 for all. Overall patient satisfaction was higher	"We conclude that using fibrin glue in pterygium surgery significantly reduces operative time, as well as patient pain and discomfort."	Quasi-randomized on ID#. Short trial. Patients not well described. Sparse details. Fibrin glue had shorter operation time and less pain.

De Keizer 1998 (score = 2.0)	RCT[295]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	All 3 studies together N = 57 eyes of 54 patients	Study A free conjunctival autograft (N = 16) vs.	Minimum follow up of 6 months.	Postoperative complications and follow-up were not	after primary progressive pterygium surgery." "Based upon our overall data we prefer the superficial conjunctival autograft	Report of 2 RCT's and one open study resulting in one long range in FU. Well
Biswas 2007 (score = 3.5)	RCT[294]	No mention of COI or Sponsorship.	N = 60 eyes with primary progressive pterygium.	Group A Pterygium excision with Ipsilateral conjunctival-limbal auto grafting (N = 30 eyes) vs. Group B Mitomycin C 0.02% for two minutes after excision (N = 30 eyes).		FU group). Recurrence rate was 3.3% (N = 1) for group A and 10.0% (N = 3) for group B (p value=not given).	"Conclusively, it was found that both conjunctival-limbal auto grafting and preoperative mitomycin C (0.02%) were safe and simple procedure with significant reduced rate of recurrence,	Short report. Sparse details. Data suggest conjunctival limbal autografting better due to fewer pterygium recurrences and fewer ocular complications.
Bekibele 2008 (score = 2.0)	RCT[293]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	N = 68 eyes of 62 subjects with fleshy pterygium encroaching 2 mm or more into cornea. Mean age: 49 years.	Bare sclera conjunctival excision + 5 fluorouracil (5- FU) (N = 35 eyes) vs. Excision and conjunctival autograft group (N = 33 eyes).	Follow-up visits were at post-op days 1, 7, 21, monthly for 2 months and every 3months for between 1 and 2 years.	for the fibrin glue group, p < 0.001. Pterygium recurrence / postoperative complications: (11.4% vs. 12.1% in conjunctiva autograft, p > 0.05) / (11.4% vs. 3.0% with granuloma formation and 5.7% with surface infection in 5-	"5-FU is marginally superior to conjunctiva autograft in the prevention of pterygium recurrence but neither gives 100% success rate, randomized studies combining both conjunctival autograft and 5-FU in pterygium treatment are desirable."	Methodological details sparse

Katricioglu 2007 (score = 2.0)	RCT[221]	No mention of sponsorship or COI.	superficial free conjunctival autograft FCG. First Randomizatio n study (Study A) N=25 eyes of 22 patients Second Randomizatio n study (Study B) N= 16 eyes Open Study N=16 eyes treated without randomization N = 49 eyes of 49 subjects with pterygium tissue extending more than 2 mm beyond the limb and who underwent pterygium excision. Mean age: 53.8 years. N = 60 eyes of	pterygium; FCG (N = 8) vs. 90 Srirradiation (N = 8). Group 1: Conjunctival autografts (N = 25 eyes) vs. Group 2: Amniotic membrane transplantation (N = 16 eyes) vs. Group 3: MMC or mitomycin C + conjunctival autografts (N = 8 eyes).	Follow up period from 6-30 months.	There was no overall significant difference found between groups or recurrence rates after conjunctival autografts (p>0.05)	"In summary, amniotic membrane and conjunctival autograft transplantation seems to be equally effective for the prevention of recurrence in primary pterygium."	Methodological details sparse.
2010 (score =1.5)		the Ophthalmology Department, Ain Shams University. No COI.	48 participants with recurrent pterygia. Mean age: 44.5 years.	the pterygium plus application of limbal stem cell transplantation + conjunctival autograft (N = 20	6 months.	of healing process between the three groups shows significance	transplantation together with conjunctival auto grafting proved to be more effective in prevention of	methodological details. Possible failed randomization.

			postoperative	2 to 3 month	0/15 (0%). (p	topical thiotepa drops,	
			mitomycin C drops (N =	intervals.	value= not	radiation, and laser	
			17 eyes of 15 patients).		given)	treatment."	

References

- 1. Melhorn, J., et al., AMA Guides® to the Evaluation of Disease and Injury Causation, second edition. 2014, Chicago, IL: American Medical Association.
- 2. Center for the Evaluative Clinical Sciences, *Spine surgery. A Report by the Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care. CMS-FDA Collaborative.* 2006.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Vital signs: overdoses of prescription opioid pain relievers— United States, 1999--2008. MMWR, 2011. 60(43): p. 1487-92.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), *Vital signs: risk of overdose from methadone used for pain relief-United States, 1999-2010.* MMWR, 2012. **61:**: p. 493-7.
- 5. Institute of Medicine, Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines. Available at: http://www.iom.edu/~/media/Files/Report%20Files/2011/Clinical-Practice-Guidelines-We-Can-Trust/Clinical%20Practice%20Guidelines%202011%20Insert.pdf. 2011.
- 6. The AGREE Research Trust, Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation II (AGREE II) Instrument. 2009.
- 7. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Methodology for the Update of the Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines. Available at:

 <u>www.acoem.org/uploadedFiles/Knowledge Centers/Practice Guidelines/ACOEM%20Practice%20Guidelines%20Methodology.pdf.</u> 2006.
- 8. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, *Summary: Methodology for Updates to the ACOEM Practice Guidelines. Available at: www.acoem.org/quidelines_summary.aspx.* 2006.
- 9. Harris, J.S., et al., Methodology to update the practice recommendations in the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines, second edition. J Occup Environ Med, 2008. **50**(3): p. 282-95.
- 10. Shea, B.J., et al., Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2007. **7**(1): p. 10.
- 11. Guyatt, G.H., et al., Going from evidence to recommendations. Bmj, 2008. 336(7652): p. 1049-51.
- 12. Schunemann, H.J., et al., *Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations for diagnostic tests and strategies.* Bmj, 2008. **336**(7653): p. 1106-10.
- 13. Jaeschke, R., et al., *Use of GRADE grid to reach decisions on clinical practice guidelines when consensus is elusive.* Bmj, 2008. **337**: p. a744.
- 14. CDC. *Vision Health Initiative: National Data*. 2015 [cited 2016 March 8]; Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/visionhealth/data/national.htm.
- 15. Palmer, K.T., et al., Sensory impairments, problems of balance and accidental injury at work: a case-control study. Occup Environ Med, 2015. **72**(3): p. 195-9.
- 16. Birch, J., Worldwide prevalence of red-green color deficiency. J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis, 2012. **29**(3): p. 313-20.
- 17. Northey, L.C., et al., *Eye trauma epidemiology in regional Australia*. Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2014. **21**(4): p. 237-46.
- 18. Soong, T.K., et al., Ocular trauma injuries: a 1-year surveillance study in the University of Malaya Medical Centre, Malaysia. 2008. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2011. 249(12): p. 1755-60.
- 19. Alejandro Guerra Garcia, R., The cuban ocular trauma registry J Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2013. 04.
- 20. Desai, P., Morris, D.S., Minassian, D.C., MacEwen, C.J., *Trends in serious ocular trauma in Scotland* Eye. 2015, 2015. **29**(611-618).
- 21. Haring, R.S., et al., Ocular injury in the United States: Emergency department visits from 2006-2011. Injury, 2016. 47(1): p. 104-8.
- 22. Lombardi, D.A., et al., *Welding related occupational eye injuries: a narrative analysis*. Inj Prev, 2005. **11**(3): p. 174-9.
- Courtney, T.K., S. Matz, and B.S. Webster, Disabling occupational injury in the US construction industry, 1996. J
 Occup Environ Med, 2002. 44(12): p. 1161-8.
- 24. Lundin, A.M., et al., Ocular trauma resulting in enucleation: A 12-year experience from a large regional institution. WMJ, 2014. **113**(3): p. 99-101.
- 25. Lander, F., et al., Patterns of work injuries: cases admitted to emergency room treatment compared to cases reported to the Danish Working Environment Authority during 2003-2010. Occup Environ Med, 2014. **71**(2): p. 97-103.
- Cai, M. and J. Zhang, Epidemiological Characteristics of Work-Related Ocular Trauma in Southwest Region of China. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2015. 12(8): p. 9864-75.

- 27. Teixeira, S.M., et al., *Open-globe injuries at an emergency department in Porto, Portugal: clinical features and prognostic factors.* Eur J Ophthalmol, 2014. **24**(6): p. 932-9.
- 28. Lee, J.S., et al., The role of principal and secondary diagnoses of hospitalized eye trauma: a nationwide cohort in Taiwan, 1996-2010. PLoS One, 2015. **10**(4): p. e0123348.
- 29. Burger, B.M., P.J. Kelty, and E.M. Bowie, *Ocular nail gun injuries: epidemiology and visual outcomes.* J Trauma, 2009. **67**(6): p. 1320-2.
- 30. Sprince, N.L., et al., Farm activities associated with eye injuries in the Agricultural Health Study. J Agromedicine, 2008. **13**(1): p. 17-22.
- 31. Gordon, K.D., The incidence of eye injuries in Canada. Can J Ophthalmol, 2012. 47(4): p. 351-3.
- 32. Pandita, A. and M. Merriman, *Ocular trauma epidemiology: 10-year retrospective study.* N Z Med J, 2012. **125**(1348): p. 61-9.
- 33. Serinken, M., et al., *Causes and characteristics of work-related eye injuries in western Turkey*. Indian J Ophthalmol, 2013. **61**(9): p. 497-501.
- 34. Quandt, S.A., et al., *Occupational eye injuries experienced by migrant farmworkers*. J Agromedicine, 2012. **17**(1): p. 63-9.
- 35. Al-Rubaee, F.R. and A. Al-Maniri, *Work Related Injuries in an Oil field in Oman.* Oman Med J, 2011. **26**(5): p. 315-8.
- 36. Jovanovic, M. and I. Stefanovic, *Mechanical injuries of the eye: incidence, structure and possibilities for prevention.* Vojnosanit Pregl, 2010. **67**(12): p. 983-90.
- 37. Falcao, M., E. Camisa, and F. Falcao-Reis, *Characteristics of open-globe injuries in northwestern Portugal.*Ophthalmologica, 2010. **224**(6): p. 389-94.
- 38. Saeed, A., et al., *Ocular injury requiring hospitalisation in the south east of Ireland: 2001-2007.* Injury, 2010. **41**(1): p. 86-91.
- 39. Forrest, K.Y. and J.M. Cali, *Epidemiology of lifetime work-related eye injuries in the U.S. population associated with one or more lost days of work.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2009. **16**(3): p. 156-62.
- 40. Cillino, S., et al., A five-year retrospective study of the epidemiological characteristics and visual outcomes of patients hospitalized for ocular trauma in a Mediterranean area. BMC Ophthalmol, 2008. **8**: p. 6.
- 41. Fea, A., et al., Eye injuries in an Italian urban population: report of 10,620 cases admitted to an eye emergency department in Torino. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2008. **246**(2): p. 175-9.
- 42. Chang, C.H., et al., *Hospitalized eye injury in a large industrial city of South-Eastern Asia*. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2008. **246**(2): p. 223-8.
- 43. Alamgir, H., et al., Work-related injury among direct care occupations in British Columbia, Canada. Occup Environ Med, 2007. **64**(11): p. 769-75.
- 44. Peate, W.F., Work-related eye injuries and illnesses. Am Fam Physician, 2007. 75(7): p. 1017-22.
- 45. Aggazzotti, G., et al., Work-related injuries in young workers: an Italian multicentric epidemiological survey. Ann Ist Super Sanita, 2006. **42**(1): p. 69-75.
- 46. Kaimbo, W.K., W. Spileers, and L. Missotten, *Ocular emergencies in Kinshasa (Democratic Republic of Congo)*. Bull Soc Belge Ophtalmol, 2002(284): p. 49-53.
- 47. Tan, H.H., S. Teo, and H.C. Tseng, Work-related chemical exposures presenting to an emergency department in Singapore. Occup Med (Lond), 2014. **64**(2): p. 113-9.
- 48. Hudson, N.L., et al., Characteristics and magnitude of acute pesticide-related illnesses and injuries associated with pyrethrin and pyrethroid exposures--11 states, 2000-2008. Am J Ind Med, 2014. **57**(1): p. 15-30.
- 49. Blackburn, J., et al., The epidemiology of chemical eye injuries. Curr Eye Res, 2012. **37**(9): p. 787-93.
- 50. Ye, C., et al., Ten-year epidemiology of chemical burns in western Zhejiang Province, China. Burns, 2016.
- 51. Macdonald, E.C., et al., Surveillance of severe chemical corneal injuries in the UK. Br J Ophthalmol, 2009. **93**(9): p. 1177-80.
- 52. Maghsoudi, H. and N. Gabraely, *Epidemiology and outcome of 121 cases of chemical burn in East Azarbaijan province, Iran.* Injury, 2008. **39**(9): p. 1042-6.
- 53. Ho, C.K., et al., *Epidemiologic study on work-related eye injuries in Kaohsiung, Taiwan*. Kaohsiung J Med Sci, 2007. **23**(9): p. 463-9.
- 54. Valentic, D., et al., Work related diseases and injuries on an oil rig. Int Marit Health, 2005. **56**(1-4): p. 56-66.
- 55. Spangenberg, S., et al., Efficiency in reducing lost-time injuries of a nurse-based and a first-aid-based on-site medical facility. Scand J Work Environ Health, 2005. **31 Suppl 2**: p. 104-9.
- 56. Mela, E.K., et al., *Ocular trauma in a Greek population: review of 899 cases resulting in hospitalization.*Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2005. **12**(3): p. 185-90.
- 57. Mackiewicz, J., et al., *Work-related, penetrating eye injuries in rural environments.* Ann Agric Environ Med, 2005. **12**(1): p. 27-9.

- 58. Xiang, H., et al., Work-related eye injuries treated in hospital emergency departments in the US. Am J Ind Med, 2005. **48**(1): p. 57-62.
- 59. Oum, B.S., J.S. Lee, and Y.S. Han, *Clinical features of ocular trauma in emergency department*. Korean J Ophthalmol, 2004. **18**(1): p. 70-8.
- 60. Wesseling, C., B. van Wendel de Joode, and P. Monge, *Pesticide-related illness and injuries among banana workers in Costa Rica: a comparison between 1993 and 1996.* Int J Occup Environ Health, 2001. **7**(2): p. 90-7.
- 61. Shah, S.M., et al., *Injuries and illnesses from wood framing in residential construction, Washington State,* 1993-1999. J Occup Environ Med, 2003. **45**(11): p. 1171-82.
- 62. Welch, L.S. and K. Hunting, *Injury surveillance in construction: what is an "injury", anyway?* Am J Ind Med, 2003. **44**(2): p. 191-6.
- 63. Bauza, A.M., et al., Work-related open-globe injuries: demographics and clinical characteristics. Eur J Ophthalmol, 2013. 23(2): p. 242-8.
- 64. Lipscomb, H.J. and L. Li, *Injuries among teens employed in the homebuilding industry in North Carolina*. Inj Prev, 2001. **7**(3): p. 205-9.
- Hunting, K.L., et al., Surveillance of construction worker injuries: the utility of trade-specific analysis. Appl Occup Environ Hyg, 1999. **14**(7): p. 458-69.
- 66. Hunting, K.L., et al., Surveillance of construction worker injuries through an urban emergency department. J Occup Med, 1994. **36**(3): p. 356-64.
- 67. Bazroy, J., et al., Magnitude and risk factors of injuries in a glass bottle manufacturing plant. J Occup Health, 2003. **45**(1): p. 53-9.
- 68. Porru, S., S. Calza, and C. Arici, An effectiveness evaluation of a multifaceted preventive intervention on occupational injuries in foundries: a 13-year follow-up study with interrupted time series analysis. Int Arch Occup Environ Health, 2011. **84**(8): p. 867-76.
- 69. Luo, H., et al., Socioeconomic status and lifetime risk for workplace eye injury reported by a us population aged 50 years and over. Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2012. **19**(2): p. 103-10.
- 70. Chaikitmongkol, V., T. Leeungurasatien, and S. Sengupta, *Work-Related Eye Injuries: Important Occupational Health Problem in Northern Thailand*. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila), 2015. **4**(3): p. 155-60.
- 71. Adams, J.S., et al., Increasing compliance with protective eyewear to reduce ocular injuries in stone-quarry workers in Tamil Nadu, India: a pragmatic, cluster randomised trial of a single education session versus an enhanced education package delivered over six months. Injury, 2013. **44**(1): p. 118-25.
- 72. Ngo, C.S. and S.W. Leo, *Industrial accident-related ocular emergencies in a tertiary hospital in Singapore*. Singapore Med J, 2008. **49**(4): p. 280-5.
- 73. Forst, L., et al., *Barriers and benefits of protective eyewear use by Latino farm workers*. J Agromedicine, 2006. **11**(2): p. 11-7.
- 74. Woo, J.H. and G. Sundar, *Eye injuries in Singapore--don't risk it. Do more. A prospective study.* Ann Acad Med Singapore, 2006. **35**(10): p. 706-18.
- 75. Cakmak, S.S., et al., *Penetrating eye injuries from southeastern Anatolia region of Turkey*. Public Health, 2004. **118**(8): p. 570-5.
- 76. Yu, T.S., H. Liu, and K. Hui, *A case-control study of eye injuries in the workplace in Hong Kong.* Ophthalmology, 2004. **111**(1): p. 70-4.
- 77. Okoye, O.I. and R.E. Umeh, *Eye health of industrial workers in Southeastern Nigeria*. West Afr J Med, 2002. **21**(2): p. 132-7.
- 78. Canan, B.D., et al., *Compliance with NAGCAT work practices recommendations for youth cleaning service alleys in stall barns*. J Agric Saf Health, 2011. **17**(2): p. 127-46.
- 79. Lombardi, D.A., et al., *Factors influencing worker use of personal protective eyewear*. Accid Anal Prev, 2009. **41**(4): p. 755-62.
- 80. Chen, S.Y., et al., *A case-crossover study on transient risk factors of work-related eye injuries*. Occup Environ Med, 2009. **66**(8): p. 517-22.
- 81. Ong, V.Y., A.K. Habibah, and F.C. Lee, *Safety among foreign workers and impact on emergency medicine services in Singapore*. Singapore Med J, 2006. **47**(2): p. 121-8.
- 82. Yong, G.Y., et al., Determinant Factors of Poor Visual Outcome After Ocular Trauma: A Retrospective Study in Central Sarawak, Malaysia. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila), 2015.
- 83. Voon, L.W., J. See, and T.Y. Wong, *The epidemiology of ocular trauma in Singapore: perspective from the emergency service of a large tertiary hospital.* Eye (Lond), 2001. **15**(Pt 1): p. 75-81.
- 84. Semeraro, F., et al., Work- and non-work-related eye injuries in a highly industrialized area in northern Italy: comparison between two three-year periods (1994-1996 and 2005-2007). Med Lav, 2013. **104**(6): p. 467-75.
- 85. Arcury, T.A., et al., *Employer, use of personal protective equipment, and work safety climate: Latino poultry processing workers*. Am J Ind Med, 2013. **56**(2): p. 180-8.

