

Case Number:	CM15-0058103		
Date Assigned:	04/02/2015	Date of Injury:	02/01/2010
Decision Date:	05/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 41 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 02/01/2010. The injured worker is currently diagnosed as having chronic pain, right lower extremity neuropathy, mild posterior tibialis tenosynovitis of the right ankle, right ankle plantar calcaneal spurring, lumbar spine sprain/strain with radiculitis, right knee sprain/strain, peripheral polyneuropathy, and medication induced gastritis. Treatment to date has included nerve conduction studies, right ankle MRI, chiropractic treatment, and medications. In a progress note dated 01/30/2015, the injured worker presented with complaints of right ankle, right knee, and low back pain. The treating physician reported requesting authorization for prescription transdermal compound creams.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Cyclobenzaprine 2%, Flurbiprofen 25% #180gm: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS, in Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines section Topical Analgesics (page 111), topical analgesics are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Many agents are combined to other pain medications for pain control. That is limited research to support the use of many of these agents. Furthermore, according to MTUS guidelines, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no clear evidence that the patient failed or was intolerant to first line of oral pain medications. There is no documentation that all component of the prescribed topical analgesic is effective for the treatment of chronic pain. Flurbiprofen is not recommended by MTUS guidelines. Therefore, Topical Cream- Cyclobenzaprine 2%, Flurbiprofen 25% #180gm is not medically necessary.

Capsaicin 0.025% Flurbiprofen 15% Gabapentin 10% Menthol 2% Camphor 2% 180gm:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS, in Chronic Pain Medical Treatment guidelines section Topical Analgesics (page 111), topical analgesics are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Many agents are combined to other pain medications for pain control. That is limited research to support the use of many of these agents. Furthermore, according to MTUS guidelines, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no evidence that Flurbiprofen or any other compound of the topical analgesic is recommended as topical analgesics for chronic ankle pain. Flurbiprofen, a topical analgesic is not recommended by MTUS guidelines. Based on the above, the request for Capsaicin 0.025% Flurbiprofen 15% Gabapentin 10% Menthol 2% Camphor 2% 180gm is not medically necessary.