

Case Number:	CM15-0056617		
Date Assigned:	04/01/2015	Date of Injury:	12/26/2013
Decision Date:	05/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/13/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 31 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 12/26/13. The injured worker reported symptoms in the lower back. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical sprain/strain, thoracic sprain/strain and lumbar sprain/strain. Treatments to date have included oral pain medication, injections, physical therapy, chiropractic treatments, home exercise program, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation unit and activity modification. Currently, the injured worker complains of lower back pain. The plan of care was for medication prescriptions and a follow up appointment at a later date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 3 Initial Approaches to Treatment, Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 47-48, 308-310, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page 74-96.

Decision rationale: Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines address opioids. The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Frequent evaluation of clinical history and frequent review of medications are recommended. Periodic review of the ongoing chronic pain treatment plan for the injured worker is essential. Patients with pain who are managed with controlled substances should be seen regularly. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the 4 A's (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug-taking behaviors). American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) 2nd Edition (2004) Chapter 3 states that opioids appear to be no more effective than safer analgesics for managing most musculoskeletal symptoms. Opioids should be used only if needed for severe pain and only for a short time. ACOEM guidelines state that the long-term use of opioids is not recommended for low back complaints. The primary treating physician's progress report dated 2/11/15 documented subjective complaints of low back pain 6/10. Objective findings included lumbar tenderness. Lumbar flexion was 70 degrees/90 degrees. The date of injury was 12/26/13. Analgesia was not documented. No evidence of significant pathology was documented. Medical records document the long-term use of Norco. Medical records document the long-term use of opioid medications, which is not supported by MTUS and ACOEM guidelines. ACOEM guidelines indicate that the long-term use of opioids is not recommended for low back complaints. Per MTUS, the lowest possible dose of opioid should be prescribed, with frequent and regular review and re-evaluation. Therefore, the request for Norco 10/325 mg is not medically necessary.

Cyclobenzaprine 7.5mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 3 Initial Approaches to Treatment Page(s): 47-49, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) Pages 41-42. Muscle relaxants Pages 63-66. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation FDA Prescribing Information Cyclobenzaprine <http://www.drugs.com/pro/flexeril.html>.

Decision rationale: Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) addresses muscle relaxants. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) 2nd Edition (2004) states that muscle relaxants seem no more effective than NSAIDs for treating patients with musculoskeletal problems, and using them in combination with NSAIDs has no demonstrated benefit. Muscle relaxants may hinder return to function by reducing the patient's motivation or ability to increase activity. Table 3-1 states that muscle relaxants are not recommended. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines addresses muscle relaxants. Muscle relaxants should be used with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. According to a review in American Family Physician, muscle relaxants should not be the primary drug class of choice for musculoskeletal conditions. Chronic Pain Medical

Treatment Guidelines state that Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is an option for a short course of therapy. Treatment should be brief. The addition of Cyclobenzaprine to other agents is not recommended. FDA guidelines state that Cyclobenzaprine is indicated for acute musculoskeletal conditions. Cyclobenzaprine should be used only for short periods (up to two or three weeks) because adequate evidence of effectiveness for more prolonged use is not available. Medical records document that the patient's occupational injuries are chronic. Medical records document the long-term use of the muscle relaxant Flexeril. MTUS, ACOEM, and FDA guidelines do not support the use of Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) for chronic conditions. Medical records indicate the long-term use of muscle relaxant, which is not supported by MTUS and FDA guidelines. The patient has been prescribed NSAIDs. Per MTUS, using muscle relaxants in combination with NSAIDs has no demonstrated benefit. The use of Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is not supported by MTUS or ACOEM guidelines. Therefore, the request for Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is not medically necessary.