- 86. Catalano, R. and M. Maus, *Economic antecedents of temporal variation in the incidence of ocular trauma.*Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2004. **11**(4): p. 279-89.
- 87. Modugno, A., et al., *Ocular prostheses in the last century: a retrospective analysis of 8018 patients.* Eye (Lond), 2013. **27**(7): p. 865-70.
- 88. Knyazer, B., et al., *Open globe eye injury characteristics and prognostic factors in southern Israel: a retrospective epidemiologic review of 10 years experience.* Isr Med Assoc J, 2013. **15**(3): p. 158-62.
- 89. Jafari, A.K., et al., *Epidemiology and sociodemographic aspects of ocular traumatic injuries in Iran*. Int Ophthalmol, 2010. **30**(6): p. 691-6.
- 90. Kanoff, J.M., et al., *Characteristics and outcomes of work-related open globe injuries*. Am J Ophthalmol, 2010. **150**(2): p. 265-269 e2.
- 91. Larque-Daza, A.B., J. Peralta-Calvo, and J. Lopez-Andrade, *Epidemiology of open-globe trauma in the southeast of Spain*. Eur J Ophthalmol, 2010. **20**(3): p. 578-83.
- 92. Kim, J.H., et al., Fourteen-year review of open globe injuries in an urban Korean population. J Trauma, 2007. **62**(3): p. 746-9.
- 93. Koo, L., et al., Gender differences in etiology and outcome of open globe injuries. J Trauma, 2005. **59**(1): p. 175-8.
- 94. Vasu, U., et al., Occupational open globe injuries. Indian J Ophthalmol, 2001. 49(1): p. 43-7.
- 95. Schrader, W.F., *Open globe injuries: epidemiological study of two eye clinics in Germany, 1981-1999.* Croat Med J, 2004. **45**(3): p. 268-74.
- 96. Emmett, E.A., et al., *Skin and eye diseases among arc welders those exposed to welding operations.* J Occup Med, 1981. **23**(2): p. 85-90.
- 97. Young, A.R., Acute effects of UVR on human eyes and skin. Prog Biophys Mol Biol, 2006. 92(1): p. 80-5.
- 98. Ting, M.A., K. Saha, and S. Robbie, *Mass photokeratitis following ultraviolet light exposure at a nightclub*. Cont Lens Anterior Eye, 2016.
- 99. Tenkate, T.D., Occupational exposure to ultraviolet radiation: a health risk assessment. Rev Environ Health, 1999. **14**(4): p. 187-209.
- 100. Bergmanson, J.P., *Corneal damage in photokeratitis--why is it so painful?* Optom Vis Sci, 1990. **67**(6): p. 407-13.
- 101. Diffey, B.L., Human exposure to ultraviolet radiation. Semin Dermatol, 1990. 9(1): p. 2-10.
- 102. Kwon, D.H., et al., *Case series of keratitis in poultry abattoir workers induced by exposure to the ultraviolet disinfection lamp.* Ann Occup Environ Med, 2016. **28**: p. 3.
- Talbot, E.A., et al., Occupational risk from ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) lamps. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 2002. **6**(8): p. 738-41.
- Banerjee, S., A. Patwardhan, and V.V. Savant, *Mass photokeratitis following exposure to unprotected ultraviolet light*. J Public Health Med, 2003. **25**(2): p. 160.
- Liu, L., et al., Geographical prevalence and risk factors for pterygium: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2013. **3**(11): p. e003787.
- 106. Rosman, M., et al., *Review of key findings from the Singapore Malay Eye Study (SiMES-1)*. Singapore Med J, 2012. **53**(2): p. 82-7.
- 107. Rong, S.S., et al., *Does cigarette smoking alter the risk of pterygium? A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2014. **55**(10): p. 6235-43.
- Schmid-Kubista, K.E., et al., *Effect of work-related ultraviolet exposure and ophthalmic changes in Austrian farmers: the SVB-UV study.* Ophthalmic Res, 2010. **43**(4): p. 201-7.
- Durkin, S.R., et al., *The prevalence, severity and risk factors for pterygium in central Myanmar: the Meiktila Eye Study.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2008. **92**(1): p. 25-9.
- 110. Luthra, R., et al., *Frequency and risk factors for pterygium in the Barbados Eye Study*. Arch Ophthalmol, 2001. **119**(12): p. 1827-32.
- 111. McCarty, C.A., C.L. Fu, and H.R. Taylor, *Epidemiology of pterygium in Victoria, Australia*. Br J Ophthalmol, 2000. **84**(3): p. 289-92.
- 112. Sherwin, J.C., et al., *The association between pterygium and conjunctival ultraviolet autofluorescence: the Norfolk Island Eye Study.* Acta Ophthalmol, 2013. **91**(4): p. 363-70.
- 113. Shiroma, H., et al., *Prevalence and risk factors of pterygium in a southwestern island of Japan: the Kumejima Study*. Am J Ophthalmol, 2009. **148**(5): p. 766-771 e1.
- 114. Viso, E., F. Gude, and M.T. Rodriguez-Ares, *Prevalence of pinguecula and pterygium in a general population in Spain.* Eye (Lond), 2011. **25**(3): p. 350-7.
- 115. Lucas, R.M., *An epidemiological perspective of ultraviolet exposure--public health concerns.* Eye Contact Lens, 2011. **37**(4): p. 168-75.
- 116. Coroneo, M., Ultraviolet radiation and the anterior eye. Eye Contact Lens, 2011. 37(4): p. 214-24.

- 117. Lu, J., et al., *Pterygium in an aged Mongolian population: a population-based study in China*. Eye (Lond), 2009. **23**(2): p. 421-7.
- 118. West, S. and B. Munoz, *Prevalence of pterygium in Latinos: Proyecto VER*. Br J Ophthalmol, 2009. **93**(10): p. 1287-90.
- Lu, P., et al., *Pterygium in Tibetans: a population-based study in China*. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2007. **35**(9): p. 828-33.
- 120. Cajucom-Uy, H., et al., *The prevalence of and risk factors for pterygium in an urban Malay population: the Singapore Malay Eye Study (SiMES)*. Br J Ophthalmol, 2010. **94**(8): p. 977-81.
- 121. Villa, L., et al., Do We Really Need to Wear Proper Eye Protection When Using Holmium: YAG Laser During Endourologic Procedures? Results from an Ex Vivo Animal Model on Pig Eyes. J Endourol, 2015.
- 122. Yong-shu, C., X. Du, and M. Xie, *Clinical, Pathological and Photochemical Studies of Laser Injury of the Retina.[Article].* Health Physics, 1989. **56**(5): p. 643-646.
- 123. Barkana, Y. and M. Belkin, Laser eye injuries. Surv Ophthalmol, 2000. 44(6): p. 459-78.
- 124. Sliney, D.H., Risks of occupational exposure to optical radiation. Med Lav, 2006. 97(2): p. 215-20.
- 125. Hanson, J.V., et al., *Maculopathy following exposure to visible and infrared radiation from a laser pointer: a clinical case study.* Doc Ophthalmol, 2016.
- 126. Wang, R., et al., Choroidal Neovascularization Secondary to Alexandrite Laser Exposure. Retin Cases Brief Rep, 2015.
- 127. Johnson, T.E., J.C. Dunn II, and W.P. Roach. Survey of laser injury. in Proc. SPIE 4617, Laser Tissue Interaction XIII: Photochemical, Photothermal, and Photomechanical. 2002.
- 128. Shenoy, R., et al., *Retinal Damage from Laser Pointer Misuse Case Series from the Military Sector in Oman.*Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol, 2015. **22**(3): p. 399-403.
- 129. Mainster, M.A., B.E. Stuck, and J. Brown, Jr., *Assessment of alleged retinal laser injuries*. Arch Ophthalmol, 2004. **122**(8): p. 1210-7.
- 130. Lam, T.T. and M.O. Tso, Retinal injury by neodymium: YAG laser. Retina, 1996. **16**(1): p. 42-6.
- 131. Liu, H.F., et al., Ocular injuries from accidental laser exposure. Health Phys, 1989. 56(5): p. 711-6.
- 132. Roider, J., et al., Macular injury by a military range finder. Retina, 1999. 19(6): p. 531-5.
- 133. Modarres-Zadeh, M., et al., *Accidental parafoveal laser burn from a standard military ruby range finder.* Retina, 1995. **15**(4): p. 356-8.
- 134. Harris, M.D., et al., Laser eye injuries in military occupations. Aviat Space Environ Med, 2003. 74(9): p. 947-52.
- 135. Stuck, B.E. and M. Beklin. Laser inflicted eye injuries. in SPIE. 1996.
- 136. Green RP Jr., C.R., Cheney FE, Menendez AR, *Medical Management of Combat Laser Eye Injuries*. 1988; Available from: http://www.dtic.mil/cgibin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA232095.
- 137. Gosling, D.B., J.B. O'Hagan, and F.M. Quhill, *Blue Laser Induced Retinal Injury in a Commercial Pilot at 1300 ft.*Aerosp Med Hum Perform, 2016. **87**(1): p. 69-70.
- 138. Nakagawara, V.B., K.J. Wood, and R.W. Montgomery, *Laser exposure incidents: pilot ocular health and aviation safety issues*. Optometry, 2008. **79**(9): p. 518-24.
- Sparrow, J.M., et al., *The Oxford Clinical Cataract Classification and Grading System.* Int Ophthalmol, 1986. **9**(4): p. 207-25.
- 140. Chylack, L.T., Jr., et al., Classification of human senile cataractous changes by the American Cooperative Cataract Research Group (CCRG) method. I. Instrumentation and technique. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1983. **24**(4): p. 424-31.
- 141. Chylack, L.T., Jr., B.J. Ransil, and O. White, Classification of human senile cataractous change by the American Cooperative Cataract Research Group (CCRG) method: III. The association of nuclear color (sclerosis) with extent of cataract formation, age, and visual acuity. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1984. **25**(2): p. 174-80.
- 142. Chylack, L.T., Jr., et al., Lens Opacities Classification System. Arch Ophthalmol, 1988. 106(3): p. 330-4.
- 143. Chylack, L.T., Jr., et al., *The Lens Opacities Classification System III. The Longitudinal Study of Cataract Study Group.* Arch Ophthalmol, 1993. **111**(6): p. 831-6.
- 144. Hall, A.B., et al., LOCS III versus the Oxford Clinical Cataract Classification and Grading System for the assessment of nuclear, cortical and posterior subcapsular cataract. Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 1997. 4(4): p. 179-94.
- 145. Hall, N.F., et al., *Grading nuclear cataract: reproducibility and validity of a new method.* Br J Ophthalmol, 1999. **83**(10): p. 1159-63.
- 146. Hockwin, O., Cataract classification. Doc Ophthalmol, 1994. 88(3-4): p. 263-75.
- 147. Van Den Berg, T.J., et al., Straylight effects with aging and lens extraction. Am J Ophthalmol, 2007. **144**(3): p. 358-363.
- 148. Kirwan, J.F., et al., LOCS III examination at the slit lamp, do settings matter? Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2003. **10**(4): p. 259-66.

- 149. Klein, B.E., R. Klein, and K.E. Lee, *Incidence of age-related cataract: the Beaver Dam Eye Study*. Arch Ophthalmol, 1998. **116**(2): p. 219-25.
- 150. Klein, B.E., R. Klein, and K.E. Lee, *Incidence of age-related cataract over a 10-year interval: the Beaver Dam Eye Study.* Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(11): p. 2052-7.
- 151. Klein, B.E., et al., *Incidence of age-related cataract over a 15-year interval the Beaver Dam Eye Study.*Ophthalmology, 2008. **115**(3): p. 477-82.
- 152. Klein, B.E., et al., Changing incidence of lens extraction over 20 years: the Beaver Dam eye study. Ophthalmology, 2014. **121**(1): p. 5-9.
- Hong, T., et al., Long-term changes in visual acuity in an older population over a 15-year period: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology, 2013. **120**(10): p. 2091-9.
- 154. Kanthan, G.L., et al., *Ten-year incidence of age-related cataract and cataract surgery in an older Australian population. The Blue Mountains Eye Study.* Ophthalmology, 2008. **115**(5): p. 808-814 e1.
- 155. Panchapakesan, J., et al., *Five year incidence of cataract surgery: the Blue Mountains Eye Study.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(2): p. 168-72.
- 156. Khairallah, M., et al., *Prevalence and causes of vision loss in North Africa and the Middle East: 1990-2010.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2014. **98**(5): p. 605-11.
- 157. Naidoo, K., et al., *Prevalence and causes of vision loss in sub-Saharan Africa: 1990-2010.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2014. **98**(5): p. 612-8.
- Leasher, J.L., et al., *Prevalence and causes of vision loss in Latin America and the Caribbean: 1990-2010.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2014. **98**(5): p. 619-28.
- Jonas, J.B., et al., *Prevalence and causes of vision loss in Central and South Asia: 1990-2010.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2014. **98**(5): p. 592-8.
- Wong, A.H., S.S. Barg, and A.K. Leung, *Seasonal and perennial allergic conjunctivitis*. Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov, 2014. **8**(2): p. 139-53.
- 161. Keeffe, J., et al., *Prevalence and causes of vision loss in Southeast Asia and Oceania: 1990-2010.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2014. **98**(5): p. 586-91.
- Petrash, J.M., *Aging and age-related diseases of the ocular lens and vitreous body.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2013. **54**(14): p. ORSF54-9.
- 163. Klein, R. and B.E. Klein, *The prevalence of age-related eye diseases and visual impairment in aging: current estimates.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2013. **54**(14): p. ORSF5-ORSF13.
- 164. Seddon, J.M., *Genetic and environmental underpinnings to age-related ocular diseases*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2013. **54**(14): p. ORSF28-30.
- 165. Iyengar, S.K., et al., *Identification of a major locus for age-related cortical cataract on chromosome 6p12-q12 in the Beaver Dam Eye Study.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2004. **101**(40): p. 14485-90.
- 166. Klein, B.E., et al., *Drug use and five-year incidence of age-related cataracts: The Beaver Dam Eye Study.*Ophthalmology, 2001. **108**(9): p. 1670-4.
- Heiba, I.M., et al., *Evidence for a major gene for cortical cataract*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1995. **36**(1): p. 227-35.
- Wu, H., et al., Association between dietary carbohydrate intake and dietary glycemic index and risk of agerelated cataract: a meta-analysis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2014. **55**(6): p. 3660-8.
- Klein, R., et al., *The relation of retinal microvascular characteristics to age-related eye disease: the Beaver Dam eye study.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2004. **137**(3): p. 435-44.
- 170. Klein, B.E., et al., *Hypertension and lens opacities from the Beaver Dam Eye Study*. Am J Ophthalmol, 1995. **119**(5): p. 640-6.
- 171. Klein, B.E., et al., Statin use and incident nuclear cataract. Jama, 2006. 295(23): p. 2752-8.
- 172. Alemu, S., et al., Retinopathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus: Major differences between rural and urban dwellers in northwest Ethiopia. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 2015. **109**(1): p. 191-8.
- 173. Rowe, N.G., et al., *Diabetes, fasting blood glucose and age-related cataract: the Blue Mountains Eye Study.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2000. **7**(2): p. 103-14.
- 174. Mattishent, K., et al., *Meta-review: adverse effects of inhaled corticosteroids relevant to older patients.* Drugs, 2014. **74**(5): p. 539-47.
- 175. Ye, J., et al., Body mass index and risk of age-related cataract: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. PLoS One, 2014. **9**(2): p. e89923.
- 176. Kostis, J.B. and J.M. Dobrzynski, *Prevention of cataracts by statins: a meta-analysis.* J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther, 2014. **19**(2): p. 191-200.
- 177. Klein, B.E., R.E. Klein, and K.E. Lee, *Incident cataract after a five-year interval and lifestyle factors: the Beaver Dam eye study.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 1999. **6**(4): p. 247-55.

