

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0052067		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/15/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	09/10/1993
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/11/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/04/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/19/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
State(s) of Licensure: New York  
Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurological Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 68-year-old male so sustained an industrial injury on 09/10/93. Initial compliant sand diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include medications and surgery. Diagnostic studies include a lumbar spine MRI, x-rays, and a CT scan of the lumbar spine. Current complaints include low back pain. Current diagnoses include lumbar spondylosis, lumbar radiculopathy, and multilevel lumbar degenerative disc disease. In a progress note dated 02/25/15 the treating provider reports the plan of care includes a nerve root decompression/laminotomy at L4-5 and L5-S1 with a fusion at L4-5. The requested treatments are a nerve root decompression/laminotomy at L4-5 and L5-S1 with a fusion at L4-5 and associated services.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Decompression/laminotomy at L4-5 & L5-S1 followed by instrumented fusion at L4-5:**  
Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 305-308.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints  
Page(s): 305-307.

**Decision rationale:** The California MTUS guidelines do recommend a spinal fusion for traumatic vertebral fracture, dislocation and instability. This patient has not had any of these events. The California MTUS guidelines note that surgical consultation is indicated if the patient has persistent, severe and disabling lower extremity symptoms. The documentation shows this patient has been complaining of pain in the back. Documentation does not disclose disabling lower extremity symptoms. The guidelines also list the criteria for clear clinical, imaging and electrophysiological evidence consistently indicating a lesion which has been shown to benefit both in the short and long term from surgical repair. Documentation does not show this evidence. The requested treatment is for a fusion at L4-5. The guidelines note that the efficacy of fusion without instability has not been demonstrated. Documentation does not show instability. The requested decompression/laminotomy at L4-5 and L5-S1 followed by instrumented fusion at L4-5 is NOT medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated surgical service: Hospital stay x 2-3 days:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Post-op DME: Walker:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Pre-op labs: Metabolic panel, CBC:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Post-op DME: Lumbar corset: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Pre-op urinalysis: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Pre-op chest x-ray: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Pre-op EKG: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.