

Case Number:	CM15-0058456		
Date Assigned:	04/03/2015	Date of Injury:	03/27/2012
Decision Date:	06/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/16/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Arizona, Michigan

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 40 year old male with an industrial injury dated March 27, 2012. The injured worker diagnoses include meniscal tear of the right knee status post arthroscopy and lumbar spine sprain/strain with right-sided radiculopathy. Management consisted of diagnostic studies, prescribed medications and periodic follow up visits. In a progress note dated 2/27/2015, the injured worker reported right knee pain. The injured worker also reported pain and spasm to the back with radiating pain, numbness and cramping down his right lower extremity. Right knee exam revealed well heal surgical incisional sites, mild effusion, and mild tenderness to palpitation. Lumbar spine exam revealed spasm, pain with motion and tenderness to palpitation. The treating physician prescribed Protonix 20mg, Ultram 50mg, Ultram ER, Norco 10/325mg now under review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Protonix 20mg (unspecified frequency & duration) qty: 60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms and cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, Clinicians should weigh the indications for NSAIDs against both GI and cardiovascular risk factors according to specific criteria listed in the MTUS and a selection should be made based on these criteria 1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). Per the ODG, PPI's are Recommended for patients at risk for gastrointestinal events. Prilosec (omeprazole), Prevacid (lansoprazole) and Nexium (esomeprazole magnesium) are PPIs. Healing doses of PPIs are more effective than all other therapies, although there is an increase in overall adverse effects compared to placebo. Nexium and Prilosec are very similar molecules. (Donnellan, 2010) In this RCT omeprazole provided a statistically significantly greater acid control than lansoprazole. (Miner, 2010) In general, the use of a PPI should be limited to the recognized indications and used at the lowest dose for the shortest possible amount of time. PPIs are highly effective for their approved indications, including preventing gastric ulcers induced by NSAIDs. Studies suggest, however, that nearly half of all PPI prescriptions are used for unapproved indications or no indications at all. Many prescribers believe that this class of drugs is innocuous, but much information is available to demonstrate otherwise. Products in this drug class have demonstrated equivalent clinical efficacy and safety at comparable doses, including esomeprazole (Nexium), lansoprazole (Prevacid), omeprazole (Prilosec), pantoprazole (Protonix), dexlansoprazole (Dexilant), and rabeprazole (Aciphex). (Shi, 2008) A trial of omeprazole or lansoprazole had been recommended before prescription Nexium therapy (before it went OTC). The other PPIs, Protonix, Dexilant, and Aciphex, should be second-line. According to the latest AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Research, all of the commercially available PPIs appeared to be similarly effective. (AHRQ, 2011). A review of the injured workers medical records that are available to me do not show a failed trial of other first line recommended PPI's and therefore the request for Protonix 20mg (unspecified frequency & duration) qty: 60 is not medically necessary.

Ultram 50mg (unspecified frequency & duration) qty: 60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, Tramadol (Ultram) Page(s): 74-96, 113.

Decision rationale: The MTUS states that tramadol is a centrally acting synthetic opioid analgesic and it is not recommended as a first-line oral analgesic. Opioids are recommended for chronic pain, especially neuropathic pain that has not responded to first line recommendations like antidepressants and anticonvulsants. Long terms users should be reassessed per specific guideline recommendations and the dose should not be lowered if it is working. Per the MTUS, Tramadol is indicated for moderate to severe pain. A review of the injured workers medical records that are available to me do not reveal a dosing or duration regimen and without this information medical necessity is not established.

Norco 10/325mg (unspecified frequency & duration) qty: 60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96 (78, 89, 95).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, opioids should be discontinued if there is no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances, Opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work or has improved functioning and pain. On going management actions should include prescriptions from a single practitioner, taken as directed and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Documentation should follow the 4 A's of analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors. Long term users of opioids should be regularly reassessed. In the maintenance phase the dose should not be lowered if it is working. Also, patients who receive opioid therapy may sometimes develop unexpected changes in their response to opioids, which includes development of abnormal pain, change in pain pattern, persistence of pain at higher levels than expected. When this happens opioids can actually increase rather than decrease sensitivity to noxious stimuli. It is important to note that a decrease in opioid efficacy should not always be treated by increasing the dose or adding other opioids, but may actually require weaning. A review of the injured workers medical records that are available to me do not reveal a dosing or duration regimen and without this information medical necessity is not established.

Ultram ER 50mg (unspecified frequency & duration) qty: 30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, Tramadol (Ultram) Page(s): 74-96, 113.

Decision rationale: The MTUS states that tramadol is a centrally acting synthetic opioid analgesic and it is not recommended as a first-line oral analgesic. Opioids are recommended for chronic pain, especially neuropathic pain that has not responded to first line recommendations like antidepressants and anticonvulsants. Long terms users should be reassessed per specific guideline recommendations and the dose should not be lowered if it is working. Per the MTUS, Tramadol is indicated for moderate to severe pain. A review of the injured workers medical records that are available to me do not reveal a dosing or duration regimen and without this information medical necessity is not established.