

Case Number:	CM15-0051638		
Date Assigned:	03/25/2015	Date of Injury:	07/08/2008
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/18/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 40 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 7/8/2008. The mechanism of injury was not provided for review. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical radiculopathy and cervicgia. There is no record of recent diagnostic studies. Treatment to date has included recent epidural steroid injection and medication management. Currently, on 2/13/2015, the injured worker complains of neck pain with pain radiating to the left arm. The treating physician is requesting Soma.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Soma 350mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 3 Initial Approaches to Treatment Page(s): 47-49, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma) Page 29. Muscle relaxants Page 63-65.

Decision rationale: Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS) addresses muscle relaxants. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) 2nd Edition (2004) states that muscle relaxants seem no more effective than NSAIDs for treating patients with musculoskeletal problems, and using them in combination with NSAIDs has no demonstrated benefit. Muscle relaxants may hinder return to function by reducing the patient's motivation or ability to increase activity. Table 3-1 states that muscle relaxants are not recommended. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines (Page 63-66) address muscle relaxants. Muscle relaxants should be used with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. According to a review in American Family Physician, muscle relaxants should not be the primary drug class of choice for musculoskeletal conditions. MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that Carisoprodol (Soma) is not recommended. This medication is not indicated for long-term use. Medical records indicate the long-term use of Soma (Carisoprodol), which is not supported by MTUS guidelines. The patient has been prescribed NSAIDs. Per MTUS, using muscle relaxants in combination with NSAIDs has no demonstrated benefit. MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines indicate that Soma (Carisoprodol) is not recommended. MTUS and ACOEM guidelines do not support the use of Soma (Carisoprodol). Therefore, the request for Soma is not medically necessary.