

Case Number:	CM15-0052008		
Date Assigned:	03/23/2015	Date of Injury:	05/08/2000
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/13/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Massachusetts

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on May 8, 2000. She has reported injury to her back and left leg and has been diagnosed with lumbar disc disease with spinal stenosis and bilateral radiculopathy, left greater than right, and plantar fasciitis bilaterally. Treatment has included medications and a home exercise program. Currently the injured worker had numbness in the lateral right thigh and numbness and cramping in the left lateral calf. The treatment request included 12 physical therapy sessions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

12 Physical Therapy Sessions: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Physical Therapy Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) (1) Chronic pain, Physical medicine treatment. (2) Preface, Physical Therapy Guidelines.

Decision rationale: The claimant is nearly 15 years status post work-related injury and continues to be treated for low back and bilateral lower extremity pain. Treatments have included occupational therapy with a home exercise program. In terms of physical therapy treatment for chronic pain, guidelines recommend a six visit clinical trial with a formal reassessment prior to continuing therapy. In this case, the number of visits requested is in excess of that recommended and therefore not medically necessary. Additionally, the claimant has already had physical therapy including a home exercise program. Patients are expected to continue active therapies at home. Compliance with a home exercise program would be expected and would not require continued skilled physical therapy oversight. Providing additional skilled physical therapy services would not reflect a fading of treatment frequency and would promote dependence on therapy provided treatments. The claimant has no other identified impairment that would preclude performing such a program. Therefore, the requested treatment is not medically necessary.