

Case Number:	CM15-0082742		
Date Assigned:	05/05/2015	Date of Injury:	06/28/2008
Decision Date:	06/09/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Utah, Arkansas

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice, Sports Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 39-year-old man sustained an industrial injury on 6/28/2008. The mechanism of injury is not detailed. Diagnoses include depressive disorder, psychological factors affecting medical condition, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Treatment has included oral medications and psychological treatment. Physician notes dated 2/11/2015 show complaints including depression, agitation, and sleep disturbance. Recommendations include Diazepam, Soma, Tramadol, Buprenorphine, and follow up as needed.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Diazepam 10 MG #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines. page(s) 24.

Decision rationale: MTUS, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guideline were reviewed in regards to this specific case, and the clinical documents were reviewed. According to the MTUS guidelines: Benzodiazepines are not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. According to the clinical documents, the Diazepam requested is not being used for short-term therapy. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Tramadol 50 MG #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use, page(s) 75-79.

Decision rationale: MTUS treatment guidelines were reviewed in regards to this specific case, and the clinical documents were reviewed. The MTUS indicates that ongoing management of opioids includes documentation of prescriptions given from a single practitioner, prescriptions from a single pharmacy and the lowest dose should be used to improve function. There should also be an ongoing review of the 4 A's, including analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug behaviors. There is no clear functional gain that has been documented with this medication. Guidelines state that the discontinuation of opioid medication is recommended if there is no overall improvement in function. According to the clinical documentation provided and current MTUS guidelines; Tramadol is not indicated a medical necessity to the patient at this time.

Buprenorphine 8 MG BID for Pain: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use, page(s) 75-79.

Decision rationale: MTUS treatment guidelines were reviewed in regards to this specific case, and the clinical documents were reviewed. The MTUS indicates that ongoing management of opioids includes documentation of prescriptions given from a single practitioner, prescriptions from a single pharmacy and the lowest dose should be used to improve function. There should also be an ongoing review of the 4 A's, including analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug behaviors. There is no clear functional gain that has been documented with this medication. Guidelines state that the discontinuation of opioid medication is recommended if there is no overall improvement in function. According to the clinical

documentation provided and current MTUS guidelines; Buprenorphine is not indicated a medical necessity to the patient at this time.