

Case Number:	CM15-0053426		
Date Assigned:	03/26/2015	Date of Injury:	11/15/2009
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/25/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52-year-old female, with a reported date of injury of 11/15/2009. The diagnoses include left leg pain, left foot sprain/strain, lumbar disc with left lower extremity neuralgia, and stress fracture of the left foot. Treatments to date have included oral medications, topical pain medications, an x-ray of the left foot, chiropractic treatment, an injection, an MRI of the left foot, electro diagnostic studies, and an MRI of the lumbar spine. The progress report dated 10/21/2014 indicates that the injured worker complained of severe left foot pain, severe left leg pain, and severe low back pain. The objective findings include tenderness on palpation with limited, painful range of motion of the left foot and lower back; positive neurological findings in the lower extremities; and decreased and worsening sensory in the left leg. The treating physician requested Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/325mg.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Hydrocodone/APAP 5/325mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Chronic pain section, Opioids/medication.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82 Page(s): 78-82.

Decision rationale: The requested Hydrocodone/APAP 5/325mg #30, is not medically necessary. CA MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, recommend continued use of this opiate for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, with documented objective evidence of derived functional benefit, as well as documented opiate surveillance measures. The injured worker has severe left foot pain, severe left leg pain, and severe low back pain. The objective findings include tenderness on palpation with limited, painful range of motion of the left foot and lower back; positive neurological findings in the lower extremities; and decreased and worsening sensory in the left leg. The treating physician has not documented VAS pain quantification with and without medications, duration of treatment, objective evidence of derived functional benefit such as improvements in activities of daily living or reduced work restrictions or decreased reliance on medical intervention, nor measures of opiate surveillance including an executed narcotic pain contract or urine drug screening. The criteria noted above not having been met, Hydrocodone/APAP 5/325mg #30 is not medically necessary.