

Case Number:	CM15-0053418		
Date Assigned:	03/27/2015	Date of Injury:	05/25/2006
Decision Date:	05/04/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 61 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/25/06. She reported initial complaints of cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine due to a motor vehicle accident as an industrial injury. The injured worker was diagnosed as having C3-4 spondylolisthesis with stenosis; acquired spondylolisthesis; cervical spondylosis; brachial neuritis unspecified. Treatment to date has included epidural steroid injections (no date); status post cervical spine C4-7 anterior cervical disc fusion (2012); MRI (12/11/14); drug screening for medical management; medications. Currently, per the PR-2 notes dated 12/15/14, the injured worker complains of numbness in the left first dorsal web space of the hand and some occasional swallowing issues with larger pills since the cervical spine surgery. The provider's treatment plan documents a request for CT scan cervical to access fusion verses nonfusion due to x-rays suggest that fusion was not successful; an EMG/NCV of the upper extremities for access left hand numbness and weakness; possible candidate for a functional restoration program pending the study's results; monitor the C3-4 stenosis level above the fusions for possible future surgery and renew medications Norco and Ativan.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 78.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: "a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework." According to the patient file, there is no objective documentation of pain and functional improvement to justify continuous use of Norco. Norco was used for longtime without documentation of functional improvement or evidence of return to work or improvement of activity of daily living. Therefore, the prescription of Norco 10/325mg #180 is not medically necessary.

Ativan 0.5mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, benzodiazepines are not recommended for long term use for pain management because of unproven long term efficacy and because of the risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit their use to 4 weeks. There is no recent documentation of insomnia related to pain in this case. There is no documentation of rational and efficacy of previous use of Ativan. Therefore the use of 60 Ativan 0.5mg is not medically necessary.