

Case Number:	CM15-0058528		
Date Assigned:	04/03/2015	Date of Injury:	09/03/2003
Decision Date:	05/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/05/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Texas, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 62 year old female sustained an industrial injury to the neck, back, bilateral shoulders and bilateral upper extremities on 9/3/03. Previous treatment included magnetic resonance imaging, electromyography, cervical spine fusion, physical therapy, home exercise and medications. In a PR-2 dated 1/21/15, the injured worker complained of increased low back pain. The injured worker reported that she wanted to try Norco. Current diagnoses included shoulder adhesive capsulitis. The treatment plan included medications (Norco, Tramadol, Soma, Imitrex and Elavil). The medication list include Norco, Tramadol, Soma, Imitrex and Elavil. Per the doctor's note dated 3/26/15 patient had complaints of pain in cervical region, bilateral shoulder and wrist and low back pain. Physical examination revealed decreased grip strength, motor and sensory deficits in left LE, positive right CTS, positive bilateral shoulder impingement sign, limited range of motion of the cervical and lumbar spine and bilateral shoulder.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Soma 350mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma), page 29 and Muscle relaxants, page 63 Carisoprodol (Soma).

Decision rationale: Request: Soma 350mg #90. According to California MTUS, Chronic pain medical treatment guidelines, Carisoprodol (Soma) is a muscle relaxant and it is not recommended for chronic pain. Per the guidelines, Carisoprodol is not indicated for long-term use. It has been suggested that the main effect is due to generalized sedation and treatment of anxiety. California MTUS, Chronic pain medical treatment guidelines recommend non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic LBP. Per the guideline, muscle relaxants may be effective in reducing pain and muscle tension, and increasing mobility. However, in most LBP cases, they show no benefit beyond NSAIDs in pain and overall improvement. Also there is no additional benefit shown in combination with NSAIDs. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. Sedation is the most commonly reported adverse effect of muscle relaxant medications. California MTUS, Chronic pain medical treatment guidelines recommend non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic LBP. Soma is recommended for short term use only, in acute exacerbations in chronic pain. Patient had a chronic injury and any evidence of acute exacerbations in pain and muscle spasm was not specified in the records provided. The date of injury for this patient is 9/3/03. As the patient does not have any acute pain at this time, the use of muscle relaxants is not supported by the CA MTUS chronic pain guidelines. Furthermore as per guideline skeletal muscle relaxants show no benefit beyond NSAIDs in pain and overall improvement. Therefore the medical necessity of Soma 350mg #90 is not established for this patient.