

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0055676		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	03/30/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	09/25/2010
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/13/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/18/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/25/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 9/25/10. She reported aching in her right forearm and elbow and was found to have a pinched nerve. The injured worker is currently diagnosed as having cervical radiculopathy, cervical degenerative disc disease and chronic pain with psychosocial implications. Treatment to date has included a cervical fusion, acupuncture, cervical epidural injection, EMG study and pain medications. As of the PR2 dated 3/5/15, the injured worker reports 5/10 pain in the cervical spine that radiates to the bilateral upper extremities. The treating physician requested to continue Norco 10/325mg.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids/Ongoing Management Opioids for Chronic Pain Page(s): 78, 80.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS discusses in detail the 4 As of opioid management, emphasizing the importance of dose titration vs. functional improvement and documentation of objective, verifiable functional benefit to support an indication for ongoing opioid use. MTUS also discourages the use of chronic opioids for back pain due to probable lack of efficacy. The records in this case do not meet these 4As of opioid management and do not provide a rationale or diagnosis overall for which ongoing opioid use is supported. Therefore, this request is not medically necessary.