

Case Number:	CM15-0051863		
Date Assigned:	03/25/2015	Date of Injury:	05/19/2001
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina, Georgia
Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 68 year old female who sustained a work related injury on May 19, 2001, incurring back injuries. She was diagnosed with lumbosacral disc disease, degenerative spondylolisthesis, lumbar spinal stenosis, degenerative disc disease and lumbar radiculopathy. Treatments included anti-inflammatory drugs, muscle relaxants, a surgical lumbar fusion and laminectomy and epidural steroid injections. Currently, the injured worker complained of low back ache increased with cold weather. The treatment plan that was requested for authorization included a prescription for Lidoderm Patches.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lidoderm patch 5% #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines topical Page(s): 56-57.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Section 2 Page(s): 56-57.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS states that topical lidocaine preparations such as Lidoderm may be used as second line treatment for localized peripheral pain after a first line treatment, such as tricyclic antidepressant, SNRI or AED, has tried and failed. The medical records in this case do not describe any prior treatment with a first line treatment. Therefore, the use of Lidoderm is not medically necessary.