

Case Number:	CM15-0082247		
Date Assigned:	05/04/2015	Date of Injury:	08/19/2013
Decision Date:	06/03/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/16/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 8/19/13. He reported right foot pain, neck pain, and back pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical strain, lumbar strain, right sacroiliac arthralgia, right hip pain and right foot pain with hyperesthesias. Treatment to date has included open reduction and internal fixation of metatarsal fractures on 8/20/13, physical therapy, and acupuncture which was noted to allow him to ambulate with less discomfort and to use less medication during the day. Currently, the injured worker complains of low back pain that radiates to the right lower extremity, right foot, and right hip. The treating physician requested authorization for 8 acupuncture sessions for the lumbar spine and a functional capacity evaluation. A functional capacity evaluation was requested to consider advancing to modified duty now that medications have been titrated to comfortable level and he is beginning to ambulate for exercise.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Six sessions of acupuncture for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Current clinical exam show no specific physical impairments or clear dermatomal/myotomal neurological deficits to support for treatment with acupuncture. There are no clear specific documented goals or objective measures to identify for improvement with a functional restoration approach for this injury with ongoing unchanged chronic pain complaints. MTUS, Acupuncture Guidelines recommend initial trial of conjunctive acupuncture visit of 3 to 6 treatment with further consideration upon evidence of objective functional improvement. Submitted reports have not demonstrated the medical indication to support this request or specific conjunctive therapy towards a functional restoration approach for acupuncture visits, beyond guidelines criteria. It is unclear how many acupuncture sessions the patient has received for this chronic injury nor what specific functional benefit if any were derived from treatment. Submitted reports have not demonstrated functional improvement or medical indication to support for additional acupuncture sessions. There are no specific objective changes in clinical findings, no report of acute flare-up or new injuries from conservative treatments already rendered. The Six sessions of acupuncture for the lumbar spine is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Functional capacity evaluation: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Fitness for Duty Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Page(s): Chapter 7, Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations, page(s) 137-138.

Decision rationale: The patient has received a significant amount of conservative treatments without sustained long-term benefit. The patient underwent recent open shoulder surgery and continues to treat for ongoing significant symptoms with further plan for acupuncture treatment. It appears the patient has not reached maximal medical improvement and continues to treat for chronic pain symptoms. Current review of the submitted medical reports has not adequately demonstrated the indication to support for the request for Functional Capacity Evaluation as the patient continues to actively treat. Per the ACOEM Treatment Guidelines on the Chapter for Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations regarding Functional Capacity Evaluation, there is little scientific evidence confirming FCEs ability to predict an individual's actual work capacity as behaviors and performances are influenced by multiple nonmedical factors which would not determine the true indicators of the individual's capability or restrictions. The Functional capacity evaluation is not medically necessary and appropriate.