

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0053249		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	03/26/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/13/2004
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/01/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/03/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/20/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
State(s) of Licensure: California  
Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 72 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 4/13/04 involving his left leg and knee. He was seen by a doctor and provided medications, x-ray and MRI. He currently complains of left knee pain with pain intensity 7-8/10. Medications are Tramadol and Ambien. Medications help him to function. He is experiencing sleep difficulties. Diagnoses include left knee pain with degenerative joint disease; insomnia; right and left knee osteoarthritis. Treatments to date include medications, Orthovisc injections offering some relief, cortisone injections. He has had no physical therapy or acupuncture. In the progress note dated 2/16/15 the treating providers plan of care indicates request for Tramadol for maintenance and Ambien and a urinalysis to determine the level of prescription and non-prescription drugs.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**One prescription of Tramadol 50mg #60: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, and Tramadol, Page 113 Page(s): 78-82, 113.

**Decision rationale:** The requested Tramadol 50mg #60 is not medically necessary. CA MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Opioids, On-Going Management, Pages 78-80, Opioids for Chronic Pain, Pages 80-82, and Tramadol, Page 113, do not recommend this synthetic opioid as first-line therapy, and recommend continued use of opiates for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, with documented objective evidence of derived functional benefit, as well as documented opiate surveillance measures. The injured worker has left knee pain. The treating physician has not documented: failed first-line opiate trials, VAS pain quantification with and without medications, duration of treatment, objective evidence of derived functional benefit such as improvements in activities of daily living or reduced work restrictions or decreased reliance on medical intervention, nor measures of opiate surveillance including an executed narcotic pain contract nor urine drug screening. The criteria noted above not having been met, Tramadol 50mg #60 is not medically necessary.

**One urine drug screen:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 43, Drug testing Page(s): 43.

**Decision rationale:** The requested One urine drug screen, is not medically necessary. CA Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) 2009: Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, Page 43, Drug testing, recommend drug screening "to assist in monitoring adherence to a prescription drug treatment regimen (including controlled substances); to diagnose substance misuse (abuse), addiction and/or other aberrant drug related behavior" when there is a clinical indication. These screenings should be done on a random basis. The injured worker has left knee pain. The treating provider has not documented provider concerns over patient use of illicit drugs or non-compliance with prescription medications. There is no documentation of the dates of the previous drug screening over the past 12 months nor what those results were and any potential related actions taken. The request for drug screening is to be made on a random basis. There are also no documentation regarding collection details, which drugs are to be assayed or the use of an MRO. The treating physician has not documented: failed first-line opiate trials, VAS pain quantification with and without medications, duration of treatment, objective evidence of derived functional benefit such as improvements in activities of daily living or reduced work restrictions or decreased reliance on medical intervention, nor measures of opiate surveillance including an executed narcotic pain contract nor urine drug screening. The criteria noted above not having been met, One urine drug screen is not medically necessary.