

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0089891		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	05/14/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	12/15/1998
<b>Decision Date:</b>	06/16/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/21/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/11/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 12/15/98. The injured worker was diagnosed as having chronic low back pain. Treatment to date has included oral medications including Norco and Soma. Currently, the injured worker complains of chronic low back pain. Physical exam noted right ankle brace and chronic partial right foot dorsiflexion weakness. The treatment plan included gradually substituting Tramadol for Norco and gradually weaning Soma.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Tramadol 50mg, quantity ongoing:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines

Tramadol Page(s): 113.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Ultram (Tramadol) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no clear and objective documentation of pain and functional improvement in this patient with previous use of Tramadol. There is no clear documentation of compliance and UDS for previous use of tramadol. Therefore, the prescription of Tramadol 50mg is not medically necessary.

**Soma 350mg, quantity ongoing:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Soma Page(s): 29.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, non-sedating muscle relaxants are recommended with caution as a second line option for short term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. According to the provided file, the patient is suffering from a chronic pain dating back to 1998 and was prescribed Soma without clear evidence of spasm. There is no justification for prolonged use of Soma. The request for Soma 350mg is not medically necessary.