- 178. Ma, L., et al., A dose-response meta-analysis of dietary lutein and zeaxanthin intake in relation to risk of agerelated cataract. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2014. **252**(1): p. 63-70.
- 179. Zhang, Y., et al., Vitamin E and risk of age-related cataract: a meta-analysis. Public Health Nutr, 2015. 18(15): p. 2804-14.
- 180. Christen, W.G., et al., *Age-related cataract in men in the selenium and vitamin e cancer prevention trial eye endpoints study: a randomized clinical trial.* JAMA Ophthalmol, 2015. **133**(1): p. 17-24.
- 181. Christen, W.G., et al., Effects of multivitamin supplement on cataract and age-related macular degeneration in a randomized trial of male physicians. Ophthalmology, 2014. **121**(2): p. 525-34.
- 182. Mares-Perlman, J.A., et al., *Vitamin supplement use and incident cataracts in a population-based study.* Arch Ophthalmol, 2000. **118**(11): p. 1556-63.
- Liao, J.C., et al., Surgical timing and postoperative ocular motility in type B orbital blowout fractures. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg, 2015. **31**(1): p. 29-33.
- Song, E., et al., *Age-related cataract, cataract surgery and subsequent mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* PLoS One, 2014. **9**(11): p. e112054.
- 185. Knudtson, M.D., B.E. Klein, and R. Klein, *Age-related eye disease, visual impairment, and survival: the Beaver Dam Eye Study.* Arch Ophthalmol, 2006. **124**(2): p. 243-9.
- 186. Zigman, S., Environmental near-UV radiation and cataracts. Optom Vis Sci, 1995. 72(12): p. 899-901.
- 187. Roberts, J.E., Ultraviolet radiation as a risk factor for cataract and macular degeneration. Eye Contact Lens, 2011. **37**(4): p. 246-9.
- 188. Taylor, H.R., et al., Effect of ultraviolet radiation on cataract formation. N Engl J Med, 1988. **319**(22): p. 1429-33.
- 189. Javitt, J.C. and H.R. Taylor, Cataract and latitude. Doc Ophthalmol, 1994. 88(3-4): p. 307-25.
- 190. Klein, B.E., K.J. Cruickshanks, and R. Klein, *Leisure time, sunlight exposure and cataracts*. Doc Ophthalmol, 1994. **88**(3-4): p. 295-305.
- 191. Dolin, P.J., Assessment of epidemiological evidence that exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation causes cataract. Doc Ophthalmol, 1994. **88**(3-4): p. 327-37.
- 192. West, S., Ocular ultraviolet B exposure and lens opacities: a review. J Epidemiol, 1999. 9(6 Suppl): p. S97-101.
- 193. Wallace, J., et al., *An epidemiological study of lens opacities among steel workers*. Br J Ind Med, 1971. **28**(3): p. 265-71.
- 194. Mukesh, B.N., et al., *Development of cataract and associated risk factors: the Visual Impairment Project.* Arch Ophthalmol, 2006. **124**(1): p. 79-85.
- 195. Vos, J.J. and D. van Norren, Thermal cataract, from furnaces to lasers. Clin Exp Optom, 2004. 87(6): p. 372-6.
- 196. Rafnsson, V., et al., *Cosmic radiation increases the risk of nuclear cataract in airline pilots: a population-based case-control study.* Arch Ophthalmol, 2005. **123**(8): p. 1102-5.
- 197. Cucinotta, F.A., et al., Space radiation and cataracts in astronauts. Radiat Res, 2001. 156(5 Pt 1): p. 460-6.
- 198. McElroy, J.A., et al., *Place-based exposure and cataract risk in the Beaver Dam cohort.* J Environ Health, 2014. **76**(6): p. 34-40.
- 199. Ainsbury, E.A., et al., Radiation cataractogenesis: a review of recent studies. Radiat Res, 2009. 172(1): p. 1-9.
- 200. Mulcahy Levy, J.M., et al., *Late effects of total body irradiation and hematopoietic stem cell transplant in children under 3 years of age*. Pediatr Blood Cancer, 2013. **60**(4): p. 700-4.
- 201. Little, M.P., A review of non-cancer effects, especially circulatory and ocular diseases. Radiat Environ Biophys, 2013. **52**(4): p. 435-49.
- 202. Mrena, S., et al., Lens opacities among physicians occupationally exposed to ionizing radiation--a pilot study in Finland. Scand J Work Environ Health, 2011. **37**(3): p. 237-43.
- 203. Anastasian, Z.H., et al., *Radiation exposure of the anesthesiologist in the neurointerventional suite*. Anesthesiology, 2011. **114**(3): p. 512-20.
- 204. Milacic, S., Risk of occupational radiation-induced cataract in medical workers. Med Lav, 2009. **100**(3): p. 178-86.
- 205. Bouffler, S., et al., Radiation-induced cataracts: the Health Protection Agency's response to the ICRP statement on tissue reactions and recommendation on the dose limit for the eye lens. J Radiol Prot, 2012. **32**(4): p. 479-88.
- 206. Kruse, A., et al., Trinitrotoluene (TNT)-induced cataract in Danish arms factory workers. Acta Ophthalmol Scand, 2005. 83(1): p. 26-30.
- 207. Shah, M., et al., Visual recovery and predictors of visual prognosis after managing traumatic cataracts in 555 patients. Indian J Ophthalmol, 2011. **59**(3): p. 217-22.
- 208. Shah, M., et al., *Controversies in traumatic cataract classification and management: a review.* Can J Ophthalmol, 2013. **48**(4): p. 251-8.

- 209. Kumar, N.L., D. Black, and K. McClellan, *Daytime presentations to a metropolitan ophthalmic emergency department*. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2005. **33**(6): p. 586-92.
- 210. Wong, T.Y., et al., Relation of ocular trauma to cortical, nuclear, and posterior subcapsular cataracts: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Br J Ophthalmol, 2002. **86**(2): p. 152-5.
- 211. Burnstine, M.A., *Clinical recommendations for repair of isolated orbital floor fractures: an evidence-based analysis.* Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(7): p. 1207-10; discussion 1210-1; quiz 1212-3.
- 212. Dubois, L., et al., Controversies in orbital reconstruction--II. Timing of post-traumatic orbital reconstruction: a systematic review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 2015. **44**(4): p. 433-40.
- 213. Sugamata, A., N. Yoshizawa, and K. Shimanaka, *Timing of operation for blowout fractures with extraocular muscle entrapment*. J Plast Surg Hand Surg, 2013. **47**(6): p. 454-7.
- 214. Harris, G.J., Orbital blow-out fractures: surgical timing and technique. Eye (Lond), 2006. 20(10): p. 1207-12.
- 215. Hartstein, M.E. and G. Roper-Hall, *Update on orbital floor fractures: indications and timing for repair.* Facial Plast Surg, 2000. **16**(2): p. 95-106.
- 216. Taher, A.A., *Diplopia caused by orbital floor blowout fracture*. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol, 1993. **75**(4): p. 433-5.
- 217. Shipp, M.D., *Potential human and economic cost-savings attributable to vision testing policies for driver license renewal*, 1989-1991. Optom Vis Sci, 1998. **75**(2): p. 103-18.
- 218. Good, W., Occupational Vision Manual. American Optometric Association.
- 219. Wood, J. and K. Higgins, *How well does high contrast visual acuity predict driving performance?*, in *Vision in Vehicles VII.*, A. Gale, Editor. 1999, Elsevier: Amsterdam. p. 33-42.
- 220. Gresset, J.A. and F.M. Meyer, *Risk of accidents among elderly car drivers with visual acuity equal to 6/12 or 6/15 and lack of binocular vision.* Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 1994. **14**(1): p. 33-7.
- 221. Burg, A., Visual acuity as measured by dynamic and static tests: a comparative evaluation. J Appl Psychol, 1966. **50**(6): p. 460-6.
- 222. Owsley, C. and G. McGwin, Jr., Vision and driving. Vision Res, 2010. 50(23): p. 2348-61.
- 223. Atchison, D.A., et al., *Traffic signal color recognition is a problem for both protan and deutan color-vision deficients.* Hum Factors, 2003. **45**(3): p. 495-503.
- 224. Cole, B.L., The handicap of abnormal colour vision. Clin Exp Optom, 2004. 87(4-5): p. 258-75.
- 225. Steward, J.M. and B.L. Cole, *What do color vision defectives say about everyday tasks?* Optom Vis Sci, 1989. **66**(5): p. 288-95.
- 226. Iregren, A., M. Andersson, and P. Nylen, *Color vision and occupational chemical exposures. II. Visual functions in non-exposed subjects.* Neurotoxicology, 2002. **23**(6): p. 735-45.
- 227. Gong, Y.Y., et al., *Relation between colour vision loss and occupational styrene exposure level*. Occup Environ Med, 2002. **59**(12): p. 824-9.
- 228. Gobba, F. and A. Cavalleri, *Color vision impairment in workers exposed to neurotoxic chemicals*. Neurotoxicology, 2003. **24**(4-5): p. 693-702.
- 229. Campagna, D., et al., *Color vision loss among styrene-exposed workers neurotoxicological threshold assessment.* Neurotoxicology, 1996. **17**(2): p. 367-73.
- 230. Tovee, M.J., *The molecular genetics and evolution of primate colour vision*. Trends Neurosci, 1994. **17**(1): p. 30-7
- 231. Brazis, P.W., et al., *Ishihara color plates as a test for simultanagnosia*. Am J Ophthalmol, 1998. **126**(6): p. 850-1.
- 232. Shaygannejad, V., et al., *Color blindness among multiple sclerosis patients in Isfahan.* J Res Med Sci, 2012. **17**(3): p. 254-7.
- Villoslada, P., et al., *Color vision is strongly associated with retinal thinning in multiple sclerosis.* Mult Scler, 2012. **18**(7): p. 991-9.
- 234. Gittinger, J.W., Jr. and G.K. Asdourian, *Papillopathy caused by amiodarone*. Arch Ophthalmol, 1987. **105**(3): p. 349-51.
- 235. Nazarian, S.M. and W.M. Jay, *Bilateral optic neuropathy associated with amiodarone therapy.* J Clin Neuroophthalmol, 1988. **8**(1): p. 25-8.
- Vu, B.L., M. Easterbrook, and J.K. Hovis, *Detection of color vision defects in chloroquine retinopathy*. Ophthalmology, 1999. **106**(9): p. 1799-803; discussion 1804.
- 237. Hyon, J.Y., J.H. Lee, and W.R. Wee, *Shift of colorimetric values in ishihara pseudoisochromatic plates with plate aging*. Korean J Ophthalmol, 2005. **19**(2): p. 145-8.
- 238. Rodrigues, E.B., et al., *Tunneled scleral incision to prevent vitreal reflux after intravitreal injection*. Am J Ophthalmol, 2007. **143**(6): p. 1035-7.
- 239. Sharanjeet, K., et al., *Effect of petroleum derivatives and solvents on colour perception.* Clin Exp Optom, 2004. **87**(4-5): p. 339-43.

- 240. Abebe, Y.W., Y., *Defective Color Perception Among Car Drivers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia*. Traffic Injury Prevention, 2002. **3**: p. 294-297.
- 241. Dille, J.R.a.B., C. F., Accident experience of civilian pilots with static physical defects. FAA Office of Aviation Medicine Report, 1976. AM-77-80.
- Dille, J.R. and C.F. Booze, *The 1976 accident experience of civilian pilots with static physical defects.* Aviat Space Environ Med, 1980. **51**(2): p. 182-4.
- 243. Morgan, M.J., A. Adam, and J.D. Mollon, *Dichromats detect colour-camouflaged objects that are not detected by trichromats*. Proc Biol Sci, 1992. **248**(1323): p. 291-5.
- Saito, A., et al., *Advantage of dichromats over trichromats in discrimination of color-camouflaged stimuli in humans.* Percept Mot Skills, 2006. **102**(1): p. 3-12.
- 245. Thyagarajan, S., et al., *Technical note: the effect of refractive blur on colour vision evaluated using the Cambridge Colour Test, the Ishihara Pseudoisochromatic Plates and the Farnsworth Munsell 100 Hue Test.*Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 2007. **27**(3): p. 315-9.
- 246. Erb, C., et al., Colour vision in normal subjects tested by the colour arrangement test 'Roth 28-hue desaturated'. Vision Res, 1998. **38**(21): p. 3467-71.
- 247. LeSage, J., Color vision testing to assist in diagnosis of digoxin toxicity. Nurs Res, 1984. 33(6): p. 346-51.
- 248. Miyahara, E., Errors reading the Ishihara pseudoisochromatic plates made by observers with normal colour vision. Clin Exp Optom, 2008. **91**(2): p. 161-5.
- 249. Ramaswamy, S. and J.K. Hovis, *Do color-deficient observers take longer to complete a color-related task?* Optom Vis Sci, 2009. **86**(8): p. 964-70.
- 250. Rodriguez-Carmona, M., M. O'Neill-Biba, and J.L. Barbur, *Assessing the severity of color vision loss with implications for aviation and other occupational environments.* Aviat Space Environ Med, 2012. **83**(1): p. 19-29.
- 251. Vingrys, A.J. and P.E. King-Smith, *A quantitative scoring technique for panel tests of color vision*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1988. **29**(1): p. 50-63.
- 252. Hackman, R.J., *Predicting Farnsworth Lantern success with a six-plate series of the Ishihara pseudoisochromatic plates.* Mil Med, 2001. **166**(12): p. 1046-8.
- 253. Huna-Baron, R., Y. Glovinsky, and Z. Habot-Wilner, *Comparison between Hardy-Rand-Rittler 4th edition and Ishihara color plate tests for detection of dyschromatopsia in optic neuropathy.* Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2013. **251**(2): p. 585-9.
- 254. Ing, E.B., J.A. Parker, and L.A. Emerton, Computerized colour vision testing. Can J Ophthalmol, 1994. 29(3): p. 125-8.
- 255. Seshadri, J., et al., *Evaluation of the new web-based "Colour Assessment and Diagnosis" test.* Optom Vis Sci, 2005. **82**(10): p. 882-5.
- 256. Shoji, T., et al., *Reference intervals and discrimination values of the Lanthony desaturated D-15 panel test in young to middle-aged Japanese army officials: the Okubo Color Study Report 1.* Eye (Lond), 2009. **23**(6): p. 1329-35.
- 257. Rabin, J., J. Gooch, and D. Ivan, *Rapid quantification of color vision: the cone contrast test.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. **52**(2): p. 816-20.
- 258. Abramov, I. and J. Gordon, *Color vision panel tests: a metric for interpreting numeric analytic indices.* Optom Vis Sci, 2009. **86**(2): p. 146-52.
- 259. Birch, J., Clinical use of the City University Test (2nd Edition). Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 1997. 17(6): p. 466-72.
- 260. Birch, J., *Efficiency of the Ishihara test for identifying red-green colour deficiency.* Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 1997. **17**(5): p. 403-8.
- 261. Birch, J., Failure of concordance of the Farnsworth D15 test and the Nagel anomaloscope matching range in anomalous trichromatism. Vis Neurosci, 2008. **25**(3): p. 451-3.
- 262. Birch, J., Identification of red-green colour deficiency: sensitivity of the Ishihara and American Optical Company (Hard, Rand and Rittler) pseudo-isochromatic plates to identify slight anomalous trichromatism.

 Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 2010. **30**(5): p. 667-71.
- 263. McCulley, T.J., et al., *The effect of decreased visual acuity on clinical color vision testing.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2006. **141**(1): p. 194-6.
- 264. Cole, B.L., K.Y. Lian, and C. Lakkis, *Using clinical tests of colour vision to predict the ability of colour vision deficient patients to name surface colours.* Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 2007. **27**(4): p. 381-8.
- 265. Cole, B.L. and J.D. Maddocks, *Can clinical colour vision tests be used to predict the results of the Farnsworth lantern test?* Vision Res, 1998. **38**(21): p. 3483-5.
- 266. Cole, B.L. and J.M. Orenstein, *Does the Farnsworth D15 test predict the ability to name colours?* Clin Exp Optom, 2003. **86**(4): p. 221-9.

