

Case Number:	CM15-0058644		
Date Assigned:	04/03/2015	Date of Injury:	04/17/1996
Decision Date:	05/22/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 4/17/96. The injured worker was diagnosed as having neck pain and low back pain. Treatment to date has included lumbar fusion, cervical spine fusion, oral medications and physical therapy. Currently, the injured worker complains of neck and low back pain. Physical exam noted restricted range of motion of cervical and lumbar spine with altered sensation of C6 distribution pattern to right upper extremities. The treatment plan included a prescription for Duexis.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Duexis 800mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines Treatment in Workers Compensation Pain Procedure Summary, Duexis (Ibuprofen & Famotidine).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic), NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular

risk and Other Medical Treatment Guidelines Uptodate.com, NSAIDs (including aspirin):
Primary prevention of gastroduodenal toxicity.

Decision rationale: Ranitidine is an H2 antagonist used for the treatment of stomach ulcers and gastroesophageal reflux. MTUS states, "Determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA)." And "Patients at intermediate risk for gastrointestinal events and no cardiovascular disease: (1) A non-selective NSAID with either a PPI (Proton Pump Inhibitor, for example, 20 mg omeprazole daily) or misoprostol (200 g four times daily) or (2) a Cox-2 selective agent. Long-term PPI use (> 1 year) has been shown to increase the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio 1.44)." Uptodate states regarding H2 antagonist for GI prophylaxis, "Standard doses of H2 receptor antagonists were not effective for the prevention of NSAID-induced gastric ulcers in most reports, although they may prevent duodenal ulcers [33]. Studies that detected a benefit on gastric ulcer prevention were short-term (12 to 24 weeks) and focused on endoscopic rather than clinical endpoints". The patient does not meet the age recommendations for increased GI risk. The medical documents provided do not indicate history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation. Medical records do not indicate that the patient is on ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or high dose/multiple NSAID. Additionally, uptodate suggests that H2 antagonist at this dose is not useful for to prevent ulcers. As such, the request for Duexis 800mg #90 is not medically necessary.