

Case Number:	CM15-0053481		
Date Assigned:	03/26/2015	Date of Injury:	12/31/2000
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Oriental Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 67 year old male who sustained a work related injury on December 31, 2000, incurring neck and bilateral wrists injuries. He was diagnosed with cervical disc disease, headache and myofascial pain. Treatment included acupuncture sessions, anti-inflammatory drugs, trigger point injections, pain medications and home exercise program. Currently, the injured worker complained of neck stiffness, and constant headaches. The treatment plan that was requested for authorization included Acupuncture treatment for the neck.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture 2 times a week for 3 weeks for the neck: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints, Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The guidelines note that the amount of acupuncture to produce functional improvement is 3 to 6 treatments. The same guidelines read extension of acupuncture care could be supported for medical necessity "if functional improvement is documented as either a

clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment." After an unknown number of prior acupuncture sessions (reported as beneficial in reducing symptoms), the patient continues symptomatic, taking oral medication and no evidence of sustained, significant, objective functional improvement (quantifiable response to treatment) obtained with previous acupuncture was provided to support the reasonableness and necessity of the additional acupuncture requested. Based on the providers reporting, the patient is not presenting a flare up of the condition, or a re-injury. The use of acupuncture for maintenance, prophylactic or custodial care is not supported by the guidelines-MTUS. In addition, the request is for acupuncture x 12, number that exceeds significantly the guidelines without a medical reasoning to support such request. Therefore, the additional acupuncture x 12 is not medically necessary.