MAXIMUS Federal Services

Case Number:	CM15-0087156		
Date Assigned:	05/11/2015	Date of Injury:	03/22/2004
Decision Date:	09/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/14/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 70 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on March 23, 2004. The injured worker reported low back pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having chronic pain syndrome, lumbar sprain/strain, lumbar disc displacement, myalgia and myositis. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included medication. A progress note dated March 25, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of low back pain with numbness and tingling. He reports worsening with activity. The pain is rated 4/10 with medication and 8/10 without medication. Physical exam notes lumbar hypersensitivity with painful decreased range of motion (ROM). The plan includes Fortesta, Vicodin, Lyrica, Celebrex, Lidoderm patch and omeprazole.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Fortesta 10 mcg #1 bottle with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 110 of 127.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of testosterone. The MTUS guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Recommended in limited circumstances for patients taking high-dose long-term opioids with documented low testosterone levels. Hypogonadism has been noted in patients receiving intrathecal opioids and long-term high dose opioids. Routine testing of testosterone levels in men taking opioids is not recommended; however, an endocrine evaluation and/or testosterone levels should be considered in men who are taking long term, high dose oral opioids or intrathecal opioids and who exhibit symptoms or signs of hypogonadism, such as gynecomastia. In this case, there is inadequate documentation of a testosterone deficiency or hypogonadism which is required for supplementation. An endocrine evaluation and testosterone levels are needed. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Vicodin 5/300 mg #30 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 78 of 127.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement which should eventually lead to medication discontinuation. The records also do not reveal screening measures as discussed above for continued use of a medication in the opioid class. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Lyrica 50 mg #90 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 16-17 of 127.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the category of an anti-epileptic drug (AED). These medications are recommended for certain types of neuropathic pain. Most of the randomized clinical control trials involved include post-herpetic neuralgia and painful polyneuropathy such as in diabetes. There are few trials which have studied central pain or radiculopathy. The MTUS guidelines state that a good response to treatment is 50% reduction in pain. At least a 30% reduction in pain is required for ongoing use, and if this is not seen, this should trigger a change in therapy. Their also should be documentation of functional

improvement and side effects incurred with use. Disease states which prompt use of these medications include post-herpetic neuralgia, spinal cord injury, chronic regional pain syndrome, lumbar spinal stenosis, post-operative pain, and central pain. There is inadequate evidence to support use in non-specific axial low back pain or myofascial pain. In this case, there is inadequate documentation of a condition which would support the use of an anti-epileptic drug. The records also do not reveal functional improvement or screening measures as required. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Celebrex 200 mg #30 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 22 of 127.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of Celebrex. This medication is in the category of a COX-2 inhibitor anti-inflammatory medication. The MTUS guidelines state the following regarding its use: COX-2 inhibitors (e.g., Celebrex) may be considered if the patient has a risk of GI complications, but not for the majority of patients. Generic NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors have similar efficacy and risks when used for less than 3 months, but a 10-to-1 difference in cost. (Rate of overall GI bleeding is 3% with COX-2s versus 4.5% with ibuprofen.) In this case, celebrex is not indicated. There is inadequate documentation of significant gastrointestinal risk which would justify its use. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm patch 5% #90 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 56-57 of 127.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a Lidoderm patch to aid in pain relief. The MTUS guidelines state that its use is indicated for post herpetic neuralgia after an initial trial of an anti-epileptic medication. Further research is needed to recommend use for chronic neuropathic disorders besides post-herpetic neuralgia. In this case, the patient does not have a diagnosis documented which would justify the use of Lidoderm patches. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Omeprazole 20 mg #30 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 68 of 127.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the class of a proton pump inhibitor. This is usually given as an acid reducing medication for patients with esophageal reflux, gastritis, or peptic ulcer disease. It can also be used as a preventative measure in patients taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatories for chronic pain. Unfortunately, they do have certain side effects including gastrointestinal disease. The MTUS guidelines states that patients who are classified as intermediate or high risk, should be treated prophylactically. Criteria for risk are as follows: "(1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)." Due to the fact the patient does not meet to above stated criteria, the request for use is not medically necessary.