

Case Number:	CM15-0082672		
Date Assigned:	05/05/2015	Date of Injury:	09/30/2010
Decision Date:	06/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Indiana

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 43 year old female sustained an industrial injury to the left arm on 3/2007. Documentation indicated that recent treatment included medications and elbow sleeve. In a PR-2 dated 3/23/15, the injured worker reported that the left arm was doing fairly well after working light duty for five days. The injured worker had a recent flare up. The injured worker reported that Lidoderm patches helped but elbow elastic was not much help. Physical exam was remarkable for numbness without pain at elbow brachioradialis insertion. There were minimal symptoms with wrist and elbow upon active range of motion. Current diagnoses included chronic tendinitis of the left elbow. The treatment plan included a prescription for Percocet with prescriptions written for 3/23/15, 4/2/15, 5/22/15, 4/5/15, 5/15/15 and 6/4/15 as well as prescriptions Lidoderm and Celebrex.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Percocet 5/325mg 1 every 4 hours as needed for pain #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Opioids.

Decision rationale: Percocet (oxycodone with acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid. Chronic pain guidelines and ODG do not recommend opioid except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks and "Routine long-term opioid therapy is not recommended, and ODG recommends consideration of a one-month limit on opioids for new chronic non-malignant pain patients in most cases, as there is little research to support use. The research available does not support overall general effectiveness and indicates numerous adverse effects with long-term use. The latter includes the risk of ongoing psychological dependence with difficulty weaning." Medical documents indicate that the patient has been on Percocet for several months, in excess of the recommended 2-week limit. Additionally, indications for when opioids should be discontinued include "If there is no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances." The treating physician does document some pain level improvement, however, does not document overall improvement in function, which is required for continued use of this medication. As such, the request for Percocet is not medically necessary.

Celebrex 200mg, 1 tablet twice a day #60, 11 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti-inflammatories, Celebrex, NSAIDs Page(s): 22, 30, 70. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: Anti-inflammatory medications are the traditional first line treatment for pain, but COX-2 inhibitors (Celebrex) should be considered if the patient has risk of GI complications, according to MTUS. The medical documentation provided does not indicate a reason for the patient to be considered high risk for GI complications. Risk factors for GI bleeding according to ODG include: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose or multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). The employee's medical records do not show that any of these are present. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm patches refilled 3/18/15: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Lidoderm patches Page(s): 56-57. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Topical analgesics Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: UpToDate.com, Lidocaine (topical).

Decision rationale: Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state "Lidoderm is the brand name for a lidocaine patch produced by Endo Pharmaceuticals. Topical lidocaine may be recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). This is not a first-line treatment and is only FDA approved for post-herpetic neuralgia. Further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain disorders other than post-herpetic neuralgia. Formulations that do not involve a dermal-patch system are generally indicated as local anesthetics and anti-pruritics. For more information and references, see Topical analgesics." ODG further details, criteria for use of Lidoderm patches: (a) Recommended for a trial if there is evidence of localized pain that is consistent with a neuropathic etiology. (b) There should be evidence of a trial of first-line neuropathy medications (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). (c) This medication is not generally recommended for treatment of osteoarthritis or treatment of myofascial pain/trigger points. (d) An attempt to determine a neuropathic component of pain should be made if the plan is to apply this medication to areas of pain that are generally secondary to non-neuropathic mechanisms (such as the knee or isolated axial low back pain). One recognized method of testing is the use of the Neuropathic Pain Scale. (e) The area for treatment should be designated as well as number of planned patches and duration for use (number of hours per day). (f) A Trial of patch treatment is recommended for a short-term period (no more than four weeks). (g) It is generally recommended that no other medication changes be made during the trial period. (h) Outcomes should be reported at the end of the trial including improvements in pain and function, and decrease in the use of other medications. If improvements cannot be determined, the medication should be discontinued. (i) Continued outcomes should be intermittently measured and if improvement does not continue, lidocaine patches should be discontinued. Medical documents provided do not indicate that the use would be for post-herpetic neuralgia. Additionally, treatment notes did not detail other first-line therapy used and what the clinical outcomes resulted. As such, the request for Lidoderm 5% patches is not medically necessary.