

Case Number:	CM15-0050950		
Date Assigned:	03/24/2015	Date of Injury:	02/23/2001
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Georgia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 72 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on February 23, 2001. She has reported bilateral leg pain and sciatica. Diagnoses have included lumbosacral neuritis. Treatment to date has included medications. A progress note dated February 19, 2015 indicates a chief complaint of left sciatica area pain, and cramping of both feet. The treating physician documented a plan of care that included medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Baclofen 10mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 79.

Decision rationale: Baclofen 10 mg is not medically necessary. According to Ca MTUS Drugs with the most limited published evidence in terms of clinical effectiveness include

chlorzoxazone, methocarbamol, dantrolene and baclofen. Baclofen (Lioresal, generic available): The mechanism of action is blockade of the pre- and post-synaptic GABAB receptors. It is recommended orally for the treatment of spasticity and muscle spasm related to multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injuries. Baclofen has been noted to have benefits for treating lancinating, paroxysmal neuropathic pain (trigeminal neuralgia, non- FDA approved). The patient was not diagnosed with lancinating, paroxysmal neuropathic pain. Additionally, the medication is recommended for long-term use; therefore the requested medication is not medically necessary.

Norco 10/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 79.

Decision rationale: Norco 10/325mg #90 is not medically necessary. Per MTUS Page 79 of MTUS guidelines states that weaning of opioids are recommended if (a) there are no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances; (b) continuing pain with evidence of intolerable adverse effects; (c) decrease in functioning; (d) resolution of pain; (e) if serious non-adherence is occurring; (f) the patient requests discontinuing. The claimant's medical records did not document that there was an overall improvement in function or a return to work with previous opioid therapy. The claimant has long-term use with this medication and there was a lack of improved function with this opioid; therefore, the requested medication is not medically necessary.