

Case Number:	CM15-0060069		
Date Assigned:	04/06/2015	Date of Injury:	02/15/2013
Decision Date:	05/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/13/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 41 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 2/15/13. She subsequently reported low back pain. Diagnoses include lumbar radiculopathy. The treating physician has planned nerve conduction studies and chiropractic care. Treatments include a brace and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience right leg pain and difficulty with sleep. A request for Ibuprofen and Prilosec medications was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ibuprofen 800mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 67-72 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Motrin (ibuprofen), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that NSAIDs are recommended at the lowest dose for the shortest

period in patients with moderate to severe pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the medication is providing any specific analgesic benefits (in terms of percent pain reduction, or reduction in numeric rating scale) or any objective functional improvement. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested Motrin (ibuprofen) is not medically necessary.

Prilosec 20mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 68-69 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for omeprazole (Prilosec), California MTUS states that proton pump inhibitors are appropriate for the treatment of dyspepsia secondary to NSAID therapy or for patients at risk for gastrointestinal events with NSAID use. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient has complaints of dyspepsia secondary to NSAID use, a risk for gastrointestinal events with NSAID use, or another indication for this medication. In light of the above issues, the currently requested omeprazole (Prilosec) is not medically necessary.