

Case Number:	CM15-0085216		
Date Assigned:	05/07/2015	Date of Injury:	10/22/1991
Decision Date:	06/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/25/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/04/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California, Texas, Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management, Hospice & Palliative Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 76 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on October 22, 1991. The injured worker reported neck and back pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having shoulder sprain. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included medication, acupuncture and chiropractic. A progress note dated April 8, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of neck, back and right shoulder pain. Physical exam notes shoulder tenderness on palpation with decreased range of motion (ROM) and crepitus. The plan includes chiropractic decreasing Robaxin from 750mg 1-2 per day to Robaxin 500mg daily as needed.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Robaxin 500mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 ? 9792.26 Page(s): 63-66 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for methocarbamol (Robaxin), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support the use of non-sedating muscle relaxants to be used with caution as a 2nd line option for the short-term treatment of acute exacerbations of pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no identification of a specific analgesic benefit or objective functional improvement as a result of the methocarbamol. Additionally, it does not appear that this medication is being prescribed for the short-term treatment of an acute exacerbation, as recommended by guidelines. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested methocarbamol (Robaxin) is not medically necessary.