

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0058663		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/03/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	03/16/2013
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/04/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/06/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/27/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 3/16/2013. The mechanism of injury was not provided for review. The injured worker was diagnosed as having right ankle sprain, right elbow and forearm sprain/strain, right rotator cuff sprain, right shoulder sprain, lumbar degenerative disc disease and thoracic or lumbar radiculitis. There is no record of a recent diagnostic study. Treatment to date has included steroid injections, physical therapy and medications. In a progress note dated 3/2/2015, the injured worker complains of low back pain, right ankle pain, abdominal pain and right shoulder pain. The treating physician is requesting Lidopro ointment.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Lidopro ointment 121gm:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics, page(s) 111-113.

**Decision rationale:** Per MTUS Chronic Pain Guidelines, the efficacy in clinical trials for topical analgesic treatment modality has been inconsistent and most studies are small and of short duration. These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies of their effectiveness or safety. There is little evidence to utilize topical compound analgesic over oral NSAIDs or other pain relievers for a patient with spinal and multiple joint pains without contraindication in taking oral medications. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication or medical need for this topical analgesic for this chronic injury without documented functional improvement from treatment already rendered. The Lidopro ointment 121gm is not medically necessary and appropriate.