

Case Number:	CM15-0081907		
Date Assigned:	05/04/2015	Date of Injury:	10/21/2011
Decision Date:	06/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/22/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/29/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 10/21/11. Initial complaints and diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include right shoulder surgery (Aug. 2014) and medications. Diagnostic studies are not addressed. Current complaints include right shoulder pain. Current diagnoses include right shoulder pain. In a progress note dated 04/01/15 the treating provider reports the plan of care as Norco. The requested treatment is Norco.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325 mg Qty 120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-95, 124.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Ongoing management Page(s): 78-80.

Decision rationale: Norco 10/325 mg Qty 120 is not medically necessary per the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines. The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment

Guidelines state that a pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. The MTUS does not support ongoing opioid use without improvement in function or pain. The documentation submitted does not reveal the above pain assessment or clear monitoring of the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors) with urine drug screening available for review. The documentation additionally reveals that the patient has been on long-term opioids without significant functional improvement therefore the request for continued Norco is not medically necessary.