

Case Number:	CM15-0084208		
Date Assigned:	05/06/2015	Date of Injury:	10/26/2013
Decision Date:	06/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 36-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 10/26/13. The injured worker was diagnosed as having multiple compression fractures and internal derangement of right shoulder. Currently, the injured worker was with complaints of back and shoulder pain. Previous treatments included medication management. Previous diagnostic studies included a magnetic resonance imaging and Nerve Conduction Velocity testing. The plan of care was for medication prescriptions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Hydrocodone APAP 5/325mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-97.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96.

Decision rationale: According to the guidelines, determination for the use of opioids should not focus solely on pain severity but should include the evaluation of a wide range of outcomes

including measures of functioning, appropriate medication use, and side effects. The guidelines state that measures of pain assessment that allow for evaluation of the efficacy of opioids and whether their use should be maintained include the following: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief last. The criteria for long term use of opioids (6-months or more) includes among other items, documentation of pain at each visit and functional improvement compared to baseline using a numerical or validated instrument every 6 months. Opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work and if there is improved functioning and pain. In this case, there is insufficient documentation of the assessment of pain, function and side effects in response to opioid use to substantiate the medical necessity for hydrocodone. The documentation includes a statement that pain decreases with analgesics but this is not sufficient. There is no measurement of his pain or function before or after opioid treatment. There is no discussion of the presence or absence of adverse effects or appropriate medication use. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.