

Case Number:	CM15-0060064		
Date Assigned:	04/06/2015	Date of Injury:	09/26/2014
Decision Date:	05/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 29 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 09/26/2014. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical strain, herniated disc lumbar spine at L4-L5; 5 millimeters, left shoulder derangement, left wrist derangement and left ankle complaints. Treatment to date has included medications and therapy. Currently, the injured worker had multiple complaints of neck pain, left shoulder, left ankle, back, left wrist and left side of abdomen, internal complaints and depression. Treatment plan included Tramadol, Elavil, x-rays of the cervical spine, MRI of the left ankle, MRI of the left shoulder and continue therapy. The injured worker was still unable to work.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the left shoulder: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 207-209. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Shoulder Chapter, Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for MRI of the shoulder, Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines state that more specialized imaging studies are not recommended during the 1st month to 6 weeks of activity limitation due to shoulder symptoms except when a red flag is noted on history or examination. Cases of impingement syndrome are managed the same whether or not radiographs show calcium in the rotator cuff or degenerative changes are seen in or around the glenohumeral joint or AC joint. Guidelines go on to recommend imaging studies for physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurovascular dysfunction, failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery, and clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure. ODG recommends MRI of the shoulder for subacute shoulder pain with suspicion of instability/labral tear or following acute shoulder trauma with suspicion of rotator cuff tear/impingement with normal plain film radiographs. Within the documentation available for review, there are no recent subjective complaints or objective findings identifying issues with the patient's left shoulder. Additionally, it does not appear the patient has failed conservative treatment options. Furthermore, it is unclear how an MRI will change the patient's current treatment plan. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested shoulder MRI is not medically necessary.