

Case Number:	CM15-0088031		
Date Assigned:	05/12/2015	Date of Injury:	09/12/2000
Decision Date:	06/11/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/07/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on September 12, 2000. She has reported severe pain radiating to both legs to feet and has been diagnosed with post laminectomy syndrome, brachial neuritis not otherwise specified, and fibromyalgia. Treatment has included injections, medications, and pool therapy. Objective findings noted a slow guarded gait. There was tenderness to the lumbosacral spine with swelling to both legs with discolored toes. There was also tenderness to the lower legs and ankles. MRI demonstrated osteopenia. The treatment request included acupuncture, bio behavioral pain treatment sessions, and pool therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

12 biobehavioral pain treatment sessions: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Behavioral Interventions Page(s): 23.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines psychological therapy Page(s): 101-102.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on psychological treatment states: Recommended for appropriately identified patients during treatment for chronic pain. Psychological intervention for chronic pain includes setting goals, determining appropriateness of treatment, conceptualizing a patient's pain beliefs and coping styles, assessing psychological and cognitive function, and addressing co-morbid mood disorders (such as depression, anxiety, panic disorder, and posttraumatic stress disorder). Cognitive behavioral therapy and self-regulatory treatments have been found to be particularly effective. Psychological treatment incorporated into pain treatment has been found to have a positive short-term effect on pain interference and long-term effect on return to work. The following "stepped-care" approach to pain management that involves psychological intervention has been suggested: Step 1: Identify and address specific concerns about pain and enhance interventions that emphasize self-management. The role of the psychologist at this point includes education and training of pain care providers in how to screen for patients that may need early psychological intervention. Step 2: Identify patients who continue to experience pain and disability after the usual time of recovery. At this point, a consultation with a psychologist allows for screening, assessment of goals, and further treatment options, including brief individual or group therapy. Step 3: Pain is sustained in spite of continued therapy (including the above psychological care). Intensive care may be required from mental health professions allowing for a multidisciplinary treatment approach. See also Multi-disciplinary pain programs. See also ODG Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) Guidelines. (Otis, 2006) (Townsend, 2006) (Kerns, 2005) (Flor, 1992) (Morley, 1999) (Ostelo, 2005) Psychological treatment in particular cognitive behavioral therapy has been found to be particularly effective in the treatment of chronic pain. As this patient has continued ongoing pain, this service is indicated per the California MTUS and thus is medically necessary.

18 acupuncture for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints, Chapter 10 Elbow Disorders (Revised 2007), Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints, Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, Chapter 14 Ankle and Foot Complaints, Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on acupuncture states: 1) "Acupuncture" is used as an option when pain medication is reduced or not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. It is the insertion and removal of filiform needles to stimulate acupoints (acupuncture points). Needles may be inserted, manipulated, and retained for a period of time. Acupuncture can be used to reduce pain, reduce inflammation, increase blood flow, increase range of motion, decrease the side effect of medication-induced nausea, promote relaxation in an anxious patient, and reduce muscle spasm. Frequency and duration of acupuncture with electrical stimulation may be performed as follows: 1. Time to produce functional improvement 3-6 treatments; 2. Frequency: 1-3 times per week; 3. Optimum duration is 1-2 months; 4. Treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented The request for acupuncture is for a total of 18 sessions. This is in excess of the recommendations. The patient must demonstrate functional improvement in 3-6 treatments for more sessions to be certified. Therefore, the request is in excess of the recommended initial treatment sessions and not medically necessary.

12 pool therapy sessions: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Aquatic therapy Page(s): 22.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines aquatic therapy Page(s): 22.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on aquatic therapy states: Aquatic therapy Recommended as an optional form of exercise therapy, where available, as an alternative to land based physical therapy. Aquatic therapy (including swimming) can minimize the effects of gravity, so it is specifically recommended where reduced weight bearing is desirable, for example extreme obesity. For recommendations on the number of supervised visits, see Physical medicine. Water exercise improved some components of health-related quality of life, balance, and stair climbing in females with fibromyalgia, but regular exercise and higher intensities may be required to preserve most of these gains. (Tomas-Carus, 2007) The patient does not meet criteria as outlined above for aquatic therapy. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.