

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0086917		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	05/11/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	08/14/2010
<b>Decision Date:</b>	06/10/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/23/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/06/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on August 14, 2010. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left lateral compartment osteoarthritis and status post left meniscectomy and chondroplasty. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included surgery. A progress note dated April 7, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of left knee pain aggravated with activity. Physical exam notes medial joint tenderness. The plan includes injection, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), Motrin and ice.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**MRI (closed unit) of the left knee:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 341-343. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee and Leg, MRI ½s (magnetic resonance imaging).

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM notes "Special studies are not needed to evaluate most knee complaints until after a period of conservative care and observation" and "Reliance only on imaging studies to evaluate the source of knee symptoms may carry a significant risk of diagnostic confusion (false-positive test results) because of the possibility of identifying a problem that was present before symptoms began, and therefore has no temporal association with the current symptoms." The treating physician does not detail the failure of conservative treatment or the treatment plan for the patient's knee. Medical notes indicate that the patient is undergoing home therapy, but also additionally notes that the home therapy exercises are not being conducted. ODG further details indications for MRI:- Acute trauma to the knee, including significant trauma (e.g, motor vehicle accident), or if suspect posterior knee dislocation or ligament or cartilage disruption. Nontraumatic knee pain, child or adolescent: non-patellofemoral symptoms. Initial anteroposterior and lateral radiographs non-diagnostic (demonstrate normal findings or a joint effusion) next study if clinically indicated. If additional study is needed. Nontraumatic knee pain, child or adult. Patellofemoral (anterior) symptoms. Initial anteroposterior, lateral, and axial radiographs nondiagnostic (demonstrate normal findings or a joint effusion). If additional imaging is necessary, and if internal derangement is suspected. Non-traumatic knee pain, adult. Nontrauma, nontumor, nonlocalized pain. Initial anteroposterior and lateral radiographs nondiagnostic (demonstrate normal findings or a joint effusion). If additional studies are indicated, and if internal derangement is suspected. Nontraumatic knee pain, adult nontrauma, nontumor, nonlocalized pain. Initial anteroposterior and lateral radiographs demonstrate evidence of internal derangement (e.g., Peligrini Stieda disease, joint compartment widening). Repeat MRIs: Post-surgical if need to assess knee cartilage repair tissue. (Ramappa, 2007). Routine use of MRI for follow-up of asymptomatic patients following knee arthroplasty is not recommended. (Weissman, 2011) The patient has been diagnosed with bone on bone arthritis of the knee. The treating physician has provided no evidence of red flag diagnosis and has not met the above ODG and ACOEM criteria. As such, the request for MRI (closed unit) of the left knee is not medically necessary.