- 267. Ng, J.S., et al., Evaluation of the Waggoner Computerized Color Vision Test. Optom Vis Sci, 2015. **92**(4): p. 480-6.
- 268. Gundogan, N.U., et al., *Projected color slides as a method for mass screening test for color vision deficiency (a preliminary study)*. Int J Neurosci, 2005. **115**(8): p. 1105-17.
- 269. Cotter, S.A., D.Y. Lee, and A.L. French, *Evaluation of a new color vision test: "color vision testing made easy"*. Optom Vis Sci, 1999. **76**(9): p. 631-6.
- 270. Squire, T.J., et al., *Color vision tests for aviation: comparison of the anomaloscope and three lantern types.*Aviat Space Environ Med, 2005. **76**(5): p. 421-9.
- 271. Atchison, D.A., K.J. Bowman, and A.J. Vingrys, *Quantitative scoring methods for D15 panel tests in the diagnosis of congenital color vision deficiencies.* Optom Vis Sci, 1991. **68**(1): p. 41-8.
- 272. Aroichane, M., et al., A comparative study of Hardy-Rand-Rittler and Ishihara colour plates for the diagnosis of nonglaucomatous optic neuropathy. Can J Ophthalmol, 1996. **31**(7): p. 350-5.
- 273. Hovis, J.K. and D. Oliphant, *A lantern color vision test for the rail industry*. Am J Ind Med, 2000. **38**(6): p. 681-96.
- Ganley, J.P. and M.C. Lian, *Projected color slides as a method for mass screening of red-green color deficient individuals.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 1997. **4**(4): p. 213-21.
- 275. Gaudart, J. and J.P. Petrakian, *Evaluation of a chromatometer: a new method for blue-yellow or green-red visual comparisons, and anomaly screening techniques.* Med Sci Monit, 2005. **11**(8): p. Mt39-52.
- 276. Owsley, C., et al., Visual processing impairment and risk of motor vehicle crash among older adults. JAMA, 1998. **279**(14): p. 1083-8.
- 277. Rubin, G.S., et al., A comprehensive assessment of visual impairment in a population of older Americans. The SEE Study. Salisbury Eye Evaluation Project. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1997. **38**(3): p. 557-68.
- 278. Rubin, G.S., et al., *A prospective, population-based study of the role of visual impairment in motor vehicle crashes among older drivers: the SEE study.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2007. **48**(4): p. 1483-91.
- 279. Goode, K.T., et al., *Useful Field of View and Other Neurocognitive Indicators of Crash Risk in Older Adults.*Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 1998. **5**(4): p. 425-440.
- 280. Ball, K.K., et al., Age and visual search: expanding the useful field of view. J Opt Soc Am A, 1988. **5**(12): p. 2210-9.
- 281. Ball, K., et al., *Visual attention problems as a predictor of vehicle crashes in older drivers.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 1993. **34**(11): p. 3110-23.
- 282. Charman, W.N., *Vision and driving--a literature review and commentary.* Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 1997. **17**(5): p. 371-91.
- 283. Szlyk, J.P., et al., Assessment of driving performance in patients with retinitis pigmentosa. Arch Ophthalmol, 1992. **110**(12): p. 1709-13.
- 284. Decina, L.E. and L. Staplin, *Retrospective evaluation of alternative vision screening criteria for older and younger drivers.* Accid Anal Prev, 1993. **25**(3): p. 267-75.
- 285. Hu, P.S., et al., Crash risks of older drivers: a panel data analysis. Accid Anal Prev, 1998. 30(5): p. 569-81.
- 286. Coeckelbergh, T.R., et al., *The effect of visual field defects on driving performance: a driving simulator study.*Arch Ophthalmol, 2002. **120**(11): p. 1509-16.
- 287. Bronstad, P.M., et al., *Driving with central field loss I: effect of central scotomas on responses to hazards.*JAMA Ophthalmol, 2013. **131**(3): p. 303-9.
- 288. Lockhart, J., et al., *Driving with visual field loss : an exploratory simulation study: technical report.* 2009: U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- 289. Wood, J.M. and R. Troutbeck, Effect of visual impairment on driving. Hum Factors, 1994. 36(3): p. 476-87.
- 290. Szlyk, J.P., et al., *Driving performance of glaucoma patients correlates with peripheral visual field loss.* J Glaucoma, 2005. **14**(2): p. 145-50.
- 291. Coeckelbergh, T.R., et al., *The effect of visual field defects on eye movements and practical fitness to drive.* Vision Res, 2002. **42**(5): p. 669-77.
- 292. Racette, L. and E.J. Casson, *The impact of visual field loss on driving performance: evidence from on-road driving assessments.* Optom Vis Sci, 2005. **82**(8): p. 668-74.
- 293. Robin, T.A., et al., *Performance of community-based glaucoma screening using Frequency Doubling Technology and Heidelberg Retinal Tomography.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2005. **12**(3): p. 167-78.
- 294. Sample, P.A., et al., *Identifying glaucomatous vision loss with visual-function-specific perimetry in the diagnostic innovations in glaucoma study.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2006. **47**(8): p. 3381-9.
- 295. Sample, P.A., et al., *Imaging and Perimetry Society standards and guidelines*. Optom Vis Sci, 2011. **88**(1): p. 4-7.
- 296. Liu, J., et al., *Oral mucosal graft with amniotic membrane transplantation for total limbal stem cell deficiency.*Am J Ophthalmol, 2011. **152**(5): p. 739-47 e1.

- 297. Liu, S., et al., Frequency doubling technology perimetry for detection of visual field progression in glaucoma: a pointwise linear regression analysis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2014. **55**(5): p. 2862-9.
- 298. Landers, J., I. Goldberg, and S. Graham, A comparison of short wavelength automated perimetry with frequency doubling perimetry for the early detection of visual field loss in ocular hypertension. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2000. **28**(4): p. 248-52.
- 299. Landers, J., et al., A comparison of perimetric results with the Medmont and Humphrey perimeters. Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(6): p. 690-4.
- 300. Nomoto, H., et al., *Detectability of glaucomatous changes using SAP, FDT, flicker perimetry, and OCT.* J Glaucoma, 2009. **18**(2): p. 165-71.
- 301. Cello, K.E., J.M. Nelson-Quigg, and C.A. Johnson, *Frequency doubling technology perimetry for detection of glaucomatous visual field loss.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2000. **129**(3): p. 314-322.
- 302. Delgado, M.F., et al., *Automated perimetry: a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology.*Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(12): p. 2362-74.
- Terry, A.L., et al., *The methodology of visual field testing with frequency doubling technology in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2005-2006.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2010. **17**(6): p. 411-21.
- 304. Kerr, N.M., et al., Diagnostic accuracy of confrontation visual field tests. Neurology, 2010. 74(15): p. 1184-90.
- 305. Su, W.W., et al., *Comparison of standard white-on-white automated perimetry and short-wavelength automated perimetry in early glaucoma patients*. Chang Gung Med J, 2004. **27**(3): p. 188-92.
- 306. Shahinfar, S., L.N. Johnson, and R.W. Madsen, Confrontation visual field loss as a function of decibel sensitivity loss on automated static perimetry: Implications on the accuracy of confrontation visual field testing.
 Ophthalmology, 1995. 102(6): p. 872-877.
- 307. Szatmary, G., V. Biousse, and N.J. Newman, *Can Swedish interactive thresholding algorithm fast perimetry be used as an alternative to goldmann perimetry in neuro-ophthalmic practice?* Arch Ophthalmol, 2002. **120**(9): p. 1162-73.
- 308. Soliman, M.A., et al., *Standard achromatic perimetry, short wavelength automated perimetry, and frequency doubling technology for detection of glaucoma damage*. Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(3): p. 444-54.
- 309. Thomas, R., et al., Frequency doubling perimetry in glaucoma. J Glaucoma, 2002. 11(1): p. 46-50.
- 310. Pandit, R.J., K. Gales, and P.G. Griffiths, *Effectiveness of testing visual fields by confrontation*. Lancet, 2001. **358**(9290): p. 1339-40.
- Leeprechanon, N., et al., *Frequency doubling perimetry and short-wavelength automated perimetry to detect early glaucoma*. Ophthalmology, 2007. **114**(5): p. 931-7.
- 312. Siatkowski, R.M., et al., *Automated suprathreshold static perimetry screening for detecting neuro-ophthalmologic disease*. Ophthalmology, 1996. **103**(6): p. 907-917.
- Fan, X., et al., Usefulness of frequency-doubling technology for perimetrically normal eyes of open-angle glaucoma patients with unilateral field loss. Ophthalmology, 2010. **117**(8): p. 1530-1537.e1-e2.
- 314. Rao, H.L., et al., *Role of visual field reliability indices in ruling out glaucoma*. JAMA Ophthalmol, 2015. **133**(1): p. 40-4.
- Wu, W., et al., Endoscopic transethmoidal and transconjunctival inferior fornix approaches for repairing the combined medial wall and orbital floor blowout fractures. J Craniofac Surg, 2011. **22**(2): p. 537-42.
- Zeppieri, M., et al., *Pulsar perimetry in the diagnosis of early glaucoma*. Am J Ophthalmol, 2010. **149**(1): p. 102-12.
- 317. Choi, J.A., N.Y. Lee, and C.K. Park, *Interpretation of the Humphrey Matrix 24-2 test in the diagnosis of preperimetric glaucoma*. Jpn J Ophthalmol, 2009. **53**(1): p. 24-30.
- 318. Bayer, A.U. and C. Erb, Short wavelength automated perimetry, frequency doubling technology perimetry, and pattern electroretinography for prediction of progressive glaucomatous standard visual field defects.

 Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(5): p. 1009-17.
- 319. Bayer, A.U., K.P. Maag, and C. Erb, Detection of optic neuropathy in glaucomatous eyes with normal standard visual fields using a test battery of short-wavelength automated perimetry and pattern electroretinography.

 Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(7): p. 1350-61.
- 320. Horn, F.K., et al., Combined evaluation of frequency doubling technology perimetry and scanning laser ophthalmoscopy for glaucoma detection using automated classification. J Glaucoma, 2012. **21**(1): p. 27-34.
- 321. Horn, F.K., et al., *Perimetric measurements with flicker-defined form stimulation in comparison with conventional perimetry and retinal nerve fiber measurements.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2014. **55**(4): p. 2317-23.
- Wong, A.M. and J.A. Sharpe, A comparison of tangent screen, goldmann, and humphrey perimetry in the detection and localization of occipital lesions. Ophthalmology, 2000. **107**(3): p. 527-44.

- Wall, M., R.K. Neahring, and K.R. Woodward, *Sensitivity and specificity of frequency doubling perimetry in neuro-ophthalmic disorders: a comparison with conventional automated perimetry.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2002. **43**(4): p. 1277-83.
- Wall, M., et al., Repeatability of automated perimetry: a comparison between standard automated perimetry with stimulus size III and V, matrix, and motion perimetry. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2009. **50**(2): p. 974-9.
- 325. Kaushik, S., et al., Correlation of frequency-doubling perimetry with retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and optic disc size in ocular hypertensives and glaucoma suspects. J Glaucoma, 2011. **20**(6): p. 366-70.
- 326. Wadood, A.C., et al., Sensitivity and specificity of frequency-doubling technology, tendency-oriented perimetry, and Humphrey Swedish interactive threshold algorithm-fast perimetry in a glaucoma practice. Am J Ophthalmol, 2002. **133**(3): p. 327-32.
- 327. Heeg, G.P. and N.M. Jansonius, *The groningen longitudinal glaucoma study III. The predictive value of frequency-doubling perimetry and GDx nerve fibre analyser test results for the development of glaucomatous visual field loss.* Eye, 2009. **23**(8): p. 1647-1652.
- 328. Salvetat, M.L., et al., *Non-conventional perimetric methods in the detection of early glaucomatous functional damage.* Eye (Lond), 2010. **24**(5): p. 835-42.
- 329. Redmond, T., et al., Visual field progression with frequency-doubling matrix perimetry and standard automated perimetry in patients with glaucoma and in healthy controls. JAMA Ophthalmol, 2013. **131**(12): p. 1565-72.
- 330. Shah, N.N., et al., *Combining structural and functional testing for detection of glaucoma*. Ophthalmology, 2006. **113**(9): p. 1593-602.
- Thomas, D., et al., *Role of frequency doubling perimetry in detecting neuro-ophthalmic visual field defects.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2001. **131**(6): p. 734-41.
- Tafreshi, A., et al., Visual function-specific perimetry to identify glaucomatous visual loss using three different definitions of visual field abnormality. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, 2009. **50**(3): p. 1234-1240.
- Tafreshi, A., et al., *Pattern electroretinogram and psychophysical tests of visual function for discriminating between healthy and glaucoma eyes.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2010. **149**(3): p. 488-95.
- Bowd, C., et al., Detecting early glaucoma by assessment of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and visual function. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2001. **42**(9): p. 1993-2003.
- Corallo, G., et al., *Rarebit perimetry and frequency doubling technology in patients with ocular hypertension.*Eur J Ophthalmol, 2008. **18**(2): p. 205-11.
- 336. Cioffi, G.A., et al., *Frequency doubling perimetry and the detection of eye disease in the community.* Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc, 2000. **98**: p. 195-9; discussion 199-202.
- 337. Hollo, G., A. Szabo, and P. Vargha, Scanning laser polarimetry versus frequency-doubling perimetry and conventional threshold perimetry: changes during a 12-month follow-up in preperimetric glaucoma. A pilot study. Acta Ophthalmol Scand, 2001. **79**(4): p. 403-7.
- 338. Hirashima, T., et al., *Frequency-doubling technology and retinal measurements with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography in preperimetric glaucoma*. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2013. **251**(1): p. 129-37.
- 339. Clement, C.I., et al., *Humphrey matrix frequency doubling perimetry for detection of visual-field defects in open-angle glaucoma*. Br J Ophthalmol, 2009. **93**(5): p. 582-8.
- Taravati, P., et al., Sensitivity and specificity of the Humphrey Matrix to detect homonymous hemianopias. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2008. **49**(3): p. 924-8.
- Anderson, A.J., et al., Characteristics of the normative database for the Humphrey Matrix perimeter. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, 2005. **46**(4): p. 1540-1548.
- Sakai, T., et al., Comparison of standard automated perimetry with matrix frequency-doubling technology in patients with resolved optic neuritis. Ophthalmology, 2007. **114**(5): p. 949-56.
- Brusini, P., et al., Frequency doubling technology perimetry with the Humphrey Matrix 30-2 test. J Glaucoma, 2006. **15**(2): p. 77-83.
- Fredette, M.J., et al., *Comparison of Matrix with Humphrey Field Analyzer II with SITA*. Optom Vis Sci, 2015. **92**(5): p. 527-36.
- Lamparter, J., et al., Standard automated perimetry versus matrix frequency doubling technology perimetry in subjects with ocular hypertension and healthy control subjects. PLoS One, 2013. **8**(2): p. e57663.
- 346. Vislisel, J.M., et al., *Variability of rarebit and standard perimetry sizes I and III in normals*. Optom Vis Sci, 2011. **88**(5): p. 635-9.
- Zein, W.M., et al., *The distribution of visual field defects per quadrant in standard automated perimetry as compared to frequency doubling technology perimetry.* Int Ophthalmol, 2010. **30**(6): p. 683-9.
- Haymes, S.A., et al., *Glaucomatous visual field progression with frequency-doubling technology and standard automated perimetry in a longitudinal prospective study.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2005. **46**(2): p. 547-54.

- Artes, P.H., et al., *Threshold and variability properties of matrix frequency-doubling technology and standard automated perimetry in glaucoma*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2005. **46**(7): p. 2451-7.
- 350. Artes, P.H. and B.C. Chauhan, *Signal/noise analysis to compare tests for measuring visual field loss and its progression*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2009. **50**(10): p. 4700-8.
- 351. Hsiao, H. and P. Simeonov, Preventing falls from roofs: a critical review. Ergonomics, 2001. 44(5): p. 537-61.
- Palmer, K.T., E.C. Harris, and D. Coggon, *Chronic health problems and risk of accidental injury in the workplace:* a systematic literature review. Occup Environ Med, 2008. **65**(11): p. 757-64.
- 353. Kim, B.H., Surgical treatment of necrotic scleral calcification using combined conjunctival autografting and an amniotic membrane inlay filling technique. Eye (Lond), 2011. **25**(11): p. 1484-90.
- Watanabe, Y., et al., *A new method for assessing motion-in-depth perception in strabismic patients*. Br J Ophthalmol, 2008. **92**(1): p. 47-50.
- 355. Yang, J.W., M.H. Son, and I.H. Yun, *A study on the clinical usefulness of digitalized random-dot stereoacuity test.* Korean J Ophthalmol, 2004. **18**(2): p. 154-60.
- 356. Holmes, J.M. and S.L. Fawcett, *Testing distance stereoacuity with the Frisby-Davis 2 (FD2) test.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2005. **139**(1): p. 193-5.
- 357. Rosner, J. and G.D. Clift, *The validity of the Frisby stereotest as a measure of precise stereoacuity.* J Am Optom Assoc, 1984. **55**(7): p. 505-6.
- Lindstrom, A., H. Davis, and J.P. Frisby, *Does binocularly perceived depth correlate with reduced stereoacuity?*Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 2009. **29**(1): p. 92-8.
- 359. Gomez, A.T., et al., *Visual mechanisms governing the perception of auto-stereograms.* Clin Exp Optom, 2012. **95**(2): p. 146-52.
- 360. Leske, D.A. and J.M. Holmes, *Maximum angle of horizontal strabismus consistent with true stereopsis.* J aapos, 2004. **8**(1): p. 28-34.
- 361. Kumar, A., V. Kumar, and R.B. Dapling, *Traumatic cataract and intralenticular foreign body*. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2005. **33**(6): p. 660-1.
- 362. Kaiser, P.K., A comparison of pressure patching versus no patching for corneal abrasions due to trauma or foreign body removal. Corneal Abrasion Patching Study Group. Ophthalmology, 1995. **102**(12): p. 1936-42.
- 363. Jampel, H.D., Patching for corneal abrasions. Jama, 1995. 274(19): p. 1504.
- 364. Solomon, A., M. Halpert, and J. Frucht-Pery, *Comparison of topical indomethacin and eye patching for minor corneal trauma*. Ann Ophthalmol, 2000. **32**(4): p. 316-319.
- 365. Easty, D.L., Is an eye pad needed in cases of corneal abrasion? Bmj, 1993. 307(6911): p. 1022.
- 366. Kolomeyer, A.M., et al., *Nail gun-induced open-globe injuries: a 10-year retrospective review*. Retina, 2014. **34**(2): p. 254-61.
- 367. Valmaggia, C., et al., Ocular injuries with a metallic foreign body in the posterior segment as a result of hammering: the visual outcome and prognostic factors. Retina, 2014. **34**(6): p. 1116-22.
- 368. Karaman, K., et al., *Epidemiology of adult eye injuries in Split-Dalmatian county.* Croat Med J, 2004. **45**(3): p. 304-9.
- Bull, N., Mandatory use of eye protection prevents eye injuries in the metal industry. Occup Med (Lond), 2007. **57**(8): p. 605-6.
- 370. Macewen, C.J., Eye injuries: a prospective survey of 5671 cases. Br J Ophthalmol, 1989. 73(11): p. 888-94.
- 371. Smith, D., K. Wrenn, and L.B. Stack, *The epidemiology and diagnosis of penetrating eye injuries*. Acad Emerg Med, 2002. **9**(3): p. 209-13.
- 372. Omoti, A.E., J.M. Waziri-Erameh, and M.E. Enock, *Ocular disorders in a petroleum industry in Nigeria*. Eye (Lond), 2008. **22**(7): p. 925-9.
- 373. Sampat, A., et al., *Corneal abrasion in hysterectomy and prostatectomy: role of laparoscopic and robotic assistance.* Anesthesiology, 2015. **122**(5): p. 994-1001.
- 374. Kan, K.M., S.E. Brown, and D.M. Gainsburg, *Ocular complications in robotic-assisted prostatectomy: a review of pathophysiology and prevention.* Minerva Anestesiol, 2015. **81**(5): p. 557-66.
- 375. Segal, K.L., et al., *Evaluation and treatment of perioperative corneal abrasions*. J Ophthalmol, 2014. **2014**: p. 901901.
- 376. Antosh, D.D., et al., *Incidence of corneal abrasions during pelvic reconstructive surgery*. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 2013. **166**(2): p. 226-8.
- 377. Stambough, J.L., et al., *Ophthalmologic complications associated with prone positioning in spine surgery.* J Am Acad Orthop Surg, 2007. **15**(3): p. 156-65.
- 378. Mottow-Lippa, L., *Ophthalmology in the medical school curriculum: reestablishing our value and effecting change.* Ophthalmology, 2009. **116**(7): p. 1235-6, 1236 e1.
- Beaver, H.A. and A.G. Lee, *The management of the red eye for the generalist*. Compr Ther, 2001. **27**(3): p. 218-27.

