

Case Number:	CM15-0058576		
Date Assigned:	04/03/2015	Date of Injury:	02/05/2012
Decision Date:	05/04/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 60 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 2/5/2012. She reported injury to her neck and right upper extremity. Diagnoses have included right shoulder rotator cuff tendinitis, right lateral epicondylitis and right and left carpal tunnel syndrome. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, chiropractic treatment, acupuncture and medication. According to the progress note dated 1/22/2015, the injured worker complained of constant moderate neck pain, aggravated by looking up and looking down. She complained of constant, severe, sharp right shoulder pain with numbness, tingling and weakness. She complained of constant, severe, sharp right elbow pain with weakness. She also complained of constant, severe, sharp right and left wrist pain with numbness, tingling and weakness. Cervical compression caused pain. Exam of the wrists revealed that Phalen's test caused pain and Froment's Paper caused tingling on the right and was positive on the left. Authorization was requested for urine toxicology.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Urine Toxicology: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 77-80, 94.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Drug Testing, page 43.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS Guidelines, urine drug screening is recommended as an option before a therapeutic trial of opioids and for on-going management to differentiate issues of abuse, addiction, misuse, or poor pain control; none of which apply to this patient who has been prescribed long-term opioid this chronic injury. Presented medical reports from the provider have unchanged chronic severe pain symptoms with unchanged clinical findings of restricted range and tenderness without acute new deficits or red-flag condition changes. Treatment plan remains unchanged with continued medication refills without change in dosing or prescription for chronic pain. There is no report of aberrant behaviors, illicit drug use, and report of acute injury or change in clinical findings or risk factors to support frequent UDS. Documented abuse, misuse, poor pain control, history of unexpected positive results for a non-prescribed scheduled drug or illicit drug or history of negative results for prescribed medications may warrant UDS and place the patient in a higher risk level; however, none are provided. The Urine Toxicology is not medically necessary and appropriate.