

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0059247		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/03/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	08/11/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/13/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/18/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/30/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 42 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 08/11/14. Initial complaints and diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include medications and physical therapy. Diagnostic studies include x-rays of the cervical spine and right shoulder. Current complaints include neck and arm pain. In a progress note dated 02/27/15, the treating provider reports the plan of care as a MRI of the cervical spine. The requested treatment is a MRI of the cervical spine.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**MRI of the cervical spine:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Neck and Upper back procedure summary.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 182.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS/ACOEM recommends MRI CSPINE if there are specific red flag findings on history and musculoskeletal and neurological examination. This guideline particularly recommends MRI CSPINE to validate the diagnosis of nerve root compromise based on clear history and physical exam findings in preparation for an invasive procedure. The records do not document such red flag findings at this time. The rationale/indication for the requested cervical MRI are not apparent. This request is not medically necessary.