- 380. Mancini, G., et al., *Prevention of work related eye injuries: long term assessment of the effectiveness of a multicomponent intervention among metal workers.* Occup Environ Med, 2005. **62**(12): p. 830-5.
- 381. Forst, L., et al., *Effectiveness of community health workers for promoting use of safety eyewear by Latino farm workers.* Am J Ind Med, 2004. **46**(6): p. 607-13.
- 382. Eime, R., et al., *The effectiveness of a squash eyewear promotion strategy*. Br J Sports Med, 2005. **39**(9): p. 681-5.
- 383. MedlinePlus. *Visual acuity test*. 2015 [cited 2016 February 24]; Available from: https://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/003396.htm.
- Sobaci, G., et al., Stereoacuity testing discloses abnormalities in multiple sclerosis without optic neuritis. J Neuroophthalmol, 2009. **29**(3): p. 197-202.
- 385. Arora, K.S., et al., Assessment of a rapid method to determine approximate visual acuity in large surveys and other such settings. Am J Ophthalmol, 2014. **157**(6): p. 1315-1321 e1.
- 386. Lim, L.A., et al., Comparison of the ETDRS logMAR, 'compact reduced logMar' and Snellen charts in routine clinical practice. Eye (Lond), 2010. **24**(4): p. 673-7.
- 387. Bock, M., et al., Impairment of contrast visual acuity as a functional correlate of retinal nerve fibre layer thinning and total macular volume reduction in multiple sclerosis. Br J Ophthalmol, 2012. **96**(1): p. 62-7.
- 388. Ong, G.L., et al., Assessment of colour vision as a screening test for sight threatening diabetic retinopathy before loss of vision. Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(6): p. 747-52.
- 389. Klintworth, G.K., *Radiographic abnormalities in eyes with retinoblastoma and other disorders*. Br J Ophthalmol, 1978. **62**(6): p. 365-72.
- 390. Modjtahedi, B.S., et al., *Imaging characteristics of intraocular foreign bodies: a comparative study of plain film X-ray, computed tomography, ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging.* Retina, 2015. **35**(1): p. 95-104.
- 391. Ng, P., et al., Imaging of orbital floor fractures. Australas Radiol, 1996. 40(3): p. 264-8.
- 392. Kim, S.H., et al., *The usefulness of orbital lines in detecting blow-out fracture on plain radiography.* Br J Radiol, 2000. **73**(876): p. 1265-9.
- 393. Pasman, P., et al., *The value of skull radiography in patients with head trauma.* J Belge Radiol, 1995. **78**(3): p. 169-71.
- 394. Pinto, A., et al., *Role of computed tomography in the assessment of intraorbital foreign bodies*. Semin Ultrasound CT MR, 2012. **33**(5): p. 392-5.
- 395. Caranci, F., et al., Orbital fractures: role of imaging. Semin Ultrasound CT MR, 2012. 33(5): p. 385-91.
- 396. Bodanapally, U.K., et al., *Traumatic optic neuropathy prediction after blunt facial trauma: derivation of a risk score based on facial CT findings at admission.* Radiology, 2014. **272**(3): p. 824-31.
- 397. Lakits, A., et al., Multiplanar imaging in the preoperative assessment of metallic intraocular foreign bodies. Helical computed tomography versus conventional computed tomography. Ophthalmology, 1998. **105**(9): p. 1679-85.
- 398. Akduman, E.I., et al., Accuracy of ocular axial length measurement with MRI. Ophthalmologica, 2008. **222**(6): p. 397-9.
- 399. Dunkin, J.M., et al., *Globe trauma*. Semin Ultrasound CT MR, 2011. **32**(1): p. 51-6.
- 400. Erb-Eigner, K., et al., *Impact of magnetic field strength and receiver coil in ocular MRI: a phantom and patient study.* Rofo, 2013. **185**(9): p. 830-7.
- 401. Georgouli, T., et al., High-resolution microscopy coil MR-Eye. Eye (Lond), 2008. 22(8): p. 994-6.
- 402. Kolk, A., et al., A novel high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging microscopy coil as an alternative to the multislice computed tomography in postoperative imaging of orbital fractures and computer-based volume measurement. J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 2005. **63**(4): p. 492-8.
- 403. Moisseiev, E., et al., Magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography for the detection and characterization of nonmetallic intraocular foreign bodies. Retina, 2015. **35**(1): p. 82-94.
- 404. Nasr, A.M., et al., *Penetrating orbital injury with organic foreign bodies*. Ophthalmology, 1999. **106**(3): p. 523-32.
- 405. Beenakker, J.W., et al., *Automated retinal topographic maps measured with magnetic resonance imaging.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2015. **56**(2): p. 1033-9.
- 406. Quirke, M., et al., A prospective observational study of techniques to remove corneal foreign body in the emergency department. Emerg Med J, 2014. **31**(6): p. 463-6.
- 407. Ramakrishnan, T., et al., Corneal metallic foreign body injuries due to suboptimal ocular protection. Arch Environ Occup Health, 2012. **67**(1): p. 48-50.
- 408. Wilson, S.A. and A. Last, Management of corneal abrasions. Am Fam Physician, 2004. 70(1): p. 123-8.
- 409. Bocka, J.J. and J. Godfrey, Emergency department use of an eye magnet for the removal of soft tissue foreign bodies. Ann Emerg Med, 1994. **23**(2): p. 350-1.

- 410. Venkatesh, P., et al., Removal of metallic intraocular foreign body impacted in the retina by magnetizing the MVR blade using an external magnet. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2003. **31**(5): p. 451-2.
- 411. Haynes, R.J., S. Walker, and J.N. Kirkpatrick, *Topical diclofenac relieves pain from corneal rust ring*. Eye (Lond), 1996. **10** (**Pt 4**): p. 443-6.
- 412. Brown, N., R. Clemett, and R. Grey, *Corneal rust removal by electric drill. Clinical trial by comparison with manual removal.* Br J Ophthalmol, 1975. **59**(10): p. 586-9.
- 413. Jones, J.B., D.B. Schoenleber, and J.P. Gillen, *The tolerability of lactated Ringer's solution and BSS plus for ocular irrigation with and without the Morgan therapeutic lens.* Acad Emerg Med, 1998. **5**(12): p. 1150-6.
- 414. Jayamanne, D.G. and R.W. Bell, *Non-penetrating corneal foreign body injuries: factors affecting delay in rehabilitation of patients.* J Accid Emerg Med, 1994. **11**(3): p. 195-7.
- 415. Turner, A. and M. Rabiu, Patching for corneal abrasion. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2006(2): p. CD004764.
- 416. Arbour, J.D., et al., Should we patch corneal erosions? Arch Ophthalmol, 1997. 115(3): p. 313-7.
- 417. Campanile, T.M., D.A. St Clair, and M. Benaim, *The evaluation of eye patching in the treatment of traumatic corneal epithelial defects.* J Emerg Med, 1997. **15**(6): p. 769-74.
- 418. Le Sage, N., R. Verreault, and L. Rochette, *Efficacy of eye patching for traumatic corneal abrasions: a controlled clinical trial.* Ann Emerg Med, 2001. **38**(2): p. 129-34.
- 419. Menghini, M., et al., *Treatment of traumatic corneal abrasions: a three-arm, prospective, randomized study.*Ophthalmic Res, 2013. **50**(1): p. 13-8.
- 420. Jackson, H., Effect of eye-pads on healing of simple corneal abrasions. Br Med J, 1960. 2(5200): p. 713.
- 421. Patterson, J., et al., Eye patch treatment for the pain of corneal abrasion. South Med J, 1996. 89(2): p. 227-9.
- 422. Solomon, A., M. Halpart, and J. Frucht-Pery, *Comparison of topical indomethacin and eye patching for minor corneal trauma*. Ann Ophthalmol, 2000. **32**(4): p. 3.
- 423. Kirkpatrick, J.N., H.B. Hoh, and S.D. Cook, *No eye pad for corneal abrasion*. Eye (Lond), 1993. **7 (Pt 3)**: p. 468-71.
- 424. G., R., Letter to the editor. Eye, 1994. 8: p. 2.
- 425. Hulbert, M.F., Efficacy of eyepad in corneal healing after corneal foreign body removal. Lancet, 1991. **337**(8742): p. 643.
- 426. Upadhyay, M.P., et al., *The Bhaktapur eye study: ocular trauma and antibiotic prophylaxis for the prevention of corneal ulceration in Nepal.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2001. **85**(4): p. 388-92.
- 427. Srinivasan, M., et al., *Corneal ulceration in south-east Asia III: prevention of fungal keratitis at the village level in south India using topical antibiotics.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2006. **90**(12): p. 1472-5.
- 428. Alberti, M.M., et al., *Combined indomethacin/gentamicin eyedrops to reduce pain after traumatic corneal abrasion.* Eur J Ophthalmol, 2001. **11**(3): p. 233-9.
- 429. Goyal, R., et al., *Randomised controlled trial of ketorolac in the management of corneal abrasions.* Acta Ophthalmol Scand, 2001. **79**(2): p. 177-9.
- 430. Jayamanne, D.G., et al., *The effectiveness of topical diclofenac in relieving discomfort following traumatic corneal abrasions*. Eye (Lond), 1997. **11 (Pt 1)**: p. 79-83.
- 431. Kaiser, P.K. and R. Pineda, 2nd, A study of topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drops and no pressure patching in the treatment of corneal abrasions. Corneal Abrasion Patching Study Group. Ophthalmology, 1997. **104**(8): p. 1353-9.
- 432. Patrone, G., et al., Evaluation of the analgesic effect of 0.1% indomethacin solution on corneal abrasions. Ophthalmologica, 1999. **213**(6): p. 350-4.
- 433. Szucs, P.A., et al., Safety and efficacy of diclofenac ophthalmic solution in the treatment of corneal abrasions. Ann Emerg Med, 2000. **35**(2): p. 131-7.
- 434. Pastor, J.C. and M. Calonge, *Epidermal growth factor and corneal wound healing. A multicenter study.* Cornea, 1992. **11**(4): p. 311-4.
- 435. Dellaert, M.M., et al., *Influence of topical human epidermal growth factor on postkeratoplasty reepithelialisation*. Br J Ophthalmol, 1997. **81**(5): p. 391-5.
- 436. Meek, R., et al., Is homatropine 5% effective in reducing pain associated with corneal abrasion when compared with placebo? A randomized controlled trial. Emerg Med Australas, 2010. **22**(6): p. 507-13.
- 437. Acheson, J.F., J. Joseph, and D.J. Spalton, *Use of soft contact lenses in an eye casualty department for the primary treatment of traumatic corneal abrasions.* Br J Ophthalmol, 1987. **71**(4): p. 285-9.
- 438. Brahma, A.K., et al., Topical analgesia for superficial corneal injuries. J Accid Emerg Med, 1996. 13(3): p. 186-8.
- 439. Eke, T., D.A. Morrison, and D.J. Austin, *Recurrent symptoms following traumatic corneal abrasion: prevalence, severity, and the effect of a simple regimen of prophylaxis.* Eye (Lond), 1999. **13 (Pt 3a)**: p. 345-7.
- 440. Waldman, N., I.K. Densie, and P. Herbison, *Topical tetracaine used for 24 hours is safe and rated highly effective by patients for the treatment of pain caused by corneal abrasions: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial.* Acad Emerg Med, 2014. **21**(4): p. 374-82.

- 441. Ball, I.M., et al., Dilute proparacaine for the management of acute corneal injuries in the emergency department. CJEM, 2010. **12**(5): p. 389-96.
- 442. Zollner, C., et al., *Topical fentanyl in a randomized, double-blind study in patients with corneal damage.* Clin J Pain, 2008. **24**(8): p. 690-6.
- 443. Knyazer, B., et al., *Prognostic factors in posterior open globe injuries (zone-III injuries).* Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2008. **36**(9): p. 836-41.
- Smith, A.R., S.B. O'Hagan, and G.A. Gole, *Epidemiology of open- and closed-globe trauma presenting to Cairns Base Hospital, Queensland.* Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2006. **34**(3): p. 252-9.
- Dannenberg, A.L., et al., *Penetration eye injuries in the workplace. The National Eye Trauma System Registry.*Arch Ophthalmol, 1992. **110**(6): p. 843-8.
- 446. Parver, L.M., et al., Characteristics and causes of penetrating eye injuries reported to the National Eye Trauma System Registry, 1985-91. Public Health Rep, 1993. **108**(5): p. 625-32.
- Thakker, M.M. and S. Ray, *Vision-limiting complications in open-globe injuries*. Can J Ophthalmol, 2006. **41**(1): p. 86-92.
- 448. Casson, R.J., J.C. Walker, and H.S. Newland, *Four-year review of open eye injuries at the Royal Adelaide Hospital*. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2002. **30**(1): p. 15-8.
- 449. Samarawickrama, C., S. Chew, and S. Watson, *Retinoic acid and the ocular surface*. Surv Ophthalmol, 2015. **60**(3): p. 183-95.
- 450. Leibowitz, H.M., *Hydrophilic contact lenses in corneal disease. IV. Penetrating corneal wounds.* Arch Ophthalmol, 1972. **88**(6): p. 602-6.
- 451. Zheng, B., et al., *Clinical evaluation of rigid gas permeable contact lenses and visual outcome after repaired corneal laceration.* Eye Contact Lens, 2015. **41**(1): p. 34-9.
- 452. Vora, G.K., R. Haddadin, and J. Chodosh, *Management of corneal lacerations and perforations*. Int Ophthalmol Clin, 2013. **53**(4): p. 1-10.
- 453. Zagelbaum, B.M., Treating corneal abrasions and lacerations. Phys Sportsmed, 1997. 25(3): p. 38-44.
- 454. Ho, V.H., et al., Retained intraorbital metallic foreign bodies. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg, 2004. 20(3): p. 232-6.
- 455. Fulcher, T.P., A.A. McNab, and T.J. Sullivan, *Clinical features and management of intraorbital foreign bodies*. Ophthalmology, 2002. **109**(3): p. 494-500.
- 456. Coleman, D.J., et al., Management of intraocular foreign bodies. Ophthalmology, 1987. 94(12): p. 1647-53.
- 457. Yeh, S., M.H. Colyer, and E.D. Weichel, *Current trends in the management of intraocular foreign bodies*. Curr Opin Ophthalmol, 2008. **19**(3): p. 225-33.
- 458. Chaudhry, I.A., et al., *Incidence and visual outcome of endophthalmitis associated with intraocular foreign bodies.* Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2008. **246**(2): p. 181-6.
- 459. Malla, G., et al., Penetrating orbit injury: challenge to emergency medicine. BMC Res Notes, 2013. 6: p. 493.
- 460. Choovuthayakorn, J., et al., *Predictive factors and outcomes of posterior segment intraocular foreign bodies.* Eye (Lond), 2011. **25**(12): p. 1622-6.
- 461. Liu, S., et al., Comparison of standard automated perimetry, frequency-doubling technology perimetry, and short-wavelength automated perimetry for detection of glaucoma. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2011. **52**(10): p. 7325-31.
- 462. Bai, H.Q., et al., *Visual outcome following intraocular foreign bodies: a retrospective review of 5-year clinical experience*. Eur J Ophthalmol, 2011. **21**(1): p. 98-103.
- Soheilian, M., et al., Surgical management of non-metallic and non-magnetic metallic intraocular foreign bodies. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging, 2005. **36**(3): p. 189-96.
- 464. Mester, V. and F. Kuhn, Intraocular foreign bodies. Ophthalmol Clin North Am, 2002. 15(2): p. 235-42.
- 465. Chow, D.R., et al., External versus internal approach to the removal of metallic intraocular foreign bodies. Retina, 2000. **20**(4): p. 364-9.
- 466. Callahan, A.B. and M.K. Yoon, *Intraorbital foreign bodies: retrospective chart review and review of literature.* Int Ophthalmol Clin, 2013. **53**(4): p. 157-65.
- 467. Parke, D.W., 3rd, H.W. Flynn, Jr., and Y.L. Fisher, *Management of intraocular foreign bodies: a clinical flight plan.* Can J Ophthalmol, 2013. **48**(1): p. 8-12.
- 468. Rahman, I., et al., *Open globe injuries: factors predictive of poor outcome*. Eye (Lond), 2006. **20**(12): p. 1336-41.
- 469. Khaw, P.T., P. Shah, and A.R. Elkington, Injury to the eye. Bmj, 2004. 328(7430): p. 36-8.
- 470. Larian, B., et al., Facial trauma and ocular/orbital injury. J Craniomaxillofac Trauma, 1999. 5(4): p. 15-24.
- 471. Joos, E., et al., Ocular trauma at a level I trauma center: the burden of penetrating injuries. Am Surg, 2014. **80**(2): p. 207-9.

