

Case Number:	CM15-0052586		
Date Assigned:	03/26/2015	Date of Injury:	12/16/2011
Decision Date:	05/04/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 36 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 12/16/2011. The current diagnoses are lumbar intervertebral disc disease, left sacroiliac joint dysfunction, and chronic lumbar strain. According to the progress report dated 2/2/2015, the injured worker complains of low back pain that extends up into her neck. The pain is rated 7/10 on a subjective pain scale. Treatment to date has included medication management, physical therapy, TENS unit, acupuncture, home exercise program, and caudal epidural injection (12/12/2014). With the injection, she is not reporting any significant reduction of pain. The plan of care includes Morin, Prilosec, and functional restoration program.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Motrin 600 mg Qty 90 with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) Page(s): 67-73.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Naproxen Page(s): 66.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Motrin is indicated for relief of pain related to osteoarthritis and back pain for the lowest dose and shortest period of time. There is no documentation that the shortest and the lowest dose of Motrin was used. There is no clear documentation of pain and functional improvement with previous NSAID use. Therefore, the prescription of Motrin 600 mg #90, with 4 refills is not medically necessary.

Prilosec 20 mg Qty 30 with 4 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Omeprazole is indicated when NSAID are used in patients with intermediate or high risk for gastrointestinal events. The risk for gastrointestinal events are: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). Recent studies tend to show that H. Pylori does not act synergistically with NSAIDS to develop gastroduodenal lesions. There is no documentation that the patient have GI issue that requires the use of prilosec. There is no documentation in the patient's chart supporting that she is at intermediate or high risk for developing gastrointestinal events. Therefore, Prilosec 20mg #30 prescription, with 4 refills is not medically necessary.