

Case Number:	CM15-0059724		
Date Assigned:	04/06/2015	Date of Injury:	08/18/2007
Decision Date:	05/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/30/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Massachusetts

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57-year-old male who sustained an industrial injury on 8/18/07. The injured worker reported symptoms in the neck, back and bilateral knees. The injured worker was diagnosed as having bilateral chondromalacia patella, bilateral knee arthritis, bilateral knee pain, lumbago and cervicgia. Treatments to date have included physical therapy, chiropractic treatments, cane, oral pain medication, and activity modification. Currently, the injured worker complains of pain in the neck, back and bilateral knees. The plan of care was for physical therapy and a follow up appointment at a later date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical therapy x 12 for the cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 98.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) (1) Chronic pain, Physical medicine treatment. (2) Preface, Physical Therapy Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The claimant sustained a work-related injury in August 2007 due to/ a motor vehicle accident and continues to be treated for chronic neck, back, and bilateral knee pain. Prior treatments have included chiropractic care, medications, and physical therapy. He recently underwent bilateral knee viscosupplementation injections. In terms of physical therapy treatment for chronic pain, guidelines recommend a six visit clinical trial with a formal reassessment prior to continuing therapy. In this case, the number of visits requested is in excess of that recommended and therefore not medically necessary.

Physical therapy x 12 for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 98.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) (1) Chronic pain, Physical medicine treatment. (2) Preface, Physical Therapy Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The claimant sustained a work-related injury in August 2007 due to/a motor vehicle accident and continues to be treated for chronic neck, back, and bilateral knee pain. Prior treatments have included chiropractic care, medications, and physical therapy. He recently underwent bilateral knee viscosupplementation injections. In terms of physical therapy treatment for chronic pain, guidelines recommend a six visit clinical trial with a formal reassessment prior to continuing therapy. In this case, the number of visits requested is in excess of that recommended and therefore not medically necessary.

Physical therapy x 12 to the bilateral knees: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 98.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) (1) Chronic pain, Physical medicine treatment. (2) Preface, Physical Therapy Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The claimant sustained a work-related injury in August 2007 due to/ a motor vehicle accident and continues to be treated for chronic neck, back, and bilateral knee pain. Prior treatments have included chiropractic care, medications, and physical therapy. He recently underwent bilateral knee viscosupplementation injections. In terms of physical therapy treatment for chronic pain, guidelines recommend a six visit clinical trial with a formal reassessment prior to continuing therapy. In this case, the number of visits requested is in excess of that recommended and therefore not medically necessary.