- 472. Liggett, P.E., et al., *Ocular trauma in an urban population. Review of 1132 cases.* Ophthalmology, 1990. **97**(5): p. 581-4.
- 473. Sun, M.T., et al., *Orbital blowout fracture location in Japanese and Chinese patients*. Jpn J Ophthalmol, 2015. **59**(1): p. 65-9.
- 474. Joseph, E., et al., Predictors of blinding or serious eye injury in blunt trauma. J Trauma, 1992. 33(1): p. 19-24.
- 475. Gharaibeh, A., et al., *Medical interventions for traumatic hyphema*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2013. **12**: p. CD005431.
- 476. Canavan, Y.M. and D.B. Archer, *Anterior segment consequences of blunt ocular injury*. Br J Ophthalmol, 1982. **66**(9): p. 549-55.
- 477. Wilson, F.M., Traumatic hyphema. Pathogenesis and management. Ophthalmology, 1980. 87(9): p. 910-9.
- 478. Shammas, H.F. and C.S. Matta, Outcome of traumatic hyphema. Ann Ophthalmol, 1975. 7(5): p. 701-6.
- 479. Brodrick, J.D., Corneal blood staining after hyphaema. Br J Ophthalmol, 1972. 56(8): p. 589-93.
- 480. Pilger, I.S., Medical treatment of traumatic hyphema. Surv Ophthalmol, 1975. 20(1): p. 28-34.
- 481. Edwards, W.C. and W.E. Layden, *Traumatic hyphema*. A report of 184 consecutive cases. Am J Ophthalmol, 1973. **75**(1): p. 110-6.
- 482. Crouch, E.R., Jr., Traumatic hyphema. J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus, 1986. 23(2): p. 95-7.
- 483. Gharaibeh, A., et al., *Medical interventions for traumatic hyphema*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2011(1): p. CD005431.
- 484. Cai, E.Z., et al., Computer-assisted navigational surgery improves outcomes in orbital reconstructive surgery. J Craniofac Surg, 2012. **23**(5): p. 1567-73.
- 485. Bly, R.A., et al., *Computer-guided orbital reconstruction to improve outcomes*. JAMA Facial Plast Surg, 2013. **15**(2): p. 113-20.
- 486. Kozakiewicz, M. and P. Szymor, *Comparison of pre-bent titanium mesh versus polyethylene implants in patient specific orbital reconstructions.* Head Face Med, 2013. **9**: p. 32.
- 487. Qian, Z. and X. Fan, *The application and progress of high-density porous polyethylene in the repair of orbital wall defect.* J Craniofac Surg, 2014. **25**(4): p. 1451-3.
- 488. Kim, K., et al., Endoscopic transnasal approach for the treatment of isolated medial orbital blow-out fractures: a prospective study of preoperative and postoperative orbital volume change. Ann Plast Surg, 2012. **68**(2): p. 161-5.
- 489. Bayat, M., et al., Comparison of conchal cartilage graft with nasal septal cartilage graft for reconstruction of orbital floor blowout fractures. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 2010. **48**(8): p. 617-20.
- 490. Becker, S.T., et al., Comparison of collagen membranes and polydioxanone for reconstruction of the orbital floor after fractures. J Craniofac Surg, 2010. **21**(4): p. 1066-8.
- Han, D., et al., A multicenter randomized double-blind 2-week comparison study of azelastine nasal spray 0.1% versus levocabastine nasal spray 0.05% in patients with moderate-to-severe allergic rhinitis. ORL J
 Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec, 2011. 73(5): p. 260-5.
- 492. Kruschewsky Lde, S., et al., *Fractured orbital wall reconstruction with an auricular cartilage graft or absorbable polyacid copolymer.* J Craniofac Surg, 2011. **22**(4): p. 1256-9.
- 493. Crouch, E.R., Jr., et al., *Topical aminocaproic acid in the treatment of traumatic hyphema*. Arch Ophthalmol, 1997. **115**(9): p. 1106-12.
- 494. Crouch, E.R., Jr. and M. Frenkel, *Aminocaproic acid in the treatment of traumatic hyphema*. Am J Ophthalmol, 1976. **81**(3): p. 355-60.
- 495. McGetrick, J.J., et al., *Aminocaproic acid decreases secondary hemorrhage after traumatic hyphema*. Arch Ophthalmol, 1983. **101**(7): p. 1031-3.
- 496. Kutner, B., et al., Aminocaproic acid reduces the risk of secondary hemorrhage in patients with traumatic hyphema. Arch Ophthalmol, 1987. **105**(2): p. 206-8.
- 497. Pieramici, D.J., et al., A phase III, multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of topical aminocaproic acid (Caprogel) in the management of traumatic hyphema. Ophthalmology, 2003. **110**(11): p. 2106-12.
- 498. Farber, M.D., R. Fiscella, and M.F. Goldberg, *Aminocaproic acid versus prednisone for the treatment of traumatic hyphema. A randomized clinical trial.* Ophthalmology, 1991. **98**(3): p. 279-86.
- 499. Spoor, T.C., M. Hammer, and H. Belloso, *Traumatic hyphema. Failure of steroids to alter its course: a double-blind prospective study.* Arch Ophthalmol, 1980. **98**(1): p. 116-9.
- 500. Rahmani, B. and H.R. Jahadi, *Comparison of tranexamic acid and prednisolone in the treatment of traumatic hyphema. A randomized clinical trial.* Ophthalmology, 1999. **106**(2): p. 375-9.
- 501. Horven, I., *Acute conjunctivitis. A comparison of fusidic acid viscous eye drops and chloramphenicol.* Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh), 1993. **71**(2): p. 165-8.

- 502. Stenson, S., R. Newman, and H. Fedukowicz, *Laboratory studies in acute conjunctivitis*. Arch Ophthalmol, 1982. **100**(8): p. 1275-7.
- 503. Ronnerstam, R., et al., *Prevalence of chlamydial eye infection in patients attending an eye clinic, a VD clinic, and in healthy persons.* Br J Ophthalmol, 1985. **69**(5): p. 385-8.
- Harding, S.P., et al., Adult follicular conjunctivitis and neonatal ophthalmia in a Liverpool eye hospital, 1980-1984. Eye (Lond), 1987. 1 (Pt 4): p. 512-21.
- 505. Uchio, E., et al., Clinical and epidemiological features of acute follicular conjunctivitis with special reference to that caused by herpes simplex virus type 1. Br J Ophthalmol, 2000. **84**(9): p. 968-72.
- 506. Woodland, R.M., et al., *Causes of conjunctivitis and keratoconjunctivitis in Karachi, Pakistan.* Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, 1992. **86**(3): p. 317-20.
- 507. Fitch, C.P., et al., *Epidemiology and diagnosis of acute conjunctivitis at an inner-city hospital.* Ophthalmology, 1989. **96**(8): p. 1215-20.
- 508. Hovding, G., Acute bacterial conjunctivitis. Acta Ophthalmol, 2008. **86**(1): p. 5-17.
- 509. Kaufman, H.E., Adenovirus advances: new diagnostic and therapeutic options. Curr Opin Ophthalmol, 2011. **22**(4): p. 290-3.
- 510. Azar, M.J., et al., *Possible consequences of shaking hands with your patients with epidemic keratoconjunctivitis*. Am J Ophthalmol, 1996. **121**(6): p. 711-2.
- 511. Warren, D., et al., A large outbreak of epidemic keratoconjunctivitis: problems in controlling nosocomial spread. J Infect Dis, 1989. **160**(6): p. 938-43.
- 512. Azari, A.A. and N.P. Barney, *Conjunctivitis: a systematic review of diagnosis and treatment.* JAMA, 2013. **310**(16): p. 1721-9.
- 513. Puri, L.R., et al., *Ocular manifestations in herpes zoster ophthalmicus*. Nepal J Ophthalmol, 2011. **3**(2): p. 165-71.
- 514. Sy, A., et al., *Practice patterns and opinions in the management of recurrent or chronic herpes zoster ophthalmicus*. Cornea, 2012. **31**(7): p. 786-90.
- 515. American Academy of Ophthalmology. *Preferred Practice Pattern Guidelines. Conjunctivitis.* 2013; Available from: www.aao.org/ppp.
- 516. Wilhelmus, K.R., *Diagnosis and management of herpes simplex stromal keratitis*. Cornea, 1987. **6**(4): p. 286-91.
- 517. Mahdy, R.A., et al., A freeze-dried (lyophilized) amniotic membrane transplantation with mitomycin C and trabeculectomy for pediatric glaucoma. Cutan Ocul Toxicol, 2010. **29**(3): p. 164-70.
- 518. Cheung, N., P. Nagra, and K. Hammersmith, *Emerging trends in contact lens-related infections*. Curr Opin Ophthalmol, 2016. **27**(4): p. 327-32.
- 519. Lam, D.S., et al., Incidence and risk factors for microbial keratitis in Hong Kong: comparison with Europe and North America. Eye (Lond), 2002. **16**(5): p. 608-18.
- 520. Bourcier, T., et al., *Bacterial keratitis: predisposing factors, clinical and microbiological review of 300 cases.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(7): p. 834-8.
- 521. Udeh, B.L., J.E. Schneider, and R.L. Ohsfeldt, *Cost effectiveness of a point-of-care test for adenoviral conjunctivitis*. Am J Med Sci, 2008. **336**(3): p. 254-64.
- Seal, D.V., et al., *Population-based cohort study of microbial keratitis in Scotland: incidence and features.* Cont Lens Anterior Eye, 1999. **22**(2): p. 49-57.
- 523. O'Brien, T.P., et al., *Acute conjunctivitis: truth and misconceptions*. Curr Med Res Opin, 2009. **25**(8): p. 1953-61.
- 524. Morrow, G.L. and R.L. Abbott, Conjunctivitis. Am Fam Physician, 1998. 57(4): p. 735-46.
- 525. Rietveld, R.P., et al., *Diagnostic impact of signs and symptoms in acute infectious conjunctivitis: systematic literature search.* BMJ, 2003. **327**(7418): p. 789.
- 526. Yannof J and Duker JS, *Disorders of the conjunctiva and limbus*, in *Ophthalmology*, 2nd ed Mosby, Editor. 2004: Spain. p. 397–412.
- 527. Alfonso, S.A., J.D. Fawley, and X. Alexa Lu, Conjunctivitis. Prim Care, 2015. 42(3): p. 325-45.
- 528. Narayana, S. and S. McGee, *Bedside Diagnosis of the 'Red Eye': A Systematic Review*. Am J Med, 2015. **128**(11): p. 1220-1224 e1.
- 529. Rietveld, R.P., et al., *Predicting bacterial cause in infectious conjunctivitis: cohort study on informativeness of combinations of signs and symptoms.* BMJ, 2004. **329**(7459): p. 206-10.
- 530. Tarabishy, A.B. and B.H. Jeng, *Bacterial conjunctivitis: a review for internists*. Cleve Clin J Med, 2008. **75**(7): p. 507-12.
- 531. Sambursky, R., et al., *The RPS adeno detector for diagnosing adenoviral conjunctivitis*. Ophthalmology, 2006. **113**(10): p. 1758-64.

- 532. Lalitha, P., et al., *Organism, minimum inhibitory concentration, and outcome in a fungal corneal ulcer clinical trial.* Cornea, 2012. **31**(6): p. 662-7.
- 533. Lalitha, P., et al., Relationship of in vitro susceptibility to moxifloxacin and in vivo clinical outcome in bacterial keratitis. Clin Infect Dis, 2012. **54**(10): p. 1381-7.
- Everitt, H.A., P.S. Little, and P.W. Smith, *A randomised controlled trial of management strategies for acute infective conjunctivitis in general practice*. Bmj, 2006. **333**(7563): p. 321.
- 535. Mascarenhas, J., et al., *Differentiation of etiologic agents of bacterial keratitis from presentation characteristics.* Int Ophthalmol, 2012. **32**(6): p. 531-8.
- 536. Epling, J. and J. Smucny, Bacterial conjunctivitis. Clin Evid, 2005(14): p. 756-61.
- 537. Karpecki, P., et al., Besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% in patients with bacterial conjunctivitis: A multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-masked, vehicle-controlled, 5-day efficacy and safety study. Clin Ther, 2009. **31**(3): p. 514-26.
- 538. Silverstein, B.E., et al., Efficacy and tolerability of besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% administered twice daily for 3 days in the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis: a multicenter, randomized, double-masked, vehicle-controlled, parallel-group study in adults and children. Clin Ther, 2011. **33**(1): p. 13-26.
- 539. Abelson, M.B. and P.J. Gomes, *Olopatadine 0.2% ophthalmic solution: the first ophthalmic antiallergy agent with once-daily dosing.* Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol, 2008. **4**(4): p. 453-61.
- 540. Hwang, D.G., et al., A phase III, placebo controlled clinical trial of 0.5% levofloxacin ophthalmic solution for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis. Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(8): p. 1004-9.
- 541. Rietveld, R.P., et al., *The treatment of acute infectious conjunctivitis with fusidic acid: a randomised controlled trial.* Br J Gen Pract, 2005. **55**(521): p. 924-30.
- 542. Prajna, N.V., et al., Predictors of outcome in fungal keratitis. Eye (Lond), 2012. 26(9): p. 1226-31.
- FlorCruz, N.V. and J.R. Evans, *Medical interventions for fungal keratitis.* Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2015(4): p. CD004241.
- 544. Srinivasan, M., et al., *The steroids for corneal ulcers trial (SCUT): secondary 12-month clinical outcomes of a randomized controlled trial.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2014. **157**(2): p. 327-333 e3.
- C., P., M. N., and A. B., Ofloxacin monotherapy for the primary treatment of microbial keratitis: a double-masked, randomized, controlled trial with conventional dual therapy. The Ofloxacin Study Group.
 Ophthalmology, 1997. 104(11): p. 1902-9.
- N., K., T. P., and U. Reinprayoon, *The efficacy and safety of 0.5% Levofloxacin versus fortified Cefazolin and Amikacin ophthalmic solution for the treatment of suspected and culture-proven cases of infectious bacterial keratitis: a comparative study.* Asian Biomedicine, 2011. **5**(1): p. 7.
- 547. Booranapong, W., et al., Comparison of topical lomefloxacin 0.3 per cent versus topical ciprofloxacin 0.3 per cent for the treatment of presumed bacterial corneal ulcers. J Med Assoc Thai, 2004. **87**(3): p. 246-54.
- Parmar, P., et al., *Comparison of topical gatifloxacin 0.3% and ciprofloxacin 0.3% for the treatment of bacterial keratitis*. Am J Ophthalmol, 2006. **141**(2): p. 282-286.
- 549. Constantinou, M., et al., *Clinical efficacy of moxifloxacin in the treatment of bacterial keratitis: a randomized clinical trial.* Ophthalmology, 2007. **114**(9): p. 1622-9.
- 550. Prajna, N.V., et al., *Bacteriologic and clinical efficacy of ofloxacin 0.3% versus ciprofloxacin 0.3% ophthalmic solutions in the treatment of patients with culture-positive bacterial keratitis.* Cornea, 2001. **20**(2): p. 175-8.
- 551. Khokhar, S., N. Sindhu, and B.R. Mirdha, *Comparison of topical 0.3% ofloxacin to fortified tobramycin-cefazolin in the therapy of bacterial keratitis.* Infection, 2000. **28**(3): p. 149-52.
- 552. Protzko, E., et al., *Phase 3 safety comparisons for 1.0% azithromycin in polymeric mucoadhesive eye drops versus 0.3% tobramycin eye drops for bacterial conjunctivitis.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2007. **48**(8): p. 3425-9.
- 553. Abelson, M.B., et al., *Clinical cure of bacterial conjunctivitis with azithromycin 1%: vehicle-controlled, double-masked clinical trial.* Am J Ophthalmol, 2008. **145**(6): p. 959-65.
- Denis, F., et al., *Microbiological efficacy of 3-day treatment with azithromycin 1.5% eye-drops for purulent bacterial conjunctivitis*. Eur J Ophthalmol, 2008. **18**(6): p. 858-68.
- 555. McDonald, M.B., et al., Efficacy and safety of besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% compared with moxifloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.5% for treating bacterial conjunctivitis. Ophthalmology, 2009. **116**(9): p. 1615-1623 e1.
- Tepedino, M.E., et al., *Phase III efficacy and safety study of besifloxacin ophthalmic suspension 0.6% in the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis*. Curr Med Res Opin, 2009. **25**(5): p. 1159-69.
- 557. Hyndiuk, R.A., et al., *Comparison of ciprofloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.3% to fortified tobramycin-cefazolin in treating bacterial corneal ulcers. Ciprofloxacin Bacterial Keratitis Study Group.* Ophthalmology, 1996. **103**(11): p. 1854-62; discussion 1862-3.

