

Case Number:	CM15-0055833		
Date Assigned:	04/01/2015	Date of Injury:	05/07/2005
Decision Date:	05/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/18/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: District of Columbia, Virginia
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 61 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on May 7, 2005. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar degenerative disc disease (DDD), spondylosis, lumbar herniated nucleus pulposus (HNP), low back pain and spinal stenosis. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included medications and x-rays. A progress note dated February 3, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of back pain unchanged from previous visit. Pain is rated 4/10 with medication and 6-7/10 without medication. Physical exam notes lumbar tenderness and decreased range of motion (ROM). The plan includes oral medication.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792 Page(s): 75, 79-82.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS: Short-acting opioids: also known as "normal-release" or "immediate-release" opioids are seen as an effective method in controlling chronic pain. They are often used for intermittent or breakthrough pain. These agents are often combined with other analgesics such as acetaminophen and aspirin. These adjunct agents may limit the upper range of dosing of shortacting agents due to their adverse effects. The duration of action is generally 3-4 hours. Shortacting opioids include Morphine (Roxanol), Oxycodone (OxyIR, Oxyfast), Endocodone, Oxycodone with acetaminophen, (Roxilox, Roxicet, Percocet, Tylox, Endocet), Hydrocodone with acetaminophen, (Vicodin, Lorcet, Lortab, Zydone, Hydrocet, Norco), Hydromorphone (Dilaudid, Hydrostat). (Baumann, 2002) This patient has chronic pain issues and the duration of treatment with this medication has exceeded MTUS guidelines. This medication is not indicated for long term usage and ongoing usage is not medically appropriate.