

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0056850		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/01/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/20/2010
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/05/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/06/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/25/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/20/2010. The injured worker is currently diagnosed as having lumbosacral disc degeneration, sciatica, and lumbosacral neuritis. Treatment to date has included acupuncture and medications. In a progress note dated 01/19/2015, the injured worker presented with complaints of pain in lower back and right leg. The treating physician reported requesting authorization for Zanaflex.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Zanaflex 4mg #30:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Non-sedating muscle relaxants Page(s): 63.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants Page(s): 63.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, non-sedating muscle relaxants are recommended with caution as a second line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time

and prolonged use may cause dependence. The patient in this case developed continuous pain does not have clear exacerbation of back pain and spasm and the prolonged use of Zanaflex is not justified. Furthermore, there is no clear evidence of chronic myofascial pain and spasm. Therefore, the request for Zanaflex 4mg #30 is not medically necessary.