- Kosrirukvongs, P. and W. Buranapongs, *Topical ciprofloxacin for bacterial corneal ulcer*. J Med Assoc Thai, 2000. **83**(7): p. 776-82.
- Weyenberg, W., et al., Ocular bioerodible minitablets as strategy for the management of microbial keratitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2004. **45**(9): p. 3229-33.
- 560. Shah, V.M., et al., Randomized clinical study for comparative evaluation of fourth-generation fluoroquinolones with the combination of fortified antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial corneal ulcers. Cornea, 2010. **29**(7): p. 751-7.
- 561. Blair, J., et al., Comparison of antibiotic-only and antibiotic-steroid combination treatment in corneal ulcer patients: double-blinded randomized clinical trial. Can J Ophthalmol, 2011. **46**(1): p. 40-5.
- 562. Price, M.O., F.W. Price, Jr., and D. Maclellan, Effect of gatifloxacin 0.3% and moxifloxacin 0.5% ophthalmic solutions on human corneal epithelium following 2 dosing regimens. J Cataract Refract Surg, 2005. **31**(11): p. 2137-41.
- Yee, R.W., et al., A randomized, investigator- masked clinical trial comparing the efficacy and safety of gatifloxacin 0.3% administered BID versus QID for the treatment BID versus QID for the treatment of acute bacterial conjunctivitis of acute bacterial conjunctivitis. Curr Med Res Opin, 2005. **21**(3): p. 425-31.
- 564. Schwab, I.R., et al., A phase III clinical trial of 0.5% levofloxacin ophthalmic solution versus 0.3% ofloxacin ophthalmic solution for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis. Ophthalmology, 2003. **110**(3): p. 457-65.
- Erjongmanee, S., et al., Clinical evaluation of ophthalmic lomefloxacin 0.3% in comparison with fortified cefazolin and gentamicin ophthalmic solutions in the treatment of presumed bacterial keratitis. J Med Assoc Thai, 2004. **87 Suppl 2**: p. S83-90.
- 566. Gallenga, P.E., et al., *Topical lomefloxacin 0.3% twice daily versus tobramycin 0.3% in acute bacterial conjunctivitis: A multicenter double-blind phase III study.* Ophthalmologica, 1999. **213**(4): p. 250-7.
- 567. Srinivasan, M., et al., *The steroids for corneal ulcers trial: study design and baseline characteristics.* Arch Ophthalmol, 2012. **130**(2): p. 151-7.
- Sharma, N., et al., Comparative evaluation of topical versus intrastromal voriconazole as an adjunct to natamycin in recalcitrant fungal keratitis. Ophthalmology, 2013. **120**(4): p. 677-81.
- Tauber, S., et al., Microbiological efficacy of a new ophthalmic formulation of moxifloxacin dosed twice-daily for bacterial conjunctivitis. Adv Ther, 2011. **28**(7): p. 566-74.
- 570. O'Brien, T.P., et al., Efficacy of ofloxacin vs cefazolin and tobramycin in the therapy for bacterial keratitis. Report from the Bacterial Keratitis Study Research Group. Arch Ophthalmol, 1995. **113**(10): p. 1257-65.
- 571. Panda, A., R. Ahuja, and S.S. Sastry, *Comparison of topical 0.3% ofloxacin with fortified tobramycin plus cefazolin in the treatment of bacterial keratitis.* Eye (Lond), 1999. **13 (Pt 6)**: p. 744-7.
- 572. Sharma, N., et al., Evaluation of moxifloxacin 0.5% in treatment of nonperforated bacterial corneal ulcers: a randomized controlled trial. Ophthalmology, 2013. **120**(6): p. 1173-8.
- 573. See, C.W., et al., *Prior elicitation and Bayesian analysis of the Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial.* Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2012. **19**(6): p. 407-13.
- 574. Srinivasan, M., et al., Corticosteroids for bacterial corneal ulcers. Br J Ophthalmol, 2009. 93(2): p. 198-202.
- 575. Srinivasan, M., et al., *Corticosteroids for bacterial keratitis: the Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial (SCUT)*. Arch Ophthalmol, 2012. **130**(2): p. 143-50.
- 576. Lalitha, P., et al., *Nocardia keratitis: clinical course and effect of corticosteroids*. Am J Ophthalmol, 2012. **154**(6): p. 934-939 e1.
- 577. Lyra, A.F., et al., Artificial tears alone versus 0.45% ketorolac tromethamine with artificial tears for the treatment of acute viral conjunctivitis. Arq Bras Oftalmol, 2014. **77**(2): p. 99-102.
- 578. Shiuey, Y., B.K. Ambati, and A.P. Adamis, *A randomized, double-masked trial of topical ketorolac versus artificial tears for treatment of viral conjunctivitis.* Ophthalmology, 2000. **107**(8): p. 1512-7.
- 579. Wilkins, M.R., et al., *A randomised placebo-controlled trial of topical steroid in presumed viral conjunctivitis.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2011. **95**(9): p. 1299-303.
- 580. Rahman, M.R., et al., *Trial of chlorhexidine gluconate for fungal corneal ulcers*. Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 1997. **4**(3): p. 141-9.
- 581. Mahdy, R.A., W.M. Nada, and M.M. Wageh, *Topical amphotericin B and subconjunctival injection of fluconazole (combination therapy) versus topical amphotericin B (monotherapy) in treatment of keratomycosis*. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther, 2010. **26**(3): p. 281-5.
- 582. Prajna, N.V., et al., A randomised clinical trial comparing 2% econazole and 5% natamycin for the treatment of fungal keratitis. Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(10): p. 1235-7.
- Arora, R., et al., *Voriconazole versus natamycin as primary treatment in fungal corneal ulcers.* Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2011. **39**(5): p. 434-40.
- 584. Prajna, N.V., et al., Comparison of natamycin and voriconazole for the treatment of fungal keratitis. Arch Ophthalmol, 2010. **128**(6): p. 672-8.

- 585. Mahdy, R.A., et al., Assessment safety and efficacy of a combination therapy of topical amphotericin B and subconjunctival fluconazole for the treatment of fungal keratitis. Cutan Ocul Toxicol, 2010. **29**(3): p. 193-7.
- 586. Prajna, V.N., et al., *Natamycin and voriconazole in Fusarium and Aspergillus keratitis: subgroup analysis of a randomised controlled trial.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2012. **96**(11): p. 1440-1.
- 587. Aboshiha, J., A case of recalcitrant bacterial conjunctivitis. Practitioner, 2013. 257(1766): p. 25-8, 3.
- 588. Lindsley, K., et al., Interventions for chronic blepharitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012(5): p. CD005556.
- 589. Korb, D.R., et al., Effect of using a combination of lid wipes, eye drops, and omega-3 supplements on meibomian gland functionality in patients with lipid deficient/evaporative dry eye. Cornea, 2015. **34**(4): p. 407-12.
- 590. Finis, D., et al., Evaluation of an automated thermodynamic treatment (LipiFlow(R)) system for meibomian gland dysfunction: a prospective, randomized, observer-masked trial. Ocul Surf, 2014. **12**(2): p. 146-54.
- 591. Bielory, L. and M.H. Friedlaender, *Allergic conjunctivitis*. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am, 2008. **28**(1): p. 43-58, vi.
- 592. La Rosa, M., et al., *Allergic conjunctivitis: a comprehensive review of the literature.* Ital J Pediatr, 2013. **39**: p. 18.
- 593. O'Brien, T.P., *Allergic conjunctivitis: an update on diagnosis and management*. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol, 2013. **13**(5): p. 543-9.
- 594. Schmitz, R., K. Atzpodien, and M. Schlaud, *Prevalence and risk factors of atopic diseases in German children and adolescents*. Pediatr Allergy Immunol, 2012. **23**(8): p. 716-23.
- 595. Parsons, M.A., J. Beach, and A. Senthilselvan, *Association of living in a farming environment with asthma incidence in Canadian children.* J Asthma, 2016: p. 0.
- 596. Timm, S., et al., *The Urban-Rural Gradient In Asthma: A Population-Based Study in Northern Europe.* Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2016. **13**(1).
- 597. Schuijs, M.J., et al., Farm dust and endotoxin protect against allergy through A20 induction in lung epithelial cells. Science, 2015. **349**(6252): p. 1106-10.
- 598. Ege, M.J., et al., *Exposure to environmental microorganisms and childhood asthma*. N Engl J Med, 2011. **364**(8): p. 701-9.
- 599. Johnson, C.C. and D.R. Ownby, *The infant gut bacterial microbiota and risk of pediatric asthma and allergic diseases.* Transl Res, 2016.
- 600. Lowry, C.A., et al., *The Microbiota, Immunoregulation, and Mental Health: Implications for Public Health.* Curr Environ Health Rep, 2016. **3**(3): p. 270-86.
- 601. Hua, X., et al., Allergy associations with the adult fecal microbiota: Analysis of the American Gut Project. EBioMedicine, 2016. **3**: p. 172-9.
- West, C.E., et al., *Probiotics for treatment and primary prevention of allergic diseases and asthma: looking back and moving forward.* Expert Rev Clin Immunol, 2016. **12**(6): p. 625-39.
- 603. McCoy, K.D. and Y. Koller, *New developments providing mechanistic insight into the impact of the microbiota on allergic disease.* Clin Immunol, 2015. **159**(2): p. 170-6.
- 604. Sanchez-Hernandez, M.C., et al., *Consensus document on allergic conjunctivitis (DECA)*. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol, 2015. **25**(2): p. 94-106.
- Johansson, S.G., et al., Revised nomenclature for allergy for global use: Report of the Nomenclature Review Committee of the World Allergy Organization, October 2003. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2004. **113**(5): p. 832-6.
- de Groene, G., et al., *Workplace interventions for treatment of occupational asthma: a Cochrane systematic review.* Occup Environ Med, 2012. **69**(5): p. 373-4.
- 607. Nicholson, P.J., et al., *Evidence based guidelines for the prevention, identification, and management of occupational asthma*. Occup Environ Med, 2005. **62**(5): p. 290-9.
- Tarlo, S.M., et al., *Diagnosis and management of work-related asthma: American College Of Chest Physicians Consensus Statement*. Chest, 2008. **134**(3 Suppl): p. 1S-41S.
- Torkildsen, G.L., et al., *Bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution for the relief of nonocular symptoms provoked by conjunctival allergen challenge.* Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol, 2010. **105**(1): p. 57-64.
- 610. Meier, E.J., et al., Integrated phase III trials of bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution 1.5% for ocular itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis. Allergy Asthma Proc, 2012. **33**(3): p. 265-74.
- Abelson, M.B., et al., *Time to onset and duration of action of the antihistamine bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solutions 1.0% and 1.5% in allergic conjunctivitis: a phase III, single-center, prospective, randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled, conjunctival allergen challenge assessment in adults and children.* Clin Ther, 2009. **31**(9): p. 1908-21.
- 612. Macejko, T.T., et al., Multicenter clinical evaluation of bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solutions 1.0% and 1.5% to treat allergic conjunctivitis. Am J Ophthalmol, 2010. **150**(1): p. 122-127 e5.

- 613. Williams, J.I., et al., *Prolonged effectiveness of bepotastine besilate ophthalmic solution for the treatment of ocular symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis.* J Ocul Pharmacol Ther, 2011. **27**(4): p. 385-93.
- 614. Greiner, J.V., K. Edwards-Swanson, and A. Ingerman, Evaluation of alcaftadine 0.25% ophthalmic solution in acute allergic conjunctivitis at 15 minutes and 16 hours after instillation versus placebo and olopatadine 0.1%. Clin Ophthalmol, 2011. 5: p. 87-93.
- 615. Torkildsen, G. and A. Shedden, *The safety and efficacy of alcaftadine 0.25% ophthalmic solution for the prevention of itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis*. Curr Med Res Opin, 2011. **27**(3): p. 623-31.
- Torkildsen, G.L., G.W. Ousler, 3rd, and P. Gomes, Ocular comfort and drying effects of three topical antihistamine/mast cell stabilizers in adults with allergic conjunctivitis: a randomized, double-masked crossover study. Clin Ther, 2008. **30**(7): p. 1264-71.
- 617. Abelson, M.B., et al., *Efficacy and tolerability of ophthalmic epinastine assessed using the conjunctival antigen challenge model in patients with a history of allergic conjunctivitis.* Clin Ther, 2004. **26**(1): p. 35-47.
- 618. Whitcup, S.M., et al., Efficacy and tolerability of ophthalmic epinastine: a randomized, double-masked, parallel-group, active- and vehicle-controlled environmental trial in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Clin Ther, 2004. **26**(1): p. 29-34.
- 619. Mah, F.S., et al., Efficacy and comfort of olopatadine 0.2% versus epinastine 0.05% ophthalmic solution for treating itching and redness induced by conjunctival allergen challenge. Curr Med Res Opin, 2007. **23**(6): p. 1445-52.
- 620. Ousler, G.W., 3rd, D.A. Workman, and G.L. Torkildsen, *An open-label, investigator-masked, crossover study of the ocular drying effects of two antihistamines, topical epinastine and systemic loratadine, in adult volunteers with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Clin Ther, 2007. **29**(4): p. 611-6.
- 621. Borazan, M., et al., Efficacy of olopatadine HCl 0.1%, ketotifen fumarate 0.025%, epinastine HCl 0.05%, emedastine 0.05% and fluorometholone acetate 0.1% ophthalmic solutions for seasonal allergic conjunctivitis: a placebo-controlled environmental trial. Acta Ophthalmol, 2009. **87**(5): p. 549-54.
- 622. Horak, F., et al., Onset and duration of action of ketotifen 0.025% and emedastine 0.05% in seasonal allergic conjunctivitis: efficacy after repeated pollen challenges in the vienna challenge chamber. Clin Drug Investig, 2003. 23(5): p. 329-37.
- 623. Verin, P., et al., Clinical evaluation of twice-daily emedastine 0.05% eye drops (Emadine eye drops) versus levocabastine 0.05% eye drops in patients with allergic conjunctivitis. Am J Ophthalmol, 2001. **131**(6): p. 691-8.
- 624. Secchi, A., et al., An efficacy and tolerance comparison of emedastine difumarate 0.05% and levocabastine hydrochloride 0.05%: reducing chemosis and eyelid swelling in subjects with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Emadine Study Group. Acta Ophthalmol Scand Suppl, 2000(230): p. 48-51.
- 625. Orfeo, V., et al., Comparison of emedastine 0.05% or nedocromil sodium 2% eye drops and placebo in controlling local reactions in subjects with allergic conjunctivitis. Eur J Ophthalmol, 2002. **12**(4): p. 262-6.
- 626. Discepola, M., J. Deschenes, and M. Abelson, *Comparison of the topical ocular antiallergic efficacy of emedastine 0.05% ophthalmic solution to ketorolac 0.5% ophthalmic solution in a clinical model of allergic conjunctivitis*. Acta Ophthalmol Scand Suppl, 1999(228): p. 43-6.
- Torkildsen, G.L., M.B. Abelson, and P.J. Gomes, *Bioequivalence of two formulations of ketotifen fumarate ophthalmic solution: a single-center, randomized, double-masked conjunctival allergen challenge investigation in allergic conjunctivitis.* Clin Ther, 2008. **30**(7): p. 1272-82.
- 628. Abelson, M.B., et al., One-visit, randomized, placebo-controlled, conjunctival allergen challenge study of scanning and imaging technology for objective quantification of eyelid swelling in the allergic reaction with contralateral use of olopatadine and artificial tears. Clin Ther, 2003. **25**(7): p. 2070-84.
- 629. Greiner, J.V. and G. Minno, A placebo-controlled comparison of ketotifen fumarate and nedocromil sodium ophthalmic solutions for the prevention of ocular itching with the conjunctival allergen challenge model. Clin Ther, 2003. **25**(7): p. 1988-2005.
- 630. Kidd, M., et al., *Efficacy and safety of ketotifen eye drops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Br J Ophthalmol, 2003. **87**(10): p. 1206-11.
- 631. Avunduk, A.M., et al., Comparison of the effects of ketotifen fumarate 0.025% and olopatadine HCl 0.1% ophthalmic solutions in seasonal allergic conjunctivities: a 30-day, randomized, double-masked, artificial tear substitute-controlled trial. Clin Ther, 2005. **27**(9): p. 1392-402.
- 632. Ganz, M., et al., *Ketotifen fumarate and olopatadine hydrochloride in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis: a real-world comparison of efficacy and ocular comfort.* Adv Ther, 2003. **20**(2): p. 79-91.
- 633. Greiner, J.V., et al., Single dose of ketotifen fumarate .025% vs 2 weeks of cromolyn sodium 4% for allergic conjunctivitis. Adv Ther, 2002. **19**(4): p. 185-93.

