

Case Number:	CM15-0087020		
Date Assigned:	05/11/2015	Date of Injury:	11/15/2010
Decision Date:	06/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 11/15/10. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar discogenic syndrome, lumbar sprain/strain, sacralgia and lumbar facet arthropathy. Currently, the injured worker was with complaints of lower back pain with intermittent radiation to the lower extremities. Previous treatments included physical therapy, bracing, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation unit, injection and topical creams. Previous diagnostic studies included magnetic resonance imaging, radiographic studies. The plan of care was for a back support brace.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Solace back support brace: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Low Back, - Supports.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 301. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Lumbar Supports.

Decision rationale: The requested Solace back support brace, is not medically necessary. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM), 2nd Edition, (2004), Chapter 12, Low Back Complaints, Page 301, note lumbar supports have not been shown to have any lasting benefit beyond the acute phase of symptom relief. Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Lumbar Supports, also note Lumbar supports: Not recommended for prevention. Under study for treatment of nonspecific LBP. Recommended as an option for compression fractures and specific treatment of spondylolisthesis, documented instability, or post-operative treatment. The injured worker has lower back pain with intermittent radiation to the lower extremities. The treating physician has not documented the presence of spondylolisthesis, documented instability, or acute post-operative treatment. The criteria noted above not having been met, Solace back support brace is not medically necessary.