

Case Number:	CM15-0051833		
Date Assigned:	03/25/2015	Date of Injury:	08/14/2013
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/25/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 28 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 8/14/13. He reported a head injury. The injured worker was diagnosed as having headaches and coccyx fracture. Treatment to date has included oral medications including opioids, physical therapy and home exercise program. Currently, the injured worker complains of constant headaches, low back pain and constant pelvis/coccyx pain. Physical exam revealed tenderness along the paravertebral muscles bilaterally with spasms and decreased lumbar range of motion. The treatment plan included prescription for Norco, continuation of home exercise program and follow up appointment.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg, #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioid Page(s): 88.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 110-115.

Decision rationale: In accordance with California MTUS guidelines, narcotics for chronic pain management should be continued if (a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain. MTUS guidelines also recommend that narcotic medications only be prescribed for chronic pain when there is evidence of a pain management contract being upheld with proof of frequent urine drug screens. Regarding this patient's case there is no evidence of decreased pain or improved functioning with this pain medication. No recent drug screens have been provided either. Likewise, this request is not considered medically necessary.