- 634. Berdy, G.J., et al., A comparison of the relative efficacy and clinical performance of olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution and ketotifen fumarate 0.025% ophthalmic solution in the conjunctival antigen challenge model. Clin Ther, 2000. **22**(7): p. 826-33.
- 635. Horak, F., et al., *Dose-dependent protection by azelastine eye drops against pollen-induced allergic conjunctivitis. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study.* Arzneimittelforschung, 1998. **48**(4): p. 379-84.
- Friedlaender, M.H., et al., Evaluation of the onset and duration of effect of azelastine eye drops (0.05%) versus placebo in patients with allergic conjunctivitis using an allergen challenge model. Ophthalmology, 2000. **107**(12): p. 2152-7.
- 637. Sabbah, A. and M. Marzetto, Azelastine eye drops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis in young children. Curr Med Res Opin, 1998. **14**(3): p. 161-70.
- 638. James, I.G., et al., Comparison of the efficacy and tolerability of topically administered azelastine, sodium cromoglycate and placebo in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis and rhino-conjunctivitis. Curr Med Res Opin, 2003. **19**(4): p. 313-20.
- 639. Nazarov, O., et al., *Azelastine eye drops in the treatment of perennial allergic conjunctivitis*. Arzneimittelforschung, 2003. **53**(3): p. 167-73.
- 640. Lenhard, G., et al., *Double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled study of two concentrations of azelastine eye drops in seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis.* Curr Med Res Opin, 1997. **14**(1): p. 21-8.
- 641. Giede-Tuch, C., M. Westhoff, and A. Zarth, *Azelastine eye-drops in seasonal allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study.* Allergy, 1998. **53**(9): p. 857-62.
- 642. Giede, C., et al., Comparison of azelastine eye drops with levocabastine eye drops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Curr Med Res Opin, 2000. **16**(3): p. 153-63.
- 643. Sodhi, P.K., R.M. Pandey, and S.K. Ratan, *Efficacy and safety of topical azelastine compared with topical mitomycin C in patients with allergic conjunctivitis*. Cornea, 2003. **22**(3): p. 210-3.
- 644. Mah, F.S., et al., Evaluation of the effects of olopatadine ophthalmic solution, 0.2% on the ocular surface of patients with allergic conjunctivitis and dry eye. Curr Med Res Opin, 2008. **24**(2): p. 441-7.
- 645. Leonardi, A. and M.B. Abelson, *Double-masked, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical study of the mast cell-stabilizing effects of treatment with olopatadine in the conjunctival allergen challenge model in humans.* Clin Ther, 2003. **25**(10): p. 2539-52.
- Abelson, M.B. and L. Spitalny, Combined analysis of two studies using the conjunctival allergen challenge model to evaluate olopatadine hydrochloride, a new ophthalmic antiallergic agent with dual activity. Am J Ophthalmol, 1998. **125**(6): p. 797-804.
- 647. Abelson, M.B., et al., Efficacy of once-daily olopatadine 0.2% ophthalmic solution compared to twice-daily olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution for the treatment of ocular itching induced by conjunctival allergen challenge. Curr Eye Res, 2007. **32**(12): p. 1017-22.
- 648. Katelaris, C.H., et al., A comparison of the efficacy and tolerability of olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution and cromolyn sodium 2% ophthalmic solution in seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Clin Ther, 2002. **24**(10): p. 1561-75.
- 649. Ciprandi, G., D. Turner, and R.D. Gross, *Double-masked, randomized, parallel-group study comparing olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution with cromolyn sodium 2% and levocabastine 0.05% ophthalmic preparations in children with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Curr Ther Res Clin Exp, 2004. **65**(2): p. 186-99.
- 650. Butrus, S., et al., Comparison of the clinical efficacy and comfort of olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution and nedocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution in the human conjunctival allergen challenge model. Clin Ther, 2000. 22(12): p. 1462-72.
- 651. Deschenes, J., M. Discepola, and M. Abelson, *Comparative evaluation of olopatadine ophthalmic solution* (0.1%) versus ketorolac ophthalmic solution (0.5%) using the provocative antigen challenge model. Acta Ophthalmol Scand Suppl, 1999(228): p. 47-52.
- 652. Berdy, G.J., J.O. Stoppel, and A.B. Epstein, *Comparison of the clinical efficacy and tolerability of olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution and loteprednol etabonate 0.2% ophthalmic suspension in the conjunctival allergen challenge model.* Clin Ther, 2002. **24**(6): p. 918-29.
- 653. Brodsky, M., et al., Evaluation of comfort using olopatadine hydrochloride 0.1% ophthalmic solution in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis in contact lens wearers compared to placebo using the conjunctival allergen-challenge model. Eye Contact Lens, 2003. **29**(2): p. 113-6.
- 654. Yaylali, V., et al., Comparative study of 0.1% olopatadine hydrochloride and 0.5% ketorolac tromethamine in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Acta Ophthalmol Scand, 2003. **81**(4): p. 378-82.
- 655. Lanier, B.Q., et al., Olopatadine ophthalmic solution adjunctive to loratadine compared with loratadine alone in patients with active seasonal allergic conjunctivitis symptoms. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol, 2001. 86(6): p. 641-8.

- 656. Celik, T. and E.B. Turkoglu, Comparative evaluation of olopatadine 0.01% combined fluorometholone 0.1% treatment versus olopatadine 0.01% combined ketorolac 0.4% treatment in patients with acute seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Curr Eye Res, 2014. **39**(1): p. 42-6.
- 657. Li, Z., et al., Comparative evaluation of topical pranoprofen and fluorometholone in cases with chronic allergic conjunctivitis. Cornea, 2013. **32**(5): p. 579-82.
- 658. Davies, B.H. and J. Mullins, *Topical levocabastine is more effective than sodium cromoglycate for the prophylaxis and treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Allergy, 1993. **48**(7): p. 519-24.
- 659. Verin, P., et al., *Comparison of Iodoxamide 0.1% ophthalmic solution and levocabastine 0.05% ophthalmic suspension in vernal keratoconjunctivitis.* Eur J Ophthalmol, 2001. **11**(2): p. 120-5.
- 660. Azevedo, M., et al., Double-blind comparison of levocabastine eye drops with sodium cromoglycate and placebo in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Clin Exp Allergy, 1991. **21**(6): p. 689-94.
- 661. Hammann, C., et al., Comparison of effects of topical levocabastine and nedocromil sodium on the early response in a conjunctival provocation test with allergen. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 1996. **98**(6 Pt 1): p. 1045-50.
- 662. Liu, Y.L., et al., A double-masked study to compare the efficacy and safety of topical cromolyn for the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis. J Formos Med Assoc, 2011. **110**(11): p. 690-4.
- 663. Nizami, R.M., *Treatment of ragweed allergic conjunctivitis with 2% cromolyn solution in unit doses.* Ann Allergy, 1981. **47**(1): p. 5-7.
- Abelson, M.B., M.A. George, and L.M. Smith, *Evaluation of 0.05% levocabastine versus 4% sodium cromolyn in the allergen challenge model.* Ophthalmology, 1995. **102**(2): p. 310-6.
- Leino, M., et al., Double-blind group comparative study of 2% nedocromil sodium eye drops with 2% sodium cromoglycate and placebo eye drops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Clin Exp Allergy, 1992. **22**(10): p. 929-32.
- 666. Fujishima, H., et al., Comparison of efficacy of bromfenac sodium 0.1% ophthalmic solution and fluorometholone 0.02% ophthalmic suspension for the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther, 2009. **25**(3): p. 265-70.
- 667. Ciprandi, G., et al., Non-steroidal treatment of pollen-induced conjunctivitis: comparison of different pharmacological protocols. Allergy, 1991. **46**(5): p. 393-5.
- 668. Lindsay-Miller, A.C., *Group comparative trial of 2% sodium cromoglycate (Opticrom) with placebo in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Clin Allergy, 1979. **9**(3): p. 271-5.
- 669. Alexander, M., L.J. Rosen, and W.H. Yang, Comparison of topical nedocromil sodium and oral terfenadine for the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Clin Ther, 1999. **21**(11): p. 1900-7.
- 670. Melamed, J., et al., Efficacy and safety of nedocromil sodium 2% ophthalmic solution b.i.d. in the treatment of ragweed seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Allergy Asthma Proc, 2000. **21**(4): p. 235-9.
- 671. Blumenthal, M., et al., Efficacy and safety of nedocromil sodium ophthalmic solution in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Am J Ophthalmol, 1992. **113**(1): p. 56-63.
- 672. Leino, M., et al., Double-blind group comparative study of 2% nedocromil sodium eye drops with placebo eye drops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Ann Allergy, 1990. **64**(4): p. 398-402.
- 673. Shulman, D.G., Two mast cell stabilizers, pemirolast potassium 0.1% and nedocromil sodium 2%, in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis: a comparative study. Adv Ther, 2003. **20**(1): p. 31-40.
- 674. Miglior, M., et al., *Nedocromil sodium and astemizole, alone or combined, in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. A multicentre double blind clinical trial.* Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh), 1993. **71**(1): p. 73-8.
- 675. Stockwell, A. and D.L. Easty, *Group comparative trial of 2% nedocromil sodium with placebo in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Eur J Ophthalmol, 1994. **4**(1): p. 19-23.
- 676. Donshik, P.C., et al., *Efficacy and safety of ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% and levocabastine 0.05%: a multicenter comparison in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis.* Adv Ther, 2000. **17**(2): p. 94-102.
- 677. Tauber, J., et al., A multicenter comparison of the ocular efficacy and safety of diclofenac 0.1% solution with that of ketorolac 0.5% solution in patients with acute seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther, 1998. **14**(2): p. 137-45.
- 678. Tinkelman, D.G., et al., Double-masked, paired-comparison clinical study of ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% ophthalmic solution compared with placebo eyedrops in the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. Surv Ophthalmol, 1993. **38 Suppl**: p. 133-40.
- 679. Ballas, Z., et al., *Clinical evaluation of ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% ophthalmic solution for the treatment of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis*. Surv Ophthalmol, 1993. **38 Suppl**: p. 141-8.
- 680. Laibovitz, R.A., et al., Safety and efficacy of diclofenac sodium 0.1% ophthalmic solution in acute seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther, 1995. **11**(3): p. 361-8.
- 681. Dell, S.J., et al., *A randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled parallel study of 0.2% loteprednol etabonate in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis*. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 1998. **102**(2): p. 251-5.

- 682. Kalpaxis, J.G. and T.O. Thayer, *Double-blind trial of pentigetide ophthalmic solution, 0.5%, compared with cromolyn sodium, 4%, ophthalmic solution for allergic conjunctivitis.* Ann Allergy, 1991. **66**(5): p. 393-8.
- Duzman, E., A. Warman, and R. Warman, *Efficacy and safety of topical oxymetazoline in treating allergic and environmental conjunctivitis.* Ann Ophthalmol, 1986. **18**(1): p. 28-31.
- 684. Persi, L., et al., Efficacy of mequitazine in comparison with placebo assessed by ocular challenge with allergen in allergic conjunctivitis. Allergy, 1997. **52**(4): p. 451-4.
- 685. Torkildsen, G.L., et al., Evaluation of desloratadine on conjunctival allergen challenge-induced ocular symptoms. Clin Exp Allergy, 2009. **39**(7): p. 1052-9.
- 686. Daniell, M., et al., Randomised controlled trial of topical ciclosporin A in steroid dependent allergic conjunctivitis. Br J Ophthalmol, 2006. **90**(4): p. 461-4.
- 687. Abelson, M.B., et al., Clinical efficacy of olopatadine hydrochloride ophthalmic solution 0.2% compared with placebo in patients with allergic conjunctivitis or rhinoconjunctivitis: a randomized, double-masked environmental study. Clin Ther, 2004. **26**(8): p. 1237-48.
- Abelson, M.B. and D. Turner, A randomized, double-blind, parallel-group comparison of olopatadine 0.1% ophthalmic solution versus placebo for controlling the signs and symptoms of seasonal allergic conjunctivitis and rhinoconjunctivitis. Clin Ther, 2003. **25**(3): p. 931-47.
- 689. Leonardi, A., et al., Ocular allergy: recognizing and diagnosing hypersensitivity disorders of the ocular surface. Allergy, 2012. **67**(11): p. 1327-37.
- 690. Morgan, S.J., Chemical burns of the eye: causes and management. Br J Ophthalmol, 1987. **71**(11): p. 854-7.
- 691. Pfister, R.R. and J. Koski, *Alkali burns of the eye: pathophysiology and treatment.* South Med J, 1982. **75**(4): p. 417-22.
- 692. Brodovsky, S.C., et al., Management of alkali burns: an 11-year retrospective review. Ophthalmology, 2000. **107**(10): p. 1829-35.
- 693. Wagoner, M.D., Chemical injuries of the eye: current concepts in pathophysiology and therapy. Surv Ophthalmol, 1997. **41**(4): p. 275-313.
- 694. Pfister, R.R., Chemical injuries of the eye. Ophthalmology, 1983. 90(10): p. 1246-53.
- 695. Sykes, R.A., M.M. Mani, and J.M. Hiebert, *Chemical burns: retrospective review*. J Burn Care Rehabil, 1986. **7**(4): p. 343-7.
- 696. Kuckelkorn, R., et al., *Poor prognosis of severe chemical and thermal eye burns: the need for adequate emergency care and primary prevention.* Int Arch Occup Environ Health, 1995. **67**(4): p. 281-4.
- 697. Kuckelkorn, R., et al., *Emergency treatment of chemical and thermal eye burns*. Acta Ophthalmol Scand, 2002. **80**(1): p. 4-10.
- 698. Hall, A.H. and H.I. Maibach, *Water decontamination of chemical skin/eye splashes: a critical review.* Cutan Ocul Toxicol, 2006. **25**(2): p. 67-83.
- 699. Saari, K.M., J. Leinonen, and E. Aine, *Management of chemical eye injuries with prolonged irrigation.* Acta Ophthalmol Suppl, 1984. **161**: p. 52-9.
- 700. Kompa, S., et al., *Comparison of emergency eye-wash products in burned porcine eyes*. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol, 2002. **240**(4): p. 308-13.
- 701. Davis, A.R., et al., *Topical steroid use in the treatment of ocular alkali burns*. Br J Ophthalmol, 1997. **81**(9): p. 732-4.
- 702. Lopez-Garcia, J.S., et al., *Analysis of corneal surface evolution after moderate alkaline burns by using impression cytology.* Cornea, 2006. **25**(8): p. 908-13.
- 703. Meller, D., et al., *Amniotic membrane transplantation for acute chemical or thermal burns*. Ophthalmology, 2000. **107**(5): p. 980-9; discussion 990.
- 704. Donshik, P.C., et al., *Effect of topical corticosteroids on ulceration in alkali-burned corneas*. Arch Ophthalmol, 1978. **96**(11): p. 2117-20.
- 705. Brent, B.D. and Z.A. Karcioglu, *Effect of topical corticosteroids on goblet-cell density in an alkali-burn model.* Ann Ophthalmol, 1991. **23**(6): p. 221-3.
- 706. Hoffart, L., et al., Inhibition of corneal neovascularization after alkali burn: comparison of different doses of bevacizumab in monotherapy or associated with dexamethasone. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol, 2010. **38**(4): p. 346-52.
- 707. Arora, R., D. Mehta, and V. Jain, *Amniotic membrane transplantation in acute chemical burns*. Eye (Lond), 2005. **19**(3): p. 273-8.
- 708. Kobayashi, A., et al., *Temporary amniotic membrane patching for acute chemical burns.* Eye (Lond), 2003. **17**(2): p. 149-58.
- 709. Clare, G., et al., *Amniotic membrane transplantation for acute ocular burns*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012. **9**: p. CD009379.

- 710. Prabhasawat, P., et al., *Efficacy of amniotic membrane patching for acute chemical and thermal ocular burns.* J Med Assoc Thai, 2007. **90**(2): p. 319-26.
- 711. Barreiro, T.P., et al., Comparative study of conjunctival limbal transplantation not associated with the use of amniotic membrane transplantation for treatment of total limbal deficiency secondary to chemical injury. Cornea, 2014. **33**(7): p. 716-20.
- 712. Tamhane, A., et al., Evaluation of amniotic membrane transplantation as an adjunct to medical therapy as compared with medical therapy alone in acute ocular burns. Ophthalmology, 2005. **112**(11): p. 1963-9.
- 713. Tandon, R., et al., *Amniotic membrane transplantation as an adjunct to medical therapy in acute ocular burns.*Br J Ophthalmol, 2011. **95**(2): p. 199-204.
- 714. Schrage, N.F., et al., Eye burns: an emergency and continuing problem. Burns, 2000. 26(8): p. 689-99.
- 715. Golu, T., et al., *Pterygium: histological and immunohistochemical aspects*. Rom J Morphol Embryol, 2011. **52**(1): p. 153-8.
- 716. Talghini, S. and A. Shenasi, *Concomitant examination of inflammation and angiogenesis in the pathogenesis of primary moderate pterygium in a well-designed case-control study.* Pak J Biol Sci, 2013. **16**(19): p. 1046-50.
- 717. Wong, R., et al., *The ChromaTest, a digital color contrast sensitivity analyzer, for diabetic maculopathy: a pilot study.* BMC Ophthalmol, 2008. **8**: p. 15.
- 718. Frucht-Pery, J., S. Levinger, and H. Zauberman, *The effect of topical administration of indomethacin on symptoms in corneal scars and edema*. Am J Ophthalmol, 1991. **112**(2): p. 186-90.
- 719. Frucht-Pery, J., et al., *Topical indomethacin solution versus dexamethasone solution for treatment of inflamed pterygium and pinguecula: a prospective randomized clinical study.* Am J Ophthalmol, 1999. **127**(2): p. 148-52.
- 720. Ozgurhan, E.B., et al., *Topical application of bevacizumab as an adjunct to recurrent pterygium surgery.* Cornea, 2013. **32**(6): p. 835-8.
- 721. Global Initiative for Asthma, Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. Available at: http://www.qinasthma.org/local/uploads/files/GINA_Report_2014.pdf. 2014.
- 722. Pereira, C., et al., *Specific immunotherapy for severe latex allergy*. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol, 2003. **35**(6): p. 217-25.
- 723. Pereira, C., et al., Specific immunotherapy for occupational latex allergy. Allergy, 1999. 54(3): p. 291-3.
- 724. Golden, D.B., et al., *Discontinuing venom immunotherapy: extended observations*. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 1998. **101**(3): p. 298-305.
- 725. Moffitt, J.E., et al., *Stinging insect hypersensitivity: a practice parameter update.* J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2004. **114**(4): p. 869-86.
- 726. de Jong, N., A. Vermeulen, and H. De Groot, *Allergy to bumblebee venom: III. Immunotherapy follow-up study* (safety and efficacy) in patients with occupational bumblebee venom anaphylaxis. Allergy, 1999. **54:**: p. 980-4.
- 727. Stern, A., B. Wuthrich, and G. Mullner, *Successful treatment of occupational allergy to bumblebee venom after failure with honeybee venom extract.* Allergy, 2000. **55**(1): p. 88-91.
- 728. Muller, U.R., Bee venom allergy in beekeepers and their family members. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol, 2005. **5**(4): p. 343-7.
- 729. Armentia, A., et al., Evaluation of immune complexes after immunotherapy with wheat flour in bakers' asthma. Ann Allergy, 1992. **69**(5): p. 441-4.
- 730. Armentia, A., et al., *Bakers' asthma: prevalence and evaluation of immunotherapy with a wheat flour extract.*Ann Allergy, 1990. **65**(4): p. 265-72.
- 731. Cirla, A.M., R.A. Lorenzini, and P.E. Cirla, *Specific immunotherapy and relocation in occupational allergic bakers*. G Ital Med Lav Ergon, 2007. **29**(3 Suppl): p. 443-5.
- 732. Cox, L. and J.R. Cohn, *Duration of allergen immunotherapy in respiratory allergy: when is enough, enough?*Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol, 2007. **98**(5): p. 416-26.
- 733. Beach, J., et al., *Diagnosis and management of work-related asthma*. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ), 2005(129): p. 1-8.