

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0086385		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	05/11/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	03/30/2011
<b>Decision Date:</b>	06/15/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/23/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/05/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 59-year-old female injured worker suffered an industrial injury on 03/30/2011. The diagnoses included lumbar disc syndrome with left lower extremity radiculopathy, severe facet arthrosis resulting in severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis. The diagnostics included electromyographic studies. The injured worker had been treated with post-operative physical therapy, lumbar fusion and medications. On 4/10/2015, the treating provider reported the pain can go as high as 8/10 and with medications rated as 6/10. The injured worker reported medications induced gastritis syndrome including occasional feeling nauseated. The treatment plan included Prilosec.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Prilosec 20mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 68-69 and 72-75.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 68.

**Decision rationale:** Prilosec is omeprazole, a proton pump inhibitor (PPI). PPI's are used in the treatment of peptic ulcer disease and may be prescribed in patients who are using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and are at high risk for gastrointestinal events. Risk factors for high-risk events are age greater than 65, history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation, concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant, or high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). The patient in this case was not using NSAID medication and did not have any of the risk factors for a gastrointestinal event. The request should not be medically necessary.