

Case Number:	CM15-0088739		
Date Assigned:	05/13/2015	Date of Injury:	03/25/2011
Decision Date:	06/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/08/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 3/25/11. She reported initial complaints of right shoulder and neck. The injured worker was diagnosed as having neck pain; right shoulder pain; low back pain; lumbar radiculitis. Treatment to date has included right brachial plexus nerve bloc (12/5/14); status post right shoulder arthroscopy; joint debridement; acromioplasty and distal clavicle excision (12/5/14); physical therapy; urine drug screening; medication. Diagnostics included MRI cervical spine (10/11/12); x-rays bilateral hands (10/16/3); MRI right shoulder (10/21/14). Currently, the PR-2 notes dated 3/13/15 indicated the injured worker complains of blurred vision with ringing in the ears or head noise; joint pain and neck stiffness; headache and numbness. Currently the medications prescribed are: buprofen HCI, citalopram, clonidine, and hydrochlorothiazide, Methocarbamol, Norco and Benadryl. She is a status post right shoulder arthroscopy; joint debridement; acromioplasty and distal clavicle excision (12/5/14). On this date and office visit, the injured worker has an Oscillating tracking test with recording; spontaneous nystagmus test, positional nystagmus test and a caloric vestibular test. PR-2 notes dated 1/5/15 and the injured worker has completed 2 of 3 physical therapy sessions and feels she has more range of motion and less pain in the right arm. PR-2 notes dated 2/2/15 indicate she is there for a follow-up of 1/5/115 visit. She indicates her medication brings her pain to a 5/10 level and without it she is at 8-9/10. She indicates with medications she can do light housework and self-care. There is no aberrant behavior and UDS is consistent with medications prescribed. The medications usually takes 30-40 minutes to take effect and lasts 4-5 hours. She has a ENT appointment scheduled, the office in the process of

arranging a neurologist visit and she is to continue physical therapy and follow-up with her surgeon as scheduled. The provider requested Trigger point injections x2 to the paracervical and trapezius musculature.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Trigger point injections x2 to the paracervical and trapezius musculature: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines trigger point injections Page(s): 122.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on trigger point injections states: Trigger point injections: Recommended only for myofascial pain syndrome as indicated below, with limited lasting value. Not recommended for radicular pain. Trigger point injections with an anesthetic such as bupivacaine are recommended for non-resolving trigger points, but the addition of a corticosteroid is not generally recommended. Not recommended for radicular pain. A trigger point is a discrete focal tenderness located in a palpable taut band of skeletal muscle, which produces a local twitch in response to stimulus to the band. Trigger points may be present in up to 33-50% of the adult population. Myofascial pain syndrome is a regional painful muscle condition with a direct relationship between a specific trigger point and its associated pain region. These injections may occasionally be necessary to maintain function in those with myofascial problems when myofascial trigger points are present on examination. Not recommended for typical back pain or neck pain. (Graff-Radford, 2004) (Nelemans-Cochrane,2002) For fibromyalgia syndrome, trigger point injections have not been proven effective. (Goldenberg, 2004) Criteria for the use of Trigger point injections: Trigger point injections with a local anesthetic may be recommended for the treatment of chronic low back or neck pain with myofascial pain syndrome when all of the following criteria are met: (1) Documentation of circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain; (2) Symptoms have persisted for more than three months; (3) Medical management therapies such as ongoing stretching exercises, physical therapy, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants have failed to control pain; (4) Radiculopathy is not present (by exam, imaging, or neuro-testing); (5) Not more than 3-4 injections per session; (6) No repeat injections unless a greater than 50% pain relief is obtained for six weeks after an injection and there is documented evidence of functional improvement; (7) Frequency should not be at an interval less than two months; (8) Trigger point injections with any substance (e.g., saline or glucose) other than local anesthetic with or without steroid are not recommended. (Colorado, 2002) (BlueCross BlueShield, 2004) The provided clinical documentation fails to show circumscribed trigger points with evidence upon palpation of a twitch response as well as referred pain. Therefore, criteria have not been met and the request is not medically necessary.