

Case Number:	CM15-0085197		
Date Assigned:	05/07/2015	Date of Injury:	07/28/2014
Decision Date:	06/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/04/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 7/28/2014. He reported low back and groin pain while lifting a compressor. Injury to his knees was noted in 2012, after he fell from a lift. The injured worker was diagnosed as having sprain of lumbosacral (joint) (ligament), neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified, bilateral knee sprain/strain, and status post right inguinal herniorrhaphy with residual symptoms (10/02/2014). Treatment to date has included unspecified chiropractic, unspecified physical therapy, and medications. Currently, the injured worker complains of low back pain with radiation to both lower extremities, right greater than left, with tingling. He also reported pain to both knees and pain at his hernia repair site. Pain was not rated and medication use was not described. Objective findings included tenderness, decreased range of motion, decreased strength, and increased spasticity to unspecified body part(s). Prior therapy notes were not submitted and the treated body part(s) were not specified. The treatment plan included magnetic resonance imaging of the left knee and surgical referral for hernia. He was currently not working.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the left knee: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 341-343.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 341-343. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee and Leg, MRIs (magnetic resonance imaging).

Decision rationale: ACOEM notes "Special studies are not needed to evaluate most knee complaints until after a period of conservative care and observation" and "Reliance only on imaging studies to evaluate the source of knee symptoms may carry a significant risk of diagnostic confusion (false-positive test results) because of the possibility of identifying a problem that was present before symptoms began, and therefore has no temporal association with the current symptoms." The treating physician does not detail the failure of conservative treatment or the treatment plan for the patient's knee. Medical notes indicate that the patient is undergoing home therapy, but also additionally notes that the home therapy exercises are not being conducted. ODG further details indications for MRI: Acute trauma to the knee, including significant trauma (e.g, motor vehicle accident), or if suspect posterior knee dislocation or ligament or cartilage disruption. Non-traumatic knee pain, child or adolescent: non-patellofemoral symptoms. Initial anteroposterior and lateral radiographs non-diagnostic (demonstrate normal findings or a joint effusion) next study if clinically indicated. If additional study is needed. Non-traumatic knee pain, child or adult. Patellofemoral (anterior) symptoms. Initial anteroposterior, lateral, and axial radiographs non-diagnostic (demonstrate normal findings or a joint effusion). If additional imaging is necessary, and if internal derangement is suspected. Non-traumatic knee pain, adult. Non-trauma, non-tumor, non-localized pain. Initial anteroposterior and lateral radiographs nondiagnostic (demonstrate normal findings or a joint effusion). If additional studies are indicated, and if internal derangement is suspected. Nontraumatic knee pain, adult: non-trauma, non-tumor, non-localized pain. Initial anteroposterior and lateral radiographs demonstrate evidence of internal derangement (e.g., Peligrini Stieda disease, joint compartment widening). Repeat MRIs: Post-surgical if need to assess knee cartilage repair tissue. (Ramappa, 2007). Routine use of MRI for follow-up of asymptomatic patients following knee arthroplasty is not recommended. (Weissman, 2011) The patient's injury is from 7/14 and there is no documentation of any plain films prior to this request. The treating physician does indicate an abnormal exam with a positive McMurray and Apley test, but has not began the evaluation with radiographs. The request does not fulfill the indications as above. As such, the request for MRI of the left knee is